National Inquiry into Missing and Murdered Indigenous Women and Girls



Enquête nationale sur les femmes et les filles autochtones disparues et assassinées

National Inquiry into Missing and Murdered
Indigenous Women and Girls
Truth-Gathering Process Part II
Institutional hearings: Government Services
Sheraton Suites Calgary Eau Claire
Calgary, Alberta



Part II Volume I

Monday May 28, 2018

Panel 1: Models for Delivery of Victims Services to Indigenous Peoples

John Phelps, Chief Federal Prosecutor, Yukon Region;

Leanne Gardiner, Director of the Community Justice and Policing Division for the Department of Justice, Government of the Northwest Territories;

Naomi Giff-MacKinnon, Senior Policy Analyst, Government of Canada Betty Ann Pottruff, Q.C., Senior Advisor, Government of Saskatchewan

Heard by Chief Commissioner Marion Buller & Commissioners Michèle Audette, Brian Eyolfson & Qajaq Robinson

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

41-5450 Canotek Road, Ottawa, Ontario, K1J 9G2 E-mail: info@irri.net – Phone: 613-748-6043 – Fax: 613-748-8246

APPEARANCES

Aboriginal Women's Action Network	Fay Blaney (Representative) MiKenze Jordan (Representative)
Assembly of First Nations	Julie McGregor (Legal Counsel)
Assembly of Manitoba Chiefs	Joëlle Pastora Sala (Legal Counsel)
Awo Taan Healing Lodge Society	Darrin Blain (Legal Counsel)
Canadian Association of Chiefs of Police	Ashley Smith(Legal Counsel)
Directeur des poursuites criminelles et pénales	Anny Bernier (Legal Counsel)
Eastern Door Indigenous Women's Association	Natalie D. Clifford (Legal Counsel) Cheryl Maloney (Representative)
Government of Alberta	Nicole Pfeifer (Legal Counsel)
Government of British Columbia	Jean Walters (Legal Counsel) Rachel Holmes (Representative) Emily Arthur (Representative)
Government of Canada	Anne Turley (Legal Counsel) Anne McConville (Legal Counsel) Sarah Churchill-Joly (Legal Counsel) Tania Tooke (Paralegal) Jennifer Clarke (Paralegal)
Government of Manitoba	Coral Lang (Legal Counsel) Kendra Jarvinen (Legal Counsel)
Government of New Brunswick	Maya Hamou (Legal Counsel)

III

APPEARANCES

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador	Denise Spencer (Legal Counsel)
Government of Northwest Territories	Karin Taylor (Legal Counsel) Brad Patzer (Legal Counsel)
Government of Nova Scotia	Sean Foreman (Legal Counsel)
Government of Ontario	Julian Roy (Legal Counsel) Catherine Rhinelander (Legal Counsel)
Government of Saskatchewan	Barbara Mysko(Legal Counsel)
Government of Yukon	Jennifer England (Representative) Fia Jampolsky (Legal Counsel)
Independent First Nations	Sarah Beamish (Legal Counsel) Diane Maracle-Nadjiwan (Representative)
Institute for the Advancement of Aboriginal Women	Rachelle Venne (Representative) Lisa D. Weber (Legal Counsel)
Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami	Elizabeth Zarpa (Legal Counsel)
MMIWG Manitoba Coalition	Catherine Dunn (Legal Counsel)
Manitoba Keewatinowi Okimakanak	Jessica Barlow (Legal Counsel)
Native Women's Association of Canada	Virgina Lomax (Legal Counsel)
Nishnawbe Aski Nation/Grand Council Treaty 3; Treaty Alliance Northern Ontario	Nishnawbe Aski Nation Deputy Grand Chief Anna Betty Achneepineskum Krystyn Ordyniec (Legal Counsel) Amanda Byrd (Law student)

ΙV

APPEARANCES

Ontario Federation of Indigenous Friendship Centres

Pauktuutit, AnânauKatiget Beth Symes (Legal Counsel)
Tumingit, Saturviit, Ottawa
Inuit Children's Centre, and
Manitoba Inuit Association

Regina Treaty Status Indian Erica Beaudin (Representative)
Services, Inc

Saskatchewan Association of Katrina Swan (Legal Counsel)
Chiefs of Police

Winnipeg Police Service Kimberly Carswell (Legal Counsel)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Truth-Gathering Process Part II Volume I

Panel I: Models for Delivery of Victims Services to Indigenous Peoples

Chair: Meredith Porter, Commission Counsel

Second Chair: Breen Ouellette, Commission Counsel

Witness: John Phelps, Chief Federal Prosecutor, Yukon Region

Counsel: Anne McConville for Government of Canada

Witness: Leanne Gardiner, Director of the Community Justice and Policing Division for the Department of Justice, Government of the Northwest Territories;

Counsel: Karin Taylor and Brad Patzer for Government of Northwest Territories

Witness: Naomi Giff-MacKinnon, Senior Policy Analyst, Government of Canada

Counsel: Anne Turley for Government of Canada

Witness: Betty Ann Pottruff, Q.C., Senior Advisor, Government of

Counsel: Barbara Mysko for Government of Saskatchewan

Heard by Chief Commissioner Marion Buller & Commissioners Michèle Audette, Brian Eyolfson & Qajaq Robinson

Grandmothers, Elders & Knowledge-keepers: Minnie Amidlak, Cynthia Cardinal (National Family Advisory Circle - NFAC), Barbara Dumont-Hill (Government of Canada), Spike Norton Eagle Speaker, Louise Haulli, Kathy Louis, Myrna Laplante (NFAC), Gerald Meguinis, Melanie Morrison (NFAC), Bernie Poitras, Sarah Nowrakudluk (NFAC), Gaylene Rain, Audrey Siegl, Laureen "Blu" Waters, John Wesley, Alvine Wolfleg, Charlotte Wolfrey (NFAC), Waasaanese (Government of Ontario)

Blackfoot Drummers: Norvin Eagle Speaker, Craig First Rider, Clarence M. Wolfleg, Faron Cody Back Kettle

Clerk: Maryiam Khoury

Registrar: Bryan Zandberg

VI

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Welcome & Opening Prayers	1
Lighting of the Qulliq	9
Drumming Ceremony	40
Opening Comments	43
JOHN PHELPS, Affirmed	49
Examination-in-Chief by Ms. Anne Turley	35
Questions by the Commissioners	126
Cross-Examination by Ms. Weber	222
Cross-Examination by Ms. Weber	229
Cross-Examination by Ms. Symes	235
LEANNE GARDINER, Affirmed	49
Examination-in-Chief by Ms. Karin Taylor	83
Questions by the Commissioners	127
NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Affirmed	133
Examination-in-Chief by Ms. McConville	134
Questions by the Commissioners	218
BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Affirmed	133
Examination-in-Chief by Ms. Barbara Mysko	173
Cross-Examination by Ms. Symes	239

VII

LIST OF EXHIBITS

NO	O. DESCRIPTION	PAGE
to :	el 1A: Models for Delivery of Victims services Indigenous Peoples ness: John Phelps	
1(a))Biographical Sketch - John W. Phelps, (one page)	50
1 (b))« Notice bibliographique (sic) - Me John W. Phelps »	50
2	Overview of the Public Prosecution of Canada Crown Witness Coordinator (CWC) Program, (four pages)	52
3	Canadian Victims Bill of Rights (CVBR) Common Checklist, (two pages)	69
4	Public Prosecution of Service Canada Deskbook Chapter 5.6, "Victims of Crime," (January 15, 2017), Directive of the Attorney General Issued Under Section 10(2) of the Director of Public Prosecutions Act, (nine pages)	72
	exhibits submitted by Anne Turley, Legal Counsel for ernment of Canada.	

IIX

LIST OF EXHIBITS

N	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
to :	el 1B: Models for Delivery of Victims services Indigenous Peoples ness: Leanne Gardiner	
5	Memorandum of Understanding Between Royal Canadian Mounted Police "G" Division and Government of the Northwest Territories on behalf of Victim Services Programs of the Northwest Territories, signature date 2018-10-03, (four pages)	98
6	Northwest Territories Victim Services Program, "A Framework for Enhancing Victim Services in the NWT: 2016-2021 - Interim report for the period April 1, 2016 - March 31, 2017," Federal project # 8396493, dated June 15, 2015, (12 pages)	111
7	Victim Impact Statement (Form 34.2), Northwest Territories Department of Justice, Community Justice and Policing - Victim Services, (five pages)	120
8	Community Impact Statement (Form 34.3), Northwest Territories Department of Justice, Community Justice and Policing - Victim Services, (four pages)	121
9	Statement on Restitution (Form 34.1), Northwest Territories Department of Justice, Community Justice and Policing - Victim Services, (three pages)	122
10	Victims Assistance Fund Application Guidelines (approved June 2000), Victims Assistance Committee (VAC) of the Northwest Territories, (five pages)	123
11	"Staying Safe" booklet (April 2017), Government of the Northwest Territories, (27 pages)	124
12	"NWT Victim Services" pamphlet (April 2017), Government of Northwest Territories, (one page)	125
All	exhibits submitted by Karin Taylor, Legal Counsel for	

Government of the Northwest Territories.

LIST OF EXHIBITS

N	O. DESCRIPTION	PAGE
to :	el 1C: Models for Delivery of Victims services Indigenous Peoples ness: Naomi Giff-MacKinnon	
13 (a	a)Biography of Naomi Giff-MacKinnon, Senior Policy Analyst, Policy Centre for Victim Issues, Department of Justice Canada, (two pages)	136
13 ()	o)Biographie de Naomi Giff-MacKinnon, Analyste principale des politiques, Centre de la politique concernant les victimes, Ministère de la Justice Canada	136
14	Overview of Family Information Liaison Units, Department of Justice Canada, (seven pages)	137
15 (a	a)Victim Services in Canada (2018), Research and Statistics Division, Department of Justice Canada, (78 pages)	139
15()	b)Les services d'aide aux victims au Canada (2018), Division de la recherche et de la statistique, Ministère de la Justice du Canada (91) pages	139
	exhibits submitted by Anne McConville, Legal Counsel ernment of Canada.	for

LIST OF EXHIBITS

NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE

Panel 1D: Models for Delivery of Victims services to Indigenous Peoples

Witness: Betty Ann Pottruff, Q.C.

- 16 Document "Betty Ann Pottruff, Q.C., Senior Advisor 175 toe ADM of Innovation, Ministry of Justice, Government of Saskatchewan
- 17 "Agency Response Guide to Missing Person Situations in Saskatchewan" (March 3, 2017 version), Saskatchewan Provincial Partnership Committee on Missing Persons
- 18 Exhibit 18: "Media Relations: A Toolkit for Families", (five pages)
- 19 Provincial Partnership Committee on Missing Persons (PPCMP) Progress Report 2007-2018, (30 pages)
- 20 Government of Saskatchewan document "Part II: Institutional Hearings on Government Services - Panel on Victim Services" dated May 18, 2018, (28 pages)

All exhibits submitted by Barbara Mysko, Legal Counsel for Government of Saskatchewan.

1	Calgary, Alberta
2	Upon commencing on Monday, May 28, 2018 at 8:22 a.m.
3	OPENING CEREMONIES
4	MS. TERRELLYN FEARN: Welcome. My name is
5	Terrellyn Fearn, and I'm a visitor to this territory. I am
6	from Glooscap First Nation in Mi'kma'ki. I'm very honoured
7	to be here today and and to engage in this event, this
8	ceremony, over the next five days on the Treaty 7 territory
9	with acknowledgement for the Métis Free Nation as well.
10	I have the honour of introducing our MC
11	today, and his name is Jason Goodstriker, and he is a was
12	a regional chief. He served from '03 to '07. He is from
13	the Blood tribe, and during his time as chief, he was really
14	instrumental in building a foundation for many of the
15	movements for the Indigenous people in the Alberta area.
16	Built some foundations for the residential school agreement,
17	for the Kelowna Accord.
18	His beautiful wife, Tiffany, who may be
19	joining us later, has worked in for AWAC in Prince George
20	and currently works at the Inn from the Cold here, a
21	homelessness shelter in Calgary, where she works with many
22	Indigenous women. So they are no strangers to the work, to
23	the issue of murdered, missing Indigenous women and girls
24	and survivors of violence.

We're very honoured to have him today -- I

1	hear he's	funny to	to walk us	through and	to keep us
2	on track.	So I'd like	e to take this	opportunity	to introduce
3	Jason Good	lstriker, ou	r MC.		

4 (APPLAUSE)

MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Okay.

Aahsikskanaotonni. That means "good morning" in Blackfoot. I'll teach you all the word. First word we'll say is oki.

Oki. Oki. Now, this is a special place, and I'll tell you about it throughout the week. We're going to have a lot of time together, and I'm very happy to be here. Very, very excited to see my sister Michèle, one of the commissioners who is -- I've worked with her off and on for the last 20 years and she's a very, very strong, forthright voice, as is each of the commissioners, and thank you for joining us here in Calgary, in what we call Moh-kins-tsis. Moh-kins-tsis.

Can you say that? Moh-kins-tsis. Moh-kins-tsis. It means "the elbow." It's special to our people in this area.

Two things before we start getting into our program that I just wanted to say, and it's very important for myself to say it, because ever since my sister Dana (ph) asked me if I would consider coming out and helping on this, I just wanted to go back to one of our societies we have amongst the Blood Tribe. They're called the Buffalo Women. And amongst the Blackfoot Confederacy here in the -- the south country, we have this special society. My late aunt,

Joyce Goodstriker (ph), was a member of that, my sister is a current member, and I have many relatives that are part of this Buffalo Women's Society.

Anyways, besides them, my dad is a drummer of them. Women's societies can't sing their own songs, so my dad is a slave to them, and so is -- so is some of our uncles, and I think the guys here, they know. Now, they help out the Buffalo Women's Society, and that's very important, so having a man helping out, it's an honour for me. It's -- it's no stranger in our family business of helping out when help is needed, and so I'm very honoured to have been asked to come up here to help out.

But I just wanted to, while we're getting things going and we get the things set, look. It's so small, how the world is. So small how a world is. My wife and I have been together for coming in on seven years and she has worked at -- say, that organization in Prince George was called AWAC. And we've -- we've -- we've -- she's been in this business for 15 years, working, following her late father helping walk in the seniors' footsteps and helping people.

Anyways, I'm going to tell you the truth, this is truth and reconciliation. I did not know about homelessness or poverty until I had finished two years, three years outside of having been the chief. I didn't know

about it. It's -- my wife and I had sat together on the drives that we've taken and things like that, and I've started to understand it more.

When we moved to Calgary back six, seven years ago, she had employment at the drop-in centre, which isn't too far from here. It's only about four, five blocks. But that's one of the largest shelters of homelessness in North America, with men and women. We have a big homelessness scene here in Calgary because we've been trying to work on a -- on an initiative that we've called Homelessness First, I suppose, and it was started under Premier Klein's government. Premier Prentice, Premier Redford, and Premier Notley, and Ed Stelmach have -- we've worked with each of those premiers, but they've confronted and they've tried to attack this issue from that standpoint.

Here's what's very, very touching to myself personally and especially my wife. She started this business 15, 20 years ago. We started showing up names, posters, pictures on posters. That was one of the original parts of the Highway of Tears. And in her homeless shelter in Prince George, she knew some of the original 16 women that had not -- had not ever shown up again. Something that she's been helping prep me for, she said, "Don't fool around there too much, but try and do your best." So I commend -- I was talking with one of our friends just this morning, the

1	camera crew, and we've had a total of 17 coming in on 18
2	hearings across the country now. Many of you have seen it
3	online. Many of you have seen it where it's been available
4	to watch, this inquiry. We'd like to thank you all and wish
5	you all well. Enjoy Calgary. Stay away from Ranchmen's.

But anyways, we'll tell you about that later on.

We'd like to call on a couple of Elders that helped us out. I didn't see Jim up here just yet, but I'd like to call on Spike and Alvine to come in to say a prayer. Now, when we pray in Blackfoot country, we just stay seated. The reason for that is — is because if we prayed in our tipis the way we do every day, it gets pretty crowded when you stand up. So just stay seated, but I'll ask my Elders to come and say this opening prayer. You can clap if you like.

(APPLAUSE)

--- OPENING PRAYER

MS. ALVINE EAGLE SPEAKER: Aahsikskanaotonni.

My real name is Soyiibiksaki (ph). In our -- our language,

it means "water bird." It's always good to hear my people

call me by my real name, although I seldom respond to Alvine

sometimes. (Speaking in Native language).

MR. SPIKE EAGLE SPEAKER: Okay. (Speaking in Native language). My name is a -- my -- that's my real name. My English name is Spike Eagle Speaker, Norton. I

1	was always taught that to respect people that come into
2	Blackfoot territory. And I just wanted to welcome all of
3	you to the Blackfoot territory here in Calgary, and to enjoy
4	your what events that you're here for. And to like
5	Jason said, enjoy Calgary. Enjoy the people here. We just
6	thought it'd be honourable to welcome all of you to our
7	territory. Thank you. Yeah.
8	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Thank you, Spike and
9	Alvine. Our brother just came in. This is the richest
10	Indian in Alberta, so
11	(LAUGHTER)
12	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: anyways. Gerald
13	come over here. Gerald is from Tsuu T'ina. And we always
14	tease our relatives because Tsuu T $^{\prime}$ ina is one of our closest
15	allied Bands here in this area. And they set up shop when
16	the reservations were made. They happened to be the closest
17	community designated near Fort Calgary. The city grows up
18	and they need a ring road, and there's only one way to do it
19	with Indians, is to make the payment good. So anyways, he's
20	still spending his money, I'm sure. But give him a round of
21	applause, come and welcome. This is Gerald Meguinis.
22	(APPLAUSE)
23	MR. GERALD MEGUINIS: I thought I was going
24	to have a cup of coffee, but they
25	(LAUGHTER)

3 (LAUGHTER)

the road, that's the reason why I was late, all the construction, trying to find this place because Calgary's changed so much in, you know, there's so many new things coming up. And I'm honoured to be present here today, to represent my reserve. I try to help out in any way that I can, to represent my reserve as a whole. We do have a lot of projects going, but the wisdom that we have is trying to educate our young people from where they come, the difficulties that they face in life. And we tried to talk to them to make a path easier for them, so that when they do get older that, at least, they know the paths and how they can be able to the future because there are so many pitfalls that is happening today that we have to address as Elders.

I've been doing this for quite awhile and when it comes to public speaking, I kind of choke up and just don't know what else to say, just that I'm glad to be here amongst you guys and I hope I get to know each and every one of you. So while I'm here, I'll just say a short prayer for each and every one of you. That we have a good day, you know, the things that we're going to talk about,

we'll get somewhere with it. But we'll all part knowing that something better that'll help us and what it is that we're trying to conquer. So with that, I'll say a prayer in -- in my language. (Speaking in Native language).

So what I said, I prayed for everyone here today. That everything that we talk about, that we -- may help us, for the young people, it's coming up, and it hasn't come. That we make a better path for them. The only way is to communicate with -- have an open mind. Always try to pray because He's the one that made this Earth possible, and everyone here present. So at the end of the day, we'll have something that we can -- help us in the job that you're doing, and hopefully, we can conquer something today. So it's with that, I thank you for this small speech. And I'll get back to my corner and count my money, I guess.

16 (LAUGHTER)

17 (APPLAUSE)

MR. JASON GOODSTIKER: Thank you, Gerald.

Unfortunately, Grandma, I have to tell you, I think only
about three Inuit people live in Calgary, but we're happy
for each one of them. Anyways, you're our farthest guest
that came here, from the farthest part of Canada. And I've
been a -- a number of ceremonies and First Ministers

Meeting, and I know how important it is to have the lighting
of the lamp. And so I'm going to ask Louise Haulli to help

us out. And we'll just, kind of, have a little bit of a

time while she does this, and she'll explain. So let's give

Louise a round of applause for joining us in Calgary.

4 (APPLAUSE)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LOUISE HAULLI (VIA TRANSLATOR):

(Speaking in Native language). Thank you very much, I'm going to be using my language. I'll be speaking in -- I'll be lighting up a Qulliq. I'm from Nunavut. My name is Louise Haulli. I'm an Inuk from Artic. I'm going to light up this Qulliq from our ancestors. It was created by ancestors. Even today, it's still being used at -- for ceremonies. It used to be used in the land -- it -- it was created from the land itself, and it has -- and it has oil and some grass to light it up. The light has -- light is very powerful. It used to be used to warm -to -- for one, to cook food, and also to light up and to keep us warm. And -- and to keep us -- and take care for this day. While I light up this Qulliq, I want you to know how powerful this light will be as I light it slow. So I'd like to have a great day with each and every one of you, so I'll start to light it now.

--- LIGHTING OF THE QULLIQ

MS. LOUISE HAULI: This light Qulliq, it's called Qulliq in Inuktituut. It's made from soap stone, 18 in the middle of harsh winter we -- it used to be used to

warm up the place, and it's still being used today. And
it's also written in a document, if you can if you want
to learn more about the Qulliq, there's some documents,
papers, that you can get. And this is the end. Let's all
have a great day, thank you.

MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Thank you, Louise. I understand that that's the direction on how your ceremony goes. Thank you very much, I appreciate that. Okay, so we're -- thank you again, and we've acknowledged all of the participants, and if I had a Métis jigging band on the side, I'd let them dance, but I don't have a band with me.

So anyway, I acknowledge the Region 3 of the Métis Nation, the southern region, my good friend Lawrence Gervais is the vice president of the Métis people down here on this side, but I'd like to thank and acknowledge them for their efforts, if they are in fact involved in this inquire hearing and all of the like, so thank you.

So, now, as we get down to the -- to the participants in today's event, I'd like them -- for them to stand, and I'm going to call Cynthia Cardinal to come on over here, but Melanie Morrison, Myrna LaPlante (ph), Sarah Nowrakadluk, and Charlotte Wolfway (ph), if you could please stand and let them be acknowledged. Give them a round of applause, this is the National Family Advisory

Circle. 1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

) •	(APPLAUSE)
--------	------------

3 MS. CYNTHIA CARDINAL: Hello, and welcome to the Institutional Hearing on Government Services. My 4 name is Cynthia Cardinal, and I am from Maskwacis, Alberta, 5 who is formerly known as Hobbema. Myself, Melanie 6 7 Morrison, Myrna LaPlante, Charlotte Wolfway and Sarah Nowrakadluk is here as members of the National Family 8 Advisory Circle or NFAC, which is made up of family members 9 and survivors. 10 Our role is to advise the commissioners and 11 staff of the National Inquiry and to provide support that 12

ensures a family first approach.

The issues being discussed this week are government services, victim services, health services and housing, all things that we as family members have experienced -- have experience with and know about firsthand. It is important for us to find ways to move forward on all these issues, so our sisters, mothers, grandmothers, aunties, friends, don't continue to be put into positions that put them at risk due to gaps in services.

We look forward to a good week together and hope to be able to connect with some of you throughout our

1 time here.

It is an honour to be here today, and I'm sure to gain much knowledge from those who will be speaking this week. I would like to say thank you to Treaty 7 for welcoming the Inquiry, so that we could hold this institutional hearing in this beautiful city.

There are many flaws with investigators through the Pickton trial that were very negligent in their duties, also with Victim services, we had gone through quite a -- it was a really bad experience with them, I just -- I'm hoping that, you know, like, throughout these hearings we could have a -- we could make some changes so that it -- you know, so that it works for our women.

I used to be angry, and I turned my anger into something good with my little sister Bonnie Fowler, we started an organization called Edmonton's Sisters for Sisters Society, and in 2017, we were handed the torch to organize the February 14th memorial walk in Edmonton.

Our organization is to help and support the family members when going to court for their loved ones.

We have put out our personal numbers so that families can call us if they just want to talk. Our goal is to one day have a healing lodge for the families who need support, so we are seeking further advice and input from family members

1	regarding different cultural ways of hearing.
2	So thank you for listening to my unexpected
3	speech. I pray we can help change the attitudes and
4	unfairness towards our native women and children, thank
5	you.
6	(APPLAUSE)
7	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Thank you to the
8	National Family Advisory Council. I'm going to ask Barbara
9	Dumont Hill and Elder Laureen Blu Waters to come and join
10	us here for a prayer, they, I believe, have been with the
11	Inquiry for a number much stops, so I'm going to ask them
12	if they could come and say final words of prayer, then
13	we're going to introduce you to the Commission. So come on
14	up here, let's have you're final words of prayer, then
15	we're going to begin our sessions. Thank you.
16	Give a round of applause for these Elders.
17	(APPLAUSE)
18	MS. BARBARA DUMONT-HILL: Hello everyone, I
19	hope you are all living your life in the good way. I am a
20	very proud Anishnaabe woman, my name is Barbara
21	Dumont-Hill, I am Turtle Clan, and I was born on the
22	Kitigan Zbi Indian Reserve.
23	I just before I pray, I would like to say
24	I'm very humble to be here on Treaty 7 territory and to be
25	allowed to pray. You know, yesterday I've been very

1	privileged, actually, to have attended a number of these
2	hearings and what I experienced was the strength and
3	resilience of the families, what they have gone through, and
4	how much they they still carry on, and I am so proud
5	today to be an Indigenous person in this country.

Yesterday, when I arrived, first thing we did is go down to the Bow River because I wanted to put tobacco down by the water for the women, for the reason we are here and the family. And while I was down there, I noticed all the geese. Hundreds of geese and their families. And we watched one particular family. Some of them only had one little chick, but this one family in particular had 22 chicks with them. And we watched them watching their little ones. And the commotion that all of a sudden happened when one of the little ones fell off the edge of the -- the shore and fell into the water. And the commotion of the parents and how the -- all the little ones ran right to their parents. And the -- as they call that little one back, how to get back up onto the shore with them.

And it really struck my heart as to have a family as changed when someone they love is hurt. And even a family of 22 is never going to be the same when they've lost one, when one has -- has been taken from them.

It's very important, I think, for all Canadians to understand what colonization has done, and that

we are here today to understand that we've affected seven
generations, but we can -- if we all open our ears, listen
with our minds and our hearts, that the next seven
generations will be better for everyone.

This prayer that I want to say for you today is the prayer that I say every day. It's part of my -- of who I am. I'm very grateful for the day the Creator has given me. I'm grateful for each one of you here today. You have good minds and good hearts and good thoughts. I'm grateful for our grandfather, the sun, who shares his light with us each -- each day, and our grandmother, the moon, who lights up our night sky and breaks down our seasons for us.

I'm grateful for our sacred Mother Earth, who provides everything we need to live our life in a good way.

I'm grateful for the sacred air, the breath of (speaking in Native language), and the sacred water, the blood of our Mother Earth that quenches our thirst and also brings life into the world.

I'm grateful for all the winged, the four legged, the swimmers and the crawlers. I'm grateful for all the trees, the plants, the roots, the medicines that grow here on Great Turtle Island that add beauty to our life each day and have always shared their bounty with us.

I'm grateful for all the ancestors who created a good path for us all to follow and those seven

1	generations of ancestors that we all have responsibility to
2	leave a good path for. I'm grateful for all the people who
3	live their life in the good way who want to create the
4	change, who are working to create change so we can all do
5	better.

And I ask the Creator to touch each one of you today, to bless you with good health and wisdom for you, for your children, your grandchildren, your great grandchildren, that we all understand we all belong to one Creator, and we all have responsibility to respect all of her creations.

So for all of you people and for all of these things, I say *Gchi Migweetch*, and listen with your hearts and your ears and your mind over this next week. Thank you.

MS. LARUEEN BLU WATERS: (Speaking in Native language). I say thank you to Treaty 7 for having us here, for allowing us to be on your territory, for allowing us to come in and use our language and our prayers, and to work together with you to -- to help bring good minds to this tragedy that affects each and every one of us.

Those of us that have lost family members or had them gone missing, we don't play one role here. We -- we have many roles, all of us. We are family members who have lost people, our mothers, our fathers, our grandparents, our children, and it's extremely hard work.

And I want to say thank you to each of our
commissioners, to Commissioner Michèle, who brings us that
laughter from the the Eastern part and is that fierce
warrior. And Commissioner Qajaq, who brings that gentle
(indiscernible) from the North, who teaches us to talk a
little bit quieter sometimes. To Commissioner Brian, who's
the strong, silent type.

8 (LAUGHTER)

MS. LAUREEN BLU WATERS: Although, he did come to me and give me tobacco to help be one of his advisors, and I'm grateful for that, that he offered me that tobacco to give me this opportunity to do this work.

And to our Chief Commissioner Marion, who's our matriarch, a grandmother, a mother, former judge, a woman who's been in many positions where she's been challenged and people have come to her not happy, but yet, she remains humble and graceful and kind. She -- she looks towards those solutions and towards those ways to make things happen in a good way. And she stands there so humble before us all the time and helps guide the commission with her strengths as well.

And I want to say thank you to NFAC members were part of this life driving force behind this. All those family members who fought for this commission for many years, to have their stories told and heard and to have

solutions brought forward. And I ask those ancestors to help us to do this work today, and to take care and bless each and every one of them that are here, and all of you that are here. Because, as I say, this work is not easy. It's not -- it's not a work that we want to be doing, but it's a work that needs to be done. And as we come together collectively, we use those gifts that we're each given to bring forth the -- the answers and the solutions to put to the end of report that gives life and allows us to make changes throughout the country, to have no more of our women, girls, two-spirted trans go missing or be murdered.

This is what we ask today. I ask this from the Creator, from those ancestors. And I ask those ancestors that are yet to come here, those little ones that are waiting to be born, that they be patient and kind with us because we're trying. We're trying our best to do this work to make this a safer space for them. None of us is perfect. We all make mistakes. We are humans with our spiritual being inside of us, and we're trying to walk the best road that we can. So we ask them to have -- have pity on us if we don't do an amazing job for them, but we're trying the best that we can.

And I'm grateful that we have this beautiful day on this territory in this space that has such good energy, this space that welcomes each and every one of us to

1	do the best that we can and to put forth our best effort.
2	So for these things, I say hi-hi (ph) today,
3	and I hope that we all have a great week this week. Hi-hi.
4	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: You know, this past
5	week, I realized Indians are a bunch of liars sometimes. So
6	anyways, reason why I know this is because we had you
7	know, I have a big following of friends on Facebook, and
8	everybody's mad about things, you know, and they talk about
9	sovereignty, self-government, let's do the treaties over
10	again, no to pipeline, all this stuff. And here, all my
11	friends stayed up and watched the royal wedding. That's
12	when I realized they're a bunch of liars.
13	(LAUGHTER)
14	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: So anyways, happy for
15	Harry and Meghan.
16	(LAUGHTER)
17	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: I'd like to I'd
18	like to call on the commissioners just to give us some up-
19	to-speed things. As Calgarians, we haven't had a chance to
20	take part in any or all of the commissions that have had the
21	hearing. So maybe if we can have a bit of an update, and
22	then your your forecast on what you foresee coming out in
23	the next five days.
24	So I'd first like to introduce the Chief

1	Commissioner with a round of applause. Welcome to Calgary.
2	(APPLAUSE)
3	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Good
4	morning. (Speaking in Native language). I would like to
5	start with a sincere welcome to those who are not in
6	attendance today by honouring and remembering the spirits of
7	all missing murdered missing and murdered indigenous
8	women and girls. I also want to acknowledge the special
9	courage of our 2SLGBTQ people.
10	I want to welcome all of you who are here
11	today. Families, survivors, members of NFAC who spoke so
12	well. Thank you, also, to the people of Treaty 7 and also
13	to the Metis Nation in Region 3. Thank you for your warm
14	and gracious welcome. You're wonderful hosts.
15	Elders, grandmothers, pipe carriers, drummers
16	and our MC Jason Goodstriker, thank you for for being
17	here and starting us in a good way today.
18	Honoured witnesses, welcome. And those of
19	you who are joining us to watch, to witness, either in
20	person or through the internet, welcome as well.
21	Thank you all for coming together today to
22	honour our missing and murdered indigenous women and girls,
23	the 2S members of the community. As always, we started in
24	ceremony today. That's to ground us, to focus us in this
25	important work that we have ahead of us this week.

1	As you know, this National Inquiry is a truly
2	historic undertaking. Our mandate is sweeping. So far, we
3	have heard from more than 1,200 people who have lived
4	through profound tragedy and loss every day. Family members
5	and survivors who have spoken their truths at community
6	hearings and statement gatherings across the country. And
7	we will continue to hear from those voices.

Thank you, all of you, for sharing your courage and wisdom with us. We hope to build on your contributions.

Today is a great day. It's the start of a new chapter of how we gather our information, and it's going to be today, of course, on institutional -- it's our institutional hearing on Government Services. We start in this phase to take a close look at some of the existing institutional policies and practices that contribute to our loss of traditional knowledge, culture, and the profound intragenerational trauma and violence that too many of us have experienced and continue to experience.

Also, I hope we're going to hear about what has worked and what is working to reduce violence against our women and girls. This is very important work. It will help us better understand the systemic causes of all forms of violence against our women and girls and analyze the underlying causes, the systemic causes, that contribute to

1	the ongoing violence.
2	Also, this is going to provide us with the
3	foundation and other parts of the work that need to be done
4	for good recommendations that hopefully will end this
5	national tragedy.
6	Over the next few days, we'll hear about
7	shelters, about mental health services, about transitional
8	housing services that are available in remote communities
9	when nothing else is available for our women and girls. All
10	of this, of course, we are going to interpret through a
11	human rights lens. What our witnesses in Quebec City two
12	weeks ago taught us very carefully, look through the human
13	rights lens.
14	My hope for this week is that we will listen
15	very carefully with our minds and our hearts so that we can
16	take the gifts that are given to us this week to move
17	forward in a good way.
18	I'm looking forward to learning more.
19	Families and survivors have told us a lot, and now, we can
20	put this in the right context.
21	I look forward to meeting more of you this
22	week, and I'm going to ask now my dear colleague,
23	Commissioner Robinson, to share a few words. Thank you,
24	all.

(APPLAUSE)

25

1	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: (Speaking in
2	Native language), good morning, bonjour. I'd like to start
3	by acknowledging and thanking our Elders for the ceremony
4	this morning, and preparing us for this week in in a way
5	that is grounded, that is holistic, and that keeps our minds
6	and our hearts together. Thank you.
7	I'd like to (speaking in Native language).
8	The Qulliq has been part of the ceremony of the National
9	Inquiry across this country. In some areas, we're also able
10	to have a sacred fire outside, and this is really about
11	shining light, but also doing things in a way that that
12	is warm and welcoming and safe, and that's, for me, the
13	Qulliq is a big symbol of that, so I'm very grateful that
14	it's in this space with us this week as well.
15	I'd like to also acknowledge and express my
16	gratitude for being welcomed into this territory, Treaty 7
17	people's territories, as well as the territory of the Metis
18	Nation in Region 3.
19	I want to acknowledge all of the families and
20	survivors here in this room, those listening and watching,

meaningful change for -- for everyone.

I want to express my gratitude and express

those who have come and shared with us, and for who this

inquiry is not their medicine, but this work is for -- is

for everybody, and it is my hope that it will result in

21

22

23

24

25

how happy I am to see our NFAC members, and thank you for being here and continuing to guide and teach us.

Grandmothers, Elders, Jason, our drummers, as well as the National Inquiry team, ones with the purple shirts that know, even though that this is an institutional hearing, this is hard work for everyone, even if your status is as an Elder or an NFAC or as a party with standing, this hits deep. And no one will leave this room, no one has left this process untouched. So I thank you, the National Inquiry health support team and the AV and our team in general, for the support you give us to create this space so that we can do this work.

I'm not going to repeat a lot of what was said. I think Cynthia captured the importance of this work and really captured why we are focusing on government services and why this topic of victim services, health, mental health and housing have been chosen to be addressed this week. We've looked through the statements and the evidence of the over 1,200 people that we've heard from, and these are some of the reoccurring things that have come forward: Lack of services, lack of shelter, nowhere to go, especially in isolated communities. Victim services, how overwhelming and frightening the systems are, and how do you navigate through it?

So I'm looking forward to hearing from the

1	witnesses who are going to be speaking this week. I may
2	(indiscernible) to hear what you have to bring and and to
3	teach us. I want to encourage all of those here to speak to
4	shed your title, shed guilt, shed defensiveness, and
5	recognize that the status quo, as it is, is unacceptable,
6	fundamentally unacceptable. We must challenge it, and you
7	play a role in that, to ask yourself tough questions, to
8	answer tough questions. Because the objective, at the end
9	of the day is to have safer communities, safer families,
10	safer regions, a safer nation for Indigenous women and girls
11	two spirited and trance. This is something that every
12	Canadian needs, the social inequities, the gaps have to be
13	closed. This is something we all need to see happen.
14	So I ask you to take part in this with an

open heart. Give yourself to this process, we all need it.

This country needs it if we are going to be the country that we say we are when we're in front of the UN or in front of the camera, but it's not the reality that is lived by many, many Indigenous women and girls.

So I thank you again and I will pass the mic to my colleague, Commissioner Eyolfson. (Speaking in Native language)

commissioner brian Eyolfson: Good morning,
bonjour. I too am very pleased to be here today, this
morning. As guest here, I'd really like to add my thanks to

1	Treaty 7 peoples and the Metis Nation in Region 3 for
2	welcoming the National Inquiry to this beautiful territory
3	this morning.

I'd also like to say thank you to our respected Elders for starting us in a good way this morning with the pipe ceremony, the prayers, the lighting of the Qulliq. And I also want to thank, very much, the members of our National Family Advisory Circle who have supported us and offered us advice along the way and they're here -- the members are here this morning as well.

And I'd like to thank our very special grandmothers as well, and our MC and the entire National Inquiry team. And, of course, the witnesses who are here this week for sharing their knowledge and expertise with us, thank you very much.

So we've been very busy receiving the testimony of families and survivors at a number of hearings and statement gathering events, and quite a few families and survivors have participated. And hearing these personal truths of loss and pain has been incredibly humbling and it's been a profound experience. And I reign so very committed to honouring our murdered and missing loved ones, our survivors, and being a part of this healing process going forward.

And as was mentioned just two weeks ago, we

conducted a hearing in Quebec City. It focused on Human
Rights and Indigenous rights of Indigenous women and girls
and 2SLGBTO people.

For me that hearing in Quebec helped to highlight how ensuring that substantive human rights are implemented and decolonizing existing structures takes place as key to creating safe environments for our women, girls and 2SLGBTQ people.

As emphasized in our interim report, the National Inquiry has already endorsed recommendations that include federally co-ordinated cross-jurisdictional National action plans to address violence against Indigenous women and girls and 2SLGBTQ people, and these plans include some government services, such as improved access to safe housing, culturally appropriate health, mental health, addictions and trauma services, and programming for Indigenous men to help break and prevent cycles of violence.

And this week we'll hear from witnesses about some of these subjects and where a better understanding of how access to services or lack of access relates to the vulnerability of Indigenous woman and girls, we'll learn more about what can be done to better support our people and our communities.

So I look forward to working with you all over the next few days this week. I just want to say thank

1	you very much, <i>merci</i> , (speaking in Native language), and
2	I'll pass the mic on to my colleague, Commissioner Audette.
3	Thank you.
4	(APPLAUSE)
5	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: (Speaking in
6	Native Language) 20 years ago. No, nine nine years ago.
7	I was going to say when we were young, but whatever.
8	(LAUGHTER)
9	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Before I
10	start, I have to say (speaking native language) qui nous
11	accueillent ici sur leur territoire. Wow, trilingual, c'est
12	amazing. Le traité no. 6, le traité no. 7, le traité no. 8,
13	la nation Blackfoot, merci beaucoup de nous accueillir sur
14	votre grand territoire et de nous accueillir à Calgary.
15	I want to say thank you to the Elders for the
16	we had something special this morning, and it was needed
17	because we don't have that for a long, long time, a pipe
18	ceremony. The one in Quebec City, I think I missed it.
19	I'll put that on my kids.
20	(LAUGHTER)
21	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: But this
22	morning it was very important because I did left my kids
23	earlier. Usually I bring them Monday morning, so I start my
24	week after giving them kisses and all of that, but because
25	of this important journey, I had to say goodbye yesterday

before they went to their dad and spend a week there. So it was a broken heart feeling, but also a feeling of fighting for justice, making sure that we do this right, making sure that we do it with the right people.

And this morning the right people were in that circle, the two beautiful Elder who are here. Merci beaucoup for the teaching, and we go to many places, we respect protocols, we are un-student, I'll speak for myself, and one of the beautiful teaching of this morning was that they were making me laughing when they were teaching me how we do things in their way. And laughing for, I think, Grandmother Blu said it, it's one of my medicine to survive this world.

Thank you so much, also, for the strong advisory circle that we have. We have members across

Canada, we were able to -- to bring few of them, but if magic was there we would bring more and more and more family members and survivors. This is how -- I believe this is my principles and values that they're not only in the centre of this process, but are also my mentors, my teachers and the women and families and survivors that remind me that when I fall and I want to run home and say, "That's it, enough."

They say, "Well, I don't have that privilege, so stand up."

So we have to honour that and thank you for your teaching.

I'm blessed because I speak also French, so I

have a grandmother who speaks French in Quebec, Penelope,

alors merci beaucoup Penelope and a grandmother from BC,

coast to coast. Bonnie, she's somewhere, busy where she is,

but she's here in Canada, thank God.

Thanks for everybody for being here, people in this room. It's not the same setup, it's not the same energy of community hearings where the emotions was the flow, was there every day, but let's not forget that every word that you would say today or the next couple days in this phase will bring some -- a lot of emotion from the families' perspectives -- or survivors' perspectives. You're talking about issues that exactly what Cynthia said, which is their expertise. Their five scents are aware about those, the violence, the poverty, lack of housing, lack of proper services or cultural appropriate services and so on. So the list is long.

And I love what Chief Commissioner said and my other colleagues about the differences and the reality, the real world. I'm from the real world in my community, and we went to a place in Washington a few years ago with the Native Women Association of Canada where we had to debate -- explain how Indigenous women are living the reality. And on the other side we had Canada explaining how they see that reality, how they want to improve or how they propose things to change or help and support and so on, but

at the end of the day, we felt like from two different

planets. Let's be frank, but everybody was in good faith,

I'm pretty sure. You know, the statistic, sad to say, we

are the champion of those sad statistic. Women are missing

for many, many sad reason. Women are more than just missing

-- disappearing, also, for sad reason. They kill our women

for sad reason.

We were asked to go to the route cause, so yes, again, I'll say the extensions is so crucial, so we can do a proper work, to go to that route cause. Yes, we live that route cause. But it seem that, sometimes, we need to have that public debate to bring a strong report, with strong recommendation, so nobody can pretend that it's not in my yard, or it's not my responsibility, or it's not my jurisdiction. But it become a collective jurisdiction, a collective responsibilities, a collective — things that we have to do for the women, for the men, the Elders, the youth, the in-between like me, and so on.

We've heard many, many women and men over the past 20 months. And I agree, that we all, not only the Inquiry, but all of us: government, Chief, leaders, citizen, people across Canada, we need to put an end of this. The whole country needs to put an end on this national tragedy. Let's not pretend, again, that's -- it doesn't exit in my yard.

Most of all, we have to honour the truth, the courage, the strength of those families and survivors that came to us, wasn't easy for them. And I -- I honour that strength. I honour that beautiful capacity, or anger to say, "Hear my truth." So with this institutional hearing, it's going to be important as a mother, as a woman, and also, Commissioner, to find ways to honour that truth and that strength.

I was hoping, and I will continue to hope that what we've heard with the women and men across Canada, that we will be able to ask the hard question, the good question, or to listen from what you're willing to propose for a real change. We might feel that it's different, but I hope at the end of the day, we all do it like grandmothers said today to us, "With an open mind. With an open spirit." And let's be honest.

And I say it with very, very -- lots of emotion, we were failed too many times. What -- we were failed by institutions, by governments, by organizations, sometimes by our own families. But the families, I have to say that, services, program, then we go further, government aren't there to support -- support the families and their children. So let's not pretend that we do not fail the people, and the -- the nations across Canada, but we -- we, the Commissioners, the people in this room, governments,

1	Indigenous people, Canadian, of course, need to put an end
2	on these systemic causes that women are facing every day.
3	I say in French, arrêtons de faire
4	l'autruche. How can I translate translate this? I don't
5	know. I say also in French, arrêtons d'être témoin pour
6	devenir malheureusement complice d'une tragédie nationale.
7	I don't want to be a witness, and then to become slowly a
8	accomplice of that national tragedy. I don't want to be an
9	ostrich. First of all, it's an ugly bird.
10	(LAUGHTER)
11	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: But maybe
12	there's a reason why she's there, or it's there. And I
13	don't want to insult the poor bird, but what they do,
14	putting their head in the sand, so I don't want to see that.
15	I'm very visual. I want to see strong people in this room.
16	Assume that we have responsibilities. Assume that we did
17	some mistake. But together we can make that change. It
18	needs to happen. Thank you.
19	(APPLAUSE)
20	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Mademoiselle,
21	your your English has really come along in 15 years.
22	(LAUGHTER)
23	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: When we first met,
24	she couldn't even speak English. So anyways, she's done
25	very well for herself. Thank you very much there,

Commissioner Audette. I'm going to call on Bernie Poitras to come and help us out. She has some gifts, and then this will bring us to our final -- our closing for the opening of the ceremonies here. And then we'll have a short break. So just give us about five more minutes.

6 (APPLAUSE)

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BERNIE WILLIAMS POITRAS: I'd just like to say Howa and good morning, and -- to the people of Treaty 7, and type two Métis three. My name is Guul Kiit Jaad, also known as Bernie Williams. And my traditional name means, "Golden Spruce Woman." I come from the Haida Nation on my grandmother's side. And I'm also Nuu-chah-nulth on my father's side. I just want to also say Howa to the Elders of this territory. And if there's any Chiefs here, I want to say Howa too. But also, to -- at the spiritual people, for allowing us to do this work in your beautiful territory. I've got wonderful memories here in Calgary of a group of kids that I grew up with in a residential school outside of Calgary that I had the good fortune of -- is being with them and I just want to say is Howa to them, like for -- is walking with me, you know, in my journey to -- to where I'm at today.

But one of the greatest gifts in my culture, in the Haida culture, is the gift of copper. And I would like to -- is ask the Commissioners to assist me. This

1	copper is our platinum. And this is one of the wealthiest
2	gifts as a up-and-coming hereditary Chief in August of this
3	year, that I have that good fortune while I'm to stand
4	here, and I'd like to give it to the Commissioners to
5	present it to these amazing human beings, Spike Eagle
6	Speaker and his wife, Alvine, to please come up. And I'd
7	like to invite up Gerald Meguinis too, please, the Elder.

8 Sure.

And I'd like to invite up our Elder Barbara

Dumont-Hill too, please. I would also like to invite our

MC, Jason Goodstriker. Please come up, Commissioner. I was

really funny because a -- after Jason asked me my last name,

like, it's Poitras, and I said, "No. I'm not from your

territory here." I said, "Don't you notice the height thing

out here?" And he said, "Okay, west coast, then, yeah."

I have been really blessed to have the good fortunes to work with this amazing National Family Advisory Circle, and it's very humbling to learn all the time from you amazing women and family members too. I'd like to ask Melanie if she would gift our family member the gift of copper here. She's never had one.

And the other family member who is sitting there, to come up here too and -- yeah. This was just thrown in, so very surprising.

Anyway, I just want to say to all and to

acknowledge our -- our grandmother over here, Louise, she's so quiet, and also our other grandmother is Cathy and Blu. I know your work is going to be hard this week and one of the things that I have learned through this journey is that I'm also a survivor of sexual and domestic abuse and violence, and I'm also a family member whose mother and three sisters were murdered, and I really support you here and I'm seeking the same thing as a family member, is answers. It's been a really hard journey for us and we want those answers. We want these organizations to -- not only to listen, but to be held accountable.

There's been a lot of good that's happened, but there's been a lot of bad. Our women are still going missing. We haven't got enough places to bring these dear women and these children that are on the streets. I just flew in from Vancouver yesterday, and to know that those streets that we work on as frontline workers, that nothing has changed. Our social housing is so down that women are being forced to go on these dates that they call survival sex work just so they will have a place to stay. We need more social housing, we want answers as to the whys. And the question that I would like to put forth to you, we know what the problem is, and the question I want to ask, it's what's working for us? We know what's not working, but as

1 a family member I seek your direction, and we do want
2 answers because this is far too long.

There's family members that have been fighting for over 40-50 years with no answer yet. So I really commend you, I commend your work, I commend your tenacity that you have come back again here. And to the government who is listening, these are still crimes against humanity, and I say shame on you.

I watched a thing this morning, 1,500 children that are missing in the United States is -- that they're immigrants and nobody is looking for them, and I -- it really resonated to me. We have over 150,000 children that were in residential school, and 50,000 of those kids are not accounted for, but it doesn't matter. I really challenge you this week to be kind to one another, you know, too. And it's really hard work, but again, I just want to express my gratitude for you all being here.

I'm a woman of very little words and that, but I really had to say that, you know, this morning, a lot of our families have waited, waited decades, and I really want to let you know that we are here for you too if you need just to go for a walk or go for coffee, take a break. The grandmothers and I pray, in fact, and the women who are wearing, like, the purple, this is not an easy job. I

1	certainly wouldn't want it, but I really honour all of you,
2	each one of you, howa to you, howa, thank you for doing
3	this for my family, thank you.
4	(APPLAUSE)
5	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Thank you, Brenda.
6	Having to straighten out the Métis, I don't want them to
7	fall.
8	I'll tell you a conference trick, remember
9	this the rest of your life. I've been in this business for
10	pretty much about 20 years as an employee, as a chief, as a
11	chief of Alberta, anyway, I always kept my nametags, the
12	first few years that I was involved in the scene, keep your
13	nametags, put them in your brief case or your rolly car,
14	whatever, then when you go to a conference, just pull out
15	one that looks like the one that is at the conference.
16	I've never paid for a conference for 15 years, so
17	(LAUGHTER)
18	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: that's a trick.
19	I should have told that story to the Reconciliation
20	Commission, come clean on that.
21	I've got to tell Louise, you've got to watch
22	these Blackfoot boys here, we always take things if we see
23	somebody from visitors, and looking at that seal hide, we
24	don't have seal around here, so only in the zoo.

1	Anyway, we're going to sing a song here,
2	we're going to sing two songs and these aren't powwow bums,
3	so they're respectable gentlemen, they know how to sing,
4	they grew up singing these songs. Craig, he works
5	at oh, one of them, Spike, he works at the N7, which is
6	a movement by Nike working with Indigenous communities, and
7	he helps with the front that they have Siksika. One is
8	working with the child welfare, is that Skip? Oh, Craig?
9	Craig works with child welfare in Siksika. Skip
10	works he's been working a long time with the oh,
11	Glenbow, okay. So just down the street, while you were in
12	town, if you get a few extra moments, Glenbow Museum, it's
13	just down the street, has a great beautiful Blackfoot
14	display. Show your conference tag, I'm sure they will let
15	you in.

16 (LAUGHTER)

MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Really. Anyway, we worked for years on that. We talk about us being, like, sovereign or separate from the Queen, that's not actually the case. We always were very, very proud, ever since 1877, that we signed a treaty with the Queen's people. I said this in the ceremony this morning, we said -- we called them (speaking in Native language). And try and say that word, (speaking in Native language), it's important.

1	(Speaking in Native language), it's an important word
2	because we use that word for the Queen's people, no matter
3	what level of government, if you push a mop or if you're a
4	medium level manager, or if you're involved in some sort of
5	way with the Queen's people, we said prayers for them. And
6	the reason why we do that is because we believe so much in
7	our Treaty, and so we're very, very astute, we're very,
8	very proud of our Treaty process and who we are, and again,
9	thank you and welcome to our land, so we sing this song.
10	This is we will call it Prince Harry and Meghan's
11	wedding song. So
12	(LAUGHTER)
13	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Anyway, we still
14	honour the monarchy because of our connection to the
15	Treaty, so this is actually a monarchy song. Then we're
16	going to sing an honour song for the women that are here
17	and for what we're all gathered here for. So please rise.
18	DRUMMING CEREMONY
19	(APPLAUSE)
20	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: I was just opening my
21	mouth. I wasn't singing.
22	(LAUGHTER)
23	Okay, we're going to have two minutes to just
24	get let me tell you one quick story before we break, and
25	I wanted to say while the singers were still here, you see,

1	when I was involved at AFN you could all have a seat.
2	When I was involved at AFN, they had a big bunch of money
3	for a drum group. Anyway, so the drum group didn't show up.
4	They were invited. But the boys in Ottawa, they take
5	registration, they work for AFN, they did things. Anyways,
6	they fooled around with drum drum group once in a while.
7	Here, when the drum group didn't show up, they had to bring
8	in the boys, and the problem with the boys, they only knew
9	one song.
10	So anyway, they brought in all the flags,
11	Eagle staff, and the boys sang their one song they knew.
12	And then the MC tells the drum, "Okay, now the flag song."
13	And they looked at each other. So they sang the same song
14	again.
15	(LAUGHTER)
16	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: And then they
17	thought, "Okay, we're done now." And then suddenly, the
18	the chairman looked at them, "Now, the veterans song."
19	(LAUGHTER)
20	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: They sang the same
21	song again, hey. Anyways, these are good singers. They
22	know all kinds of songs. Give them a round of applause.
23	Thank you.
24	(APPLAUSE)
25	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Okay. Thank you

1	very much to all who's involved. Just a bit of a
2	housekeeping note, we're going to get it on in a few minutes
3	here, so we got a coffee break. I've been told that the
4	Elders are going to be here for the duration of the week,
5	and so if some of you had been seeking out some advice,
6	perhaps some reflection, or if you ask them for something,
7	they're going to be here all week. So good for that, and
8	all of the organizations will start getting you ready to
9	come and I've been told that we have had problems in the
10	past with long breaks, and so I'm actually a big fan of
11	getting a day done as quick as you can, so we're not going
12	to be dilly-dallying in the hallway.
13	So I'm going to ask our boss to come up. We
14	have a couple more gifts, and then you can open the doors,
15	and we got some coffee. So come on up.
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) the
17	drummers.
18	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Oh, for the drummers?
19	Okay, we're going to give our drummers don't forget me,
20	even though they cut me.
21	(LAUGHTER)
22	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: That's what we call a
23	double dip, so
24	(LAUGHTER)
25	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: All right. Okay, so

1	you can exit, you can go get a refreshment, come on back.
2	Five minutes, and we'll try and be prompt. So thank you
3	again, and thank you, everybody, for the opening ceremony.
4	Upon recessing at 9:48 a.m.
5	Upon reconvening at 10:00 a.m.
6	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: If we could start
7	getting seated, and we're going to begin.
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just the fact.
9	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: For those that are
10	here or coming back into the room, we're going to begin on
11	some exciting discovery and some exciting dialogue in
12	regards to the business at hand. Now, like I said, I've
13	been very fortunate to have been honoured to have been
14	asked to help out, and I'm going to try and walk the table a
15	little bit on the as well as best that I can for
16	for today's hearings. Now, I was talking with some of my
17	friends with the with the camera and the media outlets,
18	and we're going to we're going to do a thing. We're
19	going to adopt the Siksika Nation protocol of phones that
20	ring in a meeting.
21	(LAUGHTER)
22	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: So if your phone goes

MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: So if your phone goes off and you don't know how to turn it off, you owe the room a chicken dance. That means you've got to dance right on that. So that's -- anyways, turn your phones off if you

could, because it's -- it can be disruptive to our

presenters. So, again, we would appreciate that. I'll

remind us all again after the lunchtime just to make sure

your phones are off.

As we get down to brass tacks, I come from a -- from a line of political leadership where we're very blunt. We'll just say what we mean. I served with Ralph Klein when Ralph was premier and you'd just get right to the point of things and he wouldn't dilly-dally. So I would appreciate your honesty and your -- your being forthright to all of the witnesses. And just get down to it, because there's issues when it comes to systemic poverty, when it comes to what we're all talking about here in terms of violence, in terms to what's now come to our communities. We all have stories. If you have a complaint about agencies that don't work together, voice it. If you have a complaint about not being increased or feeling like you're priorized [sic] in your -- in your work or in your direction, voice it, because these petitioners, they need to hear that.

And it all extends to eradicating not only the poverty but the -- the toughness that has come our way over the last 50, 60 years. Again, the commissioners -- and it's all being recorded, the commissioners are all here. They've been doing their best, I would say, ever since it was brought forward, and so we're very excited that Calgary

now has our chance for our opportunity. We're going to get
going here right away, and if you see me stand or take to
the podium again, this is going to be on the direction of
the inquiry itself. I've been told that we haven't had too
much legwork for MCs prior to this, but it's just to try and
help out, bring some order and some attention to the agenda
in terms of hurrying things along.

So I'm going to present the models for delivery for Victim services to Indigenous people. Our first witness is going to be John Phelps, Chief Federal Prosecutor of the Yukon and Region, and Leanne Gardiner, Director of Community Justice and Policing Division for the Department of Justice, Government of the NWT. And their counsel is Anne Turley, Brad Patzer and Karin Taylor.

And if I could teach -- sorry, if I could speak to any of the presenters, when it's your turn, if you could kindly introduce yourself. And, again, for those that are of Francophone that are visiting or those that prefer to hear, the interpretive units are available at the back of the room and we're doing our best.

So, again, let's welcome our witnesses and our testifiers, give them a round of applause on our first presentation.

24 (APPLAUSE)

MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Good morning. Good

1	morning, Chief Commissioner and Commissioners. I'm
2	Meredith Porter, Commission counsel with the National
3	Inquiry, and I'm sitting here today with the witnesses who
4	will be called to give evidence with respect to the models
5	of service deliveries of Victims services in various
6	jurisdictions, both regionally and nationally.

Our MC has already provided a brief introduction of the witnesses and their counsel, but I wanted to speak very briefly to some of the areas that they are actually going to be touching on in their evidence appearing here today.

John Phelps, the Chief Federal Prosecutor in the Yukon Region is going to be speaking today about a program that is delivered in that region, the Crown Witness Coordinator Program. Leanne Gardiner, the Director of Community Justice and Policing from the Northwest Territories is going to be sharing some evidence with respect to the victim services program that's delivered in that region.

We also have Naomi Giff-MacKinnon who will be the senior policy analyst with the policy centre for Victim services, and she's going to be giving a national and regional perspective of the FILU program that was set up to work collaboratively with the National Inquiry to

1 provide information to families.

We also have Betty Ann Pottruff who is the senior advisor and ADM to the ADM of Innovation in Saskatchewan, and she's going to be speaking about the provincial partnership committee on missing persons and some of the successes and gaps in the services provided through that program.

with the panel and the evidence before you today is a little bit different than we've done in the past. Our procedural guide that we follow for the National Inquiry does provide in Rule 31 that with the consent of commission counsel, a counsel for witnesses can request leave to lead the evidence. That, of course, is subject to the granting of that request by yourself, Chief Commissioner, and the other Commissioners.

So at this time, I'm going to ask whether their request to lead the evidence of the witnesses is a request that you are prepared to grant?

20 COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Yes, 21 certainly.

MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. So before witness counsel for John Phelps begins with his evidence,

I'm going to request that the registrar promise in the

1	witnesses Leanne Gardiner and John Pheips.
2	MR. REGISTRAR: Sworn or affirmed?
3	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Affirmed.
4	MR. REGISTRAR: Okay.
5	JOHN PHELPS, Affirmed:
6	LEANNE GARDINER, Affirmed:
7	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you very much.
8	Okay, Ms. Turley, I ask you to proceed.
9	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Thank you. Good
10	morning, Chief Commissioner, Commissioners, my name is Anne
11	Turley, and I'm counsel for the Government of Canada, and I
12	will be questioning the first witness from the Government
13	of Canada, John Phelps, today.
14	Before we start, I'd just like to take the
15	opportunity to acknowledge the traditional territories of
16	the people of the Treaty 7 Region in Southern Alberta and
17	acknowledge that the city of Calgary is also home to the
18	Métis Nation of Region 3.
19	Also just a housekeeping matter before we
20	begin, we have provided the parties with standing advance
21	copies of the documents, but for the ease of the witness
22	and the commissioners, we have presented a book of
23	documents so that you may follow along.
24	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE:

JOHN PHELPS

1	Ms. Turley, are you asking that the book be marked as an
2	exhibit or the documents separately?
3	MS. ANNE TURLEY: I will be asking
4	separately the documents be marked as an exhibit. Thank
5	you.
6	EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS. TURLEY:
7	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Mr. Phelps, before we get
8	into the meat of your testimony, I'd like to talk about
9	your the background. If we can have you look at Tab 1
10	of this book of documents?
11	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I have that before me,
12	yes.
13	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And is this your
14	biography?
15	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It is, yes.
16	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And I understand,
17	according to this, that you're a fourth generation Yukoner?
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I am.
19	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And that after graduating
20	from law school, you returned back to the territory to
21	practice law?
22	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, that's correct.
23	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And right now, you are a
24	chief federal prosecutor with the Public Prosecution
25	Service of Canada?

1	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's correct, yes.
2	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And you've been in that
3	position since 2010?
4	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
5	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Chief Commissioner, I
6	would ask that the biography of John Phelps be admitted as
7	the first exhibit to his testimony.
8	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: The biography
9	is Exhibit 1, please.
10	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Thank you.
11	EXHIBIT NO. 1(a):
12	Biographical Sketch - John W. Phelps,
13	(one page)
14	PIÈCE NO. 1(b):
15	« Notice bibliographique (sic) - Me
16	John W. Phelps »
17	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Mr. Phelps, as chief
18	federal prosecutor in the Yukon, what is your role with
19	respect to the Crown Witness Coordinator Program?
20	MR. JOHN PHELPS: For the last six years, I
21	have been the direct supervisor for the team in the Yukon
22	territory, and I'm involved in discussions with respect to
23	the program in the Pan-Northern Basis to develop the
24	policies and protocols for the team to follow in the
25	Pan-Northern Basis.

1	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And if I can have you look
2	at tab 2 of the book of documents?
3	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, I have that.
4	MS. ANNE TURLEY: This is a document
5	entitled Overview of the Public Prosecution Service of
6	Canada's Crown Witness Coordinator Program?
7	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
8	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And what is the purpose of
9	this document?
10	MR. JOHN PHELPS: This document was
11	developed for this hearing today to outline the service
12	that we provide.
13	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Thank you. And does it
14	accurately characterize the Crown Witness Coordinator
15	Program?
16	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's an accurate summary,
17	yes.
18	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And are you able to talk
19	to the matters discussed in this overview today?
20	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, I am.
21	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Chief Commissioner, I
22	would ask that this document, the Overview of the Public
23	Prosecution Service of Canada's Crown Witness Coordinator
24	Program, be admitted as the next exhibit to Mr. Phelps's
25	testimony.

52 EXAM-IN-CHIEF BY MS. TURLEY

1	COMMISSIONER MICHELE AUDETTE: Yes, just
2	before we go any further, I'm assuming that these documents
3	are going in by consent; is that correct?
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Exhibit 2,
7	please, is the Overview of the Public Prosecution of
8	Canada's Crown Witness Coordinator Program.
9	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Thank you.
10	EXHIBIT NO. 2:
11	Overview of the Public Prosecution of
12	Canada Crown Witness Coordinator (CWC)
13	Program, (four pages)
14	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And as you may
15	hear during the testimony you may hear two acronyms.
16	First PPSC, which is public Public Prosecution Service
17	of Canada, and CWC, which is a Crown Witness Coordinator.
18	Now, if we can talk, Mr. Phelps, about the program, and
19	first describe what is PPSC's responsibility in the three
20	territories?
21	MR. JOHN PHELPS: The PPSC is a federal
22	prosecution service. Unlike in the provinces, in the
23	territories we have the responsibility for the prosecution
24	of all Criminal Code offences, so our responsibility is
25	that of our southern counterparts for the responsibility

1	over all federal legislation, and in the north the addition
2	of Criminal Code prosecutions.
3	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And when was the Crown
4	Witness Coordinator Program introduced at PPSC?
5	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's been in place since
6	prior to my joining the department. It was put in place in
7	1991 to address the disconnect that existed at that time
8	between the prosecution service and the victims of crime,
9	in particular a recognition that there was a significant
10	disconnect between the prosecution service and Indigenous
11	victims across the north.
12	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Now, what is the role of a
13	Crown Witness Coordinator under this program?
14	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Generally speaking, the
15	role is to be the conduit between the prosecution service
16	and victims and witnesses of crime. Keeping in mind, that
17	we only become familiar with a file once a charge has been
18	laid, which could be days, weeks, or months, and in the case
19	of some serious crimes, it could be years after the actual
20	offence occurred. Their primary responsibility upon the
21	receipt of a file is to make early contact with the victim
22	of the crime.
23	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And when you talk about
24	victims of crimes, is a Crown Witness Coordinator assigned
25	to all cases where there are victims of crime?

JOHN PHELPS EXAM-IN-CHIEF BY MS. TURLEY

1	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, they are. When a file
2	is received within our various offices, right away, or
3	within the first week of its existence in the office, the
4	practice is to assign the file to a Crown Witness
5	Coordinator. And the Crown Witness Coordinator, who is
6	assigned the file, is responsible for the conduct of that
7	file throughout its duration in the office.
8	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And when you say,
9	"Throughout its duration in the office," that is from the
10	beginning until when?
11	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's correct. It's from,
12	basically, we receive the file on first appearance in court.
13	And they have the responsibility from then until the file
14	has been completed either by way of an acquittal, a
15	sentencing, or an appeal. Or in the rare case, that it's
16	before a review board for a a mental health issue, then
17	they have conduct until that's finished as well.
18	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And you said that a Crown
19	Witness Coordinator is assigned once the file comes to PPSC.
20	How do they initiate contact with a victim?
21	MR. JOHN PHELPS: When we receive the file
22	from the RCMP and there's been the first appearance in
23	court, the expectation is that there's contact information
24	within the file for the victim provided by the RCMP. And
25	the majority of cases, I would say, there would be at least

1	a telephone number where were can receipt where we can
2	get into contact with a victim, and they would make a
3	contact by telephone. If there is not a telephone number
4	for the victim, then are various approaches that they may
5	take to try and track down a victim, including contacting
6	friends and family, or using the services of the RCMP, or
7	other agencies that may be attached to the particular
8	victim.
9	MS. ANNE TURLEY: In terms of a homicide, who
10	is considered the victim for the purposes of the Crown
11	Witness Coordinator program?
12	MR. JOHN PHELPS: In the case of a homicide,
13	it would be the family members and the individuals who
14	suffered loss as a result of the homicide.
15	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And would the Crown Witness
16	Coordinator meet with the family as a whole, or only certain
17	family members?
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Generally speaking, by the
19	time the file gets to our office, the the victim family
20	has been identified by the RCMP. Our Crown Witness
21	Coordinator would contact those individuals that have been
22	communicating with the RCMP, and develop a rapport, and
23	commence the dialogue under the CVBR, the Canadian Victim
24	Bill of Rights, with those members. Ideally, having them
25	identify an individual within the family who can be our

1	primary point of contact. If if the family is split,
2	either geography by geography or due to ill will within
3	the family, for example, or just simply a disconnect within
4	the family, then we're we often will have more than one
5	contact with in individual within a family.
6	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And in that circumstance
7	then, the Crown Witness Coordinator would keep all family
8	members updated?
9	MR. JOHN PHELPS: All of the identified
10	contact individuals. And if that were to be all of the
11	family members, then, yes, that would be the case.
12	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Now, what happens if a
13	victim or a witness does not want to access this program?
14	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's their right. So
15	once we've made first contact with the victim, and if
16	they'll hear us out and allow us to provide them with
17	information about who we are and their rights under the
18	Canadian Victim Bill of Rights, and at that point if if
19	they don't want to have any contact with us, if they don't
20	wish to receive any updated information, then that's their
21	right, and we would respect that right. The exception
22	being, if a matter was to be set for trial. If a matter is
23	set for trial, then we would re-engage with the victim

because they would ultimately be subpoenaed for the trial,

and perhaps they would want our services at that point in

24

25

1 time.

2	MS. ANNE TURLEY: I'm going to turn now to
3	page 2 of the exhibit to the overview of the program, just
4	so that we can address some of the roles, particular roles,
5	of the Crown Witness Coordinators. You spoke about
6	initiating contact with the victims, or the witnesses. If
7	we can go through some of these roles that are set here.
8	Under the second bullet, it talks about providing
9	information on the roles of the prosecutor and the Crown
10	Witness Coordinator. Can you elaborate on that?
11	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I can. So just by way
12	of of the practice of the program, the practice is to
13	initiate contact with the victim and have that first
14	contact. When I speak of first contact, often the first
15	contact will be multiple conversations because, as you see
16	in the documentation, there's a lot of information that a
17	victim needs to hear from us and has the right to hear from
18	us. So it's not always possible to have that or provide
19	that information in one single conversation. So initiating
20	contact, and initial contact, may be several conversations
21	throughout a lengthy period of time.
22	When we talk about the Crown Witness
23	Coordinator advising of the role of the prosecutor, the
24	Crown Witness Coordinator is part of the prosecution team.
25	It's important that the victim that's having contact with

1	us, understand that understand that we have a
2	responsibility to disclose information to an accused that we
3	may receive from a victim, and that includes information
4	that we might receive through our Crown Witness Coordinator
5	Program. It's also important for a victim to understand
6	that we are not lawyers for a victim. We are impartial to
7	the system, and it's our responsibility to put all
8	information before the court, whether or not it's beneficial
9	to our particular case. And the Crown Witness Coordinator
10	covers that off with the victims, so that they have an
11	understanding of our role, and their role, and the link
12	between the two.
13	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Now, you referred earlier
14	to the Canadian Victims Bill of Rights, and the forth bullet
15	here says, "Ensure that victims are provided clear
16	understanding of their rights." Can you explain that?
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: The Crown Witness
18	Coordinator will advise the victim of what's going on in the
19	court system currently. When they make their initial
20	contact, they will advise them of their rights to receive
21	information about the process and assess whether or not they
22	wish to receive information. They will cover off in that
23	initial contact the right to file a victim impact statement
24	in a proceeding, should a matter go to a sentencing.
25	If an individual wishes to follow through

with the victim impact statement, then they will facilitate that process by providing the necessary form to the victim, and receiving the form from the victim, and facilitating the filing with the court. In some cases, if a victim is, for some reason, unable to fill out the form themselves, our Crown Witness Coordinators will assist them as a scribe, putting their words down into the form on their behalf, and then filing the form for them. This might be due to a -- a language issue, a -- an English language issue, or it may be due to the location of the victim at the time that this -- the sentencing's occurring. They will also cover off the right to restitution, if there's been monetary loss, and facilitate that process if there was monetary loss.

MS. ANNE TURLEY: The next bullet talks about providing a -- assessing the victim and witness requirements for assistance. Can you elaborate on what that entails?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. Throughout that initial contact, the Crown Witness Coordinator assesses a number of factors with respect to a victim and the victim's needs. But we do not provide any form of a counselling or significant support service for victims who have needs beyond the information, and beyond what we're able to provide during a trial process. So our practice is to make referrals to other agencies within the community. Those agencies may be First Nation based, they may be territorial

1	based. For example, in the Yukon Territory, there's a very
2	well-resourced victim services program. They may be
3	referred to non-government organizations as well, and mental
4	health services, counselling services, that type
5	of things.

MS. ANNE TURLEY: Now, if a case goes to trial, what would the Crown Witness Coordinator's role be with respect to the victim or the witness?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Our Crown Witness

Coordinator, again, being the primary conduit for the victim — between the victim and prosecutor, shares the information with respect to the trial process with a victim, and as a responsibility to ensure that meetings are set up between a victim and prosecutor so that there can be a briefing before a trial, and a relationship, a brief as it may be, can be built with the prosecutor. They have a responsibility to ensure that the victim has all of their evidence by way of transcripts and is familiar with them. They do that by providing a copy of the transcript of the evidence to the victim, and where necessary, and in many cases, they'll read that transcript of evidence to the victim so that they can recall what they had said some time ago to the RCMP.

We also do a court orientation with each victim. We explain the role of a prosecutor, the type of questions that a prosecutor may be asking in court, the role

JOHN PHELPS EXAM-IN-CHIEF BY MS. TURLEY

1	of the defence lawyer, the difference between the
2	prosecutor's questions and the defence lawyer's questions,
3	and I'll explain the role of a judge and a jury in the case
4	of a jury, and explain to a victim both that role and the
5	fact that the victim may be questioned by a judge as well,
6	so that they can expect that to take place. And they
7	discuss the physical layout of the court as well.
8	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And would a Crown Witness
9	Coordinator attend the court hearing with a victim or
10	witness?
11	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, they do. If a victim
12	wants the support, then our Crown Witness Coordinator will
13	attend court with them and stay with them throughout the
14	proceeding.
15	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Now, what happens after a
16	proceeding? What role would a Crown Witness Coordinator
17	play?
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: The the role of the
19	Crown Witness Coordinator after a trial depends on the
20	outcome of the trial. In any case, they have a
21	responsibility to debrief the victim with respect to what
22	occurred. It's often the case that a victim doesn't wish to
23	remain in court through the trial process, so they would be
24	responsible for contacting the victim and letting them know
25	the outcome immediately or as soon as they can after the

outcome is known

If the victim has difficulty understanding the outcome of the proceeding, then the Crown Witness Coordinator would facilitate a meeting between the prosecutor and the victim, either in person or by telephone, depending on geography and the wishes of the victim.

So the responsibility on an acquittal would be to assist them in understanding -- notifying them and assist them in understanding the outcome, if there was a conviction and the sentencing had not occurred yet, and they would relay the information, remind them about the victim impact statement availability, assist them with that, and assist with knowing what's going on with respect to the scheduling of the sentencing, their opportunity to present the victim impact statement in court and to physically support them to that process as well.

If the -- if the sentencing had taken place immediately after the conviction, they would, of course, be notifying them of the outcome and whether or not there are any -- for example, any conditions on the accused, for safety purposes, that were put in place by the judge, provide them with copies of those conditions to make sure that they understand what's in place for their safety.

MS. ANNE TURLEY: And I'm just going to go back one minute to when we discussed trials. With respect

to homicides or other cases where there may be graphic or sensitive information, what role does a Crown Witness

Coordinator play with respect to giving any advice to a victim or a witness?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Our Crown Witness Coordinator program is part of our prosecution team. They're -- they're housed with the prosecutors in the same -- in the same office, and there's an ongoing relationship on files, particularly on homicide and sexual offences. With that ongoing relationship, there's an understanding of what's going to unfold in court, and a sharing of that information and the Crown Witness Coordinator will advise the victim of the fact that there may be some graphic information that's presented in court. It's deemed to have been necessary by -- by the prosecutor, so how do they want to deal with the fact that there's this graphic information that's going to be presented before the Court. Do they want to be present for it? If so, will they have the appropriate supports in place? Even with the appropriate supports in place, making sure they understand that they don't have to remain in the courtroom should they be overwhelmed by the -the presence of that evidence. How to deal with that, and the knowledge that in addition to their own supports, that the Crown Witness Coordinator will also be there to support them.

We have, at times, had victims attend via
CCTV in order to avoid being present during the presentation
of graphic material. We've had situations where we'd simply
made arrangements to advise the judge that we're about to
get into an area that includes graphic information for the
benefit of the victim or the victim family, so that they can
make their decision and take steps to enact the the
process that had agreed to with the Crown Witness
Coordinator, be it leaving the courtroom, moving to another
room for hearing in a different fashion, or at least, you
know, prepared for what's coming next.

MS. ANNE TURLEY: And in that same vein, with respect to the Canadian Victims Bill of Rights, it talks about the right to request a testimonial aid. Can you address that? What types of testimonial aids might there be available?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: The choice of the testimonial aid really depends on the nature of the victim's concerns balancing, getting the best evidence before the Court with the wishes of the victim and concerns of the victim we will often make arrangements that are relatively simplified in that we will have a support person, be it a Crown Witness Coordinator or a support person for the victim sitting within eye contact of the victim at all times, so that they have the comfort of that individual in the

1	courtroom. We can make arrangements to have somebody sit
2	next to a victim to provide them with more immediate
3	support, proximity support to the individual and have that
4	comfort of their support person with them. We can arrange
5	to have a screen put in place, which is provided through the
6	Court Services, Department of the Territorial Government.
7	The screen is a device that would sit on the witness stand
8	and block the view between the witness and the accused
9	individual, so that they don't have to see that individual
10	when they're testifying in court, but the judge has the
11	opportunity to see the witness, as do counsel. And where
12	it's available, CCTV, so that they can actually testify from
13	a separate location in court so that they don't have to be
14	in the courtroom at all.
15	In the Yukon Territory, the CCTV is available
16	in Whitehorse and is available in most of the communities.
17	Now, they have a remote system that they can take to the
18	communities to ensure that victims can testify from a remote
19	location sorry, by remote, I mean another part of the
20	building, but within the building.
21	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And just for the purposes
22	of the record, CCTV, can you
23	MR. JOHN PHELPS: A closed circuit
24	television. So they would be testifying on a TV screen
25	within the courtroom and responding audio through the TV

process -- system. 1 MS. ANNE TURLEY: Thank you. You have 2 referred to the Canadian Victim's Bill of Rights, and when 3 was this, to your knowledge, enacted? 4 MR. JOHN PHELPS: In 2015. 5 6 MS. ANNE TURLEY: And to your knowledge, what was the purpose of this Bill of Rights? 7 MR. PHELPS: Essentially to codify in 8 9 bringing to law the -- the rights of victims across Canada. In some jurisdictions, there were regional pieces of 10 legislation, given victim's rights, but this was the first 11 National legislation enshrining the rights of victims across 12 Canada. 13 MS. ANNE TURLEY: And so this was in 2015, 14 15 and the Crown Witness Coordinator Program has been ongoing since 1991. Did the enactment of the Bill of Rights have 16 17 any impact on the PPSC's Crown Witness Coordinator Program? MR. JOHN PHELPS: It did. As it was being 18 developed in anticipation of the passing of the legislation 19 and after the passing of the legislation, a lot of work was 20 done within the PPSC to see whether or not the program that 21 we had in place was compliant with the requirements of the 22 CVBR. We went through a relatively in-depth analysis of our 23 24 services and compared them to the CVBR, and generally, what

we found was that our service was quite consistent at that

25

point in time with the requirements of the CVBR. Our practice had already been to make early contact, to provide information, and to provide support throughout the process.

But what we did find was there was a disconnect between the service being provided by the Crown Witness Coordinator unit and the front-line prosecutor who may be in court at the time with the file. With the passing of the CVBR, there were changes to the Criminal Code requiring that a prosecutor be able to answer certain questions that a judge would pose regarding the efforts made to contact a victim and what the victim's requests were with respect to the CVBR and the process that was before them.

MS. ANNE TURLEY: And what did the PPSC do in response to that disconnect that you spoke about?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Well, acknowledging that our practice and -- and procedures were relatively in line at the time with -- with the new legislation, we took steps to develop a uniform approach to the -- what I called that first contact or that first series of discussions with a victim in order to provide a method for Crown Witness Coordinators to ensure that they covered all of the necessary information and recorded the responses of the victims to those questions and to the information provided and had a method to get that information into our files so that a prosecutor in court could have quick access to the

wishes of the victim. 1 MS. ANNE TURLEY: Can I ask you to turn to 2 tab 4 of the book of documents? 3 4 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. MS. ANNE TURLEY: And this is a document 5 6 entitled "CVBR Common Checklist." 7 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. MS. ANNE TURLEY: And is this what you were 8 9 referring to? MR. JOHN PHELPS: It is, yes. This was 10 created to address the concerns that I just raised. 11 MS. ANNE TURLEY: And is this checklist used 12 in all three territories? 13 MR. JOHN PHELPS: The checklist -- it's --14 15 it's -- sorry. The checklist system is used in all three territories. There are slight modifications regionally, but 16 17 in general, this would represent what's done in each territory. 18 19 MS. ANNE TURLEY: And who completes, then, this checklist? 20 21 MR. JOHN PHELPS: This is completed by the Crown Witness Coordinator, typically during the dialogue 22 23 that they have with the victim, so it can be used as a 24 guideline to ensure that they're covering off all of the necessary information that they should be providing to a 25

1	victim, and also, at the same time, they can be filling it
2	out. They can fill it out manually or they can fill it out
3	electronically. Either way, whether it's done manually or
4	electronically, it is intended to be printed off and
5	attached to the physical file that goes to court.
6	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Chief Commissioner, I'd ask
7	that this document entitled "CVBR Common Checklist" be
8	admitted as the next exhibit to Mr. Phelps's testimony.
9	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: The CVBR
10	Common Checklist will be Exhibit 3.
11	EXHIBIT NO. 3:
12	Canadian Victims Bill of Rights (CVBR)
13	Common Checklist, (two pages)
14	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Mr. Phelps, I notice on
15	page 2 of this checklist, the last heading is called
16	"Complaints." Can you elaborate on the complaint process?
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: The CVBR requires each
18	service or entity that's dealing with victims to have a
19	complaint process. The Public Prosecution Service of Canada
20	does have a formal complaint process. It's available on our
21	website on our main page, and this box is to ensure that a
22	victim understands that, if we are not meeting or satisfying
23	them with respect to their rights under the CVBR, there is a
24	process to lodge a complaint with respect to our conduct.
25	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Excuse me,

1	Ms.	Turley.	Just	for	our 1	record,	Exhib	it	3	will	be	both	the
2	Frer	nch and	Englis	h ve	ersior	n togetl	ner.	Tha	n k	you.	•		

MS. ANNE TURLEY: And just for the record, we have provided all of the other exhibits as well in -- in both official languages.

CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Just for clarification, French and English versions are marked as one exhibit. Thank you.

MS. ANNE TURLEY: Since the enactment of the Canadian Victims Bill of Rights, have there been any complaints to PPSC by victims or witnesses?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Not under the formal policy, no. From time to time, it's not uncommon for a victim to be upset with respect to the outcome of a proceeding and for that information to be relayed to a Crown Witness Coordinator. Our first response to that would be to have a prosecutor meet with -- with the victim and explain the outcome and have a dialogue with the victim or the victim family. In the case where the concern is with respect to the conduct of the prosecutor, perhaps, or the communication style of a prosecutor, then it's -- that complaint would come either to myself or the General Counsel, Legal Operations, who is responsible for the supervision of the prosecutors within our office, and we would necessarily meet with the victim or the victim family

1	to have a discussion and to try and provide the
2	clarification and and ensure that there's an
3	understanding of what occurred.
4	MS. ANNE TURLEY: I'm going to ask you, Mr.
5	Phelps, to turn to tab 3 in the book of documents.
6	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
7	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Can you tell us what this
8	document is?
9	MR. JOHN PHELPS: This is a excerpt from the
10	Public Prosecution Service of Canada desk book, which are
11	directives that are placed on us by the Attorney General of
12	Canada with respect to our prosecutions.
13	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And it
14	MR. JOHN PHELPS: This particular excerpt
15	being with respect to how prosecutors deal with victims of
16	crime.
17	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And is this a public
18	document?
19	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It is, yes. It's available
20	on our website.
21	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And what you said it
22	applies to prosecutors. Does it also apply to Crown Witness
23	Coordinators?
24	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, it does.
25	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And with respect to the

1	Canadian Victims Bill of Rights, is this dealt with in this
2	chapter as well?
3	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. The chapter was
4	rewritten after the passing of the Canadian Victim [sic]
5	Bill of Rights.
6	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Chief Commissioner, I would
7	ask that chapter 5.6, "Victims of Crime," of the Public
8	Prosecution Service of Canada's desk book, dated January
9	15th, 2017, be admitted as the next exhibit to Mr. Phelps's
10	testimony.
11	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Exhibit 4,
12	please.
13	EXHIBIT NO. 4:
14	Public Prosecution of Service Canada
15	Deskbook Chapter 5.6, "Victims of
16	Crime," (January 15, 2017), Directive of
17	the Attorney General Issued Under
18	Section 10(2) of the Director of Public
19	Prosecutions Act, (nine pages)
20	MS. ANNE TURLEY: I'm going to turn now, Mr.
21	Phelps, to the organization of the Crown Witness Coordinator
22	Program in the three territories. Can you tell us about the
23	present complement of the program? How many Crown Witness
24	Coordinators there are in each territory?
25	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Currently, the program has

1	21 employees. There's one coordinator, program coordinator,
2	that's currently housed in the Northwest Territories,
3	previously had been housed in the Yukon Territory. That
4	individual is responsible for the development of the
5	policies and common practices of the Crown Witness
6	Coordinator team and to coordinate training for each of the
7	the teams across the North. Within each region, the
8	numbers vary. In Nunavut, there are currently eight
9	positions, in the Northwest Territories, there are seven
10	positions, and in the Yukon Territory, there are five
11	positions. And within those positions regionally, there are
12	frontline workers as well as one supervisor, a team
13	supervisor, whose responsibility is to ensure compliance by
14	the team with respect to our guidelines and policies and to
15	performance manage the team. Those supervisors are both
16	supervisors and frontline workers, so they carry a caseload
17	as well.
18	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And how many communities
19	would these Crown Witness Coordinators serve in the three
20	different territories?
21	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Again, it varies from
22	territory to territory. In Nunavut I believe there are 23
23	communities that are serviced by the program. In the NWT,
24	there are 20 communities, and in the Yukon territory, there
25	are 14.

1	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And how many of these
2	communities would be fly-in communities?
3	MR. JOHN PHELPS: In I'll continue with
4	east to west. In Nunavut, they would all be considered to
5	be fly-in communities. In the Northwest Territories, I
6	believe it's 14 or 15 of the communities that they service
7	are fly-in communities, and in the Yukon territory there is
8	one fly-in community, the rest of the communities are
9	accessible by road.
10	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And how often would a
11	Crown Witness Coordinator visit these fly-in communities?
12	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's set by the Court
13	Services of the territory, depending on the population and
14	the demand for Court to attend each each individual
15	community. Excuse me. In the Yukon territory, it's very
16	uniform, we visit each community six times a year, unless
17	there is a special sitting which would add on to that
18	number. In the NWT and in Nunavut, the number varies from
19	community to community. It can be as low as a couple times
20	a year that they're scheduled to go and as many as 20 plus
21	times a year.
22	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And in your present
23	complement of Crown Witness Coordinator workers, how many
24	are Indigenous?
25	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Across the north, in

1	Nunavut all of them are. There's a requirement in Nunavut
2	to speak Inuktitut, and each of the employees does speak
3	one of the variations of the language. In the NWT
4	currently there is one, and in the Yukon currently there is
5	one. I'm speaking of self identified individuals.
6	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And with respect to
7	recruitment, what steps does the Public Prosecution Service
8	take to recruit people, and do they require certain
9	background or experience?
10	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's varied over the
11	years. Currently we follow the standard Government of
12	Canada process for posting positions. A poster goes up on
13	the Federal Government website. It goes up on websites
14	regionally, so territorial websites for jobs. There are
15	efforts made to put it on social media, and in some
16	jurisdictions recently the NWT they post them with the
17	college as well and are looking at posting them in the
18	hamlets.
19	The requirements for the position currently,
20	the most recent posting that we had in the NWT and the
21	Yukon, a joint poster required a high school education for
22	the position.
23	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Typically how many people
24	would you have applying when you recruit for these
25	positions?

1	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's not a significant
2	number. With any position that we post in the northern
3	territories, we have difficulty with getting people to
4	apply on the processes. It can be as few as a handful for
5	any time that we post a position. It really doesn't matter
6	what the position is within the office, we just have a
7	difficult time recruiting.

MS. ANNE TURLEY: And once you do recruit, how often do people tend to stay in the position of a Crown Witness Coordinator?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: That varies as well. We have a significant turnover of personnel within the northern offices. Again, regardless of the position, be it lawyers, Crown Witness Coordinators, support staff, it is not uncommon for us to be staffing positions. Individuals come north sometimes for these positions and return south, or individuals move into other fields within -- within justice and move on with their careers.

We have quite a high turnover -- what I consider to be a high turnover -- in the Yukon because we always have one or two individuals moving on every -- every year or two, so it's consistent that people move on to other opportunities. We've had them move into the social work field, we've had individuals move into the RCMP. In Nunavut, recently a couple of the Crown Witness

1	Coordinators moved on to the and are supported by the
2	PPSC, moving into the law school program that's available
3	in that jurisdiction.

So frequent turnover in the positions, however we do, I believe in each jurisdiction, have -- we've had individuals that have been in those positions for a long time. In the Yukon, we've had a couple of individuals that have been in the positions for seven to eight years, for example.

MS. ANNE TURLEY: Once a person is hired as a Crown Witness Coordinator, do they receive any type of training?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, the -- there's a variety of training that occurs for Crown Witness Coordinators. There's required cultural awareness training, depending on the jurisdiction it can take different -- different forms, be it in-person training or modular training online. For example, in the Yukon territory, the Northern Institute of Social Justice, in partnership with the Council of Yukon First Nations, developed a -- what they entitled a First Nations 101 program that's available both in person, it's about a two-day program, or it's available in an online modular format that can be done by way of self-study, and that's the mandatory program for all of our employees within PPSC

within the Yukon regional office, including the Crown Witness Coordinators. There's a formal program that's available in Nunavut as well, and in the NWT they take advantage of other offerings that will be provided regionally for their employees.

In addition to that, our program coordinator developed in -- or participated in, sorry, the development of a modular based training program with the Northern

Institute of Social Justice for individuals who participate in the -- or participate or work in the justice system, and that's a self-study based modular program to give them an overview of the justice system as a whole, and it's got particular components with respect to victims.

We also have a -- a relatively rigorous -- or relatively defined, sorry, on-the-job program training. So there's job shadowing that takes place, there's work that takes place -- or sessions that take place with prosecutors to inform Crown Witness Coordinators of the legal process, to inform them of the various types of court that we have in the region, and to assist them in their understanding of process and procedure.

That, if I didn't say it already, is about a three-month process typically, for the integration into the program.

1	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Now, you spoke about the
2	fact that the Crown Witness Coordinators work in the same
3	office as the prosecutors?
4	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's correct.
5	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And how does it the
6	roles between prosecutors and a Crown Witness Coordinator
7	work on a file? How do they communicate?
8	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It really is a team
9	approach. We're not really big offices, so we have the
10	opportunity to interact with one another on a regular and
11	ongoing basis. The checklist that we've previously
12	referred to is filled out on every case and filed, so
13	depending on the severity of the file, there may be as
14	little communication as the checklist, additional
15	information though would be provided either verbally by the
16	Crown Witness Coordinator to the prosecutor or by email
17	perhaps, if one or the other are travelling or unavailable
18	at the time. And, of course, the Crown Witness
19	Coordinators, generally speaking, attend court, so they may
20	relay the information in that environment as well.
21	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And you spoke earlier
22	about file assignment, when you said that a Crown Witness
23	Coordinator is assigned at the beginning of a file, would
24	that be at the same time as a prosecutor being assigned?
25	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That depends on the nature

of the file. For serious files within our service, such as a homicide or a sexual assault, all of those files would be assigned to a prosecutor as soon as they come into our office, and the prosecutor would have responsibility for that file throughout its process through the court. For less serious offences, property crimes, perhaps simple assaults that aren't spousal in nature, and those kinds of offences. A Crown who would not necessarily be assigned, so they would be relying on that information being passed on the checklist to the file so that they have the information before the Court. Those files would not be specially assigned or assigned to a particular prosecutor until and unless they're set for trial. So they — they would go through multiple prosecutors in the process.

MS. ANNE TURLEY: And in terms of Crown Witness Coordinators, would there also be multiple Crown Witness Coordinators or would there be one assigned to a file?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: The standard practice for -- for most files would be one Crown Witness Coordinator attached to a file for the lifetime of the file. Crown Witness Coordinators are also attached to circuits. In the Yukon region, for example, we try to keep them attached to a circuit for a minimum of a two-year period, so that they -- there's continuity with respect to files on circuit, and

then would have responsibility for all of those files. 1 We assign Crown to circuits on the same 2 basis, so there's a relationship that's built between a 3 4 Crown Witness Coordinator and a prosecutor in relation to a particular circuit. For more complex files, particularly 5 6 homicides, generally speaking and depending on the circumstances and the nature of the family of the victim, we 7 would assign two Crown Witness Coordinators to a file that's 8 9 complex and requires a lot of attention. Again, complex sexual assaults that may have more than one victim, may have 10 more than one Crown Witness Coordinator to deal with all of 11 the -- the notification and the support that's required for 12 that particular file. So one Crown Witness Coordinator for 13 14 sure, sometimes two. 15 And the same with the Crown themselves, on those complex files we would have, generally speaking, more 16 17 than one Crown.

MS. ANNE TURLEY: In terms of the Crown Witness Coordinator program, are there any challenges or gaps in the program that could be improved on?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOHN PHELPS: I think so. I think that our Indigenous representation, for example, that I've already gone through is low given the victimology that we deal with in the territories. Of course, the exception being Nunavut. We also have a significant difficulty at

times contacting victims, either we don't have adequate information coming from the investigative agency or because of the lapse in time, victims have moved on and if we could improve our ability to contact victims because our mandate is to contact them early on in the process, I believe that we would have a better service over all.

MS. ANNE TURLEY: And in terms of the program, you being a prosecutor, and now the Chief Federal Prosecutor, would you say that the program has been successful in the three territories?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: I would. I think that it's a critical program for a prosecution service to have, and we carry, in each three territories, a very high caseload as prosecutors. We deal with a significant percentage of violent and sexualized violent crime within the territories compared to the National averages. Our prosecutors are extremely busy, and without this service, in the vast majority of cases I would suggest that there would be insufficient or no communication with victims given the passage of time that occurs between the charging and the receipt of the file in our office and the difficult time that our Crown Witness Coordinators go through to make contact. It would be significantly less if there wasn't the program in place, so I consider it to be a huge success, a very important part of the prosecution team and something

1	that while they could improve upon, is beneficial to the
2	victims within each territory.
3	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Thank you, Mr. Phelps,
4	those are my questions in examination-in-chief.
5	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. Thank you,
6	Ms. Turley. Our next witness is Leanne Gardiner from the
7	Northwest Territories. And counsel for Ms. Gardiner is
8	Karin Taylor.
9	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Thank you. As was just
10	stated, my name's Karin Taylor. I'm legal counsel with the
11	Government of the Northwest Territories, and questioning Ms.
12	Leanne Gardiner with regards to her role in terms of victim
13	services in the NWT.
14	I just want to mention at the outset that we
15	did submit quite a number of documents to the Registrar
16	prior to the hearing, and upon reflection on preparing, we'd
17	only like to enter two of those documents as exhibits today,
18	so I'll mention those when we get to them, but I just want
19	to flag that, the number of documents, but we don't
20	necessary wish to rely on all of them today.
21	LEANNE GARDINER, Previously Affirmed:
22	EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS. TAYLOR:
23	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: So, Ms. Gardiner, we've
24	already heard your position is the Director of Community

Justice and Policing Division, Department of Justice,

25

1	Government of the Northwest Territories. Could you tell us
2	how long you've been in that role?
3	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I've been in the role
4	since September 2016.
5	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Can you tell us a little
6	bit about your employment background prior to entering that
7	position?
8	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Sorry, one moment, we
9	have a question from one of the Commissioners.
10	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Désolé, vous
11	comprenez le français? L'assermentation des témoins s'il
12	vous plaît? In English, assermentation the oath? I
13	don't I don't remember Leanne so sorry. By the time I
14	get it in French, sorry. Thank you. Thank you so much.
15	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: So, yes, could you tell us
16	a little about about your employment background?
17	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I've been with the
18	Department of Justice, Government of the Northwest
19	Territories since 2004, when I joined Corporate Services as
20	an intern. In 2012 I left the department on an education
21	leave for a couple years. My position at the time was
22	Assistant Director of Corporate Services. I came back to
23	that position after completing a degree in business
24	administration and was fortunate enough to get an
25	opportunity in 2016, to move over into the program area of

1	the department, into Community Justice and Policing, which
2	was was one that I greatly looked forward to, to taking
3	taking that step.
4	I've been in the Northwest Territories for
5	close to 38 years at this point. I moved there as a child,
6	so it's definitely home.
7	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Could you tell us a little
8	bit about your division as a whole that you that you
9	manage?
10	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: My division has six key
11	areas of responsibility: Victim Services, Restorative
12	Justice, Crime Prevention, Community Policing, Integrated
13	Case Management, and Family Violence.
14	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: So I'd just like to
15	confirm, you've just described a fairly broad scope in terms
16	of your employment role, and I just wanted to note my
17	understanding that today you're here to talk specifically
18	about victim services programming in the NWT and you're not
19	in the position to speak about some of the other aspects
20	that your division covers?
21	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: That's right.
22	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: So I think it's important
23	to cover a little bit about the unique context of the
24	Northwest Territories as it might affect service delivery.
25	So if you could tell us some something about that context

1	just as a way of background.
2	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: The Northwest
3	Territories covers a vast geographic area. In that area
4	there are 33 communities with their own unique contexts and
5	challenges, many of which are not on a road system. As far
6	as remoteness goes, they many communities are either not
7	on a road system at all or only have partial year access by
8	ice road. Otherwise they're fly-in community.
9	The in the Northwest Territories
10	Indigenous people make up the majority of the population of
11	the Territory. Wainlaif (ph) there, it's the traditional
12	territory of Dene, Inuit, Cree and Metis people, and in the
13	whole territory Indigenous people make up about just over
14	half, but outside of Yellowknife it's closer to 75 percent.
15	So when I refer to people we serve, the majority of the
16	people we are serving are Indigenous people.
17	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Can you give us a brief or
18	a general overview of the victim services model in the NWT?
19	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: The model in the
20	Northwest Territories is a community-based model, so victim
21	services are delivered by community organizations,
22	Indigenous governments, hamlet councils, rather than some
23	models that rely on public servants. So funding is
24	providing from my division to those organizations to provide
25	the service funding and support, sorry.

1	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Can you speak a little bit
2	about what types of needs your victim services providers
3	meet?
4	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Victim services
5	providers in the territory are a person-centered service, so
6	they really do respond to whatever needs may be presented by
7	victims when they're referred. It's a self victims can
8	self-refer. They can walk into any Victim Services office
9	and ask for assistance. The program is works
10	independently of the court, the Crown, or police, so a
11	victim does not have to be involved in any of those
12	processes, the criminal justice system, to access those
13	supports. And providers assist with a, you know, a wide
14	variety of of either referrals or support, so that can be
15	immediate emotional support. It can be a referral to other
16	services. They're not technically a counselling service
17	that they're providing, but they are absolutely, quite
18	often, most immediate emotional support for victims. I
19	think that covered it.
20	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Could you say how many
21	victim services providers exist in the NWT?
22	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Right now, we have
23	eleven victim services providers under agreement with or
24	funded to eight community organizations, so eight
25	communities with eleven providers.

		MS.	. KARIN	TAYI	LOR:	Jus	st to	go ba	ack to	your	
division	and	your	staff,	I ' d	like	to	know	what	staff	in yo	our
division	are	respo	onsible	for	this	are	ea, a	nd if	you c	ould	
talk abou	ıt th	nem ar	nd their	r rol	les?						

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Okay. I have 15 staff members that report to me in all of those program areas. Three of them have primary responsibility for supporting the victim services program. A manager, an -- a Coordinator of Victim Services, and a CVBR Coordinator, that's referring to the Canadian Victim Bill of Rights that Mr. Phelps mentioned earlier.

The manager has primary responsibility as the lead for victim related issues in the GNWT. That manager position has been -- well, we've had a manager responsible for the program since about 2013. They have -- they are responsible also for the development of standards and polices, and for assisting at times with relationships among stakeholders across the territory.

The next position is the Coordinator of

Victim Services. And this position really does have the

day-to-day responsibility of supporting victim -- local

victim services providers. The position speaks with all of

those providers on a weekly basis, I would say. And that

support can range from practical support, walking through

situations that a provider may not have experienced before,

1	to assisting with some of the relationships, and as well as
2	they're responsible for supporting the Victim Assistance
3	Committee, which is established through the Victims of Crime
4	Act in the Northwest Territories. And then the
5	third
6	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Does the Victim Services
7	Coordinator play a role with training?
8	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Absolutely. We have
9	a an annual training event that takes place for victim
10	service providers, typically in our capital city. And
11	that that position is responsible for arranging that
12	training event, and coordinating it, making sure it happens.
13	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: And your third staff, the
14	Canadian Victim Bill of Rights Coordinator, could you speak
15	a bit about that person's role?
16	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: It this is a
17	federally funded position and was a result of the CVR
18	CVBR, sorry, coming into force in 2015. Their
19	responsibility is really to ensure the implementation of
20	CVBR in the Northwest Territories. And that that ranges
21	from providing public education, training, outreach to
22	stakeholders across the territory, those stakeholders
23	include police. RCMP, is the police service in the
24	Northwest Territories. They do outreach and training with
25	those individuals, they provide that to shelter workers, to

victim services providers, and any other stakeholders that may benefit from understanding the fundamental rights of victims in Canada. They're also responsible for making sure that testimonial aids, such as witness screens and other aids are available for victims to use during the court process.

MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Just to go back, you mentioned in speaking about your Victim Services

Coordinator, that they provided some support to the Victims Assistance Committee, and when you were talking about that, you mentioned the NWT Victims of Crime Act. Could you just say a bit about that particular piece of legislation and how it impacts your work?

Act, establishes a ministerial appointed Victims Assistance Committee. So it's a committee of three members, appointed by the Minister, responsible for the administration and the disbursements out of the Victims Assistance Fund. So that's a fund that surcharges for adults who are ordered to pay fines in the Northwest Territories, either federal or territorial fines. Those fines, or the victim surcharge portion of the fines go into a fund and this committee receives proposals, reviews proposals from community organizations, and decides on disbursements. Those disbursements are to be used for public education on

victims' issues, training opportunities for key
stakeholders, and in general, victims' issues.
The committee is typically made up of
representation from across the territory, whenever possible
from all regions of our territory. I mention it's a vast
area, and communities and regions are as diverse as the
distances between them, so it's important to have that
representation wherever possible.
MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Are you able to provide ar
example of a project that's been funded through the fund?
MS. LEANNE GARDINER: M'hm. There's been
many very impactful projects that the committee has approved
funding for over the years, including the very beginnings of
some of our programs. I had mentioned eight communities
have programs right now. Some of those programs received
development and implementation funding from that fund to do
the work that they needed to do to start a program. And
although they may have flowed into funding from my
department to maintain the program, the committee's
involvement was fundamental to the to their start.
Some of the other programs that have been
funded through there include youth and Elder opportunities
for connection in communities. One that has been funded the

-- the last four years, actually including this year, is the

homicide -- Secondary Victims of Homicide Travel Assistance

24

25

Fund. So as we heard in previous testimony, the court in Northwest Territories travels to communities. This sometimes does not -- depending on what the court requires for matters to proceed, sometimes families, if they want to participate in the trial of an individual accused in the homicide of their loved one, they have to travel to do that. And travel can be quite expensive, so this fund is run by one of our community organizations. It's administered and allows for family members to come and participate in that process.

MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Is there other funds available to people who don't have a project or a training program in mind, but have more immediate needs?

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: The Victims of Crime
Emergency Fund is a fund that my division is responsible
for. It addresses the immediate financial needs of victims
of serious violent crimes. So -- and by serious violent
crime, we would refer to assaults, sex assault, and any
other serious violent crimes where victims have been
impacted. Typical expenses that we cover out of that fund
are really the immediate safety needs of an individual. So
sometimes that includes repairs to doors and windows to make
sure that someone's home can be made safe. The occasional,
or medical reimbursement, such as purchasing eye glasses,
crime scene clean up at times, whatever -- and quite often,

1	actually, safety phones are what the fund ultimately funds
2	for people, so that they're able to have that that surety
3	that they can reach someone if they're in need.
4	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: How are clients made
5	aware that this fund is available to them when they need to
6	use it?
7	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: We use publications.
8	We have publications for all of our services, but
9	ultimately the best way to get that information to victims
10	is through the victim services providers. They're the
11	community based organizations, they're the community
12	members who know best how to reach people who need the
13	information, so we do send that information out to health
14	centres and RCMP detachments and other community
15	organizations where we know people go, but really we rely
16	on victim services providers to be the experts of how to
17	get that information to people who need it.
18	And they also sorry, those providers are
19	the ones typically assisting victims to fill out just, you
20	know, short paperwork required to kind of administer the
21	fund. Although it's not required, those requests can come
22	directly to us.
23	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: You spoke about one of
24	your staff members, a victim services coordinator, you

spoke briefly about some training that was part of their

25

job in terms of delivering training, and I wondered if you could speak about GNWT's role in providing training for victim services providers in communities?

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: So our role is to support communities in general through this process. So the training part of that would be the initial, if there's a new victim services provider. If there's an existing provider in that community that is able to be there and provide that kind of training and mentorship for a new person, then of course that's the best option, and we would provide whatever support is requested.

Sometimes that's not how it works out, of course, and the coordinator would then provide various materials and support typically over the phone initially to a new victim services provider, just with kind of general expectations. Some — some of that around our contribution agreement that we have, but really just about expectations for the best way to reach out and make the relationships or the connections in the community to best support victims, and then also in connecting providers to other local service providers. There's a strong network of people doing this work in the territory, and even though they are technically employees of various organizations, they're — it's a strong network of support among them, so they contribute to that mentorship and training as well.

And then I referred to an annual training event that happens, and sometimes we're in -- because we're sort of -- justice is also in my division, and many of those folks work together at a community level, we are in a good position to provide training opportunities where we bring everyone in together for common -- common topics that would be helpful to everyone.

When it comes to Victim services, we take our cue from the providers in what kind of training they feel that they need, so quite often it will be a day or so of program administrative type information and assistance, and then -- the most recent, this past March, the training that happened was we brought in the Canadian Centre for Child Abuse, or, sorry, the association, to do child court accompaniment training, so that's a three-day program. And in that particular instance, we also invited the Crown Witness Coordinators to participate with our community victim services providers. It was a great opportunity to kind of build on that relationship, the relationships between them.

And then last year, the topic for training was on critical incident stress management. Some of that was about debriefing and other -- and other topics around that same key training area.

MS. KARIN TAYLOR: I'd like to move to the

1	actual service delivered in communities, and I'm wondering,
2	can you tell us how that service is delivered and what
3	exactly victim services providers offer?

organizations, such as Indigenous Government, hamlet councils -- and hamlet councils, submit proposals for funding to our division for the delivery of direct victim services, so the frontline service, as well as public education and outreach events. The victim services coordinator supports this process. It's typically an annual process. For as long as I've been aware of the program, it's been annual. We've just changed that to move to a two-year process so that we can extend the funding commitment and give that additional funding security to programs.

Main services provided to victims -- despite all the different organizations providing the service, the main services being provided are pretty consistent across the territory, and that's -- I referred to before, immediate emotional support, accompaniment to other services, such as health centres, RCMP, the Crown's office. They also provide practical assistance and referrals to things like housing programs and income assistance.

Really, when I say person centered, that -- that really is what's happening.

1	People present with needs that are sometimes
2	linked to the crime that has occurred, and sometimes
3	they're just part of what that individual needs
4	support-wise at that moment, and that's what those victim
5	services providers give.
6	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: What about referrals?
7	How do people get referred to the programs?
8	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: So people can self-
9	refer, as I mentioned before, and we also have an MOU with
10	the RCMP in "G" Division for referrals, to make sure we do
11	our best to connect victims at the moment where it becomes
12	obvious that that support could be helpful.
13	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Okay. So in terms of the
14	MOU, this is one of the documents I'd like to refer to, so
15	I have a copy for Ms. Gardiner here, and it's in our
16	materials and, confusingly, it's marked as Leanne Gardiner
17	EX07, so it was originally called Exhibit 7, but it's
18	our first exhibit. Leanne, are you familiar with this?
19	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: For our
20	records, it's Memorandum of Understanding between
21	RCMP RCMP "G" Division and Government yeah,
22	Government of the Northwest Territories Department of
23	Justice on Behalf of Victim services Programs of the
24	Northwest Territories.
25	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: That's right, and we're

1	seeking to enter that as an exhibit.
2	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: That's
3	Exhibit 5.
4	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Thank you.
5	EXHIBIT NO. 5:
6	Memorandum of Understanding Between
7	Royal Canadian Mounted Police "G"
8	Division and Government of the
9	Northwest Territories on behalf of
10	Victim Services Programs of the
11	Northwest Territories, signature date
12	2018-10-03, (four pages)
13	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: So, Ms. Gardiner, you
14	mentioned this document just now speaking about referrals,
15	could you just describe what it is and why it was created?
16	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: So as I mentioned,
17	this is a memorandum of understanding between the RCMP and
18	our department, really to formalize the process in terms of
19	referring to victim services, I see it. So this particula:
20	MOU was executed in 2008 and formalizes that RCMP will
21	refer victims to victim services, and some of the kind of
22	standards around that referral, as well as our
23	responsibilities when it comes to monitoring the
24	implementation of the protocol.
25	So what I I would have seen this as

1	a or I see this as a way to formalize something that is
2	happening and should be happening, and this allows that
3	formalization to not depend on individuals that are
4	involved, of course. And given the nature of people moving
5	in and out of the positions, the MOU is a good tool for
6	that.
7	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Is there any formalized
8	way that you monitor referrals from the RCMP, or how this
9	MOU is working?
10	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: At this time, we had
11	some challenges around around statistics. There is
12	the RCMP keep those referrals and we have some statistics,
13	some ugly statistics, that our victim services providers
14	have. It it remains an area, I think, that is a
15	challenge to keep track of, but there is there is some of
16	that done at the RCMP level.
17	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: So returning to the
18	providing of the service, you mentioned previously there are
19	eight sponsoring organizations and 11 service providers.
20	Could you talk about what those eight sponsoring
21	organizations where they are and and how that actually
22	looks?
23	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: The eight programs are
24	in Fort Smith, Fort Simpson, Fort Good Hope, Tulita,
25	Behchoko, and Yellowknife, Kátł'odeeche, and Inuvik. There

1	are two providers in each Kátł'odeeche, which is Hay River
2	Reserve, Inuvik, and Yellowknife, and those additional
3	providers are intended to dedicate themselves to outreach to
4	surrounding communities, although all of those service
5	providers provide service to other communities.
6	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: So what happens when
7	there's no service provider resident in a community?
8	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Victims will be
9	connected through quite often through the RCMP to the
10	nearest victim services provider, often by phone. What
11	happens in in remote communities, often, is if the victim
12	requires other services, those may also be located in
13	another community, so our victim services providers go to
14	great efforts to connect with victims when when in
15	person whenever possible, but also provide a lot of service
16	by phone.
17	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: How do services provided
18	in each community differ based on the individual community?
19	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: As I mentioned before,
20	the main, kind of standard core services provided remain
21	pretty consistent, but as far as the lens in under which
22	that service is provided, I would say that that differs from
23	one community to the next because it's unique to the
24	community that that provider is a member of. That will be
25	informed by all manner of factors when it comes to it

would -- being informed by culture, by remoteness, bydynamics in the community.

So the services and the lens that they're provided within, I think, differ, and then where we really see the uniqueness comes in the public engagement. The -- the ways in which that provider decides to engage community members in awareness activities about victims' issues such as family violence, sexualized violence, consent, really do differ. They're really quite unique to each community. Where in one community, sharing circles may be the -- may be the way people tell stories and share their stories, in other communities, that is not necessarily the -- the approach that works for people in that community, so we find that's -- that's where so much of the value comes from having local service providers.

And I would say language quite often plays a role in that. We have our victim services providers. Many of them speak at least one local Indigenous language, sometimes more. We have a few that speak more than one. And although there are other interpretation options for --whether it's family members or other local interpreters, it's certainly -- we do have feedback that that's, you know, receiving that service in someone's first language makes a difference in terms of reaching them.

MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Do victim services

providers help with things such as safety planning? 1 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes. They're -- one of 2 -- I would say one of the main activities they -- they do 3 with victims that come to them for support is safety 4 planning. Safety planning will address really making 5 6 deliberate actions or plans to address their own safety when it comes to either safety during -- during a violent 7 situation, safety at work, safety at home, and safety 8 9 especially if there's an intention to leave an unsafe situation, so those -- those providers then, quite a bit of 10 time, really talking through those scenarios with people 11 they're supporting to come up with a most relevant tool for 12 that individual victim. Everybody's situations are unique, 13 even if there are commonalities that we find in -- in the 14 15 types of things happening. Of course, each victim has their own unique needs that are addressed in that way. 16 17 MS. KARIN TAYLOR: How does the remoteness of 18 the communities impact safety planning? MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Victim services 19 providers are -- I think they get creative with -- with 20 21 their clients when it comes to -- to that planning. -- they're aware of the programs available through various 22 service providers because, I think, as we know, some of 23 24 those services come from different -- different -- either

areas of government or -- or non-government organizations.

1	They're experts in their communities about how to get things
2	done in a variety of ways, including with safety planning,
3	so they get creative in in that. They know their
4	communities best and they know how to how to best access
5	resources available.
6	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: How do emergency
7	protection orders fit into the mix?
8	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: So emergency protection
9	orders are short-term orders provided under the Northwest
10	Territories legislation called the <i>Protection Against Family</i>
11	Violence Act. An EPO, which emergency protection order,
12	is one of the orders that can be made under that Act.
13	There's another, longer-term order called a protection
14	order, and it's we see it as a tool, as part of someone's
15	safety plan.
16	And in my office, we have two main
17	responsibilities when it comes to that Act. One is outreach
18	and education, training for shelter workers, victim services
19	providers, RCMP, any stakeholders that need to know, need to
20	understand that Act, need to understand how victims might be
21	able to use tools available through that Act to help in
22	their safety. And we also have a contribution agreement
23	with a non-government organization to act as a 24-hour
24	access, or to provide 24-hour access to the ability to apply

for an order. They're immediate orders that can -- a

1	Justice	of	the	Peace	can	hear	а	hearing	over	the	phone.

2 MS. KARIN TAYLOR: So what's the role of the designate there?

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: The designate would help with the documentation. They'd help complete the documentation to the point that a Justice of the Peace could receive it and then facilitate that. So quite often, that'll -- that will also include a victim services provider with the victim and the designate working together to support that process.

MS. KARIN TAYLOR: We heard a little bit about the court process in relation to the Crown Witness Coordinators and their role. How do victim services providers help with court orientation or court processes?

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I -- I think it's a similar role when it comes to orientation. Some people -- many people have never come into contact with the formal court process before, and it can -- that just can -- it does -- it is a difficult process to be involved in, and I think as much information as people can give, and that's about what's going to happen, can help ease someone's experience there. So our victim services providers provide that right from the very beginning of their connection and their building a -- a relationship of trust with someone from the beginning of their connection to the victim.

1	So they provide that. They also, more
2	formally, provide assistance with completing victim impact
3	statements. That's something that they've been doing for
4	it predates when the CVBR was enforced. They assist
5	victims to complete that process if they wish to have to
6	submit it to the Court. And it's it can be a complicated
7	process for victims because the Court, of course, requires
8	that statement to only refer to the matter before the Court,
9	and people people don't necessarily show up with all of
10	those those kind of walls around that one incident. So
11	it takes some works sometimes, to talk through that and to
12	really allow a victim to get forward their experience and
13	how they've been impacted by that crime.
14	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: What about emotional

support and referrals to other service providers?

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Victim services

providers -- local victim services providers are often -- I

would refer to them as first responders when it comes to

emotional support for victims. They assist -- or they

accompany victims sometimes to hospitals, to health centres,

to RCMP detachments, and although, you know, they have

varying levels of formal training in this regard, they're

often walking through these situations with -- with victims.

And I would say that sums it up.

We -- we do our best to support -- support

1	them in that way as well through compassion fatigue
2	training, wherever we can in support in that, as well as
3	some other more formal more formal supports. The reality
4	is, is that there you know, they have a compounding
5	impact from their experiences with people in their own
6	communities as well.

MS. KARIN TAYLOR: After hours calls and 24-hour coverage, how is this dealt with by the services providers in the territory?

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: This varies by -- most often by size of the community. So some communities have formal volunteer programs that volunteers will take those calls from when a full-time victim services provider is off until they start the next day. So that would be in larger centres, and they either fund those efforts, the training efforts for volunteers because it requires some training, of course; through their program that we fund directly, if there's room, or they go to the Victim Assistance Committee that I mentioned, for funding that way.

Other -- in other smaller communities the victim services providers will ultimately be on call, and that can -- they have varying levels of frequency that that would happen, that they're called out, but they have those relationships with RCMP and with other service providers in the community and -- and establish what the process is per

1 community.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Challenges. What are some of the challenges and gaps you see with how the program is delivered in your jurisdiction?

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I think one of the most impactful challenges is around building relationships, having relationships based on trust. And the service provision to victims is best delivered when all of the stakeholders are communicating well, and especially given the small community, the reality that we have in our community one -- maybe one victim services provider and two or three RCMP officers, whenever there's any turnover in any of those positions, that impacts that relationship. And depending on the individuals involved, it can have a significant impact or it can -- or it can be mitigated, but everybody shows up to that table with a different set of experiences, different relationship. Previously with either victim services or RCMP, there -- sometimes RCMP come from other jurisdictions that have different models. It's -- at some points it has to start from scratch. So -- and informal documentation is good for no need to refer to when I want to remind all of us what we should be doing to support people, but the reality is, is that that has to happen at a relationship level, and any disruption can -can impact that service.

1	I think that people do a really good job,
2	whether it some police in our communities or the victim
3	services providers in reaching out and making those
4	relationships work, but there's varying I mean, it will
5	vary based on the individuals involved in the communities.
6	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Are there are there
7	challenges beyond that? I mean, you've already mentioned
8	geographic expense and and remoteness. How does that
9	challenge your service providers?
10	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: The reality is, is that
11	we don't we don't have victim services providers in every
12	single community in person ready to support someone. And
13	crime and victimization happens in every single community.
14	I think that there the providers in our
15	program have supported the development of some some
16	approaches to that when it comes to using phone and other
17	technology. As as northerners are apt to do, we
18	they're you adjust to the to the circumstances and the
19	context that you're in, but that can absolutely impact the
20	ability to respond in a in a timely fashion.
21	We have people in, you know, the places that
22	have the most activity, but it can be a challenge to to
23	meet that need on occasion, and every time something
24	happens, where we find that it didn't go as well as we'd
25	hoped, whether that's "we" being my division or our partners

in communities, there's an effort to take that apart and see where we might have been able to do better, and we continue to -- to look for ways to improve it, but it's absolutely a challenge.

And I -- finally, the -- I mentioned before that compounding impact on our providers. I think the -- the very thing that makes this model so relevant for serving these small communities, also means that we have service providers who are impacted by these, by crime and tragedy and victimization in their own communities at the same time. So often they will -- and I can say from hearing from them, that they feel best placed to respond in their communities quite often to these tragedies, but that has a compounding effect on them as well, and they have often come to these positions already being the leaders, and the -- the care providers in their community.

dynamics, and -- and we can -- we assist and -- and the program works well in terms of if there's, you know, obvious -- obvious conflicts that need to be addressed, a service provider from another community will -- will provide that service, and that's whether a victim indicates there is a conflict or a service provider or anyone else. It's about providing that person's centre of service. But I think that it -- just like many caring professions, it weighs on -- on

people, but I think this context magnifies that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KARIN TAYLOR: I just want to go back one step to talk more about how -- how technology is used to overcome some of those barriers around not having someone specifically present in the same place as a victim who needs support.

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: So historically or in recent years, telephone has been the, kind of, technology to depend on to provide that service. If -- if we're not able, if someone's not able to be there in person, there are some challenges as far as internet service, so we're certainly looking. We've been looking, we continue to look at some of those other solutions whether it's to have someone teleconference or whatever platform you choose to do to have kind of a face to face experience. That is -- no matter what that solution looks like, it's likely not to be the same in each community because access to consistent internet service with bandwidth available to that kind of varies or varies based on the weather impacting, et cetera. So we're constantly finding ways to do that. It's also not always the most comfortable technology for people to use if we think about the situations that they're finding themselves in, so that -- I mean, I think what we will do is our best to provide it as an option for people to take advantage of if it's the right fit for them.

1	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Okay. I'd like to look
2	at our second document, it's labeled in our materials as
3	Leanne Gardiner EX06, it's called it starts with
4	Northwest Territories Victim Services Program 2016-2017.
5	So there's a copy for Ms. Gardiner here. I'd just like to
6	refer to this document and hoping to enter it as an
7	exhibit.
8	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Could I have
9	the title again, please?
10	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Pardon me?
11	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: The title of
12	the document?
13	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Oh, sorry, Northwest
14	Territories Victim Services Program 2016-2017. It's
15	Federal project 8396493.
16	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Okay.
17	Northwest Territories Victim Services Program 2016-2017,
18	Federal project number 8396493, entitled a Framework for
19	Enhancing Victim Services in the NWT, 2016-2021, is exhibit
20	number 6, please.
21	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Thank you.
22	EXHIBIT NO. 6:
23	Northwest Territories Victim
24	Services Program, "A Framework for
25	Enhancing Victim Services in the

1	NWT: 2016-2021 - Interim report
2	for the period April 1, 2016 -
3	March 31, 2017," Federal project #
4	8396493, dated June 15, 2015, (12
5	pages)
6	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: So, Ms. Gardiner, are you
7	familiar with this report?
8	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes, this is the final
9	report that we provide, the program report, to Justice
10	Canada for the funding they provide to enhance our victim
11	services program, and provides a summary of the activities
12	within the program.
13	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Do you know who drafted
14	this?
15	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: My staff did. So the
16	coordinator of victim services with a review by the
17	manager.
18	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: I just wanted to
19	highlight a couple of items in the report that I think may
20	be useful. One is at the top of page 2, there is a
21	discussion on the official languages of the NWT. It notes
22	that there's 11 official languages in the territory. I
23	wondered, I know you spoke a little bit about this, but you
24	really just touched on it. How does your programming
25	account for this broad diversity in language and how do

victim services providers try to tackle that?

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: As I mentioned, so the Northwest Territories has 11 official languages, nine of which are Indigenous languages. Having a community based program gives an opportunity for that organization to choose someone to deliver that service who speaks the local language. In cases where we have victims where there isn't someone that speaks their -- either their -- if they're uni-lingual or if they're more comfortable in another language, there are other kind of approaches that the providers take, and that's taking advantage of community members or family members of the -- of the person, the client, that needs service.

We also, because we do serve a wide range of victims when there is -- so there are non-Indigenous languages that people need assistance with as well, whether that's French or other languages, there's, like, a telephone based service where there can be translation or interpretation provided that way as well. For Indigenous languages, though, they mostly rely on community members.

MS. KARIN TAYLOR: If you could just flip to page 7 of the report. Now, on this page, it gives some interesting examples of outreach efforts on the part of victim services providers, and you did speak a little bit about this, but I wondered if you could highlight some of

the creative solutions that providers have come up with in
regards to outreach in their communities?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: M'hm. Local providers of the service are really well placed to judge the best way to outreach and to provide that outreach in their communities about victims' related issues. I mentioned that previously issues like family violence and sexualized violence are difficult for most people to speak about, and in smaller communities it becomes at times even more difficult to tackle. They find really creative ways to get information about healthy relationships, about self-care, for example, to community members, and some of those, there's been some radio shows in Indigenous language that victim services providers have done, usually with some kind of prize available for people who call in and share their stories, and always that prize being really relevant to that community, all the way to drumming circles, to community feasts to celebrate changes, changes in seasons, but also take advantage of those opportunities of having the community together to make sure it's clear what services that they can provide.

Sometimes too, to provide proactive support to victims of domestic violence, for example, can be a challenge in a small community where there are varying levels of appreciation for that. The dynamics of those

1	relationships, and the providers, like I said, find
2	creative ways to do that outreach to women, primarily, to
3	make sure they're creating safe spaces that they can come
4	and share those stories, and that can that looks like a
5	variety of things, from sewing circles to yeah, any
6	manner of different approaches.
7	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Okay. I'll turn you to
8	page 9 and this is just an opportunity to review some of
9	the statistics that we do have on the programming, so you
10	will see there's a bit of a table there and it continues on
11	to the next page, that's a bit of a summary of services?
12	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: M'hm.
13	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: So could you give us some
14	context here about how these statistics are collected and
15	where they come from?
16	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Sure. The victim
17	services providers provide monthly reporting. It's
18	de-identified reporting, so we don't receive detailed
19	statistics or information on clients, what we receive is
20	information about what kind of services that they are
21	looking for.
22	You will see brief service contacts, that is
23	literally what it is, so someone making a call asking for
24	assistance, stopping in needing something, quite brief.
25	That would not include court accompaniment or anything kind

1	of longer term. It might include one brief referral
2	somewhere else.
3	Then new clients, so in 2016/17 it was 595
4	new clients. And then you will see continuing cases, so
5	that's clients requiring support from previous to this
6	fiscal year.
7	Then there's a list of services that you
8	will see, and that's a variety of services that the
9	providers are offering, as well as some summary information
10	about where they're referring victims to, other agencies
11	that they're referring.
12	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Page 11, there's three
13	charts on that page. When you look at that breakdown, how
14	would how would you describe sort of the client base for
15	victim services when you look at that?
16	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: The vast majority of
17	victims that are being provided service through these
18	programs are female and Indigenous. It's also, you will
19	note, that the majority of people being served by the
20	program in the territory are Dene, with other groups
21	showing the cross section of people being served by the
22	programs.
23	You will see the type of offence, violent
24	and sex offences and partner abuse make make up the vast
25	majority of services or experiences of victims that are

accessing the local -- pardon me, the local program. 1 2 MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Are these statistics also driven by the monthly reporting? That's the basis for this 3 as well? 4 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: It is. I should 5 6 mention, some of the challenges that we have having a 7 community-based program rather than an incident police-based 8 service. The way we collect statistics isn't in line with uniform crime reporting, so it's -- it's not based on -- you 9 can't easily compare all the time to other jurisdictions. 10 So when it's not based on which charges are being laid 11 12 because it's independent of that process, so sometimes it can be a challenge to compare across although, we, for many 13 14 years, have been keeping the same stats. It can be a 15 challenge too when you're looking at the standardized approaches. 16 MS. KARIN TAYLOR: My final question for you 17 is, do you think this is -- the model employed in the NWT is 18 a good one and why? Or why not? 19 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I do. I think it's not 20 21 without its challenges. We -- we've spent some time talking about that today, but this is about putting the agency and 22 23 -- and giving communities the autonomy to provide this 24 service in the most appropriate, relevant for their

community. So like I said, there -- there are definitely

LEANNE GARDINER EXAM-IN-CHIEF BY MS. TAYLOR

1	challenges, but it's important that we give that or that we
2	make that a possibility that communities are able to address
3	these justice justice issues and other issues at a
4	community level and the way that most makes sense for the
5	people that they're serving.
6	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Okay, thank you. Those
7	are all my questions for Ms. Gardiner in direct examination.
8	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Thank you for the
9	opportunity to be here.
10	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Chief Commissioner, I'm
11	going to at this point in time, I'm going to request a
12	brief break. Commission Council would well, for two
13	reasons, Commission Council would appreciate a quick
14	opportunity to confer with council for the witness. And I
15	would also request that the examination of this witness not
16	be completed until I've had an opportunity to confer with
17	her council.
18	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay.
19	You're just requesting a short break, or
20	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Just a short break.
21	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Sure. Five
22	minutes.
23	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Okay. And as we go off
24	to a break, I did just want to remind the parties of Rule 48
25	of our procedures prevents any individuals speaking about

LEANNE GARDINER EXAM-IN-CHIEF BY MS. TAYLOR

1	anything to the witnesses in relation to the evidence that
2	they are presently giving. So I'd appreciate it if you'd
3	familiarize yourself with that rule and not speak to any of
4	the witnesses while we are on a break.
5	Upon recessing at 11:55 a.m.
6	Upon reconvened at 12:13 p.m.
7	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. Thank you
8	so much for that break. After having an opportunity to
9	speak with counsel for the witness, on consent, we were able
10	to address an issue of the exhibits. And I believe that
11	counsel for the witness has several exhibits that she would
12	like to put to the witness, and potentially have entered
13	into the record. And I'll I'll let her speak to that at
14	this point. Thank you.
15	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: So there's some additional
16	documents we'd like to submit as exhibits, as just
17	mentioned. I'll just go through them with the witness, and
18	ask they be entered individually.
19	The first is, a a form related to victim
20	impact statements and information for victims. And in our
21	materials it was described as sorry, I'm just looking,
22	EX08. So Ms. Gardiner, if you could just identify that
23	document and and provide a brief context on what it is
24	and how it's used.

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: This is the Victim

1	Impact Statement Form that victims use when they want to
2	provide a description of the physical or emotional harm,
3	property damage, or economic loss they've suffered as a
4	result of an offence. So it has some information for
5	victims and then a form that they would fill out to submit
6	to the court.
7	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: So we'd ask that that be
8	entered as an exhibit.
9	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Okay. The Victim
10	Impact Statement Form will be Exhibit 7.
11	EXHIBIT NO. 7:
12	Victim Impact Statement (Form 34.2),
13	Northwest Territories Department of
14	Justice, Community Justice and Policing
15	- Victim Services, (five pages)
16	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: There's an additional
17	document entitled, "Community Impact Statement." In our
18	materials it's described as Leanne Gardiner, EX09.
19	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: The Community go
20	ahead, sorry.
21	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Leanne, could you just
22	describe the document to us and what it's purpose is?
23	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: This is a Community
24	Impact Statement, similar to the victim impact statement.
25	It's a a form with some general information. And it may

_	
1	be used for a a community to provide a description of
2	physical or emotional harm, property damage, or economic
3	loss suffered by the community as a result of an offence.
4	It has some information, general information, and then is
5	the actual form that community members would fill out to
6	submit to the court.
7	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: We'd ask that that
8	particular document be entered as an exhibit, please.
9	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Exhibit 8, please.
10	EXHIBIT NO. 8:
11	Community Impact Statement (Form 34.3),
12	Northwest Territories Department of
13	Justice, Community Justice and Policing
14	- Victim Services, (four pages)
15	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: There's a further form
16	entitled, "Statement on Restitution." And, I believe, in
17	our materials it's located at EX12. If you could review
18	that document and provide a brief synopsis.
19	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: This is a statement on
20	restitution. It's a form used for victims to provide a
21	description of financial losses and damages suffered as a
22	result of the commission of an offence. It's some
23	information, some general information, for victims about
24	how what information to include as well as a form to fill
25	out to submit to the court.

1	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: We'd ask that this
2	document be submitted as an exhibit, please.
3	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Statement of
4	Restitution, sorry, Statement on Restitution, I believe is
5	the proper name, will be Exhibit 9, please.
6	EXHIBIT NO. 9:
7	Statement on Restitution (Form 34.1),
8	Northwest Territories Department of
9	Justice, Community Justice and Policing
10	- Victim Services, (three pages)
11	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Thank you. An additional
12	document we would like to refer to, in our materials, it's
13	referenced as EX10. It's entitled, "Victims Assistance
14	Committee Victims Assistance Fund Application Guidelines."
15	Ms. Gardiner, could you are you familiar with that
16	document?
17	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes. This is these
18	are the application guidelines for that we use to provide
19	information to the public. And and really more
20	specifically to community organizations when they want to
21	develop proposals, funding proposals, for the consideration
22	of the Victims Assistance Committee of the Northwest
23	Territories. And that's the committee appointed by the
24	Minister of Justice under the NWT Victims of Crime Act.
25	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: And we'd ask that this

1	document related to the Victims Assistance Committee be
2	submitted as an exhibit, please.
3	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Yes. Victims
4	Assistance Committee of the Northwest Territories Victims
5	Assistance Fund Application Guidelines is Exhibit 10,
6	please.
7	EXHIBIT NO. 10:
8	Victims Assistance Fund Application
9	Guidelines (approved June 2000), Victims
10	Assistance Committee (VAC) of the
11	Northwest Territories, (five pages)
12	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Also, in the materials
13	that we had brought in advance to the Commission and the
14	parties with standing, there were some pamphlets related to
15	the work of our victim services division in the NWT. I'll
16	say, in general, I just want to invite any of the parties
17	with standing to ask questions about those pamphlets if they
18	have them as as everybody with standing has had an
19	opportunity to review those already. There's two that I
20	will specifically ask be entered as exhibits. The first is
21	entitled, "Staying Safe." And in our materials submitted,
22	it's referenced as EX03. And I'll just ask Ms. Gardiner to
23	review and provide a a brief brief synopsis of its
24	contents.

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: This is a publication

1	that our department developed, and produces, and distributes
2	across the Northwest Territories, primarily through our
3	community-based programs. It's called, "Staying Safe." It
4	has some practical advice for victims, as far as their
5	safety's concerned, including a form outline for a safety
6	plan.
7	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: So we would ask if this
8	particular document, this Staying Safe booklet be entered as
9	an exhibit.
10	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Yes. The document,
11	Staying Safe is Exhibit 11.
12	EXHIBIT NO. 11:
13	"Staying Safe" booklet (April 2017),
14	Government of the Northwest Territories,
15	(27 pages)
16	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: And finally, we'd like to
17	reference document it's a pamphlet, in pamphlet form, NWT
18	Victim Services. It is in our materials as EX04. Ms.
19	Gardiner, if you could just review that and and tell us a
20	bit about what it is.
21	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: This is a general
22	information pamphlet about Victim Services, about the
23	program in the Northwest Territories. Touches on who
24	provides victim services, who can ask for it, the cost, et
25	cetera. And is part of our our suite of publication

1	information for distribution across the territory.
2	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: And, I believe, that
3	covers it in terms of additional documents. Could that
4	please be submitted as an exhibit?
5	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Yes. The brochure, NWI
6	Victim Services will be Exhibit 12.
7	EXHIBIT NO. 12:
8	"NWT Victim Services" pamphlet (April
9	2017), Government of Northwest
10	Territories, (one page)
11	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Thank you. Subject to any
12	questions, that would conclude our direct examination.
13	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Okay. So at this time
14	I will ask if any of the Commissioners do have any questions
15	for the witness that they'd like to ask at this time?
16	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: I'm going
17	to defer cross-examination to the end.
18	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you.
19	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Merci
20	beaucoup, Me Porter. Pour ma part, je vais attendre que
21	toutes les parties intéressées fassent leurs examen avec les
22	témoins, merci.
23	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Yes.
24	MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Okay.
25	QUESTIONS BY THE COMMISSIONERS:

1	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: I do. Just a
2	few contextual questions that I'm I think, at this point,
3	are important, and clarification questions, otherwise, I
4	I also reserve, and will have more questions following
5	cross-examination. With regards to the Public Prosecution
6	Services of Canada and the CWC Program, I'd like to have a
7	better idea of for for all of us, geographically where
8	are these offices and these employees located in the three
9	territories?
10	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. Thank you for is
11	this on?
12	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: I don't think
13	so.
14	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Okay? Thank you, and
15	thank you for the question. The Public Prosecution Service
16	of Canada offices in each territory are in the capital
17	cities. The only exception to that being is that there is
18	a sub office of the Nunavut Regional Office that is in
19	Yellowknife that assists with the ability to service
20	Western Nunavut, so in that sub office there I believe
21	there are two prosecutors and one Crown Witness
22	Coordinator, the rest would be in Iqaluit.
23	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay, and all
24	the staff are located in those hubs and work from those
25	hubs?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: 1 That's correct, yes. 2 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Thank you. Ms. Gardiner, I'm trying to understand the funding process 3 for the victim services programs, and I just want to make 4 sure I have this clear in my head. In terms of the victim 5 service providers, the front line, they are funded through 6 project based funding agreements that are -- have a 7 two-year term; is that correct? 8 9 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Thank you, 10 Commissioner, for your question. Right now, they're, as in the agreements that organizations are entering into for 11 this fiscal year and next, is the first year that we have 12 gone into a two-year contribution agreement for frontline 13 delivery of victim services and outreach, so we receive a 14 proposal from the sponsoring organization and then it leads 15 eventually to a contribution agreement. If that answers 16 your question? 17 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: It does. 18 Ιn 19 terms of the types of activities or how these organizations can use their funds, is the list in Exhibit -- I didn't 20 21 mark it, the Victim's Assistance Committee Assistant Fund Application Guideline? It's Exhibit 10 in your material, 22 23 the Victim's Assistance Committee Victim Assistance Application Guidelines, and I believe it's at page -- this 24 25 is my first time working off a tablet, I'm a paper person,

1	so it's hard to tab and mark in the margins on a computer.
2	I think it's at page 2 of the document that talks about the
3	funds. So they can be used for training, directed
4	services, public awareness and research, is that all they
5	can use the funds for, or can they use it for staffing,
6	space, other types of I guess core funding versus
7	project funding?
8	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Thank you,
9	Commissioner. If I can clarify, we are talking about two
10	different funding streams.
11	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay.
12	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: There's a core
13	services funding stream which are the contribution
14	agreements that I'm referring to about that core service
15	delivery, so that's the funding to pay victim service
16	providers as employees of those sponsoring organizations,
17	and includes their work plans include some outreach
18	activities, activities around family violence awareness
19	week, those kinds of things, as well as frontline service
20	provision. In a separate so that's using funding

appropriated through the Government of the Northwest

advantage of other funding sources through the Federal

Government, but that's where that funding comes from.

Territories business planning processes, as well as taking

21

22

23

24

25

And then the document that you referred to

1	in your question relating to the Victim Assistance
2	Committee, Victim Assistance Fund Application Guidelines,
3	that is a separate funding stream where those I had
4	mentioned earlier, the victim's fine surcharges
5	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay.
6	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: that adult
7	offenders pay, territorial and federal, goes into a special
8	purpose fund and this committee appointed by the Minister
9	of Justice considers proposals in those categories that you
10	mentioned, and then recommends to the Minister
11	disbursements from the fund.
12	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay.
13	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Does that clarify
14	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: No, that does
15	answer my question because I wasn't sure if
16	the the where the money came from for those frontline
17	programs, so that does clarify that a lot. So those are
18	one-time projects for the victim's assistance fund, it's
19	not the same contribution agreement formula that's used for
20	the programs?
21	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes, that's correct.
22	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: All right.
23	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Although, some of
24	those programs have been approved several years in a row,
25	like the families of homicide victim's project that I

1	mentioned, that was funded, it was recommended by the
2	Victim's Assistance Committee and has been funded three
3	years in a row.
4	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay. Do you
5	have and are you able to provide us with the overall
6	budgets and funding that you have available to you in your
7	department for victim services and the territory in
8	general?
9	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: The overall budget,
10	including including funding provided from Justice
11	Canada, is about \$1.6 million.
12	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: And that
13	includes GNWT funds, the Fed funds and the victim surcharge
14	money?
15	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: It that includes
16	GNWT appropriated funding, which would include funding
17	received from the Federal Government, that is separate from
18	the Victim Assistance Committee. I can tell you that the
19	balance of that special purpose fund varies based on the
20	fines or the surcharges that are received, but they do
21	disburse about \$100,000 a year, and their reports are
22	public and tabled in our legislative assembly as well, so
23	the details of those are available there, but it's about
24	\$100,000 a year.
25	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay, thank

1	you. Those are all my questions at this stage.
2	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Thank you.
3	COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Thank you,
4	I'll wait until after cross-examination.
5	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Okay, thank you very
6	much. Chief Commissioner and Commissioners, it is now
7	12:30, and I would suggest that we take a break and
8	reconvene on abbreviated lunch break, so we can regain some
9	of the time that we've lost this morning. So if that is
10	agreeable to all of you, I will make that request and
11	suggest that we adjourn for a lunch break at this point.
12	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Yes, we
13	will stop for lunch and we will re-start at one o'clock,
14	please.
15	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Before the parties
16	step out, I would like to suggest if you have not had an
17	opportunity yet to speak with commission counsel, I would
18	suggest that you do this at this point, (indiscernible) and
19	Thomas, with respect to the order for cross-examination
20	that will begin later on this afternoon.
21	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Thank you, Michèle.
22	Oops, it was this one. Okay, so we're going to have our
23	lunch break. And thank you again for your questions and
24	answers. Thank you to the Commission. And you people
25	sitting in the back, after lunch, let's hope you say

1	anything.	You	remind me	e of	lawyers	I	used	to	hire	, so	they
2	don't talk,	but	they're	real	expensi	.ve	. No	, -	just	kiddi	ing.

Okay, so again we will have a quick lunch break and we will reconvene as the instructions from our counsel. We do have a presentation in the afternoon on the Queen's Council from Saskatchewan, so we will try and give some time for that as we follow the agenda. All right, so enjoy the food from Calgary, it's real Alberta beef.

- --- Upon recessing at 12:31 p.m.
- 10 --- Upon reconvening at 1:10 p.m.

MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Before we get started,

I do want to remind parties with standing that numbers for

cross-examination are closing, so I believe there are still

a few parties that have not pulled a number. If they could

tend to that sooner rather than later that would be

appreciated, because it will be closing.

MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Thank you. We're going to begin right away. This is some of my favourite people in the world, Saskatchewanites.

20 (LAUGHTER)

MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: So anyways, I say that in a joke because I'm the only Albertan that lived in Saskatchewan for eight years, I think I told you that. I went to school there for a whole bunch of years, but I'm glad that our sisters are here. And just to prove the

1	point, you should watch the next Stampeders' home game
2	there's more green in the stands than there are red. So
3	again, thank you, and I'll let them introduce themselves and
4	we'll continue on from the break.
5	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you very much.
6	Good afternoon, Commissioner and Commissioners. We are
7	going to hear now from two more witnesses. We they were
8	briefly introduced this morning. I did speak a little bit
9	to what they were going to be sharing today. I will
10	introduce now that they are sitting with me at the table.
11	I will introduce Betty Ann Pottruff, and she
12	is the Advisor to the Assistant Deputy Minister of
13	Innovation in Saskatchewan the Government of
L4	Saskatchewan. And we also have Naomi Giff-MACKINNON, who is
15	the Senior Policy Analyst at the Policy Centre for Victim
16	Issues. Sitting with Naomi is her counsel, Anne McConville,
17	and sitting with Betty Ann is her counsel, Barbara Mysko.
18	So at this point I will ask that the both
19	witnesses prefer to be affirmed in.
20	NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Affirmed:
21	BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Affirmed:
22	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. So, Ms.
23	McConville, I will ask you to proceed with the witness.
24	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: Thank you, Commission

Council. Good afternoon, Chief Commissioner, Commissioners.

134 NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON EXAM-IN-CHIEF BY MS. MCCONVILLE

1	Before we start, you should have a book of documents for Ms.
2	Giff-MacKinnon's evidence. As before, it is put together
3	for ease of reference. All the documents have been provided
4	to parties withstanding in advance, and we will be
5	introducing or asking that some be made exhibits, but we'll
6	do that individually.
7	EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS. MCCONVILLE:
8	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: Ms. Giff-MacKinnon,
9	you're the Senior Policy Analyst with the Policy Centre for
10	Victims Issues at the Department of Justice Canada?
11	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
12	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: And as Commission
13	Counsel said this morning, you're here today to give
14	evidence specifically about the Family Information and
15	Liaison Unit initiative; is that correct?
16	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Correct.
17	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: So if we could turn,
18	then, to tab 1 of your document book. And this document is
19	entitled "Biography"; is this your biography?
20	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, it is.
21	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: Does it set out your
22	professional experience with the Department of Justice
23	Canada?
24	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, it does.
25	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: And can you please

1 describe for us your roles and responsibilities as a Senior
2 Policy Analyst?

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I work as part of a team within the Policy Centre for Victim Issues that provides advice on Federal victim related initiatives within Justice Canada. I work closely with Federal, provincial, territorial colleagues, as well as, non-governmental organizations to advance victim related initiatives. Since I started in the Policy Centre — excuse me, Policy Centre for Victim Issues, I've been working primarily on advancing specialized services and supports for Indigenous victims and survivors of crime.

MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: And what role have you had specifically with Family Information and Liaison Units, which are also known as FILUs or FILUs. We'll be referring to them today as FILUs.

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So I was part of a team that worked on the development of the Federal FILU initiative, and once the funding was announced I worked with provincial and territorial governments to clarify the objectives of the FILU investments and to assist them in accessing the funding. I've also worked with federal colleagues to create a Federal virtual FILU network and I've also been working with FILU teams across the country for the last year and a half to create a national FILU network.

136 NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON EXAM-IN-CHIEF BY MS. MCCONVILLE

1	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: And although you work
2	with the Department of Justice Canada; are you a lawyer?
3	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: No, I'm not. I am
4	a policy analyst.
5	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: Chief Commissioner, may
6	we have Ms. Giff-MACKINNON's biography marked as the next
7	exhibit?
8	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay. The
9	biography of MACKINNON is Exhibit 13, please.
10	EXHIBIT NO. 13(a):
11	Biography of Naomi Giff-MacKinnon,
12	Senior Policy Analyst, Policy Centre for
13	Victim Issues, Department of Justice
L4	Canada, (two pages)
15	PIÈCE NO. 13(b):
16	Biographie de Naomi Giff-MacKinnon,
17	Analyste principale des politiques,
18	Centre de la politique concernant les
19	victimes, Ministère de la Justice Canada
20	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: You can turn, then, to
21	tab 2 of the document book. This is a document entitled
22	"Overview of Family Information Liaison Units." Are you
23	familiar with this document?
24	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, I am.
25	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: Can you describe the

1	purpose of this document?
2	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: This document was
3	created to provide an overview of the FILU initiative, to
4	describe some of the origins of the initiative and some of
5	the the different models in place and some of the
6	partnerships that have been established in developing FILUs
7	across the country, as well as highlight some of the the
8	early achievements of the FILU network.
9	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: And who prepared the
10	document?
11	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I did.
12	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: Chief Commissioner, may
13	we have the overview marked as the next exhibit?
14	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: The
15	overview of Family Information Liaison Units is Exhibit 14,
16	please.
17	EXHIBIT NO. 14:
18	Overview of Family Information Liaison
19	Units, Department of Justice Canada,
20	(seven pages)
21	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: And one last document
22	to take you to is at tab 3 of the document book. This is a
23	document entitled "Victim Services in Canada". Can you
24	briefly describe what this document is?
25	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: This is an

1	overview of victim services across the country. It's
2	organized by province and territory to set out some of the
3	different models in place across Canada, some of the
4	different legislative frameworks that victim services
5	operate within, and to provide a general overview of a
6	victim services picture within Canada.
7	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: And who prepared the
8	document and how was it prepared?
9	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: It was prepared by
10	our research and statistics division in the Department of
11	Justice Canada to create a a tool for Canadians to
12	understand how victim services operate, and it was it was
13	prepared in collaboration with provincial and territorial
14	colleagues.
15	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: How does the document
16	relate to the FILU initiative?
17	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Within each
18	section of the research report, you'll see a a short
19	reference to how the FILUs operate in that jurisdiction.
20	But really, the value of the the the larger context I
21	in understanding FILUs is to see where they're situated
22	and and the kinds of services that are available.
23	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: You mentioned that the
24	document describes a variety of victims services and
25	programs available in the provinces and territories. To

1	what extent can you speak to those programs?
2	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I'm vaguely
3	unfamiliar with provincial and territorial victim services
4	programs across the country. However, our provincial and
5	territorial colleagues would be best suited to answer any
6	specific questions you may have about how they operate
7	victim services.
8	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: Chief Commissioner,
9	commission counsel has asked that we tender this document
10	through this witness. Although she can't speak to all of
11	the details of the programs and services listed, it may be a
12	helpful reference for you and resource for you, and with
13	that caveat, may we have it marked as the next exhibit?
14	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Yes.
15	"Victim Services in Canada 2018" will be Exhibit 15, please.
16	EXHIBIT NO. 15(a):
17	Victim Services in Canada (2018),
18	Research and Statistics Division,
19	Department of Justice Canada, (78 pages)
20	PIÈCE NO. 15(b):
21	Les services d'aide aux victims au
22	Canada (2018), Division de la recherché
23	et de la statistique, Ministère de la
24	Justice du Canada (91 pages)
25	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: So turning, then, to

1 Family Information and Liaison Units, can you tell us what that initiative is? 2 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: 3 Yes. FILUs, or 4 Family Information and Liaison Units, or FILUs, as I'll call them throughout our -- our discussion, are a new service for 5 families of missing or murdered Indigenous women and girls 6 across Canada. They were established in response to the 7 many systemic and institutional barriers that the families 8 9 had described in seeking information about their missing or 10 murdered loved one. FILUs across Canada work with and for 11 families to gather all the information about their missing 12 13 or murdered loved ones available through all government agencies and departments, including police, including Crown 14 prosecutors, corrections, child protection, health services, 15 any agency that families have questions from, FILUs will 16 organize that. So they work closely with family members to 17 identify what information they're seeking, they work with 18 19 the agencies to gather that information for families, and then they organize opportunities for families to receive 20 that information. 21 22 MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: You mentioned that it's a new service. How long have FILU services been available? 23 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: For just over a 24

year and a half. The funding was announced in August 2016

1 and FILUs have been in development -- started in development
2 since then.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: You mentioned they were developed in response to structural barriers that families were experiencing in accessing information. Can you describe what some of those barriers were?

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Sure. So some of the barriers that families have identified in terms of accessing information about their loved one are -- are -there are many intersecting challenges. One is uncertainty about what information might be available given some of the historical events that families have experienced. Families have talked about the uncertainty about where to gather the information, which agency would hold the information they're seeking. Families are also seeking information from multiple agencies and departments and navigating the access to information or any -- any information request procedures across those agencies could be very difficult. At the same time, many families live in a jurisdiction that is different from where their loved one went missing or was murdered, and that can create another layer of -- a barrier for access to information for families as well.

The most significant barrier that families have spoken about is the -- the level of -- the low level of trust and mistrust they have with the agencies who hold the

1	information, and that creates a very significant barrier for
2	families in terms of communicating and feeling that that
3	they're getting all the information from the agency.
4	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: Why is receiving this
5	information so important?
6	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Victims and
7	survivors across Canada have talked very openly and
8	frequently about the importance of having information about
9	the the person who harmed them, as a victim or survivor,
10	as well as about general information about how systems
11	work, as well as how decisions are made within that system.
12	So for families, having accurate up-to-date information
13	about their loved one and about about all of the
14	information that they're seeking about that experience can
15	be a part of their healing journey moving forward.
16	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: How do FILUs help to
17	overcome some of the barriers that you've identified?
18	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So the FILU
19	initiative is grounded in three core objectives. The first
20	objective is to provide a coordinated, dedicated team that
21	works with and for families to gather all the available
22	information from a from multiple agencies and across
23	jurisdictions. The second key objective is to provide those
24	services in a culturally grounded and culturally responsive
25	manner. And the third objective is to provide those

services and supports in a trauma-informed way. 1 MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: When you speak of 2 having a dedicated team, can you tell us what specifically 3 FILU team members do to overcome some of the barriers 4 families have been experiencing? 5 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Sure. So I think 6 what might be helpful is if I walk through a little bit of a 7 scenario about how a FILU might work with families, starting 8 9 from the point that FILU teams take their direction and 10 their lead from family members as to how they'd like to proceed. Given that, FILU teams work closely with families 11 to help clarify and specify the information that they're 12 13 seeking. While many families know exactly what 14 information they're seeking, there are some families who 15 aren't sure exactly what -- what type of information they're 16 seeking, so FILUs have those discussions so that there's 17 clarity around the information gaps that families have, and 18 19 with that, the FILU teams then identify which agencies within that jurisdiction, as well as across Canada within 20 other jurisdictions and other governments, hold that 21 22 information. And with that, they move forward to begin 23 discussions with that agency, and sometimes families join 24 25 them on this journey. It's up to families, of course. They can work with or for families as families would like. And they start to work with the agency to begin the process of having that agency review their files, review their documents, to gather up that information and be prepared to share that with families.

Throughout this process, at all points, their work with family members. The FILU teams check in on a regular basis about the supports that families have. They talk about the natural supports that families might have to help them through this -- this process of seeking information, and they help families identify any additional supports they might like to have. And they help identify where those supports can be brought to the family. So they organize that those -- those supports are provided to the family as well.

Sometimes the information that families are seeking might be the first time that they've made the request for information, so that might be part of a family's experience, that they may have never really talked about their experience in seeking information from agencies or their experience of loss, so FILUs speak very carefully and sensitively with families about that. Sometimes the information they're seeking can be very graphic, it can be very upsetting. If the family member is seeking to understand the determination of the cause of death and is

1	seeking to look at autopsy O or coroner's reports, FILUs
2	have very specific conversations with family members to
3	identify how that can cause trauma for them, further trauma,
4	and they talk about supports and how that trauma can be
5	reduced in receiving that information.
6	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: When you say that
7	FILUs can help connect families to other supports, who is
8	providing those supports and services?
9	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So supports might
10	be provided within the FILU network and team themselves,
11	they might have built in those needed supports, or they
12	might be through partnerships with community organizations.
13	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: Why is it important to
14	have a dedicated team to assist with the information
15	gathering process?
16	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: The value of
17	having a dedicated team is that it's it's not a referral
18	system, so one of the core objectives of FILU's essay were
19	established, which every FILU takes very seriously, every
20	FILU team, is that it's not an it's not an aid a
21	referral agency, so the idea is families aren't going to be
22	given a number to call or a name of someone that they
23	should contact, that it's a coordinated one-stop team that
24	works with families from beginning to end to gather the
25	information.

1	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: Generally speaking,
2	can you tell us from what professional backgrounds or
3	experience backgrounds staff members are drawn from?
4	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So this varies
5	across the country. FILU teams are drawn from multi
6	backgrounds. Some are drawn from victim services, some are
7	drawn from social services or health services, some are
8	from community organizations, and many FILU team members
9	have families with lived experience as part of their team.
10	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: The second core
11	objective that you mentioned earlier with the FILU
12	initiative was to provide a trauma-informed approach. What
13	do you mean by trauma informed in this context?
14	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So I'm not an
15	expert on a trauma-informed approach, but I've certainly
16	learned a lot over the years in terms of how
17	trauma-informed practice can operate within a victim
18	services framework. Drawing from the work that health
19	services have done in terms of identifying what it means to
20	provide a trauma-informed practice, as I understand it, one
21	of the core principles is recognizing that individuals who
22	seek helping services may often be bringing intersecting
23	experiences of trauma. And in recognizing that, those
24	services operate in a way to not cause further trauma, to

1	not mirror the trauma that those individuals may have
2	experienced, and to seek opportunities to reduce trauma as
3	they they access that service.
4	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: How do FILU's achieve
5	this, a trauma-informed approach to accessing information?
6	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So this is a
7	core, one of the core objectives of the FILU initiative,
8	and all the FILU team members across Canada are aware of
9	and have had training in what it means to provide a
10	trauma-informed practice within their community. In terms
11	of the practices that they put in place when they're
12	working with families, by the very nature of taking their
13	lead from families and providing a strength-based approach
14	that builds on the existing networks that families have and
15	helping families to identify new networks that they might
16	like to build into their their toolkit, those are some
17	ways that they take a trauma-informed approach. They're
18	not a referral agency, they really do as much of the
19	legwork as they can for family members.
20	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: The third component
21	you mentioned was to have a culturally responsive approach.
22	Can you explain how FILUs incorporate a culturally
23	responsive approach?

1	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So it's critical
2	that all FILU teams are Indigenous informed, and within
3	their operations respect and reflect the cultural
4	identities of the family members that they're serving. So
5	FILUs have done this in different ways. They have
6	strengthened and built relationships with community
7	organizations to provide the needed supports that families
8	may have as they go through the FILU working with the
9	FILU team. Many of them have elders advisory committees in
10	place to provide ongoing direction to their work.
11	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: Who can access FILU
12	services?
13	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: All family
14	members of missing or murdered Indigenous women and girls
15	across Canada can access the FILU teams and the services
16	that they can provide. FILUs take a very broad definition
17	of family member, much like the work of the Commission in
18	terms of a family of the heart, so in addition to blood
19	relatives there's cultural kin, foster care relatives, new
20	generations of families that are coming forward advocating
21	for their families. A very, very broad definition.
22	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: If a family wishes to
23	use the services of a FILU, how do they go about doing so?

1	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: They just contact
2	the FILU. There's no application process, it's very
3	personal and it's very in person and organic.
4	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: Will all the
5	information that families are seeking be available through
6	the FILU initiative?
7	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: No. No, not all
8	the information families are seeking is available, and
9	there are a couple of reasons for this that I can
10	highlight. One is that how information is shared and to
11	whom, is is defined by privacy regulations and policy
12	directives and legislation that guides the agency that
13	holds that information. So that's one reason families
14	wouldn't receive information some of the information
15	they may be seeking.
16	Another reason they made not receive that
17	information might be because of an ongoing investigation.
18	So that FILU teams have learned that there are some
19	limitations within police agencies when there's an ongoing
20	investigation. And sometimes the information families are
21	speaking of, the documents don't exist or they can't be
22	found, and that is that is very upsetting for families,
23	it's very frustrating, but those would be some of the

1	reasons families wouldn't be able to get all the
2	information.
3	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: What impact do some of
4	these limitations on the availability of information have
5	on FILU operations?
6	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: It certainly is
7	very frustrating for families to hear why information that
8	is so critical to their loss, why they wouldn't have that.
9	FILU teams work with families to let them know early on
10	that that's a possibility. They're never certain, but they
11	try to make sure that family members know that not all the
12	information will be available. And FILU teams aren't
13	responsible for those decisions, those are decisions made
14	by the agencies that hold the information.
15	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: Can you tell us how
16	the FILUs are funded?
17	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So the FILUs are
18	funded through the Department of Justice Canada, so they're
19	federally funded, and the funding is in total \$11.7
20	million, and it became available in September 2016 and it
21	sunsets March 31st, 2019. The funding was made available
22	to provincial/territorial victim services and and
23	provinces and territories have FILUs in place now across
24	the country.

151 NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON EXAM-IN-CHIEF BY MS. MCCONVILLE

1	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: So you mentioned that
2	the funding began in August 2016, when were the FILUs
3	themselves operational?
4	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So, yes, the
5	funding became available in September 2016 and it was
6	announced the month prior. There all the most of the
7	FILUs became formally operational, I say, throughout 2017,
8	the last one became formally operational just this last
9	spring, in 2018. And I say formally operational because
10	that would mean that they have a all their protocols in
11	place, all their teams are staffed, their training has been
12	provided, the networks and the linkages are in place, their
13	communications materials have all been completed, they're
14	really ready to describe their operations. But I think
15	it's important to note that once the the day the funding
16	was announced all victim services were ready to begin
17	answering those questions, it just wouldn't have been such
18	a robust or dedicated framework for that work to take
19	place.
20	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: And is there a FILU
21	unit in each province and territory now?
22	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, there is.

1	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: And why did it take
2	longer for some of the FILU units to be become fully
3	established, as you've described?
4	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So there were
5	some jurisdictions who had infrastructure and capacity to
6	build on, so some jurisdictions had already been exploring
7	a liaison role with families and police agencies, so they
8	had that infrastructure to build on. Other jurisdictions
9	had a lot of the interagency MOUs or protocol sharing
10	agreements in place, so they could draw on those.
11	This was a brand new initiative. We might
12	have taken our provincial/territorial colleagues off guard
13	a little bit with it, but they really rose to the occasion
14	right away to start the development work and get their FILU
15	models in place.
16	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: And why are FILUs
17 18	located within provincial and territorial victim services?
19	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So
20	provincial/territorial governments have the responsibility
21	for the delivery of victim services across Canada. They
22	have the jurisdictional responsibility to provide that
23	service, so we worked with provincial/territorial
24	governments to flow that funding to them, and in doing so
25	it provides us also the opportunity to have a National

1	network and to ensures FILUs would be in place with a
2	consistent structure within each province and territory.
3	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: What is the role of the
4	FILUs with respect to the National Inquiry?
5	MS. NAIOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So FILUs aren't
6	part of the National Inquiry. The National Inquiry is
7	independent, and FILUs are a parallel investment, they're a
8	complimentary investment to the work of the Commission to
9	provide a framework for families to gather the information
10	that they're seeking.
11	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: And are the services
12	available to families that are connected to the National
13	Inquiry or beyond the National Inquiry?
14	MS. NAIOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So FILU services
15	are available to all family members regardless of whether
16	they participate in the Inquiry or not. Many provincial,
17	territorial FILUs work closely with families who have
18	participated actively in the Inquiry, and they've been, in
19	some cases, support persons for those family members. In
20	some ways they've helped families to to register or
21	answer questions they had throughout the process. So they
22	they've been a resource for families about the Inquiry.
23	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: And when FILUs are
24	working with families who are participating in the Inquiry,
25	does their focus remain accessing information and assisting

1	families to access information?
2	MS. NAIOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
3	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: You described that the
4	funding was in place in 2016. Can you explain to us who was
5	involved in developing the individual FILU units?
6	MS. NAIOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So the Provincial
7	and Territorial Government, Victim Services Divisions took
8	the lead to begin developing their FILU models with input
9	from family members, community organizations and agencies
10	within their jurisdiction.
11	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: How did families
12	provide input into how the FILUs would be designed?
13	MS. NAIOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So families
14	provided a lot of information at the very beginning of the
15	pre-inquiry sessions, when they talked about some of the
16	the gaps that they face and the barriers they face when
17	seeking information.
18	In addition to that, FILU teams across the
19	country invited family members to participate in formal or
20	informal consultations and dialogues to understand what
21	information families and their their jurisdiction were
22	seeking seeking about their their loved one, so that
23	they could design their model to be as reflective to family
24	needs as possible. They also invited family members to
25	participate on advisory committees or steering committees

1	that provided advice on the design and the delivery of the
2	FILU model.
3	And as I mentioned, many FILU team members
4	are families with lived experience and they provide input on
5	an ongoing basis to the to the operations of the FILU.
6	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: Just explain a little
7	bit more about what the role of the advisory or steering
8	committees are, and whether they have an ongoing role?
9	MS. NAIOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Sure, so that
10	definitely varies across jurisdictions. Not every
11	jurisdiction has an advisory committee or a steering
12	committee. Where they do have them, some of them are made
13	up of Elders. Some of them are made up specifically for
14	family members. Some of them are a composition of community
15	organizations and family members. Yes, so they vary, but
16	they're not in place in every jurisdiction, they're just one
17	way that some FILUs engaged family members.
18	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: What role did the
19	community organizations have in the design and development
20	of the FILU units?
21	MS. NAIOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So early on the
22	FILU teams strengthened existing relationships or built new
23	relationships with community organizations across their
24	jurisdiction. But the organizations that had the experience
25	and knowledge about how best to support and assist families,

1	so they also were invited to participate in steering
2	committees that were set. They were also asked to
3	participate in formal and informal dialogue and engagement
4	sessions with the FILU development team to provide advice
5	and direction.
6	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: Can you provide any
7	examples of how input from community organizations
8	influenced the design of the FILU?
9	MS. NAIOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Sure. So in some
10	jurisdictions they heard from Indigenous community
11	organizations about the importance of locating FILU team
12	members within Indigenous community organizations. So where
13	jurisdictions heard that they worked with community
14	organizations to collaborate and partner on their FILU
15	operations.
16	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: And what types of
17	organizations are you speaking of, just generally speaking?
18	MS. NAIOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: You mean who did
19	they speak
20	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: Right.
21	MS. NAIOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes. So it
22	certainly depended on each jurisdiction, but I think
23	generally speaking we're thinking of Indigenous Women's
24	Associations, friendship centres, Community Justice
25	Committees, Missing or Murdered Indigenous Women and Girl

Coalitions. I said friendship centres. They provided a lot of input as well. 2 MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: Given that the 3 provinces and territories took the lead and the development 4 of the delivery -- the delivery model for FILUs as you've 5 described with input from families and community 6 organizations. Are there differences in the way that FILUs 7 are delivering their services across the country? 8 9 MS. NAIOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, there are. 10 There are some differences in terms of structure, in terms of location, in terms of composition of FILU team members. 11 So we see some variety and variation across the country in 12 13 those three areas. For example, in terms of composition, some FILU teams have one team member, some FILU teams have 14 up to five, so there's a difference there in terms of the 15 number of direct team members working in that jurisdiction. 16 They also vary in terms of location, where 17 they have located their FILU teams. Some jurisdictions have 18 19 all their FILU team members in one location. Some have satellite offices where they have located some of their FILU 20 team members across the jurisdiction. Some jurisdictions 21 22 have located part of their team police services where they've got an infrastructure to build on that has worked in 23 their -- in their jurisdiction. So there's -- there's quite 24 a variety in terms of location. 25

1	In terms of different types of partnerships
2	as well, as I mentioned, many many provincial and
3	territorial FILU team members heard through their
4	discussions with Indigenous community organizations about
5	the importance of partnering and co-locating some of the
6	FILU work within community organizations that have the
7	knowledge and expertise to best support and assist families.
8	So jurisdictions across Canada have FILU
9	teams have made those arrangements. We see that, for
10	example, in the Yukon where there's a Yukon FILU team member
11	located in victim services, and there's a FILU member
12	located in the Yukon Aboriginal Women's Council, and they
13	work together. So it's not that they work separately, they
14	work together, but it's a way to to strengthen and
15	rebuild partnerships.
16	We also see that in Saskatchewan where there
17	is an individual team member located within victim services,
18	but there are also some FILU team members located within the
19	Federation of Sovereign Indigenous Nations as well, serving
20	the province.
21	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: You mentioned that's
22	just two examples, there's many.
23	MS. NAIOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Two examples.
24	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: And you mentioned that
25	some FILUs are located within policing agencies. Can you

explain the types of situations where that works? 1 MS. NAIOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: You mean 2 specifically where we might see that? So that -- that --3 4 where that has worked very well, one jurisdiction has been Manitoba where they've got -- they've developed a family 5 police liaison model through their Project Devote team, and 6 so that has been a very -- that has had a lot -- that has 7 helped families achieve a lot of information through that 8 9 process from the police agencies and being kept up to date, 10 and that has been a good model for them to move forward with and build on. 11 MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: Why do some of these 12 differences exist? I know you've touched on a few factors, 13 but are there -- there others that explain why there's 14 differences across the country? 15 MS. NAIOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So I'd start by 16 mentioning that the variation was expected. We never 17 thought that there'd be any one model that would work across 18 19 our humungous country and the various interests and -- and various concerns that -- and different frameworks that exist 20 for the delivery of victim services. So when we developed 21 22 the FILU initiative, we weren't thinking of prescribing models, but rather creating key objectives that we were 23 asking the FILU teams to achieve. 24

So we do have this variation, but I think the

variation can be attributed to the input that they received 1 from family members early on. I think it can be attributed 2 to the varying victim services models that we have across 3 Canada to build on, and the -- and the different 4 infrastructures that different jurisdictions have. And also 5 some of the -- the privacy legislation that's in place as 6 well might -- and the -- and the infrastructure they have 7 and the relationships that the FILU teams and Victim 8 9 Services Division have already in place with the agencies 10 that hold the information. MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: How have FILUs 11 approached delivering their services to communities that may 12 13 be more remote or isolated? MS. NAIOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So that is 14 definitely a challenge for all services and I think we heard 15 about that with some of the earlier testimony today in terms 16 of the north. 17 So FILU teams are always taking opportunities 18 19 to partner, to be at community events, to -- to be at -- to 20 provide workshops to partners across the province or territory. They also travel across the jurisdiction, and 21 22 they're looking at new ways to have a mobile FILU team. So some jurisdictions are exploring that and what that might 23 look like. And I think have the satellite offices as well, 24 some jurisdictions, for example in Ontario. They've got 25

satellite offices in Thunder Bay, Sioux Lookout, and Sudbury in order to make sure they can have as much reach as possible.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: With the degree of variation that you've described across the country, how do you ensure that the services that are available are consistent?

I think that goes MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: back to the three core objectives that we set early on, and the idea that FILU teams were -- were to provide a coordinated dedicated team to work with and for families to gather the information they're seeking, to ensure that their operations are culturally responsive and grounded, and to ensure that their practices and their design was trauma informed. At the same time, by having a -- we've been able to establish a national FILU network across the country, so FILU teams from all jurisdictions -- we have a conference call every week with a chance to share best practices, to share the tools that we're developing, to share experiences and strategies to do the best jobs that they can, and to -to build their toolkit and their work for families. And I think that's been a great opportunity as well, to see consistency where consistency is a good thing.

MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: What happens if a family who comes to a FILU for help with their information

needs has other types of needs as well? 1 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So I think that 2 goes back to the trauma informed nature of many of the FILUs 3 4 recognizing that many -- many individuals access helping services because they often experience multiple types of 5 harm or trauma that they're working through. So FILU teams 6 are very much connected to all the available community 7 services that families can tap into. They're very much 8 9 connected to Indigenous community organizations to make sure 10 that families have additional needed supports as they need them, and -- and they make those linkages for families. 11 MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: So although the FILUs 12 13 have only been in place for a relatively short time, can you tell us what the demand for their services has been like so 14 far? 15 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So we don't have a 16 robust data set at this point. We will soon, but we don't 17 have it right now, but what I've learned from our FILU 18 19 colleagues across the country is that demand is growing, and from some unofficial numbers, some early numbers, at this 20 point in time, 400 family members across Canada are working 21 22 with FILUs. MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: And what types of 23 questions are families seeking answers to, or what types of 24 25 information gaps are they looking for help with?

wide range of questions that families are bringing to FILUs. The most common question based on -- on the feedback I've received are questions from police agencies. So they're asking questions about investigations, they're asking for status updates, they're asking about steps taken by police in the investigation of their loved ones' murder or disappearance. So overall, questions about the status of the investigation.

The second most common question families are bringing to FILUs at this point is about the determination about the cause of death. So families are asking to -- to hear from medical examiners and coroners, and understand the -- those reports and how -- how those decisions were made. Families are also asking about the criminal justice system and outcomes, they're asking about sentencing, they're asking about the -- the different processes in place, like plea bargaining, and trying to better understand how those decisions were made.

At the same time families have questions from child protection about children who were placed outside the home. They have questions from health authorities, and hospitals about health records. So FILUs are working with those agencies to gather the information for families. I think there's also a lot of questions that FILUs may not

have expected that families were asking. They're asking for information about burial sites of their loved ones. They're asking about information about residential schools, and children who died while at residential schools, they're asking for details about that. They're asking about DNA matching. They're asking how to file a missing persons report.

So FILUs are really open to any questions that families have, and they'll -- they'll do their best to get the information.

MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: To what extent were the types of information that families are seeking assistance from the FILUs with, to what extent was it available before the FILUs were created?

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That's a good question, so FILUs aren't able to access any information that families would not have been eligible to receive outside the FILU process. What's different about the FILU service is that it provides a support team around families as they -- as they seek and move forward on that journey to get that information. They provide opportunities as well for families to meet with the agencies who are the holders of those informations, who wrote those reports, who investigated those -- those incidents, and they have a chance to connect with them and talk to them.

1	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: You mentioned at the
2	outset when you were describing the work that the teams do
3	with the FILUs, that they start from a family driven
4	perspective; can you elaborate on that? What does it mean
5	to be family driven in this context?
6	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I think very
7	simply it means that FILU team members take their lead
8	directly from family members that their working with. So
9	they they check in regularly with how families would like
10	to proceed, they provide options for families. Families
11	really set the pace and they set the work that FILUs do.
12	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: Several types of of
13	the types of information you are describing that families
14	are interested in are held by municipal, provincial or
15	territorial authorities. How are FILUs working with those
16	agencies to help families?
17	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So FILU teams
18	are where they they don't already have an inter-agency
19	sharing protocol in place, are developing those. They're
20	developing those at the municipal level to get vital
21	statistics or to get any any records to help families
22	with any information requests they have about their loved
23	one. At the municipal level, they're they have
24	information sharing arrangements and protocols and
25	memorandums of understanding with provincial and territorial

agencies as well that hold the information. 1 And families will also have questions about 2 information that's held at the Federal level, so we have 3 4 identified points of contact to work with the FILUs at the Federal level within each department and agency to ensure 5 that families can access all that information as well, just 6 as easily and seamlessly. So we've got contacts within the 7 RCMP, we've got contacts within Global Affairs because many 8 9 families are identifying questions and information gaps 10 about their loved one who went missing or was a victim of homicide in the United States. And we've got contacts with 11 Public Prosecution Service of Canada and Correction Services 12 13 Canada, CIRNA, all the -- all the department agencies that hold information. 14 MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: And these contacts 15 within Federal agencies is that the virtual FILU --16 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes. 17 MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: -- you were speaking of 18 19 earlier? 20 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, yeah. So the -- the intention is that families -- not really the 21 22 intention, the idea is that families would start their information journey with FILUs, and that FILUs would 23 coordinate that. Families are always welcome to contact any 24

agency directly. FILUs are not meant to replace other

1 methods families might like to proceed to -- to gather
2 information.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: Even thought the initiative is fairly new, can you tell us what results you've seen to date with the work that the FILUs have been doing?

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Sure, so yes, they are new. They are a new service, but we are seeing that, and I am hearing from FILUs across the country, that many family members are receiving the information that they're seeking from multiple sources, from many jurisdictions across the country in a seamless fashion. They are receiving that information in culturally grounded and culturally safe way that reflects an environment that they've helped to co-create, so it has the supports in place as they would like to have them. Sometimes families would like to meet with the agencies who are the holders of the information. FILUs will do the best they can to organize that with the agencies and with families and have those supports in place so that's culturally grounded and trauma informed in its approach of information sharing. And at the same time, we're seeing that families are not just receiving information, which can be full of jargon and technical terms They're also having an opportunity to really understand the information that they're receiving through

1	those those information sharing sessions, and through
2	having a FILU team who is there to to support any follow-
3	up questions families have or to seek further clarification
4	where they where they would like to have it.
5	MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: One of the barriers you
6	mentioned that families were experiencing before were inter-
7	jurisdictional barriers. Can you explain how FILUs are
8	addressing that challenge?
9	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So by having a
10	FILU in every province and territory that is very strongly
11	connected and works closely together, the
12	intra-jurisdictional barriers that might have been in place
13	are really diminished. FILU teams, whether they're located
14	in British Columbia or Newfoundland and Labrador, or
15	Northwest Territories, are all working very closely
16	together.
17	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: How have you assessed
18	or evaluated whether the FILU teams across the country are
19	meeting their core objectives?
20	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So that's to
21	come. It is a new initiative, we don't have data sets yet,
22	we don't have reporting from the FILUs. We expect to have
23	some reporting from FILU teams later this summer in terms
24	of the scope and reach of their work and how they've met
25	the objectives set in terms of getting that information for

1 families.

MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: Apart from the core mandate of navigating access to information, what other forms of support or assistance have FILUs been able to provide to families since the operations started?

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So FILU teams have been -- have been sharing experiences where they've seen that the opportunities that families have to meet with the holders of information, to have the FILU support, have helped them on their healing journey. Families have requested from FILUs assistance in terms of, as I mentioned earlier, finding burial sites of their loved ones, finding sites where their loved one went missing or was murdered, so that they can have ceremony at that place, and they've really helped families in those ways as part of their journey in terms of how that -- how knowing that and being able to have those ceremonies have helped them in their healing journey.

At the same time, they have helped families to cope with the grief and trauma of their loss. So FILU teams are working with Indigenous community organizations and elders all across their jurisdiction to organize family gatherings for families, to have workshops and sessions, to have ceremony, and to have events with families to help

them, and to make linkages or to help provide culturally grounded grief and trauma counselling for families as they 2 3 would like to have it to augment their natural support networks. 4 MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: And with respect to 5 communications between government agencies and families, 6 7 have FILUs had an impact in that area? MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So FILUs have 8 been able to create opportunities for families to meet with 9 the agencies that hold the information in many -- in many 10 situations. I don't want to say all, because sometimes 11 there are other reasons why that's not possible, but FILU 12 teams across the country are seeking to make those 13 14 information sessions occur. So through those sessions, 15 through those opportunities, FILU teams are -- the operations of FILU are providing opportunities for the 16 17 agencies who hold information to learn more about how their inactions or actions have impacted family members, they're 18 learning about how important ceremony and cultural protocol 19 is to families because they're participating in some of the 20 ceremonies that are part of the information sessions, yeah. 21 MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: One of the other 22 barriers you identified earlier, I think one that you said 23 24 was probably the largest barrier, was the issue of

1 mistrust --2 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: M'hm. 3 MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: -- with government agencies. How far have FILUs been able to address that 4 issue to this point? 5 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So that is a very 6 7 deep concern that will require a lot of different initiatives, a lot of different opportunities to rebuild or 8 to build that trusting relationship. It -- in my -- as my 9 -- as I understand it, and what I've heard from the FILU 10 teams across the country, is that the FILU operations and 11 how they work with families have created opportunities to 12 redefine the relationship between family members and some 13 14 of those agencies that -- that might be based on a more 15 trusting relationship. There are many examples across the country 16 17 where FILU teams have reported that by bringing the agencies who hold information to families to share that 18 information, that that's provided an opportunity for 19 families to feel heard and recognized. And while they 20 might be very frustrated with the outcome, or they might be 21 frustrated with not being able to access all the 22 information that they were hoping to have, families are 23

sharing with FILU teams that -- that they have an increased

2 feel recognized and heard by the agencies. 3 MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: What factors, in your view, have contributed to some of these results that you've 4 just been sharing today? 5 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: 6 I think adequate 7 funding is a really important factor to make sure that there's funding so that FILUs can operate as they need to, 8 that they can engage and partner as they need to with all 9 the right agencies and organizations, and that they can 10 stay flexible. So we've had a very flexible approach from 11 the very beginning, and I think that that's been an 12 important element of the success that -- where FILUs have 13 14 had success, that has helped to achieve that. 15 I think the partnerships that -- that the FILU teams have organized with Indigenous community 16 17 organizations who have the expertise, the partnerships they've built with the agencies who hold the information, 18 and the -- the being driven by the input that families 19 provided early on, and that they provide on an ongoing 20 basis to FILU operations, I think those are some of the 21 main -- main reasons for the successes that have been 22 achieved to date where there have been. 23

MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: Thank you. Those are

understanding of why that is and that they do feel -- they

1

my questions in-chief. 1 2 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Excuse 3 me, I've had a request for a very short break, please. MS. MEREDITH PORTER: So when -- it's now 4 two o'clock, when would you like --5 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Five 6 7 minutes. MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Five minutes? Okay, 8 thank you. So we will take a five-minute break. 9 --- Upon recessing 2:01 p.m. 10 --- Upon reconvening at 2:11 p.m. 11 MS. MEREDITH PORTER: We have Betty Ann 12 Pottruff, and for counsel, once again, is Barbara Mysko, and 13 I'll ask that Barbara proceed, then, with the witness. 14 15 BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Previously Affirmed: EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS. MYSKO: 16 17 MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. Good afternoon, Betty Ann. Good -- good afternoon, 18 19 Commissioners, thank you. Betty Ann, I'd like you to start off by telling the Commissioners a little bit about your 20 experience. That is, your work experience as it relates to 21 the testimony that you plan to give this afternoon. 22 MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: All right. Thank 23 you. I'm going to talk about my experience with the 24 Ministry of Justice in Saskatchewan for about the last 40 25

1	years. Are you ready? It's a long afternoon. But most
2	particularly, I'm going to talk about my experience as as
3	counsel with the Ministry in terms of the work I've done on
4	areas affecting Aboriginal justice. On interpersonal
5	violence and abuse, perhaps, as well. I worked for a lot of
6	years as counsel up in the Ministry, as a prosecutor, as a
7	civil lawyer, doing child protection matters, also running
8	the Family Law branch and then became Director of Policy in
9	1987 to about 2003, and then the Executive Director of the
10	Policy area for the Ministry from 2003 to 2014.
11	After that, I had the opportunity to start
12	Saskatchewan's first Counsel for Children program to provide
13	counsel for children involved in child protection matters,
14	and over those various years, I've I've been involved in
15	various commissions and and processes to advance
16	Aboriginal justice issues and and particularly, one of my
17	areas of of love is is dealing with issues affecting
18	children. I'm really a child advocate. So that's very
19	short, sweet.
20	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you for that. I
21	see that you have in front of you a document with your name

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: That's correct.

to give here this afternoon. Is that correct?

on the top, and it's a summary of your experience as I

understand it, as it relates to the testimony that you plan

22

23

24

1	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Okay. And I note that
2	there are a couple of errors on that document that we wanted
3	to put on the record while we tender it as an exhibit for
4	the benefit of the Commissioners, and one is there's the
5	spelling of your last name, which accurately is spelled P-O-
6	T-T-R-O R-U-F-F, two T's and two F's. And in addition,
7	you mentioned to me, Betty Ann, that you wish to acknowledge
8	and recognize the work of the members of the PPCMP, or the
9	Provincial Partnership Committee on Missing Persons, as also
10	having won the Premier's Award for Innovation for Leadership
11	for the work of the PPCMP. And so, for that reason, you
12	wanted to add the words at the last paragraph on the first
13	line, which say, "Betty Ann won with the members of the
14	PPCMP the Premier's Award for Innovation for Leadership."
15	Is that correct?
16	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: That's correct.
17	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: So we'd like to tender
18	this document as the next exhibit to the Commissioners at
19	this time, and that's with the errata that's mentioned.
20	It's a bio, okay?
21	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: The
22	document, "Betty Ann Pottruff, Q.C., Senior Advisor to ADM
23	of Innovation, Ministry of Justice, Government of
24	Saskatchewan," as amended, be Exhibit 16.
25	EXHIBIT NO. 16:

1	Document "Betty Ann Pottruff, Q.C.,
2	Senior Advisor toe ADM of Innovation,
3	Ministry of Justice, Government of
4	Saskatchewan
5	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. So to start
6	off your testimony this afternoon, Betty Ann, would you like
7	to speak to the terms that you will be using today?
8	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Okay. I just
9	wanted to make sure that no one was offended if I use the
10	term "Aboriginal" as well as the word "Indigenous."
11	Through much of my history the work that we've been doing
12	has been called Aboriginal justice or Aboriginal justice
13	reform or the statistics are Aboriginal, and I know that
14	we're moving towards the term Indigenous, but I don't mean
15	any disrespect if I switch back and forth between terms,
16	it's just where I'm comfortable explaining it from.
17	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. So to move
18	into the substantive part of your testimony, we will talk
19	generally about the Inquiry and how it relates to your
20	work. So as you know, the Inquiry touches on the issue of
21	violence against Indigenous women and girls, and much of
22	your work also has touched on those issues over the years.
23	Can you speak to, from your experience, some of the
24	Provincial Government's challenges as well as responses to
25	these issues, just as a high at a high level to start?

1	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I'll just try a
2	few. Challenges are many, as you as you all know.
3	Saskatchewan has a very high rate of violence and a very
4	high crime rate, double the national rate, and, as you
5	know, violence against Aboriginal women is two to three
6	times that as against non-Aboriginal women. Violence in
7	our northern communities is five times the level of
8	violence in the rest of the province. So that's a huge
9	challenge, how do how do we deal with that level of
10	violence, that level of victimization and offending and
11	community disorder?
12	The other challenge certainly is
13	geographical. The community spread across the province,
14	there are two large urban municipalities, but then there's
15	a large rural population and as well a northern population,
16	so the services available in communities vary dramatically,
17	particularly with fewer services being available in remote
18	and rural communities, and we know that that's a challenge
19	for people.
20	I think we also are very aware of the levels
21	of disadvantage experienced in the Indigenous communities,
22	whether it's poverty or housing, or whether it's the impact
23	of intergenerational abuse and disadvantage, so those are
24	some of the some of the challenges we face.

1	The other challenge that I'll just raise and
2	is that in my experience one of the limitations on on
3	the momentum to change is the fact that we are dealing with
4	different levels of government, so we're always in the
5	process of of changing leadership or changing
6	directions, whether it's elections at the First Nations
7	level, elections at the provincial level, elections at the
8	federal level, and so there's this consistent churn in term
9	of policy direction and commitment, and so it's very hard
10	to keep momentum going when you want to make big, big
11	changes and really shift society. That's one one of
12	one of the strengths of democracy, but it's also one of the
13	weaknesses, so I've often said that in a four-year mandate
14	you will often only get 18 months of really productive work
15	because there's so much churn going on at both ends.
16	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. So you've
17	described some of the challenges, can you describe at a
18	high level some of the responses that the Provincial
19	Government has, some of the approaches that it has taken
20	that you've been involved with?
21	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, starting
22	1990-91-92, we started discussions with our partners, both
23	Federal and Métis and First Nations, and out of that came

the commitment to hold the Indian and Métis Justice Review

Committees, which were two parallel committees in 1991 that reported in 1992. And the important thing about that process was not only the fact that we initiated that process to get practical recommendations for how we could change the justice system to be more inclusive and more responsive to the Aboriginal community, but as well the process itself was a partnership.

So while Judge Linn chaired the committees, we had nominated people from First Nations, Métis, Federal Government and the Province that sat as equal partners on the committee. We toured the province, heard from communities, we toured custody facilities and heard from inmates, to come up with the recommendations that were in those reports, and those were recommendations that were aimed at making practical change in the system right away.

And, for example, coming out of that, one of the major recommendations in that report too was to reinstitute the Aboriginal court worker program. So building off the partnerships that we built as part of the Indian and Métis Justice Review Committees, we then used the same process to reinstate the Aboriginal court worker program, and we had the same participants sitting around a table and negotiating how would we reinstitute the program in a way that was more community based and involved more in

1	terms of the Aboriginal community itself leading the
2	process.
3	From that, then we move on to in 2001 we
4	have the First Nations and Métis Peoples and Injustice
5	Reform Commission in Saskatchewan, again we had all levels
6	of government, First Nations, Métis, Federal and
7	Provincial, nominate people to that commission. And coming
8	out of that then we sat again as partners to talk about how
9	do we implement some of the recommendations out of that
10	commission.
11	So it was very much building on that
12	experience, and the experience of working with Indigenous
13	partners, that then led to the the approach to create
14	the partnership committee to look at missing persons
15	because our experience had been that when we work in
16	partnership it may take us a little longer to get to
17	solutions, but the solutions are better.
18	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: So you referred to the
19	partnership committee, can you describe what that was and
20	why it was created?
21	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: In 2000 and
22	well, there was the Amnesty International Report on
23	murdered women that came out, and there was a lot of media
24	finally picking up on the issue of missing and murdered

Indigenous women in 2005, and so it was pressure to do something. And out of that pressure Premier Calvert, at the time, announced that there would be a task force created to deal with the issue of missing persons. And the task force was never really a task force, it was three related initiatives.

The one was looking at policing policies in terms of how they responded to cases; the other was funding specific police positions to investigate cold cases or long term missing cases; and the third element was a partnership with Indigenous and other organizations to actually try to come up for solutions in terms of how to prevent and how to reduce people from going missing. And the -- and that was sort of the genesis with coming up with the partnership committee.

What we did was then we looked to our partners, policing, community agencies like Alzheimer's, Child Find and our Indigenous partners, such as Federation of Saskatchewan Indian Nations, as they were then, Women's Commission and Saskatchewan Aboriginal Women's Circle Corporation, Métis Family and Community Justice Services Inc., we look for this range of partners that had province wide responsibility or experience in terms of dealing with missing person situations to come together to see if we

1	could find ways to, in fact, have have some solutions,
2	some actions, that would help to prevent and reduce persons
3	from going missing. And it was all persons because when we
4	looked at the number of missing persons in the police
5	database, there clearly are Indigenous and non-Indigenous
6	people, and we wanted to learn the solutions in terms of
7	dealing with the problem as a whole.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. So just to clarify for the record, when you or I refer to the PPCMP, that will be referring to the Provincial Partnership Committee on Missing Persons, that's correct?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: That's correct.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. So during this time there was the creation of a website by the Saskatchewan Association of Chiefs of Police. Can you describe that just at a high level?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: The website was actually created by the Saskatchewan Association of Chiefs of Police in 2005. So it actually was created before the partnership committee was actually formally formed in January of 2006. So we were really fortunate to be able to have that information, which not only identified all the cases that were known to them of long-term missing persons, but also identified Aboriginal versus non-Aboriginal, male

1	and female, and it gave some basic information about the
2	missing circumstances of the missing person. And that
3	was something that other jurisdictions did not have.
4	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. You also
5	described some of the leadup, some of the discussion prior
6	to the creation of the PPCMP. Reflecting back on that, do
7	you think the institutions involved had an understanding of
8	the issues before the partnership was formed?
9	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I think the the
10	organizations involved all had an understanding of the
11	issues from their perspective. What we didn't have and
12	was a holistic understanding of the issues from a shared
13	perspective, and that was what we really needed to create
14	when we started the PPCMP.
15	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Okay. And reflecting
16	back on some of the successes of the partnership, what do
17	you see as having been some of the key ingredients of both
18	the PPCMP as well as some of the earlier partnerships that
19	you were involved in?
20	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, I think it has
21	been mentioned earlier today, one of the major issues that
22	you need to deal with in work like this is building trust.

Because if you don't build the trust and focus on

relationships, then -- then it's going to be much more

difficult for you to be successful. People have to feel

23

24

1	they're in a safe environment in which they can they can
2	say what they want to say, even if what they have to say,
3	you know, might be hard to hear. There's got to be a a -
4	- a safety in in in who you're dealing with, and in
5	understanding that your view is going to be respected,
6	you're going to be listened to, and and every member
7	there is is of the same value. Everyone is to be
8	respected and and treated as equals.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: In that vein, do you have anything to say about power sharing?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, certainly what -- what we have done with -- with some of the processes is that we would take turns sharing meetings, whether it was the -- some of the work that was done after the Indian and Métis Justice Review Commmittees in -- in instituting the Aboriginal court worker. We'd take turns sharing -- sharing the meetings and developing the agendas. I was also involved in negotiation for the Aboriginal justice funding from 1993 to about 1997 with First Nations communities and leaders, and we took turns sharing the meetings and sending the agendas and making sure that no one was seen more powerful than the other.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Okay. Viewing partnerships, reporting structure, did everybody report to government?

1	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: No, the partnership
2	members report to their own organization. The Provincial
3	Partnership Committee does not report to government. That
4	it would not be a partnership if we reported to
5	government. Government is simply a partner. I I co-
6	chaired the Partnership Committee from 2006 to 2014. That
7	co-chairing was really a facilitation of of discussion
8	amongst equals.
9	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Then was it a was it
10	and is it a closed partnership, and what is the process for
11	determining who is or who is not a partner?
12	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: It's not a closed
13	process. When we started in 2006, we had about 15 partners
14	at the table, and now we've got over 20. What we look at is
15	whether an organization or has has some province-wide
16	involvement in missing persons issues and whether they can
17	bring that perspective, a new perspective, to the table.
18	And if that's the case, then we will we will add them as
19	a partner.
20	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: In a higher level, what
21	is the mandate of the PPCMP?
22	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, the mandate
23	that of the Provincial Partnership Committee is to work
24	towards a situation where we can prevent and reduce the
25	number of persons who go missing, recognizing the equal

value of every life.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. Now, around the time of its creation, what did the PPCMP do to gather the requisite information to guide its work?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, as I said, we were fortunate to have the Saskatchewan Association of Chiefs of Police website and information. We also funded a professor from the University of Regina, Jeff Pfeifer, to do in-depth research on how the police in Saskatchewan were responding to missing persons cases because there was no real information on how these cases were being dealt with, and so he did.

He interviewed all the police and gathered data, and from that we found that, to our surprise, but probably not to the surprise of the police, but to our surprise, that there were over 4,500 reports of missing persons a year in the province and that the majority of those were under age 18 and many of those were chronic, repeat runaways or -- or leaving. And -- and we also found that, you know, that there was not a consistent police practice in dealing with these cases.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. Were there other lessons drawn from that research?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, certainly we
-- we saw that -- that it happened across the province, that

1	it was not isolated to any particular area, but there were
2	people reported missing from all of them. In the majority
3	of situations, 99.9 percent of cases, the person is is
4	found within 48 hours, but it is the long-term cases where,
5	in fact, we know that that that there's the most

tragic results.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Can you name any specific initiatives that resulted from this research?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I think that the research itself simply underlay the other processes that we then followed, because we also then heard from the investigators at the Highway of Tears. We had -- had them come and talk to us. We looked at other -- other information that was available, and coming out of that, we developed some preliminary recommendations for action that we want to take as -- as a partnership committee, and -- and before we could finalize any recommendations, though, we realized that we needed to go out and meet with the families to ground what we thought we had found and -- and where we were going. And -- and so the next step for the Partnership Committee, then, was to actually organize to have meetings with the families.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Tell us a little bit about how that occurred, how the families were chosen, how you reached out to them.

course, forging our own new path doing this, much as the commission has in trying to do this work, and we determined that what we wanted to do was to get information that would help us understand how families interact with the system and where were -- where were the inadequacies in the system and where were the things that worked well. And to do that, we felt that we wanted to look at families from 1991. Not to disrespect families who had lost loved ones earlier than that in any way, but -- but merely because we were looking for information on how the current system could be improved and felt we -- we should start with the families had the most recent experience with the various system responses.

So what we did, then, was, through the cold case investigators who were linked with the families, we had them reach out to the families and indicate to the families — there were 47 families that we were involved with at the time — reach out to those families and advise them that this work was going on and that they were going to be contacted and that there was going to be this opportunity to meet with the Partnership Committee to talk about their situation.

And -- and we then followed up with a letter to the families and to the key contacts in each family, requesting whether they would be prepared to come and meet

with us and -- and indicating that they could bring family support members with them. And we heard back from, I think, 24 or 23 families at that time. About 50 -- 50 people in total came, and we had meetings in Regina, Saskatoon, and Prince Albert to try and gather families from the north, the central region, and -- and the south. And -- and those were very informative, very helpful meetings. We learned a lot from the families at those meetings. We organized the meetings so that it would be most of the day, starting about 9 'til sometime in the afternoon, 3. We made sure that we had funds available for the families when they arrived to pay for travel, to pay for food, and if they needed hotel, we had arranged hotel accommodation.

Of the families we invited it's important to understand that these were families for all missing persons, so there were Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal families involved. And we ensured that we had victim services available, we had elders available, we had ceremony available for those that wanted to participate in ceremony.

And we started off the process each -- by trying to tell the families what our role was, what we were attempting to do, and giving them some information about what we had found out about the issue of missing persons by that point.

And then we had told them before they -they came, in the letter that we sent, that we wanted to
talk to them about what went well and what did not go well
in their -- in their case, and that we also wanted to know
if there was one thing that could be fixed what would that
one thing be. So we felt that by giving them an
opportunity to focus on a couple of very clear questions
they would -- they would be able to contribute, right. So
after we had had some discussion, we then broke into
smaller groups to have discussion around those questions
and to hear the family feedback on that.

I think one of the other things that was sort of really -- really important that day was we had arranged the room so that there were circle tables, right, and we tried to arrange it so that we had the families mixed with various groups so that they would start to get to know each other a bit because one of the things we had heard was the need for support groups and for basically families to support families. And -- and we also felt it was important to make sure that the Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal families mixed to the degree that was able to so that they could -- they could share their experiences because one of the problems with -- with families often is that they feel so isolated, and this was a way to try to

1	deal with that isolation, but it was also a way to
2	demonstrate that that isolation is not racially based.
3	Families of missing persons all feel that isolation. And
4	there are different aspects, of course, depending on the
5	family, but there are common aspects as well.
6	We also had developed then, by the RCMP, a
7	big board that had the pictures and names of all the
8	missing persons, all 90 or so at that time, so that people
9	could see the diversity of missing persons across the
10	province and understand that this was this was a problem
11	for everyone because any family can experience someone
12	going missing and and that we needed to sort of step
13	back and look at what were the common issues, as well as
14	what were the specific issues in terms of the various
15	perspectives that people had, including the missing
16	Aboriginal women.
17	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Betty Ann, can you
18	provide the Commissioners with an overview of who was
19	present at those meetings from the various institutions?
20	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, we had victim
21	services, and we also had all the members of the Provincial
22	Partnership Committee, and that includes, police, that's
23	RCMP as well as Indigenous organizations, Alzheimer's,
24	Child Find, Stops to Violence, that whole range. And

and we also made sure that we had present at all of those the investigators, the cold case investigators themselves for each of the cases.

And the families then, after we had done the general discussion on the questions, we then made sure there was special time for the families to just sit down with the investigator in their case and actually have one-on-one time with the investigator. And we heard back from the families that, in fact, they found that to be the most valuable part of the day. Because what happened was when — often there would be four or five family members and one of them is the key contact, but sometimes you would find that the key contact had not shared information with the other family members, or that other family members had information that had not been given to the police, and it's simply a communication issue.

The other area is it was important from the investigators' point of view too, they also felt that that was the most valuable part of the day for them because they actually were in a more comfortable space with the family, they felt safe and -- in terms of the family communicating with them, and the -- and the interaction was very good, and generally they felt they -- they had both been heard then in terms of what the process was and trying to share

2		M	IS. BA	ARBAI	RA I	MYSKO	: Sp	peakir	ng (of l	essons,
1	information,	so	that	was		that	was	part	of	it,	yeah.

3 what were some of the major lessons learned from this

4 process?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, I think to start with, that I would say the major lesson we learned, we learned from our Indigenous partners, who helped us throughout this whole process understand how to do a family meeting because they had done them and we never had. So we learned a lot of lessons from FSIN Women's Commission and SOC (ph) and others about how to do this.

I think the other lessons that we learned were that, you know, the strength of the families, and that they had a lot of valuable information to contribute if anybody would listen to them. And a number of them commented that this was the first time anybody had ever listened to them and they were grateful for that opportunity.

I think we also learned that there's -there are some subtle differences in terms of dealing with
families, that if you're dealing with the families of
missing, they're -- they're in a different space than the
families of the missing and murdered, and that we had to be
careful in these events to have separate discussions, the

families of the missing and murdered from just the families of the missing because they're simply at a different point in the process in terms of processing things.

obviously still living in hope that the person will be found, and they are frustrated with the system because they don't have the answers they want, but there may be reasons why they don't have the answers there. And you can explain to them that there are reasons why the police can't tell them more about the investigation, but they're still frustrated and they want somebody to fix this. They're angry with the police because they want the police to fix it, but the police can't, but they're still trying to do the investigation.

With the families of the missing and murdered what you would find, sometimes, is that they now understand some of the issues with the investigation and why they weren't given the information when they wanted the information because the investigation was ongoing, but they've now moved on because of the -- of the tragedy of the death and -- and they're dealing with grieving that way, and often what they want is to simply make sure that this never happens to another family. So just different processes.

1	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: And what were some of
2	the other challenges or issues that the families raised in
3	those meetings with you?

there's always communications. Communications is the key one, between the police and the families and within the families as well, and communications with the supporting agencies. Those are major ones. The families also raised with us issues about obviously financial and emotional support while they go through this process, the feeling of being isolated and not understanding, you know, that there are other families that have gone through this. And simply the lack of knowledge in terms of what to do when your family member goes missing. For many of us we would never have thought, you know, what do I do next if someone doesn't come home, right?

They also talked about the challenges of dealing with the media and -- and particularly for, I'd say, the Aboriginal families those challenges were greater because the media had -- had maybe inappropriately commented on -- on certain lifestyle or other issues that were not relevant to the fact that the person went missing, and that they felt that was very detrimental. Those were the sort of things they raised.

1	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: So are there lessons
2	that you would draw or like to pass on to others who may
3	want to engage in a similar process?
4	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: With family type
5	meetings?
6	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Right.
7	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, obviously you
8	need to have lots of support for the families because
9	you're asking them to talk about very traumatic things and
10	and they will be in a vulnerable situation when they
11	when they have to talk about this, so victim services and
12	trauma supports are very important. Ceremony is important.
13	Certainly making sure that there is time to actually hear
14	from the family. Our agendas, while they were agendas,
15	they were very flexible agendas, and if the families needed
16	more time on one area than another, then that's that's
17	what you do. You have to be flexible because you're asking
18	them to come and share on something that is very, very
19	personal and very difficult for them.
20	The other thing that we we tried to do
21	was make sure that while we were asking for this
22	information and we also wanted to make sure we gave the
23	family something back that day too, that they gained
24	something out of the process. So, for example, that was

1	one of the reasons as well for having the investigators
2	there, it gave the families something that they didn't
3	otherwise have access to. So those would be some of the
4	lessons.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Okay. So I understand that at the beginning of the meetings you presented proposed recommendations to the families for feedback, and so moving out of those meetings how did you achieve some progress on some or all of those recommendations?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, certainly coming out of the meetings we -- we added to the recommendations because the families had told us a number of things that we hadn't thought about, so that was very valuable, and they had also corrected us on a couple of areas where we had maybe not appreciated the issue well enough, but then we moved forward and -- and finalized the report in 2007. And -- and there are 20 areas of recommendation in the final report that was issued in 2007.

The partnership committee then carried on to try to figure out how to implement those recommendations.

And once again, because it's a partnership committee, each individual organization is responsible for sort of taking what they can do back and doing it, and, as well, we're responsible as a group for coming up with things that we

1 can do together.

So, for example, the government acted on the recommendations and passed *The Missing Persons and Presumption of Death Act* to provide some new remedies for families to deal with property and estate matters when -- when someone is missing, and also to provide the police with a tool to get information from -- from basically anyone about someone who is missing. And those were -- those were things that were identified by the families and the police directly in the hearings, that this is what they didn't have.

We then also went forward and -- and had the police, the Saskatchewan Association of Police, agree to a protocol that victim services could help families of missing in 2010, and in 2011 we actually created three missing person liaison positions in the Province of Saskatchewan, and I think the Commission is familiar with those.

We also went forward and the partnership committee developed a number of things that we -- tools that we thought the families had asked for. We developed a checklist for what to do when someone goes missing. We developed a media kit for what to do when you're trying to deal with media. We developed a list of agencies who are

involved in missing persons situations and could be supports. And we put all those on the website, as well as an agency book, and the agency book advises or tries to advise agencies in terms of if you're dealing with a family who has a missing person, here are some of the things you can do, here's how you can help them, and it includes the checklist in it, for example.

And so we did a number of tools and we -- we went back to families then in 2009 and said here are some of the things we've done, or here are some of the tools we've developed, and what do you think? Are these the right things or have we missed something or is there something more we should be doing? And -- and they -- we got support for the media kit and the checklist, with some suggestions for improving it.

We -- we also asked them some questions about a couple of the recommendations that when we got to implementation we weren't sure how to act on. One of them was a recommendation about creating a memorial, and we asked the families, you know, what does this mean to you? And what we heard back was that families with missing persons did not want a memorial. A memorial meant something to them that was not aligned with hope.

What they wanted was remembrance, we need to

always be remembering our missing loved ones, and so we took that -- that back. And while we've supported -- the government has supported various remembrances across the province, being with the Saskatoon police have one that was created, and there's the place of reflection at the RCMP, the province has also contributed to that, but we also planted an oak tree in Wascana park in 2014 because this is a tree that will grow tall and strong and live a long time and protect a lot of area. And that's what we want for our families, we want our communities to grow tall and strong and protect each other.

So they also had asked in the recommendations for support for families, including financial support, and so we went back and talked to the families again about what did that mean because each family's journey is very different in terms of what their needs might be and how would we be able to accommodate that. And I think we've never achieved what we needed to achieve on that. We have put in place, as I say, supports for the families, such as the missing persons liaisons, but we've never been able to find a way to figure out how to do the financial support given the diversity of needs, so that is one thing that's still outstanding, I think.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Okay, thank you for that

1	and thank you for your candor. I'd like to take this time
2	to enter as exhibits three exhibits further to Betty Ann's
3	testimony. The first being an exhibit that was previously
4	provided to the Commissioners and the parties with
5	standing, it's entitled Agency Response Guide to Missing
6	Persons Situations in Saskatchewan dated March 3rd, 2014.
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me, could you
8	hold the document up? I think I have
9	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Absolutely. In fact, I
10	think I have the thank you. I can provide my copy as
11	well.
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I just want to make
13	sure we have the right document.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Schedule "G"?
15	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: It looks like this.
16	It's quite a blank page.
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Could we have your
18	copy, please?
19	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Absolutely.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We will mark it as
21	exhibit 17, but could I have the proper title again,
22	please?
23	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Agency Response Guide to
24	Missing Person Situations in Saskatchewan.

1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Exhibit 17,
2	please.
3	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Would you like me to
4	bring it to you?
5	EXHIBIT NO. 17:
6	"Agency Response Guide to Missing
7	Person Situations in Saskatchewan"
8	(March 3, 2017 version), Saskatchewan
9	Provincial Partnership Committee on
10	Missing Persons
11	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: So, yes, the Agency
12	Response Guide to Missing Person Situations in Saskatchewan
13	is the one created by the partnership committee.
14	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you, Betty Ann.
15	Thank you. And the second document which I
16	have handy as well, just in case, is entitled Media
17	Relations, a Toolkit For Families. And although it's
18	possible that this document was entered as an exhibit at
19	the Saskatoon community hearings in November, we would like
20	to enter it as an exhibit again here today.
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Exhibit 18.
22	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: I'll just put the
23	exhibit to the witness.
24	Betty Ann, if you could speak to this

1	document? Are you familiar with this document?
2	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yes, I'm familiar
3	with this document. This is the one created by the
4	partnership committee, and it responds to comments from the
5	families, in particular because when we talked to them in
6	2009 we had thought about it from the context of the media
7	coming to the families. When we met the families, they
8	indicated one of their concerns was, how do they get media
9	attention when the media's not paying attention? So that
10	was added to the document after 2009.
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You can print out a
12	copy.
13	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: The Media Relations
14	Toolkit will be exhibit 18.
15	
16	EXHIBIT NO. 18:
17	"Media Relations: A Toolkit for
18	Families", (five pages)
19	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you, and we can
20	provide this copy to the Commissioners if it should please
21	the Commissioners. And the third document, for this point
22	in time, in any event, is the Progress Report, dated 2007 to
23	2018, and I'll put that document to the witness as well.
24	Betty Ann, can you tell us a little bit about
25	this document. I know you had referred to some of the

1 progress that had been made on the recommendations earlier.

2 Just explain to us at a high level what this document is and

how it was created.

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Okay, so this document is -- is a partnership document that tries to detail the progress that we made on the recommendations in -- made in 2007 and -- and 2009. And it indicates in the front table the number that we feel we've completed, although, some of them require ongoing attention because public awareness is never done.

11 (LAUGHTER)

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: But -- but it tries to give the history for -- for the Provincial Partnership Committee and what we've done, and some explanation as to what these actions undertaken are. And this is a public document, it's on the Justice website, and we will continue to try to provide updates as required. I think what's -- what's also useful in this document is to talk about what the Provincial Partnership Committee has done since 2009, and that is, we heard from families in 2009 that -- that they were pleased that we'd done as much activity as we had on the recommendations, but there was more to be done. And that included concerns about what was happening in our jurisdiction needed to happen in other jurisdictions as well. And we took that comment back, and as a result of

1	that, with Justice Canada funding, we then hosted a Western
2	Regional Forum on supporting families of missing persons in
3	2011, March 2011.
4	The coldest March 1st in 40 years in
5	Saskatchewan, and we had our guest speaker was from
6	Australia, who had never been in Canada before. Okay, she
7	was really impressed with snow, she thought it was really
8	pretty.
9	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: So maybe we'll just enter
10	that as an exhibit at this time.
11	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: The snow?
12	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Do the Commissioners have
13	a copy of this document?
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The Progress Report
15	2007 to 2018 is Exhibit 19, please.
16	EXHIBIT NO. 19:
17	Provincial Partnership Committee on
18	Missing Persons (PPCMP) Progress Report
19	2007-2018, (30 pages)
20	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Okay, thank you very
21	much.
22	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Okay, so we we
23	invited representatives from community organizations, the
24	government, and Aboriginal organizations to the Western
25	Regional Forum in 2011 in Regina. And we had about 80

participants at -- at a two-day workshop to figure out how we could best support families of missing persons. We had very good engagement, and -- and I think people left really enthused about the potential to work together, and within their jurisdiction as well as a cross-jurisdiction to -- to try and improve the services available for families, and to coordinate those services. One of the recommendations coming out of that was for people to lobby for more government support for this area, to develop more collaborative relationships, and also to develop directions to go forward. So the partnership committee in Saskatchewan took that and then developed a strategic distance plan in terms of what we should do going forward, and we developed that and that was made public in 2012.

And there were three directions proposed for work going forward. One, continuing to enhance our collaboration across organizations and across jurisdictions. Another one is -- is continuing to support families, find ways to support family. And the third, and final, was finding ways to -- to really highlight prevention and awareness. So again, we took that report and -- and the next year we started the annual missing persons week in Saskatchewan, which has happened every year since 2013 now. And each year we try to work as a partnership to come up with what will be our -- our theme or our focus for the

week. How can we get more partners engaged in profiling
what they do in their community and in profiling the issues?
How can we engage the media?

And we -- we've done sessions on the myths around missing persons. We've done sessions showing the different types of situations in which people go missing.

And -- and most recently this past year, was everyone has a role when someone goes missing. And so -- we've had good media engagement and public engagement with that, and we continue to work towards the public awareness, but we've also been working through the partners in -- in many ways to -- to better support families. And -- and much of that is set out in the report here in terms of the actions that the partners have taken.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. So just to take you back for a minute to the discussion around the Western Regional Forum. Can you tell us just to be sure that it's on the record, what was the impetus for the Regional Forum in terms of any issues that may have been raised by the families?

when the families were talking about the work that we had done, they indicated that, you know, this was fine for Saskatchewan, but for some family members had gone missing in other jurisdictions. And what we're going to do to help

1	in those situations, so that in fact they could be supported
2	there as well, and and that was their reason for for
3	asking that we look at how we could act beyond just
4	Saskatchewan, what we could do.
5	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: And what were some of the
6	lessons that resulted from the Forum in terms of informing
7	the work on a national level?
8	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, I think many
9	of the recommendations involved the need for a national
10	database, for example, and we've seen that happen now. The
11	need for consistent policy across jurisdictions on how the
12	police deal with missing persons cases. The need for
13	supports for families, and that weren't limited by
14	jurisdictional boundaries, and and I think things like
15	the DNA databank were also raised at the Regional Forum as
16	things that needed to happen. But a lot of focus on
17	improving collaboration and on working with partners.
18	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: And was there any link
19	or any influence in relation to the National Committee on
20	missing
21	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Missing women? Some,
22	but the the National Committee on missing women, which I
23	was also part of, was focusing more on on how to manage
24	these cases within the criminal justice system. So it
25	wasn't it looked at support processes as well, but it

it was a slightly narrower focus whereas, I think, the

Western Regional Forum was really looking at how can we all

work together to -- to better support families both outside

the justice system and within the justice system.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: So fast forward to 2014, and were there any specific initiatives around that time for follow-up or support with families?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yes, in -- in 2013 the partnership committee started talking about the fact that we actually hadn't talked to families since 2009. And we were a little worried that we were no longer being relevant or grounded in terms of what the realities were, and that we needed to find a way to get back and talk to families again, but we didn't want to just call people together without being able to give them something to take away. And so we were impressed to hear about some training in the U.S. that dealt with the issue of ambiguous loss and trauma and this seemed to be relatively new information. And when we heard about it, it seemed very relevant to be able to provide to agencies and to families to help them understand some of what they were feeling and going through and, as well, to give them some tools that they could take away to help -- to help work on the trauma. And -- and so then we organized to have a one-day meeting in Saskatoon with agencies. We invited 130 agencies, I think 80

attended. For a one-day training on ambiguous loss and trauma, so that they would understand what their clients were going through. And then we held meetings with families the days after in Regina, Saskatoon, and by TeleHealth with the north to engage with the families and to talk to them about ambiguous loss and trauma and -- and how that has physical impacts and -- and mental impacts on the individual and -- and things that they can do to sort of deal with this trauma.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Okay. You used the word "ambiguous", ambiguous loss. Not being a psychologist, but can you provide an overview of what that means in your understanding?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: My understanding, not being a psychologist either, these -- this is the tension that is inherent in the situation of a missing person, where -- where the family is -- the individual is hoping very much that the family member is found, but is also fearing the results that they may not be positive or that the person may never be found. So they're in a -- in a constant state of anticipation but can't really move forward because they -- they can't -- it's not like they can grieve an actual situation. They're still in hope and -- and so often they're -- they're just simply caught in that moment and can't move on.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Betty Ann, you talked about engaging the north with an organization that you referred to as TeleHealth. Can you explain how that happened?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, we did want to meet with the north families and -- and I -- I will admit the partnership committee feels that we have not sufficiently met with northern families. We met with them in 2007 with a few families in Prince Albert. We were invited to go north to meet with more families and it just never happened for a variety of reasons, and then when we did the meetings in 2009 they were Saskatoon and Regina, so we didn't go to the north again.

And then we were settling the -- the 2014 meetings. We were doing Regina and Saskatoon, and once again, we felt just going to Prince Albert or La Ronge, even that doesn't help because there are families all over the north, and how are they going to get there? But there was the opportunity to meet with them by TeleHealth. And -- and Saskatchewan Health in -- in -- has been very active in developing TeleHealth facilities with the north so that people can actually get counselling and other things by TeleHealth. And they do seminars and training by TeleHealth, and so we thought maybe this would be a better way to reach out to more communities that way.

1	And and it turned out that it was
2	excellent. We had we had an excellent we touched five
3	families in four communities and we were able to be
4	interactive in terms of answering questions, and as well, we
5	made sure that that the family, the victim services
6	people were there, the police investigators were there, so
7	while we did the session on ambiguous loss, then when
8	when the TeleHealth session was over, the families were
9	still supported there and still had those processes, that
10	they could get something out of the day.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. So to wrap up this discussion around the family meetings and the PPCMP, can you describe, in reflection, what were some of the key learnings from this process that perhaps -- that you haven't already covered?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I -- I think of it, it's -- it's always important to provide the families with -- with some space to have discussions. Obviously, when you -- when you try to probe around the day, there's not enough time for the families to actually interact and -- and to be able to raise the issues that they want to raise, so you really -- you -- there's a period where you can provide information and feedback, but you also need to have the flexibility to be able to have smaller group discussion and -- and have the families direct the issues they want to talk

about. And -- and so I -- I, you know, I think that's

primarily it. It's got to be -- it's got to be a safe

space, it's got to be supportive, and -- and the families

really -- they -- my view, they need to be provided with

something they can leave with that is a benefit to them for that day.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. You described earlier the impact on police policy in Saskatchewan through the work of the PPCMP. Have you covered everything that you wanted to speak to there?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, certainly the police have changed their policy, so the Saskatchewan Police Commission developed a policy that has now been adopted by all police in Saskatchewan and on a voluntary basis by the RCMP as well. So there's one consistent approach to how missing persons cases are handled in the province, consistent intake forms, and -- and it's clearly understood that there never was a waiting time to report a missing person, but too many people still believe there is. But there never was a waiting time before you could report somebody missing. And the policy is now very clear that there is no waiting time that they will take a report as soon as somebody brings it in, and they will take it irrespective of what police force it comes to. So just because the person that you're concerned about went missing

1	from, you think, Prince Albert doesn't mean you can't go to
2	the Saskatoon Police and report it. You can. So we it's
3	trying to make consistent policy across the province.
4	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. And we looked
5	at the progress report and entered it as an exhibit, and
6	have you spoken to every last detail of how the government
7	responded to the recommendations there? Or would you refer
8	people to the progress report for more detail?
9	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I would certainly
10	refer them to the progress report for more detail because I
11	do not pretend to have addressed everything in here.
12	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: You didn't want to go
13	through everything in detail today?
14	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I will say that the
15	one other thing that I I didn't mention is on the Western
16	Region Forum, we made a report from that forum, went back to
17	all participants so that they had a and and the same
18	with the the strategic plan for the Provincial
19	Partnership Committee. We shared that with all
20	jurisdictions.
21	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. So moving on,
22	how did the PPCMP's work impact on the development on victim
23	services?
24	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: As I mentioned, I
25	think, the the police agreed because these are police-

1	based victim services, so the police agreed that victim
2	services can be provided to families of missing across the
3	province, and, as well, in 2011, three missing person
4	liaisons were positions were created in Regina,
5	Saskatoon, and Prince Albert with Federal funding support,
6	and that funding is, I believe, in place until 2020. And
7	and those positions specifically deal with families of
8	missing persons, but they also support victim services
9	across the province.
10	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Okay. I'll ask you to
11	turn to one last document in your binder. It's entitled,
12	"Part 2: Institutional Hearings on Government Services" in
13	large print, "Panel on Victim Services".
14	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: In the binders?
15	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: It's a 28-page document,
16	and I'll just ask you if you're familiar with this document
17	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yes, I am.
18	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: And were you involved at
19	a high level in its preparation?
20	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yes, I was.
21	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. I would like
22	to enter this next document as an exhibit.
23	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Yes, "Part
24	2: Institutional Hearings on Government Services" will be
25	Exhibit 20.

1 --- EXHIBIT NO. 20: Government of Saskatchewan document 2 "Part II: Institutional Hearings on 3 4 Government Services - Panel on Victim Services" dated May 18, 2018 (28 pages) 5 MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. And you're 6 offering this exhibit as higher-level context to your 7 testimony? 8 9 MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. I'm cognizant 10 of the time, Betty Ann, so I'll ask you one last question. 11 How do you hope that this National Inquiry process would be 12 13 able to support the work that the Provincial Partnership has been doing? 14 MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, I'm really 15 looking forward to getting some -- some concrete practical 16 recommendations from the National Inquiry that we can take 17 forward and -- and look at in terms of a work plan for the 18 19 Partnership Committee and -- and for government in terms of things that we can do to support families to deal with 20 trauma that the families experience and -- and to improve 21 22 processes to make sure that people -- fewer people go missing or stay missing. And certainly there -- there are 23

many, many needs for -- for trauma supports that -- that

are, I think, are evident with -- with the National Inquiry.

24

1	That the families have current and ongoing needs. And
2	speaking to the the opening ceremonies this morning, if
3	we want to have safe communities, we have to have healthy
4	people and healthy communities. And I think that starts
5	with dealing with the trauma that so many people have
6	suffered.
7	I also wanted to just take a moment and speak
8	to the sash. Ministry of Justice and Correction and
9	Policing in Saskatchewan has had an Elder's Committee for a
10	number of years since about 2005, and I've spoken to them
11	many times about the Missing and Murdered Inquiry. And as
12	well, the Commissioners met with them in December of 2016.
13	I was with the Elders again May 14th, and and they gifted
14	me with this sash, and so I wanted to bring it today to
15	acknowledge the support and the advice that I've been
16	provided by the Elder's. Thank you.
17	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you, and that
18	concludes my questions for the witness.
19	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. I'm going
20	to suggest at this point, are any questions from any of the
21	Commissioners, if they would like to put to the witness
22	advance of the cross-examination?
23	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: I just
24	literally have one minutes here.
25	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: While they're

218 NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON QUESTIONS BY THE COMMISSIONERS

discussing, I was telling my sisters here, I didn't want to 1 knock over the Inuit lamp, I'll get Inuit bad luck for ten 2 years or something so ... 3 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Longer than that, my friend. 5 MS. MEREDITH PORTER: One -- one question. 6 Okay. 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. 8 9 MS. MEREDITH PORTER: There's one question. 10 **UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:** Okay. COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: While she's 11 looking for her question 'cause she had so many good 12 13 question, she have to choose the question. I just want to say thank you for your presentation this morning; the first 14 panel and the second one also. It was very interesting and 15 scratching also. I'm so anxious for tomorrow. Merci. 16 **OUESTIONS BY THE COMMISSIONERS:** 17 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: I echo her 18 19 gratitude. I only have one question, and it's for you with respect to the FILU programs. And I'm asking it now, just 20 'cause I think it will help everybody. When you talk about 21 22 eligibility, you indicated it's for all families of -- of Missing and Murdered. How do you define that? And -- and 23 I'll give you an example or a question to help contextualize 24 25 that. Would that include family members who lost a loved

219 NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON QUESTIONS BY THE COMMISSIONERS

1	one to say, what the coroners determine to be suicide for
2	example?
3	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, it would. It
4	includes all families who've lost loved ones. They
5	families come to the FILUs. Many times, they are the
6	the coroner has determined a cause of death that that
7	doesn't sit well with them, that doesn't resonate with their
8	experience. And the FILUs will work with those family
9	members as well. I think what - the definition is broad,
10	and I think what changes is what information can be shared
11	that's going to be defined by the relationship of that
12	family member to the person about whom the information is
13	about, which is determined by the agency.
14	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: I think at that
15	this point, that's sort of my only question.
16	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: We're
17	deferring further questions until the end of cross-
18	examination.
19	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Okay. Well, thank you
20	very much. And so we are going to move into the we've
21	completed now the sorry. Was did you have a question.
22	I have another request.
23	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: I have another
24	request. We'll take the afternoon break, please.
25	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Yes, we're going to

220 NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON QUESTIONS BY THE COMMISSIONERS

1	actually take about a 30-minute break, 'cause we need to
2	have an opportunity to get parties organized with their
3	questions
4	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Yes.
5	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: for cross-
6	examination, but I do also want to thank the witnesses and
7	counsel for their assistance today in leading the evidence.
8	So I will request a 30-minute time.
9	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Okay. She
10	gave us afternoon off.
11	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: 30-minute break.
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hey, there's three
13	women here looking at me, wondering if I'm going to say
14	something. I'm not, so
15	(LAUGHTER)
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. What I'm
17	hearing is a 30-minute break
18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.
19	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: and then we'll come
20	back for cross-examination.
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And we will come back
22	here and those questions.
23	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.
24	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: All right. We have one
25	comment here.

1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: If if a
2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: One comment? Okay.
3	Sorry.
4	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Sorry, and that's what
5	I was looking at. I I understand my that my client
6	wanted to make a comment.
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: All right. All right
8	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: And just so
9	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Or announcement.
10	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Hello? Okay. Thank
11	you. Just, we are having a 30-minute break. Ten minutes
12	into the break, if all parties could meet in the room, that
13	office, so that we can have that meeting?
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.
15	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Just a reminder that
16	rule 48 now reverses.
17	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Yes. Yes. Yeah.
18	Yeah.
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We do I believe have
20	break-out rooms, right? We're going to defer
21	whoever
22	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: We yes, we do. And
23	the parties will meet in the the break out room and get
24	again, get organized with respect to the order that they
25	have for asking questions. But I also did want to remind

1	that you, again, of of rule 48. This morning, during the
2	in-Chief examination, the parties were asked not to approach
3	the witnesses and discuss with them the elements or any
4	relation to their their evidence. At this point in time,
5	we're going to ask counsel not to discuss elements of the
6	of of evidence with their witnesses as the parties move
7	to their cross-examination. Again, rule 48 of the
8	procedure guide speaks to that issue. So thanks very much.
9	Upon recessing at 3:21 p.m.
10	Upon reconvening at 3:59 p.m
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me, Me Porter.
12	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. Okay, we
13	are now going to move into our cross-examination of the
14	witnesses, and the first party that I would like to invite
15	up is The Institute For the Advancement of Aboriginal
16	Women, and this party will have 23 minutes for the
17	cross-examination and the time will start when they reach
18	the podium and begin speaking. Thank you.
19	JOHN PHELPS, Previously Affirmed:
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. WEBER:
21	MS. LISA WEBER: Thank you very much. Good
22	afternoon, Commissioners, panelists, thank you for the
23	opportunity to cross-examine some of the panelists from
24	today. I apologize, I actually haven't been at any of the

1	previous proceedings, so if you will bear with me if I'm
2	not aware of any particular protocol, but I think I'm okay.
3	In any event, where I would like to focus my
4	questions this afternoon would be on the the
5	presentation by Mr. Phelps this morning, and in preparing
6	the questions I have for you, Mr. Phelps, I've tried to be
7	cognizant that you are with the Yukon territory, and I'm
8	trying to so the questions I've put together really are
9	an attempt to garner information that's relevant for those
10	of us who are in the provinces and who are in jurisdictions
11	where prosecutions are managed by the provincial
12	Departments of Justice, so with that in mind, I've
13	structured my questions.
14	Given also your the level of expertise,
15	that we know your involvement with the public prosecutions,
16	the first question I'll ask is, have you been involved with
17	or are you aware of any cross jurisdictional or
18	inter-jurisdictional work with provincial Crown departments
19	in regards to programs such as the Crown Witness
20	Coordinator Program?
21	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I haven't personally been
22	involved, no, with any FPT working groups or anything like
23	that, no.
24	MS. LISA WEBER: Okay. And are you aware if

1 there are any?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Not intimately, no. There
may be. I'm not familiar with their content or their

4 mandate.

MS. LISA WEBER: Okay, thank you. So I'll jump around a little bit with my questions, Mr Phelps, the topic being contact with victims. So I was wondering if you could just speak to how do coordinators make and keep contact with victims who may be homeless in your jurisdiction?

problem that we face in the Yukon or across the north, either homeless or individuals that do move around a lot. So, I mean, there are two problems, at times one and the same. And it's quite frequent in the communities, in the northern communities, the remote communities, that people simply don't have access to telephones for a variety of reasons, so those challenges are faced on a regular basis by our Crown Witness Coordinator team, and we reach out to other organizations that may be able to assist us in locating individuals, one of the primary departments would be the RCMP in the north, to see whether or not they can assist us in locating an individual or direct us to a particular community or a particular family member that

1	they're aware of that may know the whereabouts of the
2	individual, and we do our best to sort of track the
3	individual down from there, but it's not without its
4	difficulties.
5	Our Crown Witness Coordinators aren't hired
6	as investigators, but it's a big part of what they do to
7	try and track people down, is to work with other
8	organizations. There's a vibrant, vibrant, a well-
9	resourced victim services unit in the Yukon territory and
10	they're in every community they're not based in every
11	community, but they go to every community and provide
12	support, so we we do reach out to them.
13	We have self-governing First Nations around
14	the Yukon in most of the communities and they have justice
15	departments, and we will reach out to them as well to see
16	whether or not there's any information they can provide to
17	assist us in locating somebody.
18	MS. LISA WEBER: Okay, thank you. Once you
19	do make that contact and are maintaining that contact, has
20	it been your observation or experience of your program that
21	language barriers impact that ongoing contact, the
22	effective ongoing contact?
23	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It would vary across the
24	north. So in the Yukon territory the answer to that

1	question would be no because primarily the the language
2	that's spoken in the Yukon is either English or French, so
3	we don't have that same barrier, that same problem, in the
4	Yukon territory. As you move east the prevalence of the
5	first language being other than English and French
6	increases, and that can create a barrier, for sure.
7	MS. LISA WEBER: Okay. Question, are your
8	Crown Witness Coordinators public service employees?
9	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Public prosecution service
10	employees?
11	MS. LISA WEBER: Yes.
12	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, they are.
13	MS. LISA WEBER: Yes. So you talked about
14	confidentiality and it is outlined in the program
15	description as well, I'm just wondering, when you when
16	your coordinators are working with victims and the issue of
17	explaining confidentiality arises, given that they are, in
18	fact, employees of the Crown, how do you go about dealing
19	with what may perhaps be a conflict of interest with
20	respect to confidentiality?
21	MR. JOHN PHELPS: So they we keep
22	confident confidential the contact information with
23	respect to a victim and information that isn't necessarily
24	relevant to the prosecution, but it's explained to them at

1	the outset, before any meaningful dialogue takes place with
2	the victim, that they are part of the prosecution service
3	and that if information comes forward that would be
4	relevant to the prosecution the Crown attorney would have
5	the responsibility of disclosing that.

It -- it seems like it would be a significant barrier to the relationship with victims, but our experience is that the vast majority of victims are okay with that relationship, they understand the barriers to the information that we can receive and our Crown Witness Coordinators are trained to stop a conversation before information is shared, to explain to a victim that if they that want to provide, for example, new information on a case, then we have a procedure for that which involves the RCMP, so that we will necessarily make an arrangement with the RCMP and set it up so they can provide that information directly to the investigative agency and not to us.

MS. LISA WEBER: Okay. Have you ever had instances where a victim has their own counsel as part of that process?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: To my mind I can't think of a specific instance. It wouldn't be unusual that we're dealing with victims that would have lawyers for other

1	reasons and may get some assistance, for example, as a
2	result of a spousal assault. There may be a family lawyer
3	attached to the victim and they may get the assistance of
4	that lawyer, but I can't think of a situation where there
5	would be a referral necessary referral to get counsel
6	before providing that information to the RCMP.
7	MS. LISA WEBER: Okay. I believe from my
8	notes this morning you talked about the quarters
9	coordinators, excuse me, not providing support beyond the
10	trial process, and you indicated there would be referrals
11	outside otherwise; is that correct?
12	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Beyond the court
13	process
14	MS. LISA WEBER: M'hm.
15	MR. JOHN PHELPS: that's correct, so up
16	to and including sentencing, and then through an appeal
17	process, for example, if it were to exist and a retrial, et
18	cetera, but beyond that there would be referrals to other
19	agencies.
20	MS. LISA WEBER: Okay. So my question
21	regarding that then is, does the program presume that such
22	witnesses will request that type of support, or is there a
23	presumption that that information needs to be provided
24	proactively by the program?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's it's not presumed
that it would be asked for, so it's an ongoing relationship
from first from first contact, when we receive the file,
all the way through the end. And at any point in time
there is a need perceived with the victim, there would be a
dialogue about possible agencies that could assist with the
particular need. And particularly at the end of a process,
if the individual is showing signs of difficulty, trauma or
otherwise, then the referral would proactively be made to
say, look, there's this particular organization in your
community that may be able to assist you, and provide
information to them, and should they be wanting to make
access and require some assistance, then provide the
assistance.

And as I indicated earlier, the victim services branch of the territorial government does have services that they provide in every community in the Yukon, and those services aren't tied to charges.

So if there's criminal activity that takes place that never results in discussions with the RCMP, victim services may be providing support to those individuals. Once a matter goes through court, whether victim services are attached to that individual or not through the court process, they would be willing to provide

1 support post the court process.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MS. LISA WEBER: Skip over to another subject matter, Mr. Phelps. When you were describing the 3 relationship between the witness coordinators and assigned 4 Crowns, you made reference that perhaps the coordinators may 5 have some awareness of when evidence may be presented in 6 court, the type of evidence, that sort of type of thing, 7 because of their close relationship. And I'm just 8 9 wondering, then, how do the coordinators deal with in interactions with victims deal with, perhaps, conflicting 10 Indigenous beliefs about either the types of questions that 11 they may expect to be asked of them as witnesses in court or 12 the, in fact, presentation of evidence if it conflicts with 13 their beliefs. How is that handled? 14 15

MR. JOHN PHELPS: I'm not too sure I quite understand the question, so when they're dealing with a victim and the victim has a different belief system, is that?

MS. LISA WEBER: Yeah, I'll give you an example, if that helps. If you're dealing with a sexual assault, a serious sexual assault, and there's an expectation that the witness, the victim, will testify in court, if there is a belief in that person's culture to not speak about sexual activity, how -- how would your coordinators prepare that witness to be able to speak in

court in the -- in the process to be questioned about that
fithat goes against their own beliefs?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: I'm not certain that we had ever been faced with that particular scenario in the Yukon. The responsibility of the Crown Witness Coordinator would be to explain the court system to the victim and the nature of the requirement. If there's a refusal to testify for -- for any reason, be it cultural or otherwise, and if they've provided the information and explained it adequately to the victim, they would make arrangements to have the prosecutor meet with the particular victim and question, if the victim is willing to do so, to assess whether or not the prosecution can continue in light of the refusal.

MS. LISA WEBER: I'm wondering, then, just in terms of training, perhaps, that's offered to your witness coordinators, are they kept informed or made aware through training as to what the specific beliefs might be that are particular to the area?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Well, as I indicated earlier, there's -- there's a mandatory training that takes place, which is titled First Nations 101, and was developed by the Council of Yukon First Nations and that provides historical and cultural context to the 14 First Nations from the Yukon Territory. It is, however, just one form of training and -- and doesn't cover all aspects and may not

cover the aspect that you're referring to.

We take advantage of any other training that may come through the Yukon College, through the Northern Institute of Social Justice, and by individual First Nations that may be provided -- that can provide more education, more understanding and more insight for the Crown Witness Coordinators.

For example, Tr'ondek Hwech'in First Nation is putting on a cultural -- a cultural training, sorry, in their traditional territory in August, and we'll be sending the majority of our Crown Witness Coordinator team to understand their perspective and learn what they're prepared to -- to teach us with respect to their culture. Not every First Nation is able to do that or is willing to do that, but where it's available, we would provide it.

MS. LISA WEBER: Okay. You talked a little bit this morning, or there was reference also to graphic evidence as one example of evidence that's presented in court, and I'm just wondering in your experience or to your knowledge what would be considered graphic evidence that's been in some of your courtrooms for prosecutions?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: The most common would be scenes of a violent offence, be it a homicide or other type of violence that show things like blood, for example, at the scene. Show disarray at the scene, and quite common would

1	be that show, perhaps, imagery of an individual who is the
2	deceased in relation to the homicide.
3	MS. LISA WEBER: Okay. And so when you say
4	imagery, would these be photographs or some type of media
5	presentation?
6	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Commonly it would be
7	photographs, yes, or, you know, video reenactments and that
8	sort of a thing, where there would be discussion or dialogue
9	with respect to what occurred, that a victim family would be
10	hearing for the very first time, so you want to make sure
11	that they understand that this is coming and they're going
12	to learn information for the first time, and it's going to
13	be very difficult for them, and make arrangements to see if
14	we can support them through the process.
15	MS. LISA WEBER: Okay. So in your experience
16	and knowledge, have any of the Crowns in your jurisdiction
17	ever introduced actual body parts into evidence?
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Not not since I've been
19	with the organization, no.
20	MS. LISA WEBER: Okay. And that was for how
21	long?
22	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Since 2003.
23	MS. LISA WEBER. Okay. And I want to talk a
24	little now about the Canadian Victims Bill of Rights, which
25	was, I believe, given Royal Assent in 2015, if I recall?

1	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Actually, yes.
2	MS. LISA WEBER: Keeping in mind the
3	principles of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous
4	Peoples, to your knowledge was there involvement by
5	Indigenous peoples in the development of the Bill of Rights?
6	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I apologize, but I'm not
7	familiar with the creation of the Bill of Rights. We were
8	consulted at the tail end with respect to the changes to the
9	Criminal Code but I'm not familiar with the development of
10	the legislation.
11	MS. LISA WEBER: Okay. And the related
12	directive, which was introduced this morning as an exhibit.
13	I'm sorry, I don't remember the number, but were you was
14	do you recall the consultation process for the directive?
15	MR. JOHN PHELPS: The the desk book
16	directive?
17	MS. LISA WEBER: Yes.
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I do. It was created at
19	our headquarters, but each region was consulted on it, yes.
20	MS. LISA WEBER: Okay. And when was that,
21	the consultation?
22	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I don't recall the actual
23	dates, but it was post implementation of the Canadian Bill
24	of Rights, around or post.
25	MS. LISA WEBER: Thank you very much, Mr.

1	Phelps, those are all my questions. Those are all my
2	questions, Commission.
3	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Thank you.
4	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Could we have counsel's
5	name for the record?
6	MS. LISA WEBER: Oh, sorry, yes. Lisa Weber,
7	counsel for the Institute for the Advancement of Aboriginal
8	Women. Thank you.
9	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. Next party
10	I would like to invite up and to question the Panel is
11	Counsel for Pauktuutit and several other organizations. And
12	counsel will have 34 minutes for questioning.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SYMES:
14	MS. BETH SYMES: Good afternoon. I'm Beth
15	Symes, and I am counsel to Pauktuutit to the Inuit Women of
16	Labrador, to Saturviit, to the Ottawa Inuit Children's
17	Centre, and to the Manitoba Inuit Association.
18	I want to begin by thanking my colleague,
19	Darin Blane for generously giving me 11 of his precious
20	minutes, so thank you.
21	So I'd like to, sort of, turn this
22	conversation sort of on on its head. We've heard a lot
23	today about the services that are provided to victims and to
24	families when the woman or girl is deceased. And I'd like

to look, in fact, at what surely should be our goal, which

is to prevent, eliminate violence against Indigenous women 1 2 and girls, and in particular child sexual abuse. And I'm going to focus on Inuit because that's my -- that's my 3 retainer. 4 So the first thing, and -- and I -- I'm not 5 sure who could answer it, but maybe Mr. Phelps. I 6 understand that you have been a Crown prosecutor for some 13 7 8 years, is that correct? 9 MR. JOHN PHELPS: You're making me do math, but since 2003, yes. 10 MS. BETH SYMES: Okay. And before that, did 11 you also do defence work before you joined prosecution? 12 MR. JOHN PHELPS: I did, yes. 13 MS. BETH SYMES: Okay. And in total, then, 14 how many years have you done representation of accused as 15 well as prosecuting? 16 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Since 2008 [sic], so my 17 math skills can say 20 years. 18 MS. BETH SYMES: That's true. Good, even 19 20 numbers. And so if we look generally, then, based on your 20 years of experience, would you agree with me that in 21 22 Canada, overall, that the crime rates have been falling over the last 20 years? 23 MR. JOHN PHELPS: To be honest with you, I'm 24

not intimately familiar with the crime rates over that

1	period of time, but I've got no reason to disagree with you.
2	MS. BETH SYMES: Well, let me ask you about
3	the territory that you know the best, which is Yukon. Over
4	that period, have the crime rates in Yukon increased?
5	MR. JOHN PHELPS: The certainly the the
6	file count within our office has increased, yes, and we have
7	extreme variations with respect to particular types of
8	crime, so it's it's hard to say, but right now, we're in
9	a situation where the homicide rate is extremely high for
10	our territory.
11	MS. BETH SYMES: We were in a year ago, we
12	were in Whitehorse and we heard stories of recent murders of
13	Indigenous women and girls from the Yukon. Do you know
14	whether from your your current position, do you know
15	whether the crime rate in the Northwest Territories is also
16	climbing?
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I don't I can't answer
18	that question. I'm sorry.
19	MS. BETH SYMES: And we had evidence two
20	weeks ago in Québec City that the crime rate in Nunavut is,
21	in fact, increased 114 no, not 114 percent, but from the
22	base year to the current year, it's 114 pecent in contrast
23	to the rest of Canada. Is that your understanding, given
24	your responsibility for this particular program?
25	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I'd have no reason to

disagree with it, but I -- I don't know the figures, so. 1 2 MS. BETH SYMES: But your experience is that your workload is not going down in terms of providing 3 support to victims of crime in the three territories? 4 MR. JOHN PHELPS: That is correct. 5 MS. BETH SYMES: You're not working yourself 6 7 out of a job. MR. JOHN PHELPS: Not so far. 8 9 MS. BETH SYMES: Okay. And would you agree with me that whatever programs governments enact and fund, 10 legislation, policies, procedures, et cetera, that they need 11 to be measured to see if they're effective? 12 MR. JOHN PHELPS: I would agree with that --13 14 that statement, yes. MS. BETH SYMES: And so in terms of, for 15 example, these CWCs, they've existed since 1991, is that 16 17 correct? 18 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Correct. MS. BETH SYMES: And you've outlined this 19 20 morning to Mrs. Turley the fact that you provide quite a broad range of services through your CWCs. It's -- it's not 21 22 just accompanying them to court. You do referrals, is that 23 correct? MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, that's correct. 24 MS. BETH SYMES: And in case that it's a 25

1	victim that is not a deceased, you're worried about her
2	safety before it gets to trial?
3	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Throughout the process,
4	yes, that's correct.
5	MS. BETH SYMES: And in any of the things
6	that you talked about, has there been any measurement of
7	your program since 1991 that it has, in fact, increased the
8	safety of Indigenous women?
9	MR. JOHN PHELPS: On
10	MS. BETH SYMES: Any sorry. Let me say,
11	any empirical study?
12	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Not that I'm aware of, no.
13	MS. BETH SYMES: And we talked, then, very
14	much about violence. That is, battery, assault, murder, et
15	cetera. But would you also agree with me that, across the
16	three territories, you also have problems, we have problems,
17	with child sexual abuse?
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I would agree with that,
19	yes.
20	MS. BETH SYMES: And that those problems are
21	not diminishing? Do you agree with me?
22	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I do.
23	MS. BETH SYMES: So in terms of thinking,
24	then, of being proactive, to reduce the number of Indigenous
25	women and girls who are murdered or go missing, would you

1	agree with me that looking at the causes or the risk factors
2	of death or violence would be a fruitful study?
3	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Pardon me, did you say
4	"fruitful?"
5	MS. BETH SYMES: Fruitful.
6	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, I agree.
7	BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Previously Affirmed:
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SYMES:
9	MS. BETH SYMES: And now, Ms. Pottruff, you
10	your counsel provided us earlier on, about a week ago,
11	with an interim report with respect to deaths in
12	Saskatchewan. And fortunately, you've provided, I think on
13	Saturday, the final report. I'm going to ask if your
14	counsel can provide it to you. I believe that you have it.
15	Let me just this is huge. It is the Saskatchewan
16	Domestic Violence Death Review Report, and it's dated July
17	24th pardon me, May 24th, 2018. Hot off the press. And
18	that is a report that was what would you say, ordered or
19	commissioned by the Saskatchewan government Department of
20	Justice?
21	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: It it was
22	requested that an independent panel produce the report, and
23	and they did.
24	MS. BETH SYMES: And one of the things that

this independent panel did, and I believe if you look in

1	appendix A, you will see there that they looked at what are
2	the risk factors and just a second. Domestic violence,
3	domestic death, were situations in which one sometimes
4	it's called one intimate partner killed another.
5	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: That's correct.
6	MS. BETH SYMES: So they could be spouses,
7	they could be in any other relationship, but intimate
8	partners. Dating relationships. And in appendix A, there
9	are a number of what are called themes. So is it correct
10	that what your researchers did, or the people you
11	commissioned, is that they looked at a number of domestic
12	deaths in Saskatchewan and tried to analyze commonalities?
13	That is, what factors were present in all or most of the
14	deaths? Is that correct?
15	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Okay.
16	MS. BETH SYMES: And appendix A, then, sets
17	out the factors they looked at.
18	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yes. They're also
19	summarized and the ones that they found most common, right.
20	MS. BETH SYMES: Okay. And so I don't want
21	to take up any more of this time, because it's actually not
22	yours, but that report, then, is, I think, the most recent
23	report we have of an empirical study trying to establish,
24	and the language in the report are themes, right? Themes.
25	They call them themes, but they might also be called risk

1	factors.
2	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yes.
3	MS. BETH SYMES: And for example, if a woman
4	had been abused before, that was a significant risk factor
5	or theme in her eventual death.
6	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Correct.
7	MS. BETH SYMES: And the researchers found
8	that, for almost all women who died, that the violence that
9	they endured escalated over time. Is that correct?
10	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Correct.
11	MS. BETH SYMES: And so it would be
12	incredibly rare that, out of the blue, someone is killed, a
13	woman is killed. That that's would be a very rare
14	situation.
15	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I'm not sure I can
16	say that. I can say what they found in these six cases they
17	looked at.
18	MS. BETH SYMES: Okay. But in each of those
19	cases, they found escalating
20	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Correct.
21	MS. BETH SYMES: violence.
22	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Right.
23	MS. BETH SYMES: Escalating violence in which
24	if only something had happened, maybe death might not occur;
25	is that correct?

1 MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Correct. JOHN PHELPS, LEANNE GARDINER, BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Previously 2 3 Affirmed: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SYMES: 4 MS. BETH SYMES: Okay. Now, is that -- Mr. 5 Phelps, is that also your experience over your -- your 20 6 years, that in the cases that you've seen where domestic 7 violence, in fact death, has usually escalated before 8 9 someone -- the woman is actually killed? 10 MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's not a question that I'm very comfortable answering in a quantitative sense. 11 12 It's certainly the case that we've seen in intimate -- or intimate partner homicides, that they -- they can have no 13 history with the RCMP for example. Whether or not there was 14 violence in the relationship that was noted by another 15 organization, I wouldn't be able to say, but I certainly 16 have seen cases where there have been repeated reports of 17 18 violence that have occurred prior to the homicides. So... MS. BETH SYMES: Mr. Phelps, would you agree 19 with me that for all women in Canada who are experiencing 20 21 domestic violence, there is -- literature tells us that the rate of violence is underreported? That's is, very few 22 women who are physically abused actually go to the police to 23 report that violence. 24

25

MR. JOHN PHELPS: I'm not familiar with

244 JOHN PHELPS, LEANNE GARDINER AND BETTY ANN POTTRUFF CROSS-EXAM BY MS. SYMES

1	particular numbers, but I am aware that this would be called
2	a dark figure that exists with respect to all crime and in
3	particular would exist with respect to spells of violence as
4	well, yes.
5	MS. BETH SYMES: Ms. Pottruff, you've had
6	lots of experience in Saskatchewan. Would you agree with my
7	statement that domestic violence is underreported by women
8	in Canada?
9	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yes, I would.
10	MS. BETH SYMES: Now, going back to you, Mr.
11	Phelps, 'cause I want to talk about the North, and in
12	particular Inuit in the North, but maybe this is not just
13	related to Inuit. Would you agree with me that in remote
14	communities that the pressure not to report is very strong?
15	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I would agree with that
16	statement that the there's pressure not to report or
17	there's pressure not to follow through should there have
18	been a report, yes.
19	MS. BETH SYMES: And would you agree with me
20	that in small, remote communities, that there are many
21	relationships, that is, relatives of each other? And that
22	the
23	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
24	MS. BETH SYMES: that the perpetrator and
25	the victim could have been cousins or other other kinds

of relations? 1 MR. JOHN PHELPS: There are seemingly large 2 3 families within small communities that -- that are very extensive, yes. And whether or not it's a family member or 4 a member of an opposite family, those pressures are very 5 real within the community. I would agree with you. 6 MS. BETH SYMES: So it -- I want to then move 7 up in terms of escalating violence. 8 9 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yeah. MS. BETH SYMES: In terms of domestic or 10 family violence, once it has happened, and let's say the 11 12 woman has been badly beaten. Do each of the three Territories, and remember, I'm going to be focusing on 13 prevention; prevention of escalating violence, prevention of 14 death. So let's just assume that a woman has been badly 15 beaten in -- in a domestic, intimate partner violence. Do 16 each of the three territories have emergency protection 17 18 orders? MS. ANNE TURLEY: I would like to interject 19 at this point, Chief Commissioner. I would just like to 20 21 remind counsel that Mr. Phelps was put on the stand to testify about --22 MS. BETH SYMES: Do, please, stop the time 23 for me. Yes. 24 25 MS. ANNE TURLEY: That Mr. Phelps was put on

1 the stand to talk about the Crown Witness Coordinator Program, and you know, I have given guite some room here 2 3 going beyond the scope of his examination-in-chief, but pursuant to the legal path, the rules of this Commission, 4 counsel are to respect the scope of the examination-in-5 chief, and this is a -- a panel about victim services, and 6 not about the Criminal Justice System, and about violence in 7 the community and it's verging on asking Mr. Phelps here 8 9 opinion evidence, in my submission. 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is that a reminder, Ms. Turley, or a formal objection? 11 12 MS. ANNE TURLEY: Well, it's I guess a mix of both, because if counsel is going to continue on -- on this 13 path with Mr. Phelps, I don't feel that it is fair to the 14 witness, because he was called for a particular reason; to 15 talk about the Crown Witness Coordinator Program, not about 16 prosecutions at large, and this is a victim services panel. 17 18 MS. BETH SYMES: Chief Commissioner, let me just re-word it, because I thought I was doing that. So let 19 me just go back and route it. Mr. Phelps --20 21 MS. ANNE TURLEY: Okay. Thanks. MS. BETH SYMES: -- I thought you told us 22 this morning that one of the possible services that a CWC 23 could provide to -- to a victim, is assistance in getting 24 emergency protection orders or directing them to emergency 25

protection orders.

MR. JOHN PHELPS: I -- I believe my friend from the Northwest Territories spoke about assisting through their program. If there is a safety concern, then we would make a referral to another organization, but we would not be involved in that process, no.

MS. BETH SYMES: Okay. So -- so let me just ask you that if there is a safety concern then, and your CWC's are concerned about the victim's safety, then they would make a suggestion that the woman might seek an emergency protection order; is that correct? That's one of the services to keep the woman safe?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's quite possible, yes. Without providing too many particulars, they would make the referral though to victim services, and advise that there is territorial legislation that could assist them in their particular circumstance and there would be help for them there.

MS. BETH SYMES: And can I ask you this in terms of we heard in Whitehorse, in Yellowknife, in Rankin Inlet, in Happy Valley-Goose Bay, we heard from mothers, sisters, sometimes neighbours and friends who had witnessed a woman being beaten, who -- who had seen her bruises and broken bones, but were not permitted to intervene or get the Crown or police protection for that woman. If the woman is

not prepared to go herself, is there any way in your system that someone else who has firsthand information about abuse of the woman could act to try and protect her life?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Again, I apologize, but I'm not intimately familiar with the emergency intervention legislation, or the requirements under that legislation, as we don't -- we don't as a Prosecution Services deal with that legislation. As a federal agency, we refer that to the territorial agencies.

MS. BETH SYMES: Okay. So could I ask then
Leanne Gardiner whether or not that's who -- who was the
person who did that? Could I ask whether or not that is
anything within your jurisdiction or your program's
jurisdiction whereby, let's take a mother, let's just take a
simple example, and a number of these were mothers who had
seen her daughter badly bruised, had seen broken bones, and
because of fear, or whatever reason, the woman herself was
unable, unwilling to go. Sometimes, we heard evidence,
"He's going to kill me. That's why I don't go." Is there
anything in your system that would enable that mother who
has seen these things to go to get help for her daughter?

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Thank you for the question. So we do have territorial legislation in the Northwest Territories, as you mentioned, *The Protection Against Family Violence Act*. I'm not -- I'm not sure if

1	there is a provision that a third party can make that
2	application, but I I am reasonably sure that if that's
3	the case it doesn't happen very often. And I would I
4	would say that the advice that our victim services providers
5	give, which I understand from my role in the over
6	overview of the program, is that emergency protection orders
7	are a tool to consider as part of an overall safety planning
8	effort as well.
9	I would just submit that it's an important
10	consideration when we're looking at all the tools available
11	in that in the scenarios that you're providing, that
12	there would be also other considerations for their safety.
13	MS. BETH SYMES: I'm going to ask you from
13 14	MS. BETH SYMES: I'm going to ask you from new Northwest Territories' perspective, but I'm going to
14	new Northwest Territories' perspective, but I'm going to
14 15	new Northwest Territories' perspective, but I'm going to ask you from Saskatchewan's perspective, would you agree
14 15 16	new Northwest Territories' perspective, but I'm going to ask you from Saskatchewan's perspective, would you agree with me that both of your jurisdictions have laws that
14 15 16 17	new Northwest Territories' perspective, but I'm going to ask you from Saskatchewan's perspective, would you agree with me that both of your jurisdictions have laws that protect children who are being abused? I'll go with you
14 15 16 17 18	new Northwest Territories' perspective, but I'm going to ask you from Saskatchewan's perspective, would you agree with me that both of your jurisdictions have laws that protect children who are being abused? I'll go with you first from Northwest Territories.
14 15 16 17 18 19	new Northwest Territories' perspective, but I'm going to ask you from Saskatchewan's perspective, would you agree with me that both of your jurisdictions have laws that protect children who are being abused? I'll go with you first from Northwest Territories. MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I believe that we have
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	new Northwest Territories' perspective, but I'm going to ask you from Saskatchewan's perspective, would you agree with me that both of your jurisdictions have laws that protect children who are being abused? I'll go with you first from Northwest Territories. MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I believe that we have laws that protect children, but I would say that those are

to know, do you have laws and policies that say that if

24

1	someone, like a teacher or a nurse or a minister, sees that
2	a child, or has reasonable belief that a child is being
3	abused sexually or physically, that they have an obligation
4	to report?
5	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I'm unaware of the
6	specifics around it, but anecdotally, yes.
7	MS. BETH SYMES: Okay. Betty Ann, in
8	Saskatchewan you've got that kind of law, don't you?
9	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yes, child
10	protection legislation as well.
11	MS. BETH SYMES: And there is a statutorily
12	obligation to report, would you agree with me, because the
13	child is vulnerable?
14	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Correct.
15	MS. BETH SYMES: Betty Ann, would you agree
16	with me that abused women are vulnerable?
17	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yes.
18	MS. BETH SYMES: And that in many cases they
19	need protection as well?
20	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: That is correct. I
21	would note that I think under the emergency protection
22	legislation in Saskatchewan, either the police or a victim
23	services or other agency can apply on behalf of the woman.
24	Now, that being said, that would that's generally

1	considered in the context of a woman who is willing. I
2	don't know if they've used that authority in the situation
3	of a woman who is unwilling.
4	MS. BETH SYMES: Does any of the other three
5	witnesses agree that or does anyone disagree with the
6	statement that abused women, abused Indigenous women, are
7	vulnerable persons? Does anyone disagree with that? Okay,
8	silence.
9	Now, once you have an emergency protection
10	order, in small communities let me ask from the
11	Northwest Territories, how do you enforce them? In small
12	remote communities?
13	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: The RCMP are
13 14	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: The RCMP are responsible for enforcement of the orders.
14	responsible for enforcement of the orders.
14 15	responsible for enforcement of the orders. MS. BETH SYMES: When we were in Quebec City
14 15 16	responsible for enforcement of the orders. MS. BETH SYMES: When we were in Quebec City we heard that for Inuit, and in particular in Inuit,
14 15 16 17	responsible for enforcement of the orders. MS. BETH SYMES: When we were in Quebec City we heard that for Inuit, and in particular in Inuit, Nunangat, that there is a crisis in terms of housing, in
14 15 16 17 18	responsible for enforcement of the orders. MS. BETH SYMES: When we were in Quebec City we heard that for Inuit, and in particular in Inuit, Nunangat, that there is a crisis in terms of housing, in particular crowded housing, overcrowded housing, so I want
14 15 16 17 18	responsible for enforcement of the orders. MS. BETH SYMES: When we were in Quebec City we heard that for Inuit, and in particular in Inuit, Nunangat, that there is a crisis in terms of housing, in particular crowded housing, overcrowded housing, so I want you to assume that that was the evidence in Quebec City.
14 15 16 17 18 19	responsible for enforcement of the orders. MS. BETH SYMES: When we were in Quebec City we heard that for Inuit, and in particular in Inuit, Nunangat, that there is a crisis in terms of housing, in particular crowded housing, overcrowded housing, so I want you to assume that that was the evidence in Quebec City. If if there is then, as a result of the emergency
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	responsible for enforcement of the orders. MS. BETH SYMES: When we were in Quebec City we heard that for Inuit, and in particular in Inuit, Nunangat, that there is a crisis in terms of housing, in particular crowded housing, overcrowded housing, so I want you to assume that that was the evidence in Quebec City. If if there is then, as a result of the emergency protection order, a no contact order, and given that

I agree that it compounds the risk for that person. 1 2 MS. BETH SYMES: And in -- Betty Ann, in 3 Saskatchewan you have very, very small remote First Nations 4 communities? 5 MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: In northern 6 communities, yes. 7 MS. BETH SYMES: Northern communities, that's what I meant, northern communities. So do you have 8 the same problem that it is not possible to enforce an 9 emergency protection order if there is a housing shortage, 10 11 nowhere to go? MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: There's a lot of 12 difficulty in terms of where do they go for a safe space, 13 14 or whether if they go and live with another family that 15 creates a danger for the other family. It is a difficult situation. Perhaps the only thing we've got that's 16 different from -- from the territories is that we do have a 17 northern transportation program where we will provide 18 funding to help the women and children flee the community. 19 Not the best resort, but it's -- it provides some safety 20 21 valve. MS. BETH SYMES: And in the Northwest 22 Territories that is a flight out for Inuit women living in 23 Inuvialuit; do you agree? 24

1	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes, I absolutely
2	agree. I also would would say that our victim services
3	providers are, I mentioned before, experts in the area of
4	which programs to tap into, to address those those
5	potential barriers to leaving, if that's what the client
6	wants to do, and they do assist them to access those, the
7	programming or the funding or the connection to the next
8	safest place.
9	MS. BETH SYMES: Now, in Inuvialuit there's
10	only one shelter, one safe house, and that in Inuvik,
11	right?
12	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: To the best of my
13	knowledge, it's outside of my responsibility, but from my
14	knowledge as oversight of the program, that's the case. I
15	believe that there was one in Tuk as well, but
16	MS. BETH SYMES: It shut down?
17	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I'm not sure, I'm
18	sorry.
19	MS. BETH SYMES: And then for the other
20	communities in that area, it's not like taking a boat or a
21	road to Inuvik, it's a flight, right?
22	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: In many cases, yes.
23	There's a highway now that better connects communities, but
24	all of that is subject to weather and all sorts of

conditions as well. They're remote. 1 2 MS. BETH SYMES: And does your division pay 3 for that flight, if a woman is -- a woman and her children 4 fleeing danger? MS. LEANNE GARDINER: We do not. There is a 5 program offered by the GNWT through health and social 6 7 services and the shelter system, although I'm not aware of all the intricacies of the program, the details of it, but 8 that is what I know our victim services providers assist 9 clients to access. 10 11 MS. BETH SYMES: Mr. Phelps, in terms of the time in the three territories where your CWCs are working, 12 would you agree with me that there is a long -- there can 13 14 be long delays from arrest to trial? 15 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. 16 MS. BETH SYMES: And would you agree that the length of time from arrest to trial is made more 17 difficult because you have circuit courts? 18 MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's made more difficult 19 because of the time between circuit courts you mean? Yes. 20 21 MS. BETH SYMES: Yes. MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's -- that does add to 22 23 delay in a number of cases, yes. 24 MS. BETH SYMES: So a circuit court might go

into a very small remote community one or two times a year? 1 2 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. As you -- as you go 3 east in the Yukon territory regardless of the size of the 4 community, it's six times a year. That being said, that's 5 still two months in between. MS. BETH SYMES: But in NWT and in Nunavut 6 7 it is less frequent; is that correct? MR. JOHN PHELPS: That would be yes, that's 8 correct. 9 MS. BETH SYMES: And on occasion court is --10 11 doesn't happen because of bad weather? MR. JOHN PHELPS: That is correct, yes. 12 MS. BETH SYMES: And that, in fact, is not 13 14 as infrequent as we would think? It happens fairly often; 15 would you agree? MR. JOHN PHELPS: It does, I -- I apologize 16 for not going the actual court services and judicial 17 practice in the other two territories. When weather causes 18 a delay in the Yukon territory, court still takes place in 19 20 the sense that the matters are called, they're called, 21 generally speaking, by telephone with facilitation at the community end so that issues such as pleas could be dealt 22 with. But, again, counsel not being there, it still adds 23 to delay. I don't disagree with that statement. 24

1	MS. BETH SYMES: And if the accused is out
2	on bail, would you agree with me that, for your CWCs, that
3	there's a fear in that delay from arrest to trial? There's
4	a fear, then, for the victim and her family?
5	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Sorry, I I I have a
6	difficult time with generalization. I your risk could
7	exist. On a case-by-case basis, the risk could exist. It
8	depends on the fact scenario and the circumstances of the
9	particular case, but our Crown Witness Coordinators may be
10	concerned with delay.
11	MS. BETH SYMES: Well, in fact, your
12	prosecutors said, we've heard from families, again, across
13	the north. They told us the stories that a prosecutor
14	accepted a plea to a lesser charge because there was a fear
15	that time was running out. You know, that they were going
16	to hit the 30-month time frame. Is that, in fact, one of
17	the things that your CWCs have to deal with in trying to
18	explain to the family why, if their sister or daughter was
19	murdered, a plea is accepted to manslaughter?
20	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I don't have any personal
21	knowledge of a circumstance like that. I I can't answer
22	the question. It certainly has not arisen in my
23	jurisdiction, being the Yukon.
24	MS. BETH SYMES: We certainly heard about it
25	in Yellowknife, in Rankin Inlet, and in Happy Valley. I

1	want to ask you about Gladue principles. Your community,
2	your CWCs, explain to the victim and her family why the
3	sentence is less than what they might have thought. Is
4	is that one of the things that they do? Explain the
5	sentence, its length, or its lack of length?
6	MR. JOHN PHELPS: They certainly explain the
7	outcome of the court. It wouldn't be our practice to
8	provide an opinion on whether or not it was long or short.
9	It would be an explanation as to what occurred in a
10	sentencing hearing and what the result was, what the
11	sentence was that was rendered by the judge.
12	MS. BETH SYMES: But let me ask you it this
13	way, is that we heard across the four northern hearings the
14	concern that Gladue principles in intimate partner violence
15	were, according to the Inuit women, a license to maim, a
16	license to kill. Have you heard that expressed through you
17	CWCs?
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I have not, no. I don't,
19	you know, I I can't provide an answer with respect to
20	what may have happened in one of the other two
21	jurisdictions. I have not received that information in that
22	terminology in the Yukon, no.
23	MS. BETH SYMES: You've not heard it been
24	but you supervise also NWT and Nunavut, don't you?
25	MR. JOHN PHELPS: No, I do not.

MS. BETH SYMES: With respect to the CWCs? 1 MR. JOHN PHELPS: No. I -- I supervise the 2 CWCs in the Yukon Territory only. The supervision of the 3 CWC team in the other two territories is taken -- takes 4 place within those other two territories, either by a team 5 supervisor or a team leader. 6 MS. BETH SYMES: In --7 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Pardon me? 8 9 MS. MEREDITH PORTER: I'm sorry to interject, but the 34 minutes for cross-examination have been 10 completed. 11 MS. BETH SYMES: They have? Oh, I'm sorry. 12 Thank you. 13 MS. MEREDITH PORTER: That's no problem. 14 Thank you very much. We have completed the two parties with 15 their -- their counsel cross-examination. I understand, 16 however, before we do adjourn for the day, we had requested 17 an -- to extend beyond 5:00, that some parties had indicated 18 that were -- that were next to go having requested that we 19 20 do reconvene tomorrow morning at 8:30 as opposed to continue on beyond 5:00 time. But before we do adjourn, I'm going to 21 22 -- I do understand that there is a closing prayer that will be shared with the group, and after that, shared with the 23 group. Then, I suppose, we will adjourn until tomorrow 24 morning at 8:30. 25

1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Certainly. 8:30
2	tomorrow morning, please. Thank you.
3	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Now, I'm in charge of
4	telling you we're not to go tonight, so
5	(LAUGHTER)
6	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Anyways, thank you
7	very much to the Commissioners and to all of our friends
8	here that are standing as expert witnesses. Thank you for
9	the questions. Very much important to all and it's all very
10	relevant of what it is that you are desiring and what it is
11	that you're tending on hearing, so congratulations and thank
12	you again.
13	Just a couple quick things. If you're not
14	familiar with the area, just outside the hotel doors and
15	straight down is they call it Prince's Island Park. No
16	vehicles beyond this area, so you could go for a walk around
17	the island. There's a restaurant out there. You can't get
18	to it by vehicle. You can only walk there. Good
19	steakhouses around here, including a Hy's, Caesar's, and a
20	couple others. There's one at the Hudson Bay. Sorry my
21	Flames aren't in the playoffs, otherwise we could give you a
22	playoff game.
23	But I believe that Alvine, who helped us with
24	the opening prayer, is going to help us with our closing.
25	In Blackfoot country, we just usually pray in the morning

and that's good all day, so. But -- so we've got all of our friends. I'd like to especially, as well, acknowledge our Inuit Elders here. Thank you again for your beautiful lamp.

It brought a special spirit to our territory here and that was very good, so thank you again to our Inuit Elders.

Alvine, again, helped us with our opening prayer. We'll go with that with our closing prayer, and like I said, it's a hot day. I'm sorry to some of you, especially some of our lead witnesses having to cover up today thinking we turned up the air conditioning. Just go outside and warm up, so you can do it that way. Okay, thank you again. I'm going to ask our Grandmother here to come on up and -- (speaking in Native language). And our Elder -- Elders with the Inuit, I don't know your protocol on this, but you're invited to come on up and help close.

MS. ALVINE EAGLE SPEAKER: This -- this morning, I was going to ask to say a few words. I wasn't -- I didn't know what I was supposed to do. But I'm -- I'm very honoured to be a part of this very special and very, very -- I don't know how to explain it, but it's so amazing how people get together. It doesn't matter what aura you are or what culture you're from. When you hurt, everybody in my community, we hurt too for the people that are having a hard time with their missing people and their families.

We -- we just -- the best thing we do is that we just pray

1 and smudge every day. We (indiscernible) every day for
2 people to be safe.

And by prayer, this morning, what we do is we pray for our people. We pray for the day. We also invite our ancestors that have gone ahead of us to come and join us for the day, because we truly need them. We also pray for the people that are sick, people that are in the hospitals, for people that are — that have lost loved ones, which I have. I used to have really long hair. Last month, I lost one of my older brothers, so, in our custom, we — in order for me to let go of him, I needed to cut my hair.

There's a lot of things that it's always good to, especially if we don't understand the language, it's always good to explain what we're talking about in our prayers. We also pray for the people that are on the streets of our nations that have -- homeless. We also pray for the Elders of our communities so that they be strong and be with us for a longer time. We also pray for you, each and every day, even though I don't know who you are. And we also pray for anybody that might need the prayers. These are some of the things that I pray for each and every day. My husband and I pray and smudge before -- as soon as sun comes up. And we pray and smudge again before the sun goes down to thank the Creator for what he has given us for the day. To be thankful for everything that he has provided us,

1	especially the food. And and the company that we
2	we've met new friends, new relations. So you're all my
3	relations, and and what do we say in Black (Speaking in
4	Native language). To all of you are my relations.
5	(Speaking in Native language).
6	MS. LOUISE HAULLI: Meeting is adjourned.
7	(LAUGHTER)
8	(APPLAUSE)
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Meeting is adjourned
10	Thank you.

--- Upon adjourning at 5:03 p.m.

LEGAL DICTA-TYPIST'S CERTIFICATE

I, Krystle Palynchuk, Court Transcriber, hereby certify that I have transcribed the foregoing and it is a true and accurate transcript of the digital audio provided in this matter.

Krystle Palynchuk

May 28, 2018