National Inquiry into Missing and Murdered Indigenous Women and Girls



Enquête nationale sur les femmes et les filles autochtones disparues et assassinées

National Inquiry into Missing and Murdered Indigenous Women and Girls Truth-Gathering Process Part III Expert & Knowledge-Keeper Panel : Human Rights Framework Held at the Hotel Pur, 395 Rue de la Couronne Ville de Québec / Quebec City



Part III Volume VII

Thursday May 17, 2018

Panel II: "International Human Rights Law as a Foundation for the Inquiry's Work and Recommendations"

Brenda Gunn

Corey O'Soup

Jean Leclair

Dalee Sambo Dorough

Heard by Chief Commissioner Marion Buller & Commissioners Michéle Audette, Brian Eyolfson & Qajaq Robinson

> INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. 41-5450 Canotek Road, Ottawa, Ontario, K1J 9G2 E-mail: info@irri.net – Phone: 613-748-6043 – Fax: 613-748-8246

II - APPEARANCES

Assembly of First Nations(all)	Julie McGregor(Legal Counsel) Stuart Wuttke(Legal Counsel)
Aboriginal Women's Action Network (BC)	MiKenze Jordan(Representative)
Assembly of First Nations Quebec-Labrador(QC)	Wina Sioui(Legal Counsel)
Assembly of Manitoba Chiefs	Joëlle Pastora Sala (Legal Counsel)
Awo Taan Healing Lodge Society	Darrin Blain (Legal Counsel)
Battered Women's Support Services (BC)	Angela Marie McDougall (Representative)
	Anemki Wedom(Representative)
Canadian Association of Chiefs of Police(National)	Ashley Smith(Legal Counsel)
Concertation des Luttes contre l'Exploitation Sexuelle(QC)	Diane Matte(Représentative)
Directeur des poursuites criminelles et pénales(QC)	Anny Bernier(Legal Counsel)
Easter Door Indigenous Association (NL, PEI, NB & NS)	Natalie Clifford (Legal Counsel)
Government of Alberta (AB)	Doreen Mueller (Legal Counsel)
Government of British Columbia (BC)	Emily Arthur(Representative)
Government of Canada(All)	Marie-Ève Robillard & Sarah Churchill-Joly (Legal Counsel)
Government of Ontario (ON, National)	Julian Roy(Legal Counsel) Emma Haydon(Legal Counsel)
Government of Manitoba(MB, National)	Kendra Jarvinen(Legal Counsel)
Government of Nova Scotia (NS, National)	Sean Foreman(Legal Counsel)

III

APPEARANCES

Government of Québec (QC, Pascale Labbé(Representative) National) Andréanne Lesperance (Representative) Mathieu Lainé (Representative) Laurence Bergeron (Legal Counsel) Marie-Hélène Tremblay (Representative) Government of Saskatchewan Barbara Mysko(Legal Counsel) (SK, National) Government of Yukon Chantal Genier (Representative) Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami Elizabeth Zarpa(Legal Counsel) (National) Iskwewuk Wichiwitochik / Women Darlene R. Okemaysim-Sicotte Walking Together (SK) (Representative) Myrna Laplante (Representative) Justice for Families (BC, AB, Suzan Fraser (Legal Counsel) SK, MB, ON, QC, PEI, National) Native Women's Association of Virginia Lomax(Legal Counsel) Canada (All) Ontario Native Women's Christina Comacchio Association (ON) (Legal Counsel) Cora-Lee McGuire-Cyrette, Courtney Skye & Cheryl Bagnall (Representatives) Pauktuutit Inuit Women of Beth Symes (Legal Counsel) Canada, Saturviit Inuit Women's Association, AnanauKatiget Tumingit Regional Inuit Women's Association, Manitoba Inuit

Children's Centre, as a collective single party (All)

Association and Ottawa Inuit

IV

APPEARANCES

Saskatchewan Advocate for Children and Youth

Treaty Alliance Northern Ontario - Nishnawbe Aski Nation/Grand Council Treaty 3 Amanda Byrd (Representative) (ON)

Women's Shelter (BC)

West Coast LEAF (BC)

Winnipeg Police Service (MB)

Lisa Broda, Marci Macomber & Connie Braun (Representatives) Gregory Walen, Q.C. (Legal Counsel)

Krystyn Ordyniec (Legal Counsel) Deputy Grand Chief Anna Betty Achneepineskum (Representative)

Vancouver Rape Relief and Hilla Kerner(Representative) Laurel McBride (Representative)

Raji Mangat (Legal Counsel)

Kimberly Carswell (Legal Counsel) Shari Bell (Representative)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

COREY O'SOUP, Resumed BRENDA GUNN, Resumed DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH, Resumed	11 11 11
JEAN LECLAIR, Resumed	11
Cross-Examination by Ms. Clifford	11
Cross-Examination by Ms. Okemaysin-Sicotte	25
Cross-Examination by Ms. Beaudin	37
Cross-Examination by Ms. Zarpa	53
Cross-Examination by Ms. Mysko	69
Cross-Examination by Ms. Lomax	73
Cross-Examination by Ms. Comacchio	88
Cross-Examination by Ms. McGuire Cyrette	95
Cross-Examination by Ms. Skye	98
Cross-Examination by Ms. MacDougall	107
Cross-Examination by Ms. Wedam	126
Cross-Examination by Ms. Fraser	136
Cross-Examination by Ms. Symes	156
Cross-Examination by Mr. Wuttke	192
Cross-Examination by Ms. Ordyniec	223
Cross-Examination by Mr. Walen	235
Cross-Examination by Ms. Mangat	245
Questions by Commissioner Eyolfson	263
Questions par la Commissaire Audette	275
Questions by Commissioner Robinson	282

v

VI

LIST OF EXHIBITS

NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit code: P03P02P0401 B27 American Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous 9 Peoples - adopted at the third plenary session, held on June 15, 2016 (22 pages) Witness: Brenda Gunn; Submitted by Christa Big Canoe, Commission Counsel B28 Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami Position Paper Imple-9 menting the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples in Canada (18 pages) Witness: Brenda Gunn; Submitted by Christa Big Canoe, Commission Counsel B29 Implementing the UN Declaration on the Rights 10 of Indigenous Peoples in Canada through comprehensive legislation, Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami, April 2017 (five pages) Witness: Brenda Gunn; Submitted by Christa Big Canoe, Commission Counsel B30 "Brother of missing Inuk woman questions police 60 investigation" CBC article by Stu Mills posted June 13, 2017 5:00 AM ET, last updated June 13, 2017 (five pages) Witness: Panel II; Submitted by Elizabeth Zarpa, Counsel for Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami B31 "Truth and Reconciliation addressed in current 73 curriculum" Ministry of Education briefing note by Maria Chow and Delise Pitman, created June 17, 2015 revised May 16, 2018 (four pages) Witness: Panel II; Submitted by Barbara Mysko, Counsel for Government of Saskatchewan B32 "A Strategic Framework to End Violence against 95 Aboriginal Women" prepared by the Ontario Native Women's Association and the Ontario Federation of Indian Friendship Centres, September 2007 (12 pages) Witness: Panel II; Submitted by Christina Comacchio, Counsel for Ontario Native Women's Association

VII

LIST OF EXHIBITS

DESCRIPTION

	"Aboriginal Sexual Violence Action Plan" Ontario Federation of Indian Friendship Centres / Métis Nation of Ontario / Ontario Native Women's Association, 2011 (26 pages) anel II; Submitted by Christina Comacchio, r Ontario Native Women's Association	95
В34	"Principles relating to the Status of National Institutions (The Paris Principles, adopted by	307

General Assembly resolution 48/134 of 20 December 1993" (three pages) Witness: Panel II; Submitted by Christa Big Canoe, Commission Counsel

NO.

Quebec City, Quebec
 --- The hearing starts on Thursday, May 17th, 2018 at
 8:20 a.m.

4

(OPENING REMARKS/PRAYER)

MS. LAUREEN WATERS-GAUDIO: ...so that we 5 have that fire to keep us going and to keep us healthy and 6 7 to have that cleansing, and to have that great Qullig that was there for our Inuit people to have them continue with 8 9 life. So it's very important that we honour that fire, just as we do in other nations when we come together, and 10 we have sacred fires, we have those sacred objects that 11 12 help us on our journeys.

And I'm very grateful that Rebecca is carrying that and carrying for that for us so that all those Inuit know that they're represented in this Inquiry and that all people have value and life, they're all gifts. And to return to our original ways to learn these teachings and to learn what sustained our families for time immemorial. It's the beginning.

20 And I'm happy to see that all of us are 21 returning to that, returning to our traditional ways and 22 learning about the ways that our ancestors lived and how 23 they governed themselves.

And I think that's what part of this isabout with these expert witnesses. We're bringing them in

to prove that these things have always existed and to point out where things need to change. I'm very grateful for our panels, all our panelists that have come this week and told us, no, this has been (inaudible) since the beginning of time, and we're here to fight for you. So to come together as all nations is very important.

7 Nothing is ever perfect in life. No ways of someone doing something is the right way only. There's 8 9 many ways. But it's very important that we come together and support each other so that we can continue to do this 10 work, to find the systemic causes, the reasonings why are 11 12 women, two-spirited LGBTQ community, why are people are going missing, and why is it just us being murdered in such 13 14 high rates, why are we being incarcerated in high rates.

These are things that we need to stop, we need to find the reasoning's, and we all need to fight together. We know that there is always a little bit of pot that gets thrown around in the way of money, and we all have to fight for it; right. So doing that, we're continuing those colonial ways of separating each other and fighting with each other.

22 So today, I ask those ancestors if they'll 23 take care of that, and I'll speak that more when I do my 24 opening prayer for you.

25

But we'll get Rebecca to start us off and

Penelope will come after that and speak in the French language so that you don't have to listen so much through your headphones, and it's a little bit more comfortable for those that are here, those French-speaking, and to honour this territory where this language is.

6 MS. REBECCA VEVEE: (Speaking native
7 language). Good morning, everyone. Once again, we come
8 together. Thank you.

Good morning. It's good that we've gathered
again. And I've done some research to find out why we've
lit the oil lamps. When people are missing, people light
candles for vigils.

I am grateful for the moments we are in
today. Our presence here with presentations, the experts
I'm very grateful to, as well as the Commissioners for the
invitation.

At times, when we're all on our own, we are united through these fronts. For your welcoming's, thank you once again for inviting me. And it's hard for me to express how grateful I am. My heart is jubilant for being here with you all. Thank you.

MS. PENELOPE GUAY: Kwe. Bon matin. Je
voulais dire que je remercie mes ancêtres de l'héritage
qu'ils nous ont donné. Merci à nos grands-mères, à nos
grands-pères d'être présents dans le monde des esprits.

Je remercie aussi les experts ; hier, à la fin de notre dernière prière, je me suis un peu effondrée parce que les larmes venaient facilement d'avoir entendu les experts nous conter leurs droits, leurs revendications et nous aussi, à partir des femmes et des hommes qui ont vécu beaucoup de violence. Alors, ça m'a touchée énormément.

8 J'ai pensé, ce matin, à ça et je me suis
9 dit : qu'est-ce qu'il faut faire? Parce qu'on sait
10 qu'entendre et réentendre, avec le cœur, on a des
11 traumatismes nous aussi, hein? On les a entendus; vous avez
12 entendu hier aussi.

Alors, ce qui m'est venu, dans mes prières, 13 c'est d'aller dans la forêt, en fin de semaine, d'aller 14 voir les arbres, d'aller leur parler, aussi, de ce qui 15 s'est passé, parce qu'ils entendent, eux aussi. Il ne faut 16 pas garder ça à l'intérieur de nous. C'est ce qu'on m'a 17 enseigné aussi, c'est ce que je vous enseigne : aller dans 18 la nature, vider son cœur, pour qu'il prenne soin de nous. 19 Alors, en fin de semaine, c'est ce que je 20

vais faire [Rires]. J'en aurais besoin pour me donner de
l'énergie pour continuer.

Je vous remercie d'être ici ce matin. Je dis
toujours qu'on est en train de marquer l'Histoire, on est
en train de faire des pas. Merci les commissaires, je vous

1 aime. Merci.

2 J'ai reçu un enseignement, ce matin aussi, 3 du petit sac de médecine noir : il y a de la sauge dans le petit sac et ça nous permet d'ouvrir nos cœurs. Alors, 4 c'est ce qu'on va faire toute la journée aujourd'hui. Je 5 vous remercie beaucoup. 6 7 MS. LAUREEN WATERS-GAUDIO: Thank you to those grandmothers that have spoken. 8 9 (Speaking native language). What I've said to you is my name is Earth 10 Song. I'm an aayahkwew, which means neither man nor woman. 11 12 I'm from the Wolf Clan, and my family is from Ahtahkakoop, Saskatchewan and Eskasoni, and I bring that to you so you 13 know where my family is from and you know who I am. 14 My name means to bring life to the world, to 15 the earth. It was the first sounds that Earth made. So 16 for me it's very important and I'm very honoured that I get 17 18 to stand before you to say some words. I want to thank the Commissioners for 19 bringing in the grandmothers. When we first started this 20 21 road, they approached each and every one of us with tobacco and they asked us if we'd their helpers. If we'd help 22 23 guide them on this journey, which is a very difficult journey. And that we've done this is a good way, that we 24 bring forth those teachings we were gifted with, that we're 25

very honoured that we got from many, many people, to share
 and to make sure that we're following our traditional ways.

3 So I say thank you to them for doing that 4 process, cause that's what's been guiding us along this 5 journey. By going back to our original ways and including 6 spirituality, including ceremony, including that part of 7 our being that needs to be addressed, not just our 8 emotional, our physical and intellectual.

9 And for me, when I have this tobacco in my 10 hand, it's not me who speaks. I ask those Ancestors to 11 help me, help me say the words that need to be said. And 12 when we pray, we pray and repeat the words that need to be 13 said. I'm grateful that that time is given to us for doing 14 that work.

Because we just don't run by the clock, we 15 never had a clock a long time ago. Ceremony starts when 16 it starts and it finishes when it finishes, and that's hard 17 for some people to comprehend and to understand because 18 we're human beings; sometimes we want things to hurry up. 19 "Come on, come on, I have things to do." But we can't do 20 21 that, we can't rush, when the spirits are asking that words be said, so that we can learn, so that we can say things. 22 23 Because other times, when we're acting with

our human hat, oh boy, we can just keep going and we can
just say things. So I'm grateful that I've been given this

gift and I've been given this responsibility to share with you. And to share with you that teaching that comes from the Cree and the Anishinaabe people; that's tobacco and we're giving it. This is our linkage to the spirit world, this is our linkage to those ancestors, it's one of our original teachings that to get something we have to give something, and for us, it's that tobacco.

8 We give tobacco so we can be guided, so that 9 we can do things in a good way, speak in a good way, hear 10 in a good way, listen in a good way, and then live our 11 lives in a good way.

Then, I'm grateful that each and every one of you are invested into this, because it involved all of our people. One affects one affects many. It's not just an individual thing that happens to just one family; it affects communities, it affects nations.

So today, those ancestors want me to say to 17 18 you that, "Don't worry, we as a collection of people will These are our people, we need to invest into it. 19 fix this. We need to do this work, we need to continue doing this 20 21 hard work. We need to continue supporting each other, we need to come together. We need to stop all that violence, 22 23 we need to stop discriminations, we need to stop separation and racisms. All people are gifts from the Creator, no 24 25 matter where they come from, no matter what colour they

1

are, no matter what practices they practice."

2 So if we come together like that, the 3 Creator says, and the ancestors say, "We'll be one and 4 we'll be strong. Because one tree in the forest gets blown 5 down easily; but many trees that help surround it protect 6 each other. And we may lose a few, but we still have many 7 more left. So the greater we come together in numbers, 8 those ancestors say, we'll be stronger."

9 And not everyone will like this process that's going on, and that's okay. But we still need to 10 support each other, we still need to have a voice. 11 Those 12 that oppose this, they still need to have a voice. So that we can learn what it is that they're feeling and what 13 they're experiencing, and how we can make changes and how 14 can we move forward in a good way. But if we just stop and 15 not continue to help each other, we will not be strong. 16

17 So be like those trees; stand together, be 18 that great forest, be that strength that's needed. For 19 this, I say (Indigenous language). And thank you all for 20 being here again today.

21 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Good morning, Chief
22 Commissioner and Commissioners. Just before we formally
23 open into cross, there's a couple housekeeping items that I
24 propose I deal with so it's out of the way.

25 First, what I'd like to do is, yesterday,

during Doctor Dorough's testimony, she had raised a couple of documents, or said some information that we just want to put in as exhibits. And one of them was the "American Declaration on the rights of Indigenous people," was one of the instrument she spoke about.

If we could have that made, and I'm gonna
suggest to just that the numbers continue, I'll just check
with Madam Clerk, I believe that's 27? So if we could have
that made 27.

10 --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. B27

17

11American Declaration on the Rights of12Indigenous Peoples - adopted at the13third plenary session, held on June 15,142016 (22 pages)15CHIEF COMMISIONNER MARION BULLER: "The

16 American Declaration of the rights of Indigenous...

MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: "People."

18 CHIEF COMMISIONNER MARION BULLER: "People."
19 Exhibit 27.

20 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. There is 21 also, I'm gonna say... "The Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami position 22 paper implementing the UN Declarations on the rights of 23 Indigenous people in Canada." If we could have that made 24 exhibit 28, please?

25 --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. B28

1 Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami Position Paper 2 Implementing the UN Declaration on the 3 Rights of Indigenous Peoples in Canada 4 (18 pages) CHIEF COMMISIONNER MARION BULLER: Yes, "The 5 Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami position paper implementing the UN 6 7 Declarations on the rights of Indigenous people in Canada" is exhibit 28. 8 9 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Also from "The Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami implementing the UN Declarations on the 10 rights of Indigenous people in Canada through a 11 12 comprehensive legislations", if that could please be number 29? 13 --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. B29 14 Implementing the UN Declaration on the 15 16 Rights of Indigenous Peoples in Canada through comprehensive legislation, 17 18 Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami, April 2017 (five pages) 19 CHIEF COMMISIONNER MARION BULLER: Yes. 20 21 "ITK's implementing the UN Declaration on the rights 22 of Indigenous people in Canada through a comprehensive 23 legislation" is exhibit 29. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: And one other 24

25 housekeeping issue. It is not an exhibit, it was

originally intended to just be a demonstrative aid, was
 Corey O'Soup's resources.

3 It didn't actually get up on the screen but it had been distributed to parties, and we will ensure that 4 it gets put onto the useful links on the website. If we do 5 have time, even over lunch, we'll have it put up on the 6 screens so that people can see. The resources were just 7 public Internet resources in relation to a number of the 8 conventions. And it was done in a slide presentation, but 9 that was a demonstrative aid, not an exhibit, cause that's 10 all publicly available information. I just wanted to 11 12 indicate that it got overlooked yesterday.

In terms of housekeeping issues or matters, If I'm complete with those. And so, at this point, I would like to advise and inform you that we will have 15 parties with many in attendance for cross-examination, today.

And so, with cross-examination, we will
begin with as each one's called, we'll cite the numbers.
The minutes will begin, the time will begin the moment the
counsel steps up and talks.

And so the first party is the Eastern Door Indigenous Association, and they have 20 minutes. So 20 minutes, thank you.

24 COREY O'SOUP, Resumed/Sous le meme serment:

25 BRENDA GUNN, Resumed/Sous le meme serment:

1 DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH, Resumed/Sous le meme serment: JEAN LECLAIR, Resumed/Sous le meme serment: 2 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. NATALIE 4 CLIFFORD : MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: Thank you. Good 5 morning. My first question is for Professor Gunn. 6 7 I wondered if you could clarify whether Canada currently has an international obligation to 8 9 prevent, investigate, prosecute, punish and compensate for murdered and missing Indigenous women and girls? 10 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Thank you. Yes, I think 11 12 it's the simple answer and we can point to Suda and Suda's (phon.) interpretation of their convention. Which would 13 include all of the general recommendations that they have 14 developed, and they have three that deals specifically with 15 gender-based violence against women. And how gendered-16 based violence against women is a violation of Suda 17 18 (phon.). MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: Is it also a domestic 19 obligation, maybe by way of the charter, section 7 and 15-20 21 1? MS. BRENDA GUNN: One of the challenges that 22 23 often exists when we're talking about domestic application of international law is that, occasionally what we've seen 24

is that when Canada does its periodic reporting, it will

25

1

report to the oversight body.

So the Committee on the Elimination of 2 3 Discrimination Against Women, in this case, that they have fully implemented the instrument and they'll point to 4 different areas of domestic law where it's implemented. 5 But I've seen occasions -- and I'm speaking generally here, 6 7 not specifically to CEDAW, where when international human rights instruments engaged in domestic litigation, the 8 9 Department of Justice response tends to be that that Treaty has not been implemented because there's no specific 10 legislation that can be pointed to. And so I acknowledge 11 12 that that's one of the challenges, is there seems to be at least a divide in sometimes what Canada reports 13 internationally and what the Department of Justice allows 14 to be engaged in litigation domestically. 15

16 My preferred response would be again to, at minimum, point to the Baker decision where the Supreme 17 18 Court of Canada said that even -- sorry -- even unimplemented treaties can have legal effect in Canada. 19 And so, if Canada were to say that they hadn't specifically 20 21 implemented CEDAW through enabling legislation, we might be able to rely on CEDAW -- or sorry, on the Baker decision to 22 23 say that CEDAW still has domestic effect. Which would get me to the point where I would say that, yes, obligations 24 like the duty to prosecute -- punish -- sorry, I don't have 25

the words in front of me and I can never remember them.
 Those obligations do exist domestically.

3 The second aspect would be through the recent arguments by the CEDAW committee that after 25 4 years, the committee now is of the opinion that the 5 prohibition of gender-based violence of women has evolved 6 into a principle of customary international law which does 7 apply in Canada. And that prohibition against gender-based 8 9 violence against women includes that obligation to prosecute, punish, compensate, investigate -- I'm getting 10 them all out of order this morning. But ---11

MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: So then despite
Baker, and despite the application of customary
international law, you offered a critique of the Judiciary
yesterday that -- and correct me if I've misinterpreted -but that, basically, they buck the appropriate framework
for application of international human rights law and -- in
the face of it. So is this correct?

MS. BRENDA GUNN: I think the Judiciary, like many lawyers, have not received sufficient education on international law, and so they rely on submissions of counsel. And I'm not always sure that counsel, when they are relying on international instruments, do a sufficient job explaining to the Court how they're evoking international law and what they want the Court to do with

1 it. So I don't sort of, fully blame judges, but I do think that Canadian courts in particular have done a very good 2 3 job of moving beyond the technical issues about how international law applies and have, in many cases, 4 undertaken to interpret domestic law in accordance with 5 Canada's international human rights obligations. And so, I 6 think that's a very strong and powerful move towards 7 ensuring that Canada's international human rights law does 8 9 apply in Canada. But they do it often through that interpretive approach, which is called the presumption of 10 conformity. 11

MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: You also pointed to in your observation and misconception among Canadians and
students, was your example, that international law doesn't
have application in Canada; correct?

16

MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes.

MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: Would it be an
appropriate characterization that this sort of, perception,
is that it doesn't have teeth?

20 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I've heard that. I'm not 21 sure that's always what it's wrapped up to be. I do think 22 that sometimes there is a conflation between issues around 23 law as law, and law and its ability to be enforced, and I 24 think that's what you're referring to by not having teeth. 25 And so, yeah, I think that is probably part of the issue,

is that people think since it can be difficult to enforce
international law, you know, we're not -- there's no
international police that are going to come arrest the
Prime Minister for failing to uphold the international
obligations. That maybe it's not real law, even though
international law is just enforced differently I would say,
then a lot of domestic law.

8 MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: Would you attribute
9 this back to a lack of education about human rights and
10 international law?

Ms. BRENDA GUNN: I think so. I am an educator, so I do think education is important and I do think that -- I don't know where these misconceptions come from. But I do know that as a law professor, it is something that I try to teach all my law students, that international law is law and there's various ways that it has relevance in Canada.

18 MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: DO you think that the 19 misconception and -- coupled with the Judiciary's approach, 20 have contributed to basically developing a reality in 21 Canada whereby individuals don't seek to assert their human 22 rights to an international standard?

23 MS. BRENDA GUNN: It's an interesting idea.
24 I think sometimes, I mean, if you don't know the options,
25 and I know a lot of people don't understand what the

international human rights obligations are and how they can be enforced domestically or internationally. So if you're not aware of the avenues, you're not going to pursue them. I mean, if people were aware of the options and were of the view that the judges don't take them seriously, then I'm not -- you know, I would assume that would have people hesitant to bring it forward.

But again, I want to reiterate that I am of 8 9 the opinion, I do think the judges have done a fairly good job of trying to bring in international human rights law in 10 particular into domestic law, and not allow Canada to sort 11 12 of, take positions where they say internationally that they've implemented, but not allow it to be engaged 13 domestically. So the Courts have been good. But I do 14 think -- I guess I'm not sure that the misconception is the 15 part that holds people back from asserting those rights. I 16 think it's a lack of knowledge of their existence, or what 17 18 they mean, or how to do that.

MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: Okay. So one of your
 recommendations was that the Commissioners use an
 international human rights-based approach in their
 recommendations; correct?
 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah.

24 MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: So I guess my
 25 concern, and I wonder if you could support your position in

response to the judicial interpretation of international human rights law, coupled with this misconception as Mr. Leclair characterized it, as a mindset that needs to be changed. Whether that will affect -- or hinder the effectiveness of their recommendations? Is it a lofty goal?

7 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Thank you. I quess a couple of things. There are many of examples where the 8 9 Courts are using international human rights law and international law. So the Courts do, particularly the 10 Supreme Court of Canada is quite open to hearing these 11 12 arguments. And I can provide a few additional examples. But the Courts are open to these arguments when they are 13 properly put before the Courts. If there is some 14 hesitance, whether it be from Canadian governments, and I 15 include provincial governments in that, is using a human 16 rights-based approach going to weaken the Inquiry's 17 18 recommendations -- if I understand your questions correctly. I don't think so. 19

The human rights-based approach isn't necessarily going to just say that the recommendations are all going to be, implement human rights. It can be broader than that, right? So that's why I was saying I would hope that the human rights-based approach would inform the process of the inquiry, including basic human rights, like

1 ensuring the participation of Indigenous women in the 2 process. But also, can provide a framework to judge 3 Canada's actions and omissions against, right? So when we're trying to say that the Canadian state has failed to 4 address this issue, we can speak about it in a general 5 sense, but I think what the human-rights-based approach 6 gives us is an ability to say -- provide, basically, a 7 list. Like, these were all the obligations that Canada was 8 9 required to do, and then look at the actions that Canada has taken, or the failure to act, and say, has Canada met 10 its obligations? 11

12 So, it's a way to sort of create and organize the analysis of the situation of murdered and 13 missing Indigenous women and girls. So, I actually think 14 it would strengthen the recommendations, because instead of 15 the Commission identifying things that Canada should do on 16 its, sort of, through own research or ideas, what the 17 18 human-rights-based approach does is connect those ideas to legally binding obligations that Canada has. So, it's, 19 like, you should do this, and that connects to a legal 20 21 obligation that you've voluntarily undertaken in the international arena. 22

Political will to implement recommendations
is another issue, but I think when you ground
recommendations in law, and maybe this is because I'm a

1 lawyer, I think it gives its strength and force. 2 MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: Thank you. Dr. Sambo 3 Dorough, I have a few questions. I just wanted to confirm, yesterday, you gave evidence of Canada's cooperation and 4 control and drafting of the UNDRIP; correct? 5 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Maybe not control. 6 I wouldn't use that term. 7 MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: Cooperation? 8 9 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: They did have influence. 10 MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: Okay. But, since its 11 12 release, Canada has more or less side-stepped; correct? MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I would 13 characterize it as -- and you're speaking specifically 14 about their pronouncement of support for the U.N. 15 Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples? 16 MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: Yes. 17 18 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: So, first, yes, they did have an active role, and they did have influence 19 in the context of negotiation and drafting amongst states, 20 21 as well as Indigenous peoples and other parties. With regard to the use of the term "side-stepped", I would 22 23 moreover characterize it as a lack of full, comprehensive, meaningful, effective implementation of the rights affirmed 24 in the U.N. Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous 25

1 Peoples.

2	I understand that there is an ongoing
3	discussion about how to do so amongst a range of different
4	actors including, as I referenced yesterday, the bill being
5	considered by offered by private member Romeo Saganash.
6	If, as an outside observer, of which I am, I'm not a
7	Canadian national, I wouldn't necessarily characterize the
8	ongoing process as one of side-stepping. However, I would
9	also indicate that Canada, like many other countries across
10	the globe, has not done a sufficient I could use a
11	stronger term, but a sufficient set of activities to
12	operationalize the rights affirmed in the U.N. Declaration
13	in favour of Indigenous peoples.
14	MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: Thank you. And, does

15 the right to self-determination import a right to self-16 government?

MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Absolutely. I 17 18 think that it's more important, however, to characterize them in the way they have been articulated in black and 19 white in the U.N. Declaration. Article 3 in the United 20 21 Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples affirms that all Indigenous peoples have the right to self-22 23 determination by which they can determine their political 24 status and freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development. 25

1 This is a right that's understood in 2 international law. The principle of equal rights and self-3 determination is affirmed in the United Nations Charter. It's explicitly affirmed in the International Covenant on 4 Civil and Political Rights, and the International Covenant 5 on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Article 1, 6 7 paragraph 1, "all peoples have the right of selfdetermination." 8

9 Its articulation in the U.N. Declaration as reflected in Article 3 is the understanding in 10 international law of the affirmation of the right to self-11 12 determination and its specific attachment to Indigenous peoples as peoples. Article 4 in the United Nations 13 Declaration affirms the right of Indigenous peoples to 14 self-government. Some have tried to characterize this as 15 solely an internal right. They are two distinct rights. 16 That's my short answer to your question. Article 3, the 17 18 right of self-determination; Article 4, the right to selfgovernment, autonomy and so forth. 19

20 MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: Thank you. And,
21 finally, my final questions are for Mr. LeClair. I'm going
22 to speak in English. I hope that's okay. Thank you.

Your evidence yesterday, you recommended or
hoped that First Nations, and maybe even suggested that
they have an obligation to implement the UNDRIP; is that

1 correct?

2 MR. JEAN LECLAIR: Well, not an obligation. 3 It's their decision to do so, but I think they have the legitimacy to do so. And, my argument is that it was also, 4 strategically, an extremely powerful instrument, because in 5 Akwesasne, for instance, they developed a court. So, other 6 nations are doing -- taking other initiatives, and in some 7 ways, it becomes much harder, not just politically but 8 9 legally, to just bypass these exercises of selfgovernments. 10 And, I know that Indigenous nations and 11 12 First Nations don't have a lot of resources, but some even small initiatives that prove to be successful are bound to 13 influence governments. For instance, if I take an example 14 I know, the Atikamekw, for instance, the family councils 15 that they provided for in their youth protection initiative 16 proved so successful that the Government of Quebec created 17 18 what it called, if I remember well, the Committee de Persons Significative, the Significant Peoples' Committee, 19 to be used where non-Indigenous families were concerned. 20 21 And so, Indigenous initiatives are not only strategically and legally a good idea, but it could even 22 23 serve as examples for non-Indigenous, and that would create better relationships, better understanding of Indigenous 24 legal orders. 25

MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: So, with encouraging
 First Nations to develop internally and use the UNDRIP on
 their own, I wondered if it would be fair to say that the
 Indian Act violates the UNDRIP?

MR. JEAN LECLAIR: In many ways. That's 5 quite understandable. The idea is -- I think everyone 6 7 agrees about this now. The thing is, is how do you go from the Indian Act to something else? A lot of First Nations 8 9 are negotiating modern treaties, for instance. But, some First Nations are not in a position to easily do that, 10 because they're not -- they don't have the political clout. 11 12 They're not on territories that have sufficient resources to provide a spark of interest from the governments. 13 I'm being blunt, but this is a reality. And, I think we have 14 to find ways, because all First Nations and all Indigenous 15 peoples in Canada are not in the same position, and do not 16 necessarily wish to follow the path of self-determination 17 18 at the same pace or in the same fashion.

19 So, this calls into -- this begs the 20 question how to do so, and that's for the First Nations to 21 determine. Some will prefer treaties, but I think, for 22 instance, and that's a controversial solution, but I'm 23 thinking of John Burrows' proposition that there might be 24 some place for federal legislation to provide a means of 25 going forward from the *Indian Act* to greater self-

1 determination. But, some people say this is not a good idea, the federal government should not be involved, that's 2 3 a decision for the First Nations to make and Indigenous Nations in Canada, but I'm only saying that not everyone 4 can adopt the same path at the same speed. 5 MS. NATALIE CLIFFORD: Thank you. 6 7 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you, Ms. Clifford. 8 9 The next party withstanding, Women Walking Together, Ms. LaPlante and Ms. Okemaysin-Sicotte, if you 10 could please come up. 11 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. OKEMAYSIN-SICOTTE: 13 MS. DARLENE OKEMAYSIN-SICOTTE: Hi. Good 14 morning. My name is Darlene Rose Okemaysin-Sicotte. I am 15 the Co-Chair for Iskwewuk E-wichiwitochik, it's Women 16 Walking Together. We're a grassroots organization in 17 18 Saskatoon, Saskatchewan in Treaty 6. We actually have been doing our work for 19 12 years. We -- we don't have government funding, we don't 20 21 have no office, we're not even non-profit. So we've had a long journey on this work on awareness, remembrance and 22 supports to families, in particular. 23 So my first question is to Dr. Dalee, and my 24 six questions will be to her and then a few others. 25

In yesterday's testimony, you spoke about the United Nations' Special Rapporteur on Violence Against Women in her 12-day visit to Canada in April 2018, in particular, about the ongoing systemic inequalities and violence against Indigenous women in Canada.

The expert visited Ottawa, Iqaluit, 6 7 Montreal, Toronto and Winnipeg, and this goal was to review, assess and address gender-based violence against 8 9 women to ensure that Canada is honouring its commitments under the Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of 10 Discrimination Against Women and the Declaration on the 11 12 Elimination of Violence Against Women, with special attention to the situation of Indigenous women who face 13 multiple and interconnected forms of discrimination and 14 violence. 15

16 My question is, do you agree the biggest 17 challenge is the inability of the current government to 18 commit to a long-term political will to address this?

19 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Thank you for the
20 question. I think it's fair to say that, at least with
21 this current government, there has been an expression of
22 willingness.

The campaign promise of the Trudeau
Government, not to mention the mandate letters that were
issued to various members of his Cabinet with regard to

1 implementation of the UN Declaration, but also the 2 reference, the specific reference, for example, to 3 Minister Carolyn Bennett, indicate and expressly state reference to international human rights law. Given that, 4 those two items, the campaign promise, the subsequent 5 promise, when finally elected, as well as the mandate 6 7 letters, that it appears there is an opening an opportunity to do so. 8

9 Political enterprises and political will, I
10 cannot point to you for certain, and I think to some extent
11 it is a call to action to all of us to uphold those
12 promises. And I would regard them as solemn obligations,
13 especially when you see the statements of the ministers
14 within the hallowed halls of the UN General Assembly.

Jody Wilson-Raybould spoke to the UN General Assembly, Minister Carolyn Bennett spoke to the UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues. So I would characterize those as solemn obligations, the representatives of government who have made important pronouncements.

And words matters, as I said yesterday. So I would suggest that, absolutely, and let's see how the promise intersects with the political will in regard to, not only the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, but as stated in the mandate letters, other

1 international human rights law. 2 MS. DARLENE OKEMAYSIN-SICOTTE: Okav. Thank 3 you. Do you agree that Canada should immediately, 4 in terms of the visit from the Rapporteur, to immediately 5 implement legislation and provide maximum resources to 6 7 address the inequality of access to housing, funds to education, employment training and child welfare on 8 9 reserves, and if so, can you expand? DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: The short answer 10 is absolutely. I think that the visit of the Special 11 12 Rapporteur on Violence Against Women is a alarm. And usually, when you hear an alarm, you pay attention, you 13 take action, you exit the building. Whatever it takes; 14 right? 15 So as far as the opportunities, I think at 16 the moment that she issued these statements, it would have 17 18 been highly constructive for Indigenous women across Canada to stand up, link arms, and say, did you hear what she 19 said. Sort of along the lines of what Corey O'Soup was 20 21 saying in relation to children. 22 I think that there are numerous options on 23 the basis of the statements that she made, and as you know,

25 may have been an opportune time in terms of the issuing of

24

the forthcoming actual country report. And in fact, that

1 the report to take further actions at the local level and the regional level, at the national level, but also, I 2 3 think it's an invitation at the international level. MS. DARLENE OKEMAYSIN-SICOTTE: Okay. Thank 4 5 you. This is still in regards to the visit from 6 the Rapporteur. Do you think Canada, all provinces and 7 territories, should redesign the child welfare and foster 8 care system and practises, in particular, those children 9 left behind of the missing and murdered Aboriginal women? 10 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes. I must say 11 12 as a proviso, that I am not intimately familiar with the specific conditions of Indigenous children in Canada. 13 However, if the issues are similar to those in Alaska, a 14 concrete, constructive response needs to be undertaken, 15 especially in the context of orphaned children. 16 This is a -- when, for example, Corey spoke 17 18 about the best interest of the child, the Indian Child Welfare Act in the United States and within our 19 communities, our Inuit communities and other Alaska native 20 21 communities that actually means something and something important. The urgent nature of it, the threats and risk 22 to such orphaned children needs immediate response. 23 MS. DARLENE OKEMAYSIN-SICOTTE: Thank you. 24 25 Do you also agree that a monitoring

mechanism is recommended in -- that was recommended in the document of the CEDAW to track and monitor the conditions of Murdered and Missing Indigenous Women and Girls post Inquiry is necessary to prevent further violence against Indigenous women?

6 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes. I would only 7 amend that statement to say throughout, and not necessarily 8 post Inquiry. And I think that that was one of the key 9 messages of the Special Rapporteur on Violence Against 10 Women as she left Canada following her country visit. That 11 even before the work of the Inquiry is concluded that 12 action should be taken.

So I would say that not only post Inquiry,
but as soon as possible, as -- and I think there are ways
in which action could be taken.

16MS. DARLENE OKEMAYSIN-SICOTTE:Okay.Thank17you.

This is the last question on the Rapporteur. Do you agree that a national action plan on violence against women should have a specific prevention of violence plan against Indigenous women that reflects the barriers of the *Indian Act* and to accommodate the over 620 different communities, their languages and cultural practices in such a plan?

25

DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I think that the

first step should be outreach to all of those Indigenous,
 First Nations, Métis, as to what the major priority is in
 regard to the *Indian Act*.

I'm not familiar with discussions to date 4 regarding the Indian Act. I'm familiar to some extent with 5 its impacts upon especially Indigenous women but I think 6 7 that any kind of national action plan in response to these issues needs to start with dialogue with the Indigenous 8 9 peoples concerned and their exercise of the right of selfdetermination because they are the self in self-10 determination and that a national action should begin in 11 12 that way in order to identify the priorities and what the specific problems are and what the potential solutions are. 13

14 If there is dialogue and discussion about instituting a national action plan, it should also draw 15 from the developments that have taken place at the 16 international level. Yesterday I referred to the U.N. 17 18 declaration, a range of other international human rights instruments, as well as the sustainable development goals 19 and you could probably very quickly and easily identify the 20 21 alignment of the issues and concerns related to the Indian Act, the status and the rights and interests of Indigenous 22 23 women and girls and put together something fairly 24 comprehensive.

25

MS. DARLENE OKEMAYSIN-SICOTTE: Okay, thank

1 you. That ends my questions for Doctor Dalee. 2 My next question is for Brenda Gunn. In 3 your testimony yesterday, you spoke about forced disappearance may be a rule of customary international law 4 which would apply in Canada. 5 Would you say that this forced disappearance 6 7 implies murder when they're the first -- when there's first abduction, then detainment ending with location of the 8 9 person that was abducted? In Canada, how would we hold Canada responsible under the international convention for 10 the protection of all persons from enforced disappearances? 11 12 If so, would you recommend that compensation and restitution take place for the families of missing and 13 murdered Aboriginal women and girls? 14 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Thank you for the 15 question. 16 Yes, I did say that it's -- that enforced 17 18 disappearance is beginning to be recognized as a rule of customary international law. At least some are making that 19 argument. And so my point was if it is a rule of customary 20 21 international law, then it does apply directly in Canada as law and that was sort of held by the court in 2008 with how 22 23 customary international law applies. 24 I did raise that convention and the idea of

enforced disappearance because I do thing that many of the

25

circumstances that we know about of the process of murdered and missing Indigenous women and the way in which Canada has known about this situation for a significant period of time and arguably has failed to act in a way to successfully prevent, investigate, prosecute, punish and compensate that, you know, this seems to fit with what that convention is aiming to do.

Now I do want to be clear. I know that
Canada has not actually ratified that convention, so we
can't use that convention generally but holding Canada
responsible I think is always a challenge. Despite being a
lawyer, I don't always think that litigation is our best -best approach.

I do think that the inquiry is part of the process of holding Canada responsible and I think that's part of -- I mean holding someone responsible is also understanding what they've done, right. And so the truth that is being sought through the inquiry process I think is key to that accountability process.

I can't say that the international standards do speak to compensation and reparations. Reparations is the term generally for a remedy in international law. So it would be reparations and compensation is what they speak to. And so if Canada is found to have these obligations, which I strongly believe they do, and has failed to uphold

them, then yes, international law requirements does include
 aspects of compensation and reparations.

And it's important to also think that
reparations internationally can include a broad range of
activities.

Yesterday I spoke about some of the cases of 6 genocide that I worked on in Guatemala and for those 7 communities, they were looking for a range of things. 8 9 There was some personal compensation that was made but in a couple of the communities, there were memorials that were 10 built. One of them in particular was a big stone thing and 11 12 it has kind of a book and all around it has all the names of the people who were lost in that particular genocide. 13

14 There were dollars set out for community 15 health. I'm trying to think of the range of activities. 16 There was a need to apologize publicly and in various 17 Indigenous languages and on the radio and to make the 18 decision publicly available so that, you know, for the 19 survivors and others to know that the genocide had occurred 20 and that Guatemala had -- is part of that responsibility.

So I do just want to point out that compensation and individual financial payments can be part of that but it can also be much broader and include some of those requirements to do community building and some of those socio-economic programming that is necessary to

1 adjust the situation going forward, just both a cause and a
2 consequence in that sort of way.

3 MS. DARLENE OKEMAYSIM-SICOTTE: Yes, thank
4 you. I'm really glad that you were able to give an
5 example.

My next question is to Corey O'Soup, the 6 7 Saskatchewan Children's Advocate. In light of the crisis of the high suicide rates of Indigenous girls in 8 9 Saskatchewan, the Arctic and around Canada, in your experience at the Government of Saskatchewan's Education 10 Ministry, can you share for example a school or program 11 12 that has culturally-based learning environment and would you -- would it be a more desired focus on future long-term 13 14 resourced education delivery?

MR. COREY O'SOUP: One specific school?
Okay. There's a school actually in Saskatoon called
Oskāyak and I think you're pretty aware of that and I
believe that school could be a school that not only
Saskatchewan can look to but the rest of the country can
look to.

It's culturally-based. The staff is culturally-based as well. The administrators are First Nation and Métis. They have elders in the school and I believe it's a shining beacon within our province of the way that we can do better or a way that we should do

better, a way and a place that our children feel like they belong. They feel like they are welcomed. They feel like they are valued and that their culture and their traditions are infused, are a part of their education system. And those are all things that we advocate for at the office as well.

7 So if you're looking for one particular
8 school, that's one particular school that I could point out
9 to you.

 MS. DARLENE OKEMAYSIM-SICOTTE:
 Okay, thank

 11
 you.

12 Would you agree that the political will 13 should provide maximum resources in that area as a 14 preventive instrument in light of the epidemic of missing 15 and murdered Aboriginal women and girls?

MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes, I believe that we 16 need to fully resource our -- I want to say this correctly. 17 18 We should fully resource our education system to meet the needs of our First Nation and Métis children in the 19 Province of Saskatchewan. We have it as one of our key 20 21 priorities at the Ministry of Education in Saskatchewan. Now the challenge I see there is that we don't always fully 22 23 resource our priorities, especially when it comes to our Indigenous children. And I think that that's one of the 24 25 things that we can do better, and we should do better.

1 MS. DARLENE OKEMAYSIN-SICOTTE: Okav. Thank you very much. That ends our 2 3 questions to the panel. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. 4 Next I would like to invite up Ms. Beaudin 5 from the Regina Treaty Status Indian Services. 6 7 And, yes, just for the record they have 20 minutes. 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. ERICA 9 BEAUDIN : 10 MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Good morning, everyone. 11 12 Once again, I acknowledge the welcome and the hospitality to this territory in which I'm a guest from 13 Treaty 4. I'm glad to be going home to my home fire today 14 as my Kokum heart dearly misses my new grandson. 15 I thank the knowledge keepers and the Elders 16 for their prayers, medicines and songs we are all protected 17 by. 18 For the record my name is Erica Beaudin and 19 I am the Executive Director of the Regina Treaty Status 20 21 Indian Services. I shared my lineage for the public record on Tuesday. I will get right into my questions. 22 My first questions are for Professor Gunn. 23 Thank you for your discussion yesterday, as 24 extremely informative and helpful. Yesterday you spoke 25

about the International Convention for the Protection of
All Persons from Enforced Disappearance and the Convention
Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman, or Degrading
Treatment or Punishment as other instruments that could be
used to assist as foundations for other measures -- and I
hope I'm not being too wordy here -- to protect Indigenous
woman against violence.

8 Very quickly, could you expand how
9 practically this could occur, starting with having Canada
10 sign on?

11

MS. BRENDA GUNN: Thank you.

12 I guess part of the reason I raised those instruments, including -- I'm going to use the abbreviation 13 CAT for torture one, that Canada is a party to, and the 14 enforced disappearance that Canada is not, was more along 15 the lines of my overall recommendation that the inquiry 16 take a human rights-based approach to analyzing the causes 17 18 and consequences of murdered and missing Indigenous women and girls and trying to get to those root causes. 19

20 So my recommendation and discussion was that 21 the Inquiry could consider the full range of obligations 22 and protections that exist in international human rights 23 law to judge Canada's actions and omissions against. And 24 so that was one way that I was suggesting was that you take 25 the different responsibilities to get the big picture of everything that Canada has to do. Instead of this, there's
this treaty and this treaty and this treaty and this
treaty, and this declaration and this declaration and this
report by the Special Rapporteur and all of this, but try
to bring it together to a bigger picture because of the way
in which they all interact.

But I think your question may also be
getting at how to sort of get Canada to start engaging with
these instruments more. The process for Canada to become a
party to a treaty is simple and difficult all at the same
time. I mean, from the international perspective it's
quite easy; Canada just needs to sort of sign the
instrument, send the letter, do what it needs to do.

14 But, practically, the Canadian approach is to take broad-scale consultations with all of the different 15 provinces to ensure that if they sign on they're able to 16 implement it. So I do think that there may be some 17 18 challenges if we want sort of immediate action for Canada to sign on, that may be a longer process; which is why I 19 think we don't need to hold ourselves back and wait for 20 21 that to happen.

22 We can use some of the normative ideas 23 within those instruments to start judging Canada's actions 24 against. So -- and I think, you know, practically, actions 25 that people can also start taking is learning about these

1 different instruments; there's lot of different information
2 online, and starting to push Canada, and to become a party
3 to that instrument.

It's been a recommendation by many different 4 human rights bodies already but I don't hear that push 5 domestically. I haven't seen a lot of community 6 7 organizations. And, I mean, I'm part of the NGOs; I've been part of the NGO delegations to the U.N. that have 8 9 gotten these recommendations, you know, so I include myself in that we haven't, I think, successfully started a 10 movement in Canada that's put that pressure on to become a 11 12 party. So I think actions like that.

13 Sometimes I also think naming actions in 14 relation to human rights standards can be powerful. We do 15 often refer to murdered and missing Indigenous women and 16 girls and to spirit again I recognize that I'm using an 17 abbreviation but I mean to be inclusive and beyond Cis 18 gender.

But we can, you know, think about is -- does it help the advocate see? Does it help us to name this as enforced disappearance, right? Particularly because many people when they think about enforced disappearance think about Latin American countries and I don't know if it's drug cartels or what sort of comes to mind when people hear those terms and so what would it mean if we started using

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Beaudin)

1 that term here in Canada to say that the state has known about a systemic, widespread problem and enforced 2 3 disappearance and has failed to act? So those are some of my ideas in a general 4 I'm not sure if I fully got to the heart of your 5 sense. question, but... 6 7 MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: I think we could have a two-day seminar on that one question itself. 8 9 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Okay. MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: But thank you for at 10 least two steps in there on how we can practically start, 11 12 and also from community level on. My second question is in one of your later 13 slides, you discussed how we may decolonize through a human 14 rights lens. I realize the discussion was more about the 15 different high level measures, however, I'm wondering how 16 we could use these tools to ensure that beyond safety and 17 18 security, which is the ability to survive or live, how we could include reclamation of our lands, languages, cultures 19 and traditions which we require to survive and thrive as 20 21 Indigenous peoples, which I believe is integral to creating strong and vibrant woman and societies. 22 23 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Thank you. That's a really great question, and I feel that I'm going to 24 disappoint you by going back to the high level.

25

1 But I think even within your question is an important point that I was trying to highlight, is that 2 3 human rights work together, and a violation of one human right is a violation of many. And so I think you're 4 absolutely right and Dalee talked a little bit about the 5 right to security of the person that exists throughout. 6 7 Well, as Indigenous peoples we don't have safety and security if we don't have our full cultures. And our 8 9 cultures are connected to the land and our being, and our knowledge of who we are is connected to those territories. 10 So it's sort of reinforcing in that way that 11 12 if we don't have our full access to our lands and territories, we don't actually have that safety and 13 security in that really holistic way. 14 So I actually see those ideas as being 15 really connected and important for the realization of human 16 rights. Again, I'm not sure I can think of more practical 17 18 ideas but I do think that when I was talking about how human rights can be used for decolonization part of what 19 I'm speaking to, and I think this builds off Dr. Dorough 20 21 was speaking about, is that we are looking at removing that sort of colonial control that continues to exist in many 22 23 different fashions, and looking to ensure that we as Indigenous peoples can determine our own futures. And so 24 part of that decolonization process is a removing of that 25

1 government control over our lives to make sure that we have 2 the space and recognition to make decisions for ourselves. 3 So -- and so I think it all works together in that way. MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. I'm going to go a 4 little bit apart from what we're discussing. In Slide 13 5 you discuss state's quilt in not providing safety and 6 7 security amongst other violations. First of all, who decides if the state is quilty? 8 9 Secondly, do you believe this National Inquiry could possibly be a measure or the beginning of the 10 government to avoid court through a class action suit by 11 12 the families of MMIWG, such as the IRS and Sixties Scoop that's now before the courts or there is a recent decision 13 made? Could this still occur? 14 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I'm not sure I feel 15 comfortable on whether or not a class action could or 16 should occur or whether it would be successful. I think 17 that's a legal opinion that's sort of beyond my expertise 18 and the scope. But what I am able to comment on is sort of 19 who decides if a state is guilty. 20 21 I mean, some of the ways that we've -- I 22 think some of the experts have been pointing out is that 23 when you look at specific human rights treaties, so if we 24 look at the Convention on the Elimination of Discrimination

Against Women, it's the committee on the Elimination of

25

1 Discrimination Against Women that makes the comments and observations if Canada is upholding its obligations. 2 3 And in all of the periodic reports, particularly the couple that were referenced in my 4 evidence, and is -- I think we included them as exhibits, 5 right -- they clearly speak to Canada's violation or 6 7 failure to uphold their obligations. So that's one place. And that already exists; right? That doesn't need to be a 8 9 new finding. It's already clear. These international bodies in several different instances have said that Canada 10 has failed to uphold its obligations under various treaties 11 12 in relation to the failure to address murdered and missing Indigenous women and girls. 13

14 So I think part of that already exists out there. I do think that the Inquiry, if they take a human 15 rights-based approach where they're using international 16 human rights standards to evaluate Canada's actions 17 18 against, part of their conclusions and the benefits of the sort of legal process that is involved is I think that 19 they're able to say, if they look at international rights 20 21 standards and look at actions, they can make conclusions if Canada has failed to uphold those obligations. And so I 22 23 don't use the term "guilty" per se, but whether they're -they failed to uphold their obligations or whether they 24 violated rights is the phrase that I tend to use. And I 25

think the Inquiry may be in a very good position to build upon the existing determinations that already exist out there.

MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Thank you. When we look 4 at the national and international conventions, 5 declarations, et cetera, and we look at the 6 7 intersectionality of those instruments, many of our nations live and/or hunt, trap fish on both sides of the Medicine 8 9 Line; therefore, they would be considered dual citizens. How can the tools mentioned above, or that we discussed, 10 work together to protect the rights of those Indigenous 11 12 women who have this reality?

MS. BRENDA GUNN: The UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and I believe the American Declaration, right, Dalee, both make reference to borders; right? Okay. Yes. Yes. They both make reference to the way in which borders have impacted Indigenous peoples and the rights to be able to continue practicing their traditions.

20 So there are already standards that exist 21 that can be referenced and need to be considered. This 22 issue is also being addressed in different forums. I 23 believe there's going to be some further studies on what 24 does this mean coming out in the next year or two from 25 various universities and expert bodies.

1 So, sorry, I guess my simple answer is that the issue of borders is included within the UN Declaration 2 3 and the American Declaration, so we do have a touch point to start looking at the violations and how those interact. 4 And some of the trafficking instruments also sort of speak 5 to that movement of people across borders, but I don't 6 think your question was on that aspect. It was more for 7 nations that are divided by these new lines that states 8 9 inserted.

10 MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: So this is a formal 11 question, but could you clarify the author of the quote, 12 "The system is breaking people down faster than we can 13 build them up." You had mentioned that yesterday and I 14 just loved it so much I wanted to use it, but I don't want 15 to -- you -- it may be you.

MS. BRENDA GUNN: I was going to say me but now I'm afraid that I'm going to misquote someone. I think that's something -- because it wasn't on the slide; right? If it was on ---

20 MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: No, it was -- 21 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I think that was what I
 22 had said in response to one of the questions from the
 23 Commissioners I believe, yeah.

24MS. ERICA BEAUDIN:So I can quote that as25you?

1 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I guess. I'm sure the transcript will clarify if it was me or not but, yes, 2 3 I'm pretty sure that was my words. MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. Thank you ---4 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Thank you. 5 MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: --- very much for your 6 7 time, Professor Gunn. MS. BRENDA GUNN: Okay. 8 9 MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Next questions are for Mr. O'Soup. I realise that I'm at five minutes left so I'm 10 going to be a fast talker and I hope you are too. 11 12 (LAUGHTER/RIRES) MR. COREY O'SOUP: Depends on the question. 13 MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: So thank you for your 14 presentation yesterday. Your passion for the wellbeing of 15 our youth is very apparent. 16 Much of your time yesterday discussed 17 18 education as being a pathway to survival for our youth, starting out with graduation from Grade 12. The statistics 19 of 43.2 versus 85.4 in Sask is very disturbing. Is this 20 21 graduating Grade 12 out of regular K to 12? 22 MR. COREY O'SOUP: The way that we measure 23 statistics in Saskatchewan with regards to Grade 12 is -and the stats that I used are from Grade 10 to 12, so a 3-24 25 year graduation rate, on time graduation rate. So, that's

1 the way that we measure it in Saskatchewan. 2 MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. You also 3 discussed redefining success for Indigenous youth and challenging norms. This I take to mean creating 4 alternative benchmarks and supports to meet those 5 benchmarks. In doing this, creating success in our -- in 6 7 doing this, creating success in our definitions relate to less female youth going -- will this relate to more -- to 8 9 less, sorry -- my bifocals are not working that well this morning ---10 (LAUGHTER/RIRES) 11 12 MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: --- relate to less female youth going missing and/or murdered? 13 14 MR. COREY O'SOUP: I think raising the education levels and putting in -- within our education 15 system, both as achieving education, but both -- but also 16 as putting in the curriculum topics like missing and 17 18 murdered Indigenous women and girls to educate them will definitely result in the reduction of more young women and 19 girls going missing, for sure. 20 21 MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. Bullying is violence, slut shaming, gay bashing, et cetera, are 22 23 unfortunately very prevalent in our school systems. How can schools and parents, as well as us as the village who 24 raises all of our children, stop this verbal, spiritual and 25

physical violence before it escalates into suicide or
 murder, especially for our young Indigenous women?

3 MR. COREY O'SOUP: You know, that's one of 4 the biggest topics that we had in our book; right? And 5 that takes us directly to Article 19 within our UNCRC and 6 also aligns with the Article 22 in UNDRP. And I believe 7 that the answers come from our kids.

And within this report -- like, we can -- as 8 9 adults we can create programs and initiatives for our kids and we do that. You know, we create stop bullying, anti 10 bullying campaigns for them, but we base those so often on 11 12 what it was like when we were kids, you know. And we base those decisions and we invest dollars and money because of 13 what we think is best for kids. And I think I said 14 yesterday a little bit how we don't always know what's best 15 for kids because it's different nowadays to be a child in 16 today's world. And I think we need to reconsider the way 17 18 that we create those bullying programs.

You know, and our kids give us some -actually, some concrete answers within the book here. And
I think if we go to them more often than we go to us, as
adults, I think we'll find the solutions.

So I can point out a couple of those. And
the first thing that they say really is stop bullying;
right? And that's a message to everyone; right? And then,

you know, they speak to our communities and they speak to
 our leaders, you know.

3 One example from the children and youth that they said about a solution to stop being bullying was, why 4 don't you call a community meeting -- and this was to their 5 Chiefs -- why don't you call a -- why doesn't the Chief 6 7 call a meeting of all of the people in the community and we'll go up front, not just one of us, but a group of us, 8 and we'll tell them what it's like to be bullied in this 9 community, and maybe that will change. You know so, things 10 like that, practical things like that. 11

12 But the other piece that we need to do as well is -- and I mentioned this yesterday as well -- is we 13 14 need to rethink ourselves as adults and the way that we participate in bullying behaviour. And I think that's a 15 key for us, because we weren't surprised by bullying being 16 one of the behaviours that led to, you know, suicide, and 17 18 it shouldn't be. But one of the things we were surprised by was that adults were particularly pointed out by our 19 children and our young people. 20

So we need to create anti-bullying programs for adults, for professionals, for parents, for teachers, you know. Different things like that, that we can do to help our children and our youth, and those are things that they specifically point out to us.

MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Thank you. Just very
 quickly, and I apologies, I want to get to the Doctor and
 Professor, today.

In the Prairies, we've had two very
disturbing and heartbreaking legal decisions that found the
killers of our Indigenous youth that being the killers of
Colten Boushie not guilty.

8 How do we impart hope into our youth and 9 parents when the message from the jurisdiction system is 10 young Indigenous people don't matter? I raise this question 11 in response to your discussion regarding the mental health 12 of youth.

M. COREY O'SOUP: You know, those are both really disturbing things that happened, and I won't speak to the justice decision on that, I will more speak to the things that happened during the things that happened after, you know. Especially when you go on social media and you see all of the comments, all of the hurt, all of the pain that are pointed at us as Indigenous people, you know.

20 And I was asked this question one time: is 21 it okay that we're having this discussion? And for me, I'm 22 okay with it, because I think we thought we were somewhere 23 else-- and I'll speak for Saskatchewan. I think we thought 24 we were somewhere else in this discussion around racism, 25 around, you know, the things that happened. We thought we

were further along this path of reconciliation, because we
 had glossed things over.

But what happened when we saw these cases come out and the resulting backlash -- whatever you want to call it on social media -- it really gave me a true picture of where we were. And at least now we can have that discussion about reality, instead of about the discussion about, we'll maybe we're 10 steps along this way. In reality, we are way back here.

10 And it's okay that those things are out 11 there, because now we can talk about them. They're not 12 hiding behind closed doors, they're not hiding in 13 discussions behind our backs; they're actually right in our 14 faces, and now we can confront it, now we can deal with it, 15 you know.

And those things directly impact our children and youth, you know. Racism and being put down and not feeling like they're good enough or they're equal; those things affect the mental health of our children and our young people.

And yes, they do end up in reports like this, you know, there's a direct correlation between that, so we must do better and we can do better. But I'm okay that we're having that discussion, because at least it's now out and we can directly confront it.

1 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you very much, thank you. 2 3 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Thank you, Ms. Beaudin. 4 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: I would like to 5 invite Miss Zarpa up on behalf of ITK. ITK will have 20 6 7 minutes. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. ZARPA : 8 9 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Hi, good morning. My name is Elizabeth Zarpa, I'm counsel with ITK. So I just 10 wanna start off with saying thank you all for being here. 11 12 I know everybody has travelled really far to be here, this week. 13 My questions this morning will predominantly 14 be geared towards Doctor Dalee Sambo Dorough, and maybe if 15 I have time to the others. So I first off wanna start with 16 questioning sort of Dalee, you, you travelled from Alaska 17 18 to get here. MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes. 19 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: How long did it take to 20 21 get from Alaska to Quebec City? MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: A total time of at 22 23 least 10 hours. I actually overnighted in Vancouver to get here, so it took me two days, so. But in actual flight 24 time about 10 hours. 25

1 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: To traveling here or just traveling generally from sort of Alaska and northern 2 3 regions takes around two days? MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes. 4 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay. And is the 5 flight cost quite, are they sort of, how much do they 6 7 usually range in? MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: As far as this 8 9 particular trip, I'm unaware of the detail cost, but anywhere in Alaska is expensive for a couple of reasons. 10 So I don't have a detailed response to the 11 12 cost of this travel, but generally speaking, travel from Alaska to anywhere, since we're not considered a major hub, 13 there's a specific term actually used in with travel agents 14 and others as to how to characterize even Anchorage, which 15 is our largest city in Alaska. 16 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay, so traveling, is 17 18 it generally guite pricy to, like, 1,000-2,000\$ return 19 trip? MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes, no question, 20 21 no question. MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: And that's a common 22 sort of experience across, sort of, Canada's north? 23 24 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Absolutely. MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay. And do you think 25

1 that that sort of cost to traveling and the time it takes to get down to sort of the southern hub is kind of a 2 3 deterrent to individuals who wanna, who have to travel? MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: No question. Just 4 a quick example; I was invited to service faculty to the 5 (Indigenous word) program in Igaluit in 2014 or 2015. It 6 took at least two and half days and just the flight from 7 Ottawa to Igaluit alone was a minimum of a 1,000\$. 8 9 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay. And you mentioned also sort of in your experience of traveling for 10 education, you had to go outside of Alaska to pursue your 11 12 Master's and also PHD? MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes. 13 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Is that because there 14 was no law school in Alaska? 15 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: That's exactly 16 There is no law school in Alaska. right. 17 18 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay. And is there any law school in the north, like, in Nunavut? 19 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: In ...? 20 21 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Canada's north? MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: No, not to my 22 23 knowledge, hence programs like the (Indigenous name) program. Greenland, likewise. So Alaska, Canada, 24 Greenland, I am fairly certain, but not 100% certain that 25

1 the Siberian Yupik people in the (Indigenous name) region wouldn't have access unless they went to an urban centre. 2 3 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay. And you mentioned that you're involved in the (Indigenous word) 4 program? 5 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes, I was invited 6 7 as faculty during that, when they had funding and were able to offer the program to Inuit students, those interested in 8 9 pursuing law. MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay. And so, did you 10 see the sort of, sorry, I'm trying to draw between sort of 11 12 the experience of obtaining legal education in Canada's north with generally understanding of access to justice and 13 recognizing sort of, I guess, Article ... I think it was 14 Article... it was in the SEDAW recommendations... SEDAW 15 recommendations from 2016, 9-B, that looks at awareness 16 raising within Indigenous communities of especially 17 marginalised groups of Indigenous women. 18 Do you have any sort of insight with regards 19 to how to better implement SEDAW 9-B within sort of 20 21 northern remote communities where individuals, predominantly Inuit, live in the north when they don't have 22 23 to travel down south to obtain a legal education? MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I think that 24 25 generally speaking not only ... SEDAW, but other instruments

1 related to -- I'm just quickly having a peek at -- at the various different articles. And so a number of different 2 3 international instruments that reference education, including the UN declaration, but a wide range of them. 4 And as far as a one pathway toward the access to justice, 5 in my experience, and I've served as a mentor to Inuit law 6 7 students, in particular more recently, one who attended University of Ottawa Law School. There are huge barriers. 8 9 The cost, first and foremost, and this is on the part of an individual who managed to have some resources. But as far 10 as access to resources and access to even admission at one 11 12 of these institutions is -- there are numerous challenges. Am I being responsive to your question? 13 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Yeah. You're 14 recognizing sort of, the barriers that exist to asserting 15 or, sort of, implementing, sort of ---16 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yeah. 17 18 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: --- access to Indigenous rights, sort of, doctorence (sic) on the ground 19 20 in Nunangat. 21 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yeah. MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Yeah, thank you for 22 23 that. I also sort of wanted to get a little cognisant of the time. 24 25 I wanted to also recognize, sort of, the

experience of individuals, sort of, living within Inuit and 1 Nunangat and having to travel down south for different 2 3 services. It's a common theme and I think that one of the, sort of, gaps within the testimony are sort of something 4 that was alluded to a little bit was travelling down south 5 for services. But I think there's an increasing number of 6 Inuit who also live in urban settings. And I also wanted 7 to recognize there's currently -- we talk about services in 8 9 the north, education, access to justice.

But I'm also cognisant that Ottawa has a very large number of Inuit within Canada, and I also want to respectively provide a document to be tendered as evidence relating to the ongoing missing women's case of Inuk, Mary Papatsie, who lived in Ottawa. And she's still missing. So I wanted to sort of highlight that and pass it along for an exhibit.

MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: So has this been 17 18 previously provided? Can we stop the time for one moment, please? Has this document been previously provided? Can 19 we give the expert an opportunity to see it? To see if ---20 21 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: She's already seen it. 22 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Okav. 23 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I have seen news media reports about this particular issue, yes. 24 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: So but has she 25

actually seen this document? May I see it for a minute to
 show her?

3 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes, yes. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Okay. So have 4 parties -- have all other parties with standing, or the 5 Commission have had the opportunity to see it? 6 7 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: No. MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes, I received 8 9 and internet link to this particular story. MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay. Wonderful. 10 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Time is still 11 12 stopped. And we just have to resolve a couple of quick things if we could. So we now have established that the 13 witness has seen it. We have provided one copy to the 14 Commissioners before it is actually exhibited. Could you 15 undertake to send it electronically to all parties with 16 standing as well, or by email send them the link? 17 18 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Yes. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Do any parties 19 object to receiving this document in that manner? On the 20 21 basis of implied consent, can you then before we go -- I'll start the time again. Can you seek to then have the 22 exhibit put in on that basis, please? The implied consent 23 of the parties, because they didn't receive a prior copy. 24 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Sure. 25

1 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. So we can start time again. 2 3 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: So yes, I wanted to link in, sort of the experience within the article, states 4 that, "Ms. Papatsie, prior to moving to Ottawa ---" 5 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Excuse 6 7 We haven't formally marked the document. So the news me. article entitled "Brother of Missing Inuk woman questions 8 9 police investigation" posted June 13, 2017, it's a CBC article, is Exhibit 30. 10 --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. B30: 11 12 "Brother of missing Inuk woman questions police investigation" CBC 13 14 article by Stu Mills posted June 13, 2017 5:00 AM ET, last updated June 13, 15 16 2017 (five pages) MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Thank you. So yes, I 17 18 wanted to get into a little bit of the experience of having to go down south and moving down south. So within the 19 article itself, Ms. Papatsie -- it outlines that she's 20 21 moved down south around 15 years ago after she -- her brother stated that -- in the article, that she experienced 22 23 a sexual assault and then moved down south. I want to sort of go into a little bit of detail perhaps, with regards to 24 accessing different services which are Inuit specific in 25

Are you aware of, sort of, any -- so the article -- the article that you sent around, which is "Where do you go when it's 40 below?" domestic violence among rural Alaskan native women. It sort of, illustrates within that the experience of Alaska native women when they experience domestic violence and uncertainty about where to go.

southern -- in southern areas.

1

9 But I'm sort of -- or interested in understanding what your ideas are around, where do Inuit 10 women who experience different levels of hardship -- when 11 12 they're living in northern remote communities that don't have access to, you know, health care or mental wellness 13 14 programs. They move down south to pursue educational aspirations. When you're below the 60th parallel in urban 15 settings, do you think it's equally as important to have 16 Inuit specific programming that deals with, you know, the 17 18 well-being of Inuit communities?

MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: First of all, let me just say that I wasn't familiar with the procedural aspects, so I'm pleased to note that this particular article has been admitted and will be circulated to all. In specific response to your question, as I

characterized yesterday, despite the imposed borders forInuit throughout Alaska, Canada, Greenland, and the Russian

1 far east, there's no question that there are numerous barriers and challenges to access any kind of services. 2 Ιt 3 was my understanding in the review of this particular article that Mary, in particular, was a well-adjusted woman 4 within her community, active, engaged until she became a 5 victim of sexual assault, and thereafter, unwell in terms 6 of the security of her person. And that she ended up 7 travelling to Ottawa, I don't know by what means, but I'm 8 9 sure that there were difficult issues that exacerbated her mental health condition in order to find her way to Ottawa 10 and then subsequently become missing. And if I also 11 12 understand correctly, on the basis of the quotations from her brother, that no prompt action was taken, hence the 13 headline of the story questioning the capacity of the 14 police to follow up despite repeated efforts and calls. 15

So I would submit that there are numerous 16 examples. I could cite additional examples coming from the 17 18 Arctic region in Alaska, in terms of access to services. For example, typically even after such an event it takes on 19 average, because of issues of jurisdiction and law 20 21 enforcement that are -- well, there are many complications just in regard to law enforcement and access to law 22 23 enforcement. It may take a day, it may take two weeks depending on weather, for a law enforcement official to get 24 into a community. That's just one thing in order to 25

respond to such a crime. And then of course, in terms of health services and if the individual is, as I said yesterday, injured or just in the way of evidence and gathering of evidence, these things, you know, sometimes they're delayed in a way that there wouldn't be in an urban setting. So I mean, there might have been many different contributing factors to the experience that she had.

8 And then, I think it aligns with what I was 9 trying to say about the difficulties within the Artic 10 Region and the barriers, not to mention, I mean, the 11 physical barriers, the financial barriers, but also, the 12 emotional barriers.

MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Thank you for that.
And I wanted to sort of look into the idea of sort of
service providing and frontline workers within sort of
northern regions.

I know with, though, in the hearing about
the realities of intimate partner violence in the Northwest
Territories from frontline service providers, the report
that you submitted as evidence.

21 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: M'hm. 22 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Within that, it 23 outlined sort of the barriers that exists within sort of 24 that region.

25

And a majority of the participants on page 9

of that report had provided information. Their occupation are RCMP officers, nurses, shelter workers, victim service workers, counsellors, social workers. A majority of the participants who gave information for this report are non-Indigenous, and the Northwest Territories is predominantly an Indigenous sort of area.

7

DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: M'hm.

8 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Is it a common theme 9 that individuals within sort of northern regions that work 10 within these certain fields, even though they make up a 11 majority of the population, don't actually have these types 12 of job titles?

DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I think that as a
pretty solid generalization for most of these institutions
across the Canadian Artic and throughout the Circumpolar
Artic, with potentially the exception of the Nordic States,
that yes, that would be the case.

18 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: And do you think that having individuals who are, say, Indigenous to that 19 particular territory or region would provide sort of more 20 21 insight with regard to an issue if there was a violent -there was violence against an Indigenous women or a girl or 22 23 murdered -- missing and murdered case in that region? Do you think that adds value to having more Indigenous 24 25 presence within these sort of frontline working job?

DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Absolutely. 1 Ι 2 didn't have a chance to detail one of my recommendations in 3 this regard, but the idea that culturally appropriate services be provided, which would include Inuit in holding 4 such positions. Whether it is in relation to behavioural 5 health, domestic shelter workers, law enforcement, local 6 7 legal systems and institutions, I think there is no question. 8

9 If you looked at the -- for example, I 10 referenced yesterday the Alaska Judicial Council's study on 11 racial disparity in sentencing and their final 12 recommendations, they were quite comprehensive about their 13 suggestion that more Indigenous persons be employed in this 14 wide range of positions to be responsive to the problem of 15 racial disparity.

MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Right. And thank you for providing that sort of information and that feedback. And -- but is it okay to make the assumption that individuals potentially would fulfill these types of very important positions to give a cultural background if there was more access to educational institutions for the training within northern regions?

23 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: No question. I
24 think that -- well, there are two things I would say about
25 that. Not only formal education and access to education in

all of these various different areas, but I think we also
need to lift up the local knowledge and recognize that our
own measures of social control, consistent with Inuit
values and perspectives and customs and institutions, can
be marshalled as well in response to these issues.

So I think it's a combination of creating 6 7 the intellectual and the political space as well as the financial resources in order to allow that to develop, in 8 9 addition to this important linkage that you're making about access to education and informing those who are passionate 10 abut this area of work to gain education to be responsive 11 12 within their own home communities. So I think it's made up of at least these two important dimensions of 13 responsiveness to these issues. 14

MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Right. And also sort
of understanding your rights and knowing how to assert them
within sort of the environmental sort of context.

Are you aware, sort of -- you mentioned yesterday in your testimony about this experience of natural resourced companies coming into different regions? Did you want to sort of emphasize a little bit more with regards to different measures that could be taken to better address the issue and how it affects Inuit women, specifically?

25

DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: M'hm. I think

that one of the key issues -- and the example that I gave yesterday, the Mandan, Hidatsa, and Arikara people, actually, I should make a correction. It was oil development on their land and on their territory on the basis of an arrangement and an agreement that they were parties to and this dynamic of what I characterize to be the dark side of resource development.

I think that from the outset, if in fact 8 9 such activity is going to take place, that the place and the voice of the Indigenous peoples concern the Inuit 10 communities, concern their leadership should, again, at the 11 12 outset take into consideration all of these various different adverse impacts before development takes place. 13 Yes, we recognize that there may be positive and uplifting 14 dynamics, but as far as the adverse impacts that those 15 should be addressed at the outset. 16

And this is what I was trying to say when I 17 18 indicated that we've known about these conditions for years, yet we don't do anything about them as far as taking 19 into account all of the implications of research 20 21 development. We often think about them in terms of environmental impact, but as far as social impact, social 22 23 and cultural impact, that this is an area that I believe is a major gap across the board, not just for Indigenous 24 communities, but for many communities. 25

1 I know that before major developments took 2 place in Alaska, for example, the Trans Alaska Pipeline 3 System, that all kinds of environmental standards had to be met. To some extent social and cultural, but they looked 4 at, oh, well, we may end up crossing a sacred grounds 5 burial site, things like that, but not with the living 6 7 beings that were going to be impacted in terms of social/cultural impacts. So I would suggest that this 8 9 become an element in consideration.

And then also, the practical aspects of, okay, if the development's going to take place, then those that are there to monitor the stages and steps, which means that you have to operate on the basis of local control and self-determination, that do we have sufficient police and law enforcement activity driven by Indigenous peoples in order to monitor such activity.

I mean, the last thing you need is what took place with the Mandan, Hidatsa, and Arikara. And again, I stated yesterday, I was only there for less than three days, and these events took place. But they also spoke about other factors in terms of alcohol abuse, drug abuse, sale of drugs. I mean, the list went on. And it was stunning to know.

24 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: All right. Thank you.
25 I think I'm out of time. Thank you.

1	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you,
2	Ms. Zarpa.
3	Commissioners
4	CHIEF COMMISSIONER BULLER: Excuse me. I've
5	had a request for a short break.
6	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: And I was going to
7	ask for the same thing.
8	And actually, rather than just asking for a
9	short break, though, I will ask for the morning 15 minute
10	break. And I know I'm sounding quite sharp on this, but we
11	will recommence in 15 minutes with the next party, which
12	will be Government of Saskatchewan, whether people are in
13	the room or not.
14	Upon recessing at 10:34 p.m./
15	l'audience est suspendue à 10h34
16	Upon resuming at 10:53 a.m./
17	l'audience est reprise à 10h53
18	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE:up, the
19	Government of Saskatchewan. I believe Barbara Mysko is
20	counsel for Saskatchewan Government of Saskatchewan has
21	three minutes.
22	We need the mic on, please.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. BARBARA
24	MYSKO:
25	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Good morning. My name

is Barbara Mysko. I'm counsel for the Government of
 Saskatchewan.

I have a short amount of time. I don't have any intention to cross-examination in a western traditional sense. I just want to assist in supplementing the record through a briefing note that I provided, distributed to all parties last night, and which I understand Mr. O'Soup has had an opportunity to review.

9 First I would just like to ask for
10 permission to address Mr. O'Soup and to thank you for your
11 presentation. I found it very engaging and I hold you in
12 very high esteem and have enormous respect for the work
13 that you do.

14 So I'd like to just direct your attention to 15 the briefing note that I mentioned. It's -- I have to go 16 through the process of identifying it so that it's on the 17 record. It's entitled The Truth and Reconciliation 18 Addressed in Current Curriculum. And it's dated June 17th, 19 2015 and it was revised on May 16th, 2018.

20 Mr. O'Soup, have you had an opportunity to21 review that briefing note?

22 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes, I have.
 23 MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Okay. And are familiar
 24 with the contents therein?

25

MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes, I am.

MS. BARBARA MYSKO: And are you familiar
 with the programs that are represented in the briefing note
 as well?

4 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes, I'm very familiar
5 with most of these programs. In fact, I was a part of
6 developing quite a few of them when I worked at the
7 Ministry of Education.

8 MS. BARBARA MYSKO: And you talked about the 9 importance of incorporating Indigenous perspectives into 10 the Saskatchewan curriculum, and I acknowledge that we have 11 work to do, and I would just like to ask you whether these 12 represent some of the improvements that we've seen in our 13 system over the last number of years.

MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yeah, you know, I'm actually really proud of the work that we've done in Saskatchewan with regards to incorporating and infusing First Nation, Métis, Inuit ways of knowing into our curriculum. It's something that we've worked really hard on in Saskatchewan and something that I'm proud to be a part of.

And I guess for me, when it comes to we have to do better, we need to do better, I think the question I was asked earlier about Colton Boushie, you know, and the resulting, you know, actions online. For me, that says that, yes, we are doing really good and we have a lot of

1 stuff, but there's still so much more to do; right? And that's where I kind of come across on 2 3 that way is, yes, I think we're doing some really good stuff, but our kids need to know, our parents need to know, 4 our adults need to know the other side of history. 5 You know, like for me, growing up, I wasn't 6 taught any of this stuff in school. 7 MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Me neither. 8 9 MR. COREY O'SOUP: You know, I didn't learn about my people, other than in a negative way, you know, 10 that we were savages, we were uncivilized, we had to be 11 12 saved, until I got into university. So I'm very thankful for the things that we're doing here, but it just shows to 13 me that we still have a lot further to go when we have 14 cases like Colton Boushie and we have the racism that comes 15 out. You know, and we need to educate our children and our 16 families more. 17 18 MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you very much for 19 that. I'd like to tender this briefing note as an 20 21 exhibit. CHIEF COMMISSIONER BULLER: Truth and 22 Reconciliation Addressed in Current Curriculum Document 23 dated June 17th, 2015, revised May 16, 2018 will be the next 24 exhibit. And I think that's 31. 25

1	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Is that 31?
2	CHIEF COMMISSIONER BULLER: Okay. Thirty-
3	one (31). Thank you.
4	EXHIBIT NO/PIÈCE NO. B31:
5	"Truth and Reconciliation addressed in
6	current curriculum" Ministry of
7	Education briefing note by Maria Chow
8	and Delise Pitman, created June 17,
9	2015 revised May 16, 2018 (four pages)
10	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you, Chief
11	Commissioner. Thank you, Mr. O'Soup.
12	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you.
13	The Commission would like to call upon the
14	Native Women's Association of Canada next. I believe
15	that's with, yeah, Virginia Lomax. And Ms. Lomax will have
16	20 minutes.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS.
18	VIRGINIA LOMAX:
19	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Good morning. My name
20	is Virginia Lomax. I'm the counsel to the Native Women's
21	Association of Canada.
22	And if it's all right with you today, Mr.
23	Soup [sic], I'd like to begin with you.
24	You mentioned that youth are speaking to
25	each other about mental health but not necessarily to

1 adults; is that correct? 2 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. The statistics are 3 when talking about mental health issues, particularly the area of suicide, 54 per cent of kids actually go first to 4 their peers, then to anyone else. 5 MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Could you give me some 6 7 reasons why that might be happening? MR. COREY O'SOUP: Well, I mean, I think 8 9 sometimes as adults, and I think I mentioned this yesterday, I think we -- and our kids mention this as well 10 -- you know, when they come to us with some of their 11 12 concerns, you know, we tend to come back with certain responses and they've mentioned these responses. You know, 13 they tell us that, you know, when they come to us with 14 something that's happened to them, a lot of times we'll 15 say, "Well, why don't you just get over it?" You know, 16 "Things will be better tomorrow." Or, you know, "When I 17 was a kid, you know, it was way harder than when you are a 18 kid. You have it so easy." So why would our kids want to 19 come to us when we say things like that? 20 21 Or they specifically mention teachers in

here. When they go to their teacher, you know, the person they're supposed to trust, the teacher plays favourites; And, you know, sometimes, you know, they disregard what that child has to say, or sometimes even what they've

1 said in here is, "When I'm getting bullied in school, I have to move. I am removed from the situation. Why is the 2 3 bully not removed? Why do I have to leave the situation?" And, you know, our kids, you know, have told 4 us those different things and I believe those are some of 5 the reasons why they don't come to us, because the people 6 that they're supposed to trust, their parents, their 7 teachers, their caregivers, the ones that they are supposed 8 9 to able to tell anything to, we react in those ways. And it doesn't take long for our kids not to trust us. 10 And me, personally, with my own children, 11 12 I'm still building up that trust from some of the things that I did as a parent, you know. And like I said, these 13 kids have taught me so much and they've changed my life and 14 they've changed the way that I parent and that I talk to 15 young people and to my own children. 16 MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Thank you. Do you have 17 18 any specific best practices for relationship building for youth in remote rural and northern communities? 19 MR. COREY O'SOUP: You know, it's always a 20 21 challenge, particularly as a government organisation, to

build those relationships, and particularly in our northern
and our Indigenous communities, because governments for so
long have been seen in a negative light. And particularly
when you come in and you're asking questions; right? And

we ask our young people, we ask our northern people, we survey them to death, we ask them the same questions over and over, and then we leave.

76

For me, the best way that we can do it is to 4 commit to building that relationship. And that just 5 doesn't mean going and taking and not giving back. The way 6 7 that we built our relationships with our children and our youth is we went to where they were. We didn't ask them to 8 9 come to Saskatoon or to come to Regina. And we didn't ask them to come into a situation where they were uncomfortable 10 with. 11

12 We decided early on -- and, you know, the geography of the north was discussed earlier. You know, 13 the geography in northern Saskatchewan is a system of roads 14 that are not paved, most of them are hilly and you cannot 15 see what's coming over the next. And if they have gravel, 16 great, if they don't, you better not be driving on them in 17 18 the rain, you know. And we made that commitment that we were going to go into those communities. We were going to 19 build the relationship with the kids, in particular, and we 20 21 have upheld that commitment.

And, for me, that's the best way to do it.
Go where they are. Meet them where they're at and make
that commitment.

25

And I think I've probably spent almost half

1 my time in my job up in our northern communities and I have
2 staff that's dedicated to working up there. So it takes a
3 lot of perseverance, patience and it also takes a will to
4 do it.

5 MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And could you comment
6 on any specific best practices for relationship building
7 that may be specific to Two-Spirited, LGBTQ+ or gender
8 diverse youth?

9 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yeah, you know, we had a
10 number of those represented in this document that we have.
11 So us, we've made that commitment as well to our LGBTQ2S
12 youth and they're a very important part of the work that we
13 do. And their voice was heard in here too.

You know, they mentioned -- I'll -- more of 14 a direct quote. It's not a word I would use. But they 15 said, you know, "Gays get bullied way more than the 16 straight kids"; right? So I think it's upon us, as adults, 17 to build that relationship with them, to create safe spaces 18 for them. It's even more difficult for Indigenous LGBTQS -19 - 2S people and our children and youth, and even more 20 21 difficult for our youth to come out, you know.

And I think we need to create those safe spaces, first in our schools, which we've been doing, but also in other areas of our community. And we have to do -we have to educate our adults, you know, and we have to

give them a space to listen and to learn, and that has to
 be right within our communities.

I know we do a lot of Internet this,
Internet that, online this. The best way that we can teach
our kids and we can teach the adults in our communities, I
believe, is face-to-face, and that takes a commitment.

7

MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Thank you.

8 And so, we have heard from some families who 9 have testified that there is either a lack of funding or 10 sometimes there is a reluctance or a refusal for funding 11 for certain types of education for Indigenous youth, and 12 that this can have a direct link to violence against 13 Indigenous women and girls.

14 So by way of example, there may be a 15 preference to fund university level education and a 16 reluctance to fund or devaluing of funding certain types of 17 trade schools. For example, a trade school or a college or 18 even an esthetician program, and that this can contribute 19 to Indigenous youth not accessing the education that they 20 wanted and turning to the streets in many circumstances.

21Have you witnessed this situation I have22described?

23 MR. COREY O'SOUP: I would say that right
 24 now, currently, there is not sufficient funding to meet the
 25 needs of our Indigenous children and youth in the Province

of Saskatchewan. I would echo that's probably similar
 across Canada.

3 Particularly on Reserve, which many of our northern communities are, there is a distinct funding gap, 4 and it depends on where you are how good you can write a 5 report or a proposal, you may get more funding compared to 6 7 the First Nation beside you. So there are distinct gaps when it comes to funding our schools and our education 8 9 system, particularly when it comes to our Indigenous kids. And you know, even though we may say it is a 10 priority, I believe we have to invest in our children, we 11 have to invest in them early and often. I believe the best 12 place and the most effective place would be to invest in 13

14 them in the early years.

And I think that's statistically proven as well. If you can invest in those kids early, if you can get them reading at a certain grade level, if you can get them achieving by a certain time, and different things like that, they'll go on to graduate, they'll go on to be more successful.

And I think we tend to often go to our education system, we go to our child serving systems, we go to our Indigenous people, and we make cuts there. And I find that quite troubling how often it actually happens. So I think we need to do the opposite.

1 There are many studies out there -- I didn't bring any of them, but I know Dr. Eric Howe in Saskatchewan 2 3 has done a couple, one for the FSIN and one for the Métis Nation of Saskatchewan, that talks about investing in our 4 education system, and investing in our young people. 5 And you know, they come out with figures 6 7 like if we invest in our young people and if we get them to certain levels of high school, of graduate, of post 8 9 graduate levels of education, the impact on our economy is in the billions of dollars, you know. 10 And I know our governments go in four year 11 12 cycles and it's a challenge to think, you know, or to invest in things that are maybe, you know, 20 years long, 13 rather than the 4 year cycle in trying to create that 14 system. But if we make that concerted effort to invest in 15 our young children, the impact on our economy, particularly 16 in our Indigenous children and youth, is measured in 17 18 billions of dollars. I can't see how we cannot do that, and I continue to advocate for that. 19 MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Thank you. 20 21 And so you spoke yesterday about reclaiming the child welfare system. Could you give me an idea of 22 23 what you think that reclamation might look like?

24 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Well, I think, you know,
25 this is a conversation that's happening across the country

in our First Nations. And currently, the way that it works
in our province, I don't want to speak for any other ones,
but the child welfare system on Reserve is operated by our
people, but the authority comes from the provincial
government, through delegated agreements.

6 And what I mean by reclaiming and what I 7 mean by supporting that, is I believe that our people 8 should have full autonomy over their own child welfare 9 systems. And I believe that's the direction we're going. 10 I don't think we're all ready for that. I

think different agencies are at different areas of 11 12 readiness to actually do that reclamation. And I've had some conversations with some chiefs, and maybe it's a 13 5-year plan or a 10-year plan, but I believe that control 14 of our child welfare system should go back to our people. 15 Then we can more fully incorporate our traditional ways of, 16 you know, of parenting, of knowledge, of growing, of 17 18 education, and those can impact our kids in a different way that they're not getting right now. 19

20

MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Thank you.

And you spoke yesterday about the complaints mechanism for the rights of the child at an international child. Would you agree that it may be useful to have a complaint system in place within provincial and federal levels and provincial and federal jurisdictions similar to

that complaint system for youth to bring forward human rights complaints, as access to justice is certainly a barrier for youth?

MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yeah. I mean, we do have 4 a couple of systems in place, you know, provincially. I 5 mean, in the Province of Saskatchewan, you know, we would 6 be the place where children and youth can come that have 7 concerns about their rights under their -- underneath the 8 9 Convention, and basically any rights. Any youth or adult or member of the public can call our office professionals, 10 they call our office. 11

12 So I believe we are that body within the 13 Province of Saskatchewan. There's also the Human Rights 14 Commission as well, but they deal mainly with complaints 15 around discrimination.

And the problem is that the UNCRC in 16 Saskatchewan, in particular, and I don't want to speak 17 18 again for everybody, but in Canada, in general, does not have any real teeth legally; right? And I think that's the 19 big concern for us, is how do we get some teeth into that. 20 21 And if we can elevate that to an international level with the third optional protocol on communications and the 22 23 complaints, I think that that would allow us to at least take it to another level. 24

25

Because on things like, say, for instance,

1 Jordan's Principle, you know, we spent millions and millions of dollars fighting this through the system, 2 3 right, and we exhausted everything. If we would have had that protocol in place, we could have elevated it to the 4 UNCRC to the international level, but we don't. So there 5 is other examples like that that we can point to as well. 6 7 MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Thank you very much. And now, I have some questions for 8 9 Professor Gunn. You mentioned yesterday that there is a 10 general prohibition in international law against violence 11 12 against women. Is that correct? MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah. 13 MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Would you say that 14 there is the same prohibition against violence against 15 two-spirited LGBTQ+ and gender diverse individuals? 16 MS. BRENDA GUNN: That's a really good 17 18 question. And to be honest, this morning, I can't actually recall specifically the position and... 19 The reference I made was was specifically to 20 21 gender-based violence. And I want to say yes. I'm just having difficulties at the moment recalling a specific 22 23 citation that I can sort of point to in my knowledge. And I am sorry. There is a lot of international law that 24 floats around out there, and I just -- sometimes I'm afraid 25

to say a definite yes without ... 1 But I can't imagine that there wouldn't be 2 3 that extension with -- given the awareness and inclusion of diverse sexualities and gender identifications. This is 4 knowledge and included within human rights generally, so I 5 can't imagine that it wouldn't extend. 6 7 But I'm sorry that my answer is somewhat qualified, and there may be others in the room that could 8 point to the specific to say for certain. Sorry. 9 MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: It's all right. 10 So yesterday, you mentioned that there is a 11 12 perception in Canada, even among legal professionals that international law is not real law. Is that correct? 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes. 14 MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And would you agree 15 that it's possible that the reason this perception exists 16 is Canada's failure to consistently implement international 17 legal principles or implement what they have signed to? 18 MS. BRENDA GUNN: At one level, yes. I 19 think for lawyers and judges, when I hear that, that it's 20 21 not real law, I think it's that sort of idea. But -- I mean, I hear it from second year law students who wouldn't 22 necessarily know that. Like these are students on their 23 first day of international law, you know, "Why are you in 24 this class?" "Oh, it's interesting. I know it's not real 25

1 law, but I think it's interesting." 2 And -- so I actually am not fully sure that 3 I understand. But it definitely contributes, at least in the legal profession, to the idea that this isn't real law. 4 I had one lawyer once approach me to see if 5 there's any international avenues available for a case that 6 had stalled out domestically. And the lawyer, quite a 7 senior lawyer, well-respected in the jurisdiction that he 8 practices, said, "You know, you know how it goes. When all 9 else fails you turn to international law; right?" That it 10 was the last resort and not sort of a real option, but if 11 12 you have nothing else you can try that. So I definitely think -- I think it's dual 13 in that it's also quite technical, and people, if you don't 14 know the technical rules, you just work off assumptions 15 that have infiltrated your knowledge in some sort of way. 16 But if I could flip your question I would 17 say that if Canada was more consistent in its approach to 18 international law, for what it says internationally and 19 domestically, it would help the situation and address that 20 21 issue. MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Thank you. And you 22 mentioned yesterday that you teach a course in Métis people 23 and the law; correct? 24

85

MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes.

MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Could you identify any
elements or issues within the international treaties and
declarations that you've discussed with us here this week
that may have unique impacts on Métis women, girls, or
gendered first people?

6 MS. BRENDA GUNN: These are really good
7 questions. Thanks. They're really getting my brain going
8 this morning.

9 Perhaps I can speak in some generalized
10 fashions and -- but I think it's fair to say that there's
11 very little recognition of Métis land bases and delineation
12 and demarcation and legal protection of Métis traditional
13 lands.

The Métis governments -- governance systems 14 that exist internationally and in the provinces do not 15 necessarily have the same recognized jurisdiction that may, 16 for example, exist under the Indian Act, even though all of 17 18 its limitations and problems with the Indian Act. I'm not sort of saying it's a good system but it is a system that 19 provides some form of recognized jurisdiction over people 20 21 and space over certain subject matters.

And so outside the Alberta settlements there isn't necessarily that recognition, and so I think that makes it a particular challenge. I'd heard anecdotally that even in my home province, sometimes consultation on

1 various issues doesn't always address the Métis people because they may not know where the Métis are or there's 2 3 not a First Nation Reserve or there's not that easy place to go to, or sometimes the Métis consultations occur just 4 in general urban centres in the general place. 5 So given those general issues and perhaps 6 differences it's my assumption that that can translate to 7 some unique challenges in relation to murdered and missing 8 9 Indigenous women and may then also require some unique considerations. 10 MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Thank you. 11 12 And with the rest of my time my final questions will be for Dr. Samo Dorough. 13 Yesterday you mentioned that we need not 14 wait for the end of the Inquiry before acting; is that 15 correct? 16 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes, echoing the 17 18 words of the Special Rapporteur on violence against women. MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Would you be able to 19 give me some specific examples of what immediate actions 20 21 you believe could be taken? 22 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I think that, for example, a line of communication within the context of the 23 Inuit Crown Agreement concerning issues related to intimate 24 partner violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, and 25

1 other discriminatory acts perpetrated against Inuit women, 2 that such a line of communication could begin promptly. 3 And an opportunity for the National Inuit Organization, as well as the respective political institutions but more 4 significantly the Inuit women and girls impacted by this 5 distressing concern, that they could begin to identity --6 7 open the line of communication but then begin identifying ways forward even well before the conclusion of this 8 9 National Inquiry, that that would be one important starting point. 10 MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Thank you all for 11 12 sharing your knowledge with us. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: 13 Thank you, Ms. 14 Lomax. Next the Commission would like to call up 15

16 Ms. Comacchio on behalf of Ontario Native Women's17 Association.

18 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS.

19 CHRISTINA COMACCHIO :

20 MS. CHRISTINA COMACCHIO: Once again, I'm
21 actually going to share my time with some of the members of
22 Ottawa that are present with me.

23 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: We can start time
24 and just so it's noted for the record, there's 25 minutes.
25 And if you could introduce your colleagues, that would be

1 helpful. Thanks. 2 MS. CHRISTINA COMACCHIO: My name is 3 Christina Comacchio; I'm counsel for the Ontario Native Women's Association. With me is Cora-Lee McGuire-Cyrette, 4 Courtney Skye, and Cheryl Bagnall, and they are employees 5 with ONWA. 6 7 I would like to direct my questions to Jean Leclair, please. And unfortunately, they're in English. 8 9 Can you explain your experience in applying a gender-based, culturally relevant analysis to your work? 10 MR. JEAN LECLAIR: Could you repeat slowly, 11 12 please? MS. CHRISTINA COMACCHIO: Yes. Can you 13 14 please explain your experience in applying a culturally relevant gendered lens to your analysis of the Canadian 15 Constitution and Federalism? 16 MR. JEAN LECLAIR: Well, the issue of 17 18 intersectionality has been raised. The fact that Indigenous women are discriminated, both as women and as 19 Indigenous in our system, and this plays out in many ways. 20 21 For instance, I don't know specifically what you're looking at but certainly the issue of how women have been treated 22 23 by state law, starting with the -- with what has been remedied in part by C-31 in 1995 -- 1985, rather, and the 24 ongoing litigation over the Mcivor case. 25

1 But this also plays out where membership 2 rules are concerned. And certainly the cultural dimension 3 is absolutely essential for -- because the big challenge is having state law recognize the legitimacy of Indigenous 4 legal orders that have their own understanding even of what 5 is comprised in our material world; is this confined just 6 7 to material objects or are there more spiritual dimensions to issues. 8

9 And the *Ktunaxa* case, just before Christmas 10 that was rendered by the Supreme Court of Canada was a good 11 example of that; whether the spirit of the grizzly bear 12 should be protected in the Ktunaxa area.

And so these issues are constantly present because state law is built upon an ideology which is very western culturally, and in many ways, very, very male in a gendered -- from a gendered perspective.

17

MS. CHRISTINA COMACCHIO: Thank you.

18 Yesterday in response to one of Commissioner Audette's questions you mentioned that Canada has 19 implemented laws that don't create social realities for 20 21 Indigenous communities and Indigenous women, and that these laws were to help Aboriginal communities. Is this concept 22 23 of helping not reflect dominant racist, paternalistic discourse within Canadian law, and should that discourse be 24 25 changed?

1 MR. JEAN LECLAIR: If I understand well, what I meant by the fact that law does not create social 2 3 reality is that unless there's legitimacy to law, if we distinguish legality; legality is does the institution 4 5 adopting the law has a right to do so according to its own rules. So you have the Indian Act, for instance, that was 6 7 adopted democratically according to western rules, but whether it has legitimacy in the eyes of the persons that 8 9 are being dealt with by the *Indian Act* is an entirely 10 different question.

So you can create the Indian Act but it 11 12 doesn't mean that it's going to be followed on the ground. And I think that we have to focus on the legitimacy of the 13 rules from the perspective of Indigenous peoples. And 14 that's why I find it so important first that, as I said, 15 Indigenous peoples make the declaration their own, 16 according to their own understanding. And actually the 17 18 very, very big issue and it's been present in all the presentations is the willingness of the State to give a 19 space to this understanding of law from an Indigenous 20 21 perspective. And I think that if the State could just realize how, in a sense, its own legitimacy was -- would be 22 23 buttressed by the -- by its recognition of the legitimacy 24 of efficient and legitimate rules of Indigenous peoples, we would be on the right path to reconciliation. 25

But there are all sorts of, as you mentioned, ideological convictions that are deeply rooted, and bureaucratic practises that are not easily changed, and these are the most difficult challenges we have to face. It's a transformation of mentalities. MS. CHRISTINA COMACCHIO: Just building on

that, do you agree that in the discussions of absence of political will behind legislation give it teeth that while the beneficial legislation, their lax political will, there is, on the flip side, political will to implement sexist and racist legislation, like the *Indian Act*, that does create negative social realities for Indigenous women and girls?

MR. JEAN LECLAIR: It certainly can, but I 14 think it's becoming much more difficult. For instance, 15 take the LaValle case in the seventies that said basically 16 that treating women discriminately in a... d'une manière 17 18 discriminatoire, in a discriminatory manner was justified. Then the human rights committee said in the Lovelace case 19 that this wasn't right, and the government had to change 20 21 its position.

I'm thinking of the Idle No More movement that was led by women and that, I think, maybe I'm wrong, but it seems to me that it has transformed Indigenous civil society, and that these movements will make it much more

1 difficult to implement a more sexist legislation. 2 But I think, as I said also, this is 3 something that's internal to Indigenous communities also. They have their own issues over these distinctions, and 4 they have to face this critically also. 5 MS. CHRISTINA COMACCHIO: Just a few quick 6 7 questions. So yesterday, we entered into exhibits the gender and violence article. An important part of the 8 9 contextualization of this article is the Aboriginal Sexual Violence Action Plan that it is critiquing. I believe this 10 is cited at Footnote 2 of the article. . Have you read this 11 12 plan that the article is based on? 13 MR. JEAN LECLAIR: Honestly, no. I only 14 read the paper. MS. CHRISTINA COMACCHIO: And actually, that 15 action plan is also -- builds upon the strategic framework 16 from 2007 for violence against women, and these documents 17 18 were written in partnership by the Métis Nation of Ontario, Ottawa and the OFIFC. 19 Would you agree that these background 20 21 information -- the background reports that this article is critiquing are necessary for the Commissioners to review to 22 23 properly understand the context of the gender and violence 24 article? MR. JEAN LECLAIR: They probably are. And I 25

1 also think that the Commission would be very -- it would be a good idea for the Commission to meet with Val Napoleon 2 3 and Emily Snyder and John Borrows, who wrote the paper. MS. CHRISTINA COMACCHIO: On that basis, I 4 would like to tender actually those two documents as 5 exhibits. I have -- just as a -- I have circulated them to 6 7 the parties and Commission counsel and received no objections. 8 9 Can you pause the time, please? MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Yes. You can -10 you're actually going to have to exhibit on the record in 11 12 your time. There's no objection. MS. CHRISTINA COMACCHIO: Okay. 13 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: So go ahead and 14 exhibit. 15 MS. CHRISTINA COMACCHIO: Do you want me to 16 bring the copies? I only have one, stapled, sorry. 17 18 CHIEF COMMISSIONER BULLER: At some point, 19 we'll need copies as well. MS. CHRISTINA COMACCHIO: Yes. 20 21 CHIEF COMMISSIONER BULLER: Okay. MS. CHRISTINA COMACCHIO: I can undertake to 22 23 provide them electronically as well. CHIEF COMMISSIONER BULLER: Thank you. 24 The document, A Strategic Framework to End Violence Against 25

1 Aboriginal Women, prepared by the Ontario Native Women's Association and the Ontario Federation of Indian Friendship 2 3 Centres, September 2007, will be Exhibit 32. --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. B32: 4 "A Strategic Framework to End Violence 5 against Aboriginal Women" prepared by 6 the Ontario Native Women's Association 7 and the Ontario Federation of Indian 8 9 Friendship Centres, September 2007 (12 10 pages) CHIEF COMMISSIONER BULLER: And Aboriginal 11 12 Sexual Violence Action Plan by the Métis Nation of Ontario, 2011, will be Exhibit 33, please. 13 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. B33: 14 "Aboriginal Sexual Violence Action 15 Plan" Ontario Federation of Indian 16 Friendship Centres / Métis Nation of 17 18 Ontario / Ontario Native Women's Association, 2011 (26 pages) 19 MS. CHRISTINA COMACCHIO: Thank you. 20 Those 21 are all my questions, and I'm going to pass it to Cora now. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. McGUIRE 22 23 CYRETTE: MRS. CORA-LEE MCGUIRE CYRETTE: My guestions 24 are to Professor Brenda Gunn. 25

In light of multiple non-compliance orders, the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal has issued post caring society. Inquiry -- or if the Inquiry does recommend a national action plan with a monitoring body, how can we assure that Canada and all levels of government actually uphold these obligations when Canada cannot uphold the court order of the Federal Human Rights Tribunal?

8 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I'm not sure I have an
9 answer, and I'm not sure if the question is somewhat
10 rhetorical.

In -- I mean, enforcement of the law is 11 12 always a challenge, and I think that was the sort of point that I was raising when people say that international law 13 doesn't have teeth. From my experience, when I engage in 14 civil society and engage in advocacy and international law, 15 I mean, the only thing we can do is keep trying to put the 16 pressure on Canada and make their non-compliance known and 17 18 push for that.

19 I'm not sure I have any magical answers. I
20 do think that, you know, if the government doesn't want to
21 act, I don't know how we do it. I don't know if we can
22 arrest the prime minister. I'm not sure of the extent of
23 how Canadian law works. I don't know. I know what happens
24 if I fail to follow a court order and injunction. I don't
25 know how we enforce it, necessarily.

I mean, some would answer that this is the whole point of a democratic government, that if the government fails to follow the law, the next election cycle they get dealt with that way. I'm not sure that's a satisfactory answer.

But you know, in Bill C-262 on the 6 7 implementation of the UN Declaration, this was part, I think, the wisdom of the Act is that you state that, one, 8 9 needs to create the national action plan; and two, you say that you have to periodically report back to Parliament on 10 the actions taken. So I think that's a sort of attempt to 11 12 create an accountability mechanism, right, so that you have to do something and then you have to publicly state what 13 you're doing. And if you're -- you know, if the government 14 in power isn't doing it, I think there's opportunities for 15 opposition parties to bring it up and remind government of 16 obligations. 17

18 So those are some of my answers, but I agree19 with the challenge on enforcing the law.

20 MRS. CORA-LEE MCGUIRE CYRETTE: Thank you.
 21 Would you agree child welfare is the root
 22 cause of missing and murdered Indigenous women and girls?
 23 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: I'm sorry. Stop the
 24 time.

25

I'm not sure if that's within the expertise

1 of this particular witness, if you're asking a question in relation. If the witness believes she can answer in part, 2 3 I'd just ask her to qualify her expertise in that area. MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, thank you. 4 I was going to respond with sort of my 5 limited knowledge. But what I can say is that the periodic 6 reports of Canada to various human rights treaty bodies, 7 the treaty bodies have made those connections. 8 9 I believe Canada's periodic reports to the Convention on the Rights of the Child and in the responses 10 that have come from the Committee, I believe have made that 11 12 connection, at least, if not directly, I think implicitly, by saying problem with child welfare, problem with murdered 13 and missing Indigenous girls when it's in relation to the 14 rights of the child; right. So I believe others have made 15 that connection. 16 MRS. CORA-LEE MCGUIRE CYRETTE: Thank you. 17 18 Do you agree that the Inquiry should hear direct evidence of the child welfare system? 19 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I think that's a little 20 21 hard for me to answer, other than to say if a human rightsbased approach is taken and if the information that they 22 collect demonstrates that this is one of the human rights 23 obligations that Canada has, and may be violated, it could 24 be an important aspect. But I don't have that knowledge to 25

1	say that in my expertise there is international Indigenous
2	rights.
3	MS. CORA-LEE McGUIRE CYRETTE: Okay. I'm
4	going to pass it over to
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR COURTNEY
6	SKYE:
7	MS. COURTNEY SKYE: Hi. I'm going to be
8	asking questions to Dr. Sambo Dorough. And we just wanted
9	to thank you for your lifetime of work and the knowledge
10	and lift up your work that you bring here and to
11	acknowledge the how clearly you spoke about the
12	individual and collective rights faced by Indigenous women
13	and how Indigenous women's advocacy has made that happen.
14	The 4 th World Conference on Women in Beijing
15	highlighted the essential need for empowered and autonomous
16	women as being essential to achieving accountable
17	government administration and sustainable development in
18	all areas of life.
19	Can you further expand on how the political
20	mobilization of Indigenous women in decision-making is
21	affirmed by international declarations?
22	MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Well, first of all,
23	there is the general reference to, for example, within the
24	UN Declaration on of Rights of Indigenous Peoples as well
25	as the American Declaration and the ILO convention number

1 169, the broad reference to gender equality in terms of the rights of Indigenous women and Indigenous men. 2 Secondly, as I stated in my intervention, 3 the rights affirmed in these various different 4 international human rights instruments including the UN 5 Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples are 6 7 interrelated, interdependent and indivisible. So one could make a very strong argument about the, again, 8 9 intersectional perspective of the rights and the interrelated nature of the rights. 10 So I think that there's many, many different 11 12 ways to respond to this particular question, and also in the context of the exercise of a specific right and 13 14 specific case studies. MS.COURTNEY SKYE: Thank you. Women's equal 15 participation in decision-making is not only a demand of 16 simple justice or democracy, but can also be seen as a 17 18 necessary condition for women's interest to be taken into account. Without the active participation of women and the 19 incorporation of women's perspective in all of decision-20 21 making, the goals of equality, development and peace cannot be achieved. 22 23 In your opinion, how can this Inquiry's

23 In your opinion, now can this inquiry's
 24 recommendations assure that the broad political
 25 mobilisation of Indigenous women through organisations of

1 their own choosing across all issues that affect their
2 lives in order to sustainably address systemic violence and
3 create safety for Indigenous women?

4 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: You should have
5 been president for the drafting of the UN Declaration on
6 the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.

7 I think that a number of different rights,
8 in particular as affirmed in the UN Declaration on the
9 Rights of Indigenous Peoples affirmed the right to
10 participate erectly in matters that affect you at all
11 levels and on the basis of representatives chosen by the
12 Indigenous peoples concerned.

And because of the gender equality provision and the strong potential and the power and the influence of Indigenous women, in this particular issue of Indigenous women and girls, that there's no question that the voice and the objectives and the concerns of Indigenous women and girls across Canada and across the globe can have extraordinary influence in all of these matters.

20 And you point to the Beijing platform, any 21 field and any area, the sustainable development goals that 22 I referenced yesterday, including some of the objectives 23 regarding gender equality and all of the various different 24 indicators is another example. Again, I think there are 25 any range of possibilities, and the potential for these

1 important instruments to inform that engagement. 2 There's many strategies that can be 3 undertaking, and I would urge a multi-faceted or multipronged approach. And it seems pretty clear that your 4 respective organisations are doing this in terms of local 5 action, regional action, national and international 6 7 engagement. MS. COURTNEY SKYE: I have one final 8 9 question. So yesterday you spoke about the direct result

10 of increased violence experienced by Indigenous women and 11 girls who live near resource extraction industries.

Would you agree that a recommendation should be made to create a mandatory legislated requirement that all corporations engaged in resource development need to work with the nearby Indigenous women and communities and be required to adequately fund the necessary measures to ensure their safety for the duration of the resource extraction?

MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes, I think, and
you've stated your question in a very comprehensive
fashion. I think that here again there is important need
for intersectional perspectives.

Brenda Gunn yesterday referred to the
guiding principles on business and human rights, and this
is an extraordinary body of important work that is being

invoked by Indigenous peoples in numerous ways where
 extractive industries especially are engaged in resourced
 development.

I think that specific to this would be the need to call all of the various different international instruments as well as any national laws, policies and regulations in order to build up this entire area and dimension of safeguarding Indigenous women and girls and Indigenous peoples generally.

10 I want to make note that at the recent permanent forum on Indigenous issues, and this was a 11 12 stunning development in my view. The report from-- and I forget it specific title-- but the report concerning 13 14 mercenaries being employed by, in some cases, state government, but more specifically third-party corporations 15 where Indigenous peoples are attempting to defend their 16 land rights being met by mercenaries. 17

18 And this is... you know, we've been involved in this human rights work for a long time and how is it 19 that mercenaries become engaged in defending the rights and 20 21 interests of third-parties and to a larger state government interests in the face of, again, the human rights 22 23 instruments that have been developed that should be in favour of all of us as individuals but Indigenous peoples 24 as collectivities? 25

1 So this has also sparked an entire area, and it's my view, that there is a need for a discussion about 2 3 Indigenous human rights defenders. Because yes, we can talk about human rights defenders, but in the Indigenous 4 context, especially when we're talking about the distinct 5 status and rights of Indigenous peoples, including their 6 7 political, economic, social and cultural rights, and the political right to self-determination, and inherent rights 8 9 to lands, territories and resources that we should be engaged in harmed conflict over the defence of our lands, 10 territories and resources. 11

12 I know that's probably not entirely responsive and probably too much to take on now in terms of 13 14 our rights, but these are ... emerging developments that are heightening the impacts upon Indigenous women and girls. 15 And we as Indigenous women know the power of women when it 16 comes to defense, especially of their fruits' security and 17 18 their lands territories and resources, and more often than not it is Indigenous women who are the frontlines of those 19 battles. 20

So I hope I didn't overwhelm you with that
response, but it's important for everyone to know.

23 MS. CHERYL BAGNALL: (Indigenous word) and
24 good morning to the panellists. The rest of my questions
25 will be directed towards Corey O'Soup.

So Corey, in your experience as an educator and an advocate for children, do you have experience in educating youth on the human rights? And can you speak to me in fact of this education, specifically as this increases the safety of girls?

M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes, you know, our
curriculums are limited when it comes to educating our
children and youth on human rights, and even more
specifically on women's rights and even much specifically
on the United Nations convention on rights to a child.

So in all my time teaching, I'm trying to 11 12 think back if it ever came ... There's probably not one class that I had a curriculum that I taught that had any of those 13 issues actually within it, and I taught history 10-20-30, I 14 taught wellness, I taught science, I taught a number of 15 different classes, and during my time teaching I would say 16 that it has not been represented. But part of what we are 17 18 advocating for within Saskatchewan is that those things are included in curriculum. I know they're there. They just 19 weren't in places that I taught. Typically they are, you 20 21 know, maybe one day, one lesson, you know, as part of, you 22 know, United Nations or different things like that, or you 23 know, in those types of situations.

24 My goal is to have them actually woven25 throughout curriculum so that you cannot go through our

1 school system without learning about women's rights, or about UNCRC, or about human rights. And I believe that 2 3 that should be start -- start being taught right from kindergarten, right to grade 12, so that you cannot have 4 the experience that I had, and that many of us had, about 5 not learning about it. And then also from the other side 6 7 of the table, now that I recall, about not teaching about it, you know? So I believe that serves two purposes. It 8 9 serves in educating our children, but it also serves in educating our teachers who are teaching our children about 10 those rights. 11

MS. CHERYL BAGNALL: In the, "Shush, Listen to Us" report, did you copywrite the used stories and do you agree that all the stories belong the people and communities who experienced them?

MR. COREY O'SOUP: I don't believe we've 16 copywrited them, but all of the guidelines were followed 17 18 but research ethics and I believe the stories belong to the children and the youth. And whenever I reference them I 19 like to say that I'm not talking as Corey, specifically 20 when I talk about the voices in here. They are their 21 voices and sometimes when I challenge people using their 22 23 voices they challenge me, and it's like, it's not me that you're talking to. It's our children that you're talking 24 to and they deserve to be heard. 25

1 MS. CHERYL BAGNALL: So would you also agree that the National Inquiry's final report must not own the 2 3 stories they have heard and instead honour these stories, 4 but not copywriting them? MR. COREY O'SOUP: Oh, gee. That's a -- I'm 5 not even sure where they're going. But I believe all of 6 our stories belong to all of us. They belong to the women, 7 and the children, and the girls. I'm not too sure where 8 9 that's ---MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Time's up. 10 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Oh, people are ---11 12 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Sorry. MS. CHERYL BAGNALL: Our time's up? 13 14 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Yes. Thank you. Just so it's clear though, that if a witness is answering a 15 question that was asked, we allow the witness to complete 16 the question. 17 18 Next we would like to call up the Battered Women's Support Service. I believe this will be Ms. Angela 19 Marie MacDougall and Anemki Wedam and the -- this party, 20 21 Battered Women's Support Service, has 40 minutes and as soon as they start talking the time begins. 22 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. ANGELA 24 MARIE MacDOUGALL: 25 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Good morning.

1 Thank you to the Elders, thank you to the Commissioners,
2 and thank you witnesses, and to counsel. I -- as
3 mentioned, my name is Angela MacDougall and I'm Executive
4 Director, and I'm here with my colleague Anemki Wedam. And
5 we drew straws and I got the straw which says that I'm
6 going first.

Dr. Sambo Dorough, thank you for your work 7 and for your vision and clarity of voice. I'd like to ask 8 9 you please, you spoke earlier about resource extraction and extensively about the dark side, I think, of resource 10 extraction. Would you be willing to give us a sense about 11 12 how you see through your consultations, how you've noted the ways in which this dark side is at the -- is at a core 13 of colonization, in terms of resource extraction and 14 economic development and the making of nation states, 15 settler nation states? 16

MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: In fact, I'd like 17 18 to respond in the context of how the UN Declaration of Rights of Indigenous Peoples was drafted and where the 19 attention of all actors was focussed. The contentious 20 21 issue of the right to self-determination and the arguments that I discussed yesterday about states wanting to 22 23 prescribe the nature of the right to self-determination of 24 Indigenous Peoples and our response. That was one area. The second contentious area is what you've 25

1 just said. The rights of Indigenous Peoples to their lands, territories, and resources. And I think that your 2 3 linkage to the colonial nature and the -- what I referred to yesterday as colonial violence, hits the nail right on 4 Because in hindsight, this was probably the most the head. 5 important cluster of articles in the UN Declaration. The 6 7 cluster of articles related to lands, territories, and resources. Because since the time of first contact it's 8 9 always been about the gold. And up until more recently, nearly every land claims agreement, nearly every discussion 10 about resolving the rights of Indigenous Peoples to lands, 11 12 territories, and resources, has been triggered by those keen to access the renewable and non-renewable resources on 13 Indigenous Peoples' lands. 14

And I can cite specifically our own 15 experience with the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of 16 1971, a unilateral piece of legislation adopted by the 17 18 United States Congress, signed into law by President Nixon, that did a wide range of things. Including provisions to 19 extinguish the rights of Alaska native people to all lands 20 21 outside of the settlement area, as well as -- get this -as well as to extinguish Aboriginal hunting and fishing 22 23 rights. And it said, in just a very few words. Imagine 24 that impact. And in that context, and I learned about this at a very young age, in that context I think it's safe to 25

1 say that Indigenous Peoples are the only peoples in the entire world that are forced to have their rights 2 3 extinguished. Maybe someone has done other research and knows about this. But I think it's pretty safe to say. 4 So if you think about colonialism, if you 5 think about racial discrimination, if you think about the -6 7 - even the simple question of how is it that one peoples has the power and the right to purportedly extinguish the 8 rights of others? That's a -- that's, you know, a great 9 question for an ethics class, right? But never mind that. 10 I think that there are all kinds of linkages 11 12 throughout history that can be made by individual Indigenous Peoples to get to the heart of your question and 13 14 the essential nature of your question. The only other examples that I want to cite is what I stated yesterday 15 about the James Bay Northern Quebec Agreement and 16 hydroelectric power. Here again, it was a resource that 17 18 was needed and largely that and claims agreement, which fortunately dramatically contrasts with the experience in 19 That land claims agreement was propelled by the 20 Alaska. 21 need of New York State for electricity. And I can cite 22 many other examples where -- especially we've seen 23 heightened violence between Indigenous Peoples trying to 24 protect their land rights, as I just spoke of, and extractive industries. Or again, any kind of renewable or 25

non-renewable resource triggering an address of the rights
 to lands, territories, and resources, and the legacy of the
 colonial violence that has emerged from that.

4 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Thank you for
5 your answer.

I'm just going to go across the panel
randomly. Professor Gunn, you spoke yesterday about
intersectionality and in -- actually, sorry. I apologize.
That was you also Dr. Sambo Dorough. I'll get back to you,
Professor Gunn.

The intersectionality and looking at the 11 12 various declarations, and the conventions, and reports from the UN, and wanting to map those out in some way and 13 thinking. Do you see -- in thinking about that 14 specifically, you know, we come from the territory now 15 called British Columbia, and in the region, the Squamish, 16 Tsleil-Waututh, the Musqueam people, Coast Salish people. 17 18 And, there aren't treaties, there's very few treaties within -- that have been signed within British Columbia, 19 and resource extraction and the Canadian enterprising 20 21 nature in terms of resource extraction has been there since the beginning of British Columbia. And, it's very 22 23 intensifying right now around third parties with respect to pipelines. 24

25

Do you imagine a way to use these

international instruments to entrench or at least begin a
 dialogue around violence against Indigenous women as it
 relates to resource extraction through, perhaps, legal
 proceedings, domestic legal proceedings?

MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes. I think that 5 if I'm correct, the voices of First Nations have been 6 7 amplified in relation to resource development in British Columbia. As an outside observer, I've paid attention to 8 9 these developments. Some of them have been raised within the U.N. Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues, and 10 representatives from First Nations in B.C. travelling to 11 12 participate in the Permanent Forum and raising their voices about this area. I think there is no question that the --13 especially the Indigenous-specific international human 14 rights instruments throughout B.C. and elsewhere can be 15 16 invoked.

MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: 17 Mav I 18 interrupt? I'm sorry. MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes. 19 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Specifically, 20 21 around violence against Indigenous women and girls, the piece around -- maybe you were getting to that point. 22 23 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I was trying to, 24 but yes. Go ahead.

25

MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Building in a

framework to highlight in very clear ways the relationship
 to resource development and extraction to violence against
 women, and anything we want to do, anything that wants to
 be done specific to land claims.

MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes. I quess I 5 would just quickly say that the response that I gave 6 7 earlier about social and cultural impacts, that this needs to take on a very unique and, I suppose, as I said 8 9 vesterday, a distinctions-based approach in relation to the individual and the collective rights of Indigenous women 10 and girls when it comes to resource development activities. 11 12 And, I think I would not be surprised that this becomes a very specialized field of development when we talk about 13 social and cultural impacts and that side of development, 14 and would just simply urge that anyone taking on this 15 particular human rights enterprise, again, review all of 16 the available tools and resources at the international 17 18 level, as well as at the national level, but even more significantly, Indigenous knowledge and Indigenous legal 19 traditions. 20

MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Thank you for
 your reply. Professor Gunn, you spoke yesterday about - you gave evidence relating to finding ways to build in
 human rights frameworks within civil society, am I correct?
 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes.

1 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: In British 2 Columbia, we've had, at various times, a Commission of 3 Human Rights, and that was dismantled a few years ago and it's being reimagined. I'd like to enter into evidence a 4 document, Human Rights Commission for the 21st Century -5 British Columbians Talk About Human Rights. I did email 6 7 copies. I don't have a hard copy. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Can we stop the time 8 9 for a moment, please? When you say you emailed copies, they were provided to Commission counsel and all parties? 10 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: To Commission 11 12 counsel, yes, and to all parties, yes. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: But, has it been put 13 14 to the actual witness? MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: No, it has 15 16 not. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Okay. So, one of 17 18 the things we'll do before we actually ask for it to be entered is I'm seeing if I have a copy on me so that we can 19 see if the witness is familiar with that document. 20 21 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: If chief counsel doesn't have a copy and in the interest of time, I 22 23 would be willing to speak around the document without having to reference the document. 24 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: It's not an issue, 25

1	it's just a matter if we have it, then she can see it. She
2	might be very familiar with it and then it's easy to move
3	forward with it. Can you remind me the name of it, please?
4	MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: It's called
5	the Human Rights Commission for the 21 st Century - British
6	Columbians Talk About Human Rights.
7	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Yes, if you don't
8	have a hard copy, I'm not sure what to tell you, because I
9	don't have that.
10	MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: It might be
11	the best way to proceed.
12	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: All right.
13	MS. BRENDA GUNN: I'm not familiar with this
14	document. There was an underlying question, I believe?
15	MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Would it be
16	okay for me to read a paragraph from the document, as
17	evidence?
18	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Okay. So, it's
19	outside of normal practice, but for the purpose of if
20	you're reading or pinpointing a paragraph, and you're
21	willing to undertake to provide that page and citation,
22	then we can talk about putting it into an exhibit after the
23	fact, if the Commissioners are okay with that approach.
24	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: That's
25	agreeable. Thank you.

1 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Thank you very 2 3 much. 4 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: And so, we can start the time again. 5 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Thank you. 6 This would be in the introduction of the Human Rights 7 Commission for the 21st Century - British Columbians Talk 8 9 About Human Rights. 10 The province is re-establishing the British Columbia Human Rights Commission 11 12 to do this thoughtfully. Feedback is gathered in order to guide decisions and 13 the rules. The 21st century human rights 14 system, as a place that is open to new 15 ideas and modernizing our systems, B.C. 16 should become the leader in 21st century 17 18 human rights services. The Human Rights Commission should, as soon as practically 19 20 possible, collaborate with and consult 21 Indigenous groups to develop Commission policies, practices and honour the 22 23 principles set out in the United Nations 24 Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People. Commission staff should have all 25

1 cultural understanding and cultural safety 2 training to help create a safe where 3 respectful conversations can take place. As well, the Commission should develop, in 4 5 collaboration with Indigenous partners, a focused engagement strategy to increase 6 awareness and effectiveness of the 7 Commission, and its role for the 8 9 Commission to learn from Indigenous 10 communities. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: So, sorry, stop the 11 12 clock again? Could you do me a favour and just pinpoint it? One of your colleagues has been nice enough to pull it 13 That was such a large read-in that it's not going to 14 up. be fair for the -- yes. But, if you can pinpoint it, 15 please, like, which page you're looking at? 16 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Just one 17 18 moment, please. The introduction and the... MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: The page numbers are 19 right on the bottom corner. 20 21 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Page 19. 22 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. I'm 23 sorry, do you know which paragraph that began at? Just on the introduction, is that recommendation on the 24 independence of the Commission? 25

1	MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: No. So, here
2	we go. It's number (e), page 27.
3	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: I'm sorry. Okay.
4	And so, we'll just note that.
5	MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: So, in
6	summary, the paragraph refers to
7	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Sorry. Sorry, the
8	time is still stopped, so wait one moment, please.
9	MS. BRENDA GUNN: I think I may have pulled
10	it up on my laptop, which will be much easier to read
11	because my yes, I believe I have it in a font size that
12	is
13	MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Thank you,
14	chief counsel.
15	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: And, although I do
16	like the reference to being a chief counsel, I'm actually
17	just Commission counsel. So, we'll start the time again,
18	and please proceed with asking the question after the read
19	in.
20	MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: I am wondering
21	what you think about this idea of a domestic agency
22	applying a declaration into the infrastructure?
23	MS. BRENDA GUNN: I think in all of my work
24	in advocacy generally has been about translating
25	international human rights forums into domestic law. And

1 so, I think it's important for this to happen in various 2 aspects. I have recently released a paper on the role of 3 national human rights institutions on implementing the U.N. Declaration. It's a little bit beyond what I've spoken to 4 here, but I do think that the international human rights 5 standards that exist and the protections that they provide, 6 7 particularly in relation to violence against Indigenous women and girls. It's important to have multiple avenues 8 9 and I'm not sure that we can over-implement something or have too many avenues to pursue. So I would say in a very 10 general sense I'm in favour of such approaches. Without 11 12 having read the full report I'm not sort of willing to say that, you know, I would support a B.C. Human Rights 13 14 Commission, but I think in a generalised, yes, inter -domestic human rights norms and understanding should be 15 informed by international standards as well. 16 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Thank you. 17 18 My next question's for Corey O'Soup. 19 Morning. MR. COREY O'SOUP: Good morning. 20

MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: You gave a
 harrowing statistic yesterday of Indigenous girls and
 suicide. Would you be willing to repeat that again?
 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yeah, for Indigenous
 girls in Saskatchewan on the area of suicide, our

1 Indigenous girls are 26 times more likely than non-Indigenous girls in Saskatchewan to die by suicide? 2 3 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Thank you. How do you understand this? How do you make sense of this? 4 MR. COREY O'SOUP: You know, if you look to 5 -- and this is why we went and asked the questions of our 6 7 kids around the topic of suicide; right? And they laid it out pretty clearly for us. You know, they gave us six 8 themes as to why; right? We also asked them for solutions 9 and they gave us some calls to action. So the six themes 10 are, if you have your book, they're on page two, you know, 11 12 as to why, from the kids. The first one is bullying and cyberbullying, 13 14 which we've discussed quite a bit here. The second one is around lack of emotional support. Third one is the impact 15 of substance misuse, lack of physical safety, lack of 16 activities, the impact on emotional and mental wellness. 17 18 So those were all the six themes that the young people gave us and they detailed quite clearly in the report about --19 underneath all of those about why those were themes, and 20 21 then they gave us some solutions as well. So I always like to turn back to the young people. 22 23 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Thank you. In that work, in your work -- and we spoke 24

25 in -- it was spoken here yesterday or the day before around

1 provide a gender-based lens, looking at gender. To what extent do you think that Indigenous girls in Saskatchewan 2 3 can speak to their experiences on the gender-based lens? MR. COREY O'SOUP: Well, I mean, when we're 4 talking to young people we have to ---5 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: I mean this in 6 7 terms of the -- we're in a culture, in a context where there's a lot of pervasive sexism and misogyny and it's 8 9 very difficult I think. MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yeah. 10 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: We've -- that 11 12 it's difficult to even raise issues of gender in mixed and co-ed settings. 13 MR. COREY O'SOUP: I think the first 14 challenge is actually giving them that setting; right? 15 Giving them the voice and the right to be heard, which is 16 one of their rights, you know, under Article 12. So I 17 18 think we need to create those settings for them, and we don't always give them that safe opportunity to do so. So, 19 you know, I think that's the first that we have to do. 20 21 And I would say they do not have very many opportunities to do so in a safe environment where they 22 23 feel like their voice will be heard. But I believe that -through the process that we went through, they did have 24 that opportunity in a safe environment. Elders were 25

1 around. Mental health supports were around during this process in order to give them that. But as a general rule, 2 3 I would say that we don't give them the opportunity and they are not afforded that opportunity to exercise their 4 right. 5 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: In terms of 6 7 applying a gender-based analysis to ---MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 8 9 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: --- to their experiences? 10 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 11 12 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Thank you. I appreciate that. Thank you. 13 14 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: I have one 15 more questions, and that would be for you, Professor 16 Leclair. 17 18 Yesterday you spoke of three things and one of them was money. I don't recall the other two. I 19 thought I wrote it in my notes, but would you mind 20 21 repeating those again? 22 MR. JEAN LECLAIR: Yes, it was political 23 declaration and the use of legislation. 24 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Would you be willing to expand on that a bit this morning for those that 25

1

perhaps are watching testimony?

2 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: What's the question 3 about?

MR. JEAN LECLAIR: Yes. Well, there are
many ways of implementing international norm and Professor
Gunn spoke eloquently on this issue a few minutes ago. But
basically what I was saying is that you can do it
politically via resolution in the assembly, but this is
basically just a political tool. It's quite useful, but
it's limited. Sorry.

But then if you look at some of the rights 11 12 that are recognised by the Declaration, many of them require investing money. And that's another means of 13 14 providing for the implementation of the Declaration. And sometimes it's -- I was -- what I was saying is that it's 15 16 easier to spend law instead of spending money in the sense that you would just adopt a law and that's -- so I'm just 17 18 saying that -- and I was just saying that adopting a law is a very good idea, but you have to be aware that you have to 19 follow up on this and make sure that what you're aiming at 20 21 will be implemented.

And finally, I was spoking [sic] of -speaking, rather, of using -- resorting to legislation to do so. And then what I said is that you have -- you can either choose a general incorporation, and that's a useful

tool, as long as it's articulated to what you find, for instance, in the Romeo Saganash Bill, which provides for -and, again, Professor Gunn spoke about that a few minutes ago -- a supervision process where a parliament is called upon to assess every year how it can manage the implementation of the Declaration.

124

7 And then finally -- because I don't want to
8 take too much time -- you have specific legislation in
9 specific areas that would take into account the specificity
10 of a particular Indigenous perspective or issue.

MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: 11 Thank you. 12 One final question before I pass to my colleague. One of the things that we are experiencing now in British 13 Columbia, which I think has been a factor across the lands, 14 is -- and it ties to your comments, Dr. Sambo Dorough. 15 Canada has decided to underwrite a third party with respect 16 to resource extraction, which is to say that to underwrite 17 18 any losses that they may have as a right to Indigenous resistance, or resistance and insistence on free, prior and 19 informed consent in terms of -- and that -- and Canada's 20 21 going to underwrite that, so to allocate taxpayer resources in terms of underwriting that. And, you know, we've heard 22 23 testimony yesterday and the day before that was specific around the absence of resources. 24

25

I'm wondering from either of you panellists,

1 are you willing to speak to how do we make sense of 2 Canada's priorities with respect to human rights vis-a-vis 3 industry and capitalism? MR. JEAN LECLAIR: You're asking ---4 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: This is 5 whoever would like to speak. It's open for whoever would 6 7 like to take this. This is at the heart of the -- in terms of we're using international instruments, how do we make 8 sense of it? How do we apply that when on a very -- basis 9 on a daily -- day-to-day basis? 10 DR. DALEE SAMBO BOROUGH: M'hm. Yeah. 11 I'11 12 just quickly answer what -- and hope that we have time for other panellists to answer. 13 I think that one of the things I would point 14 out to you is a report that was done by the Club de Madrid 15 entitled Shared Societies, which gets at this question of 16 capitalism and, let's say, free market economy and the need 17 18 to have an entire paradigm shift. But going to what you've referenced about government willing to underwrite the 19 process to achieve the operationalization of free, prior 20 21 and informed consent, that this -- I would characterise that as quite significant, as long as the substance and the 22 23 procedure in relation to that particular right are met ---MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Well, I think 24 25 I've made everything clear.

1 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yeah. MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Underwriting 2 3 the third party in terms of industry and denying Indigenous. 4 5 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I see. Not underwriting. 6 7 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: No. MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: This is -- that's 8 9 a completely different discussion of which, in my view and opinion, would be a violation of, in particular, the right 10 to self-determination of the Indigenous peoples concerned. 11 12 And if you see free, prior and consent as an element of the right to control your lands, territories and resources, as 13 affirmed in Chilcotin, that -- yeah, that's a whole 14 different issue which would trigger, I wouldn't be 15 surprised, litigation. 16 MS. ANGELA MARIE MacDOUGALL: Thank you. 17 18 I'll pass to my colleague. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. ANEMKI 19 WEDAM : 20 21 MS. ANEMKI WEDAM: Thank you. Professor 22 Gunn, you spoke of the forced disappearance the past --23 yesterday, as well as today, regarding murdered and missing Indigenous women and girls. I would like to ask you, would 24 you agree that it's also forced displacement from 25

Indigenous communities due to the lack of underfunding 1 [sic] and services within First Nation communities? 2 3 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I will say that 4 personally, I appreciate how you're thinking through the ideas. The international jurisprudence that I'm aware of, 5 and definitions of, sort of, removal and forces is -- I 6 quess it's quite literal in that removing people from the 7 land. I've recently -- I believe that the World Bank, 8 9 their new -- I forget what they're calling them -- social policy -- their new approaches, their indicators for 10 development projects are starting to be aware that where 11 12 land is developed in reducing Indigenous peoples' ability to use their land in the traditional ways that they had may 13 be considered a forced displacement. To my knowledge, I'm 14 not aware of that interpretation. And so, I would say 15 currently, I'm not sure the international law has 16 recognized that. It doesn't mean that it couldn't 17 18 recognize that. I think other rights are also implicated in that process of requiring people to move for services. 19 Yes, I think that's... 20

MS. ANEMKI WEDAM: When the Indigenous women and girls are forced to leave their community, to cleave violence either from their intimate partner relationships within Indigenous community, and they are forced to leave their community due to the underfunding, lack of services,

1 lack of intervention on the part of leadership, and sometimes it's leadership that invokes the violence through 2 3 the way in which they operate as organizations within community, that seems to continue and compound when they 4 5 leave. Would you agree that it gets worse once they're displaced from their family and from their community, and 6 7 then forced to move away because they don't get the adequate supports? 8

9 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I can't speak to the availability of services specifically, but what I can say 10 and connect back to my testimony yesterday was that one of 11 12 the starting point recognitions of the U.N. Declaration was the negative impacts of colonization, including and 13 especially the removal of Indigenous peoples from their 14 lands and traditional lands. So, I think as a starting 15 point, international Indigenous rights has recognized that 16 the removal or the forcing of Indigenous peoples off their 17 18 lands is a very fundamental violation and leads to all sorts of other rights violations. And so, while I 19 appreciate the statements, and I think I would, in my 20 21 personal capacity, generally agree, due to my limited, sort of, knowledge and preparations on that, available services, 22 23 I think I'm limited to just say that international law has 24 recognized the problems with that, being forced to move.

25

MS. ANEMKI WEDAM: Dr. Dorough, you

1 mentioned yesterday about the fiduciary obligation that
2 exists in Canada, particularly as it relates to the Crown,
3 and we continue to see that fiduciary duty being eroded by
4 Indigenous Affairs due to the *Indian Act*, which further
5 compounds the human rights of Indigenous women and girls.
6 Could you speak to how we can use the

7 international human rights lens to address those breaches 8 of that fiduciary duty of the Crown?

9 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I want to respond with a proviso that I'm not familiar with the case law and 10 priorities set by those impacted by the Indian Act. But, 11 12 with regard to your specific question about use of the international human rights instruments to respond to this 13 range of issues, I think that there are many creative and 14 innovative ways that Indigenous peoples can utilize these 15 instruments, again, at the local level, at the regional, 16 national and international level, and I regard them -- this 17 18 is akin to something that Brenda Gunn stated, that I regard them as one of the useful tools. At the outset of my 19 intervention yesterday, I referred to the Inuit engagement 20 21 in this work, because we saw that this specific international human rights instrument responding to 22 23 Indigenous peoples would be a useful tool.

24 Depending upon the particular circumstance25 and the case, obviously, the use of the international human

rights mechanisms, and by this, I mean specifically the human rights treaty body that would be a venue depending upon the particular case of bringing forward either a shadow report to the Government of Canada's reports, or to file information with them, depending upon, again, the actual controlling treaty, raising it at various different Indigenous specific fora.

The requirement to exhaust domestic 8 9 remedies, at least for me and what I've seen in regard to that specific requirement of exhausting domestic remedies, 10 is a bit difficult and troubling because oftentimes, it is 11 12 the domestic institutions that have triggered the problem and the lack of implementation at the domestic level in 13 response to the solemn and legal obligations once a 14 government has acceded to or ratified an international 15 instrument. But, I think there are many different ways in 16 which Indigenous peoples can utilize the system. 17

18 One of the more recent examples is how 19 Standing Rock peoples utilized various different 20 mechanisms, again, at the local level with the state, at 21 the national level in terms of their pressure on the 22 federal government, and then taking their cases and issues 23 to the international level.

24 MS. ANEMKI WEDAM: The other question I have
25 for you was regarding, how do we balance the individual and

1 collective rights within Indigenous communities, particularly for women and girls that are equally 2 3 marginalized? To me, I see -- you know, I see within our communities, women and girls that are deeply impacted 4 through violence. Their children are abducted through the 5 child welfare system, and yet, within that internalized 6 7 marginalization and internalized violence, how do we balance those rights of the women and girls that are deeply 8 9 marginalized?

10 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I think that that's one reason why I made the reference yesterday to the 11 12 voices of Indigenous women in the context of the drafting and negotiation of the U.N. Declaration on the Rights of 13 Indigenous Peoples, and their insistence upon a specific 14 reference, especially where it was of concern to them 15 against the backdrop of cultural practices, customs and 16 institutions, many of which may be long standing within 17 18 Indigenous nations, communities and peoples. The specific references, and in particular, Articles 1 and 2, and also, 19 the article concerning gender equality within the U.N. 20 21 Declaration, can formulate the compelling legal arguments in order to safequard Indigenous women as persons, as 22 23 individuals, but then, also, a way to then challenge some of these practices internally. I think Jean Leclair 24 responded to some of this yesterday in a comment that he 25

1 made about some of the debates that do take place within Indigenous communities and the difficulty with reconciling 2 3 collective customs and practices that impede or deny the rights of an Indigenous women or an Indigenous girl. And I 4 think that it is hard to have a general answer without 5 knowing the specific context, but it's important to 6 7 recognise that in international human rights, instruments that speak to the rights of Indigenous, an un-Indigenous 8 9 woman, it's one powerful collection of rights and the balance that exists within the UN Declaration. 10

MS. ANEMKI WEDAM: Corey, you spoke about 11 12 the underfunding for Indigenous youth relating to education and you suggested that there's a real dire need to provide 13 special support services to ensure that we can cultivate 14 the achievement for Indigenous youth and education systems. 15 And you framed it as special services. And I quess, would 16 you agree on the flip side of that that many Indigenous 17 18 youth are marginalized and overprescribed as delinquent youth in the public education system and funnelled into 19 alternate schools as opposed to providing support in a more 20 21 substantive way that can ensure their strengths as Indigenous youth can be emulated through the public system? 22 23 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. If I can clarify. I'm not sure how it was perceived out there but my 24 25 intention was special measures ---

1 MS. ANEMKI WEDAM: Okay. MR. COREY O'SOUP: --- not special services 2 for our Indigenous youth, which is, you know, stated in 3 Article I believe 21 of UNDRP for our children, and with 4 also aligns with the best interests of the child, which is 5 Article 3 in the UNCRC. 6 7 So I wouldn't say necessarily to fund special services for our children and youth. I would say 8 9 more overall funding for the overall education system on 10 reserve. And I do agree with you, there has been 11 12 instances where we have entire classes full of our Indigenous children and youth just because they are 13 14 Indigenous and they term them behaviour. They term them, you know, cognitively challenged. They use all of the 15 16 terms in the book. And part of that reason is because when you get funding for those kids, you get extra funding if 17 18 you funnel them into those programs. So I believe there's inherent problem with 19 that and when we do designate funding for those services, 20 21 because those kids that desperately need those services are the ones that should be getting those services and we 22 23 shouldn't just be designating funds just because our children are Indigenous. 24 25 So I would say that the overall education

1 system, particularly on reserve, is underfunded in all 2 areas. And often we have to make choices between, you 3 know, field trips and speech language pathologist. We have to make choices between those types of things. You know, 4 taking our kids and letting them experience other things 5 because of the underfunding. So I believe that that needs 6 7 to happen that that funding needs to come up to equality. MS. ANEMKI WEDAM: Are you aware that the 8 9 provinces and territories get extra funding for Indigenous youth that are treaty or registered separate and above what 10 they get through transfer payments from the federal 11

12 government?

13

25

MR. COREY O'SOUP: Are you ---

MS. ANEMKI WEDAM: We used to call them
master tuition agreements.

MR. COREY O'SOUP: No, I -- MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Sorry, sorry. I'm
 not sure -- you can -- if you're comfortable answering, but
 I'm not sure if the information you're providing him is
 going to be able to fall within his area of knowledge and
 if you feel like you can answer it.
 MS. ANEMKI WEDAM: Sorry.

23 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: But I would ask for
24 the qualification.

MS. ANEMKI WEDAM: Sorry. I'll reframe the

1 question.

2	The federal government transfers funding to
3	the provinces and territories, and quite often the
4	provincial Ministries of Education or the school boards in
5	each region of the country do are not accountable for
6	how those transfer payments go to support Indigenous youth
7	in public education systems. So would you agree that there
8	needs to be better accountability to ensure that Indigenous
9	youth in the public systems are getting the right to
10	quality education with those transfer payments?
11	MR. COREY O'SOUP: Well, I couldn't speak
12	directly to the amount or the number or a dollars that
13	those transfer payments would specifically be. I can just
14	state generally again that we do need more additional
15	funding for our children and our youth, specifically our
16	Indigenous children. And I think that's the clear point
17	here is that, you know, whether it's coming from the
18	federal government or the provincial government, it is not
19	enough and it's not sufficient to meet the needs of our
20	children and our youth.
21	MS. ANEMKI WEDAM: Okay. Thank you.
22	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. Thank
23	you very much.
24	Chief Commissioner and Commissioners, I note
25	that the time is 12:30. I also note that there's

1 approximately 3 hours of testimony left. On that basis I am going to request a 30-minute lunch so that we can 2 3 commence at 1:00. And the first party that will be called at that time will be Families for Justice. 4 CHIEF COMMISSIONER BULLER: 1:00 please. 5 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. 6 --- Upon recessing at 12:29 p.m./ 7 La séance est suspendue à 12h29 8 --- Upon resuming at 1:10 p.m./ 9 La séance est reprise à 1h10 10 MS CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Good afternoon, Chief 11 12 Commissioner, Commissioners, you'll just note -- oh, and it just went away as I was about to point it out. 13 There was -- oh, yes. Earlier I had just 14 made a housekeeping reference and it's not an exhibit, but 15 resources, so we've had it up over the lunch hour and as I 16 said, it will be available online with our other links and 17 18 all the parties have received it. If we could recommence. The first party 19 that we'd like to call after the lunch break is Families 20 21 for Justice. So Ms. Fraser's here and she has 25 minutes. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. SUZAN 22 23 FRASER: MS. SUZAN FRASER: Thank you. Good morning 24 -- or good afternoon, Commissioners, thank you. Panel 25

1 members, thank you. Good afternoon.

2 My name is Suzan Fraser. I'm here on behalf 3 of 20 families and we've called ourselves Families for Justice, families of -- from across Canada, almost, we've -4 - not too far north but -- and not too, too far east, but 5 British Columbia, Alberta, Saskatchewan, Manitoba and 6 Quebec, and they include families members of Shoshone 7 women, of Cree women, of Dene women, and Anishinaabe women, 8 9 and also include the family of Pamela Holopainen who went missing on December the 14th, 2003 and her mother was an 10 Inuit woman. 11

12 So we want to thank you for the knowledge 13 that we share. Professor Leclair, I was not able to be 14 here for your evidence yesterday so I won't have any 15 questions for you this afternoon, and I'm sorry I couldn't 16 hear it in its entirety.

I want to start by addressing some questions for you, Mr. O'Soup, as advocate, on your wonderful job with the report, and focusing on Article 12 of the Convention on the rights of a child and participation. So I'm right that Article 12 gives children and youth the right to be heard and to participate in matters affecting them.

24 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes.
25 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Okay. And by you

1 engaging as an advocate with young people and hearing from them directly, that is in partial fulfillment of that 2 3 right. MR COREY O'SOUP: Yes, I would say so. 4 MS SUZAN FRASER: Okay. The right -- and 5 that right is an inalienable right, so it is -- they hold 6 7 it themselves? MR COREY O'SOUP: Well, it's based on the 8 9 UNCRC but I believe that is true as well. MS SUZAN FRASER: Okay. And so what means 10 is that they are permitted to exercise that whether the 11 12 adults around them necessarily think that they should have that right or not; is that fair? 13 MR COREY O'SOUP: Yeah, and I believe that 14 they do on many different occasions, yes. 15 MS SUZAN FRASER: And it's not just about 16 dealing directly with the provincial government or federal 17 18 government, any kind of administrative matter, even in a proceeding like this, they would have a right to 19 participate in. 20 21 MR COREY O'SOUP: Yes, according to Article 12. 22 23 MS SUZAN FRASER: Okay. And so part of the challenge in dealing with young people because of their 24 circumstances is that in order for that right to be 25

1 meaningfully exercised we need to create meaningful 2 opportunities for them to be heard and the adults have to 3 be prepared to listen, or those are sort of the conditions for really the exercise of that right. 4 5 M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. MS. SUZAN FRASER: Okay. So when I look at 6 7 your report and the measures you took. And first, I just want to stop and look at the report, noticing the size of 8 9 the report and that it doesn't neatly fit on a shelf, right? 10 M. COREY O'SOUP: You know, that's the way 11 12 that we intended it to be. Actually, it was to honour "Go Down a Secret Path" is why we chose this size. 13 14 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Yes. M. COREY O'SOUP: It's the size of an LP and 15 that's where we got our inspiration from. Because you're 16 right, it doesn't just sit comfortably on a shelf, so you 17 18 can't put it on a bookshelf. And you know if you run your finger along your bookshelf, there it is; or if you put it 19 on your desk, it stands out. 20 21 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Right. And that's because you want people to give life to this report and for it to 22 23 be in people's faces? 24 M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 25 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Okay. So, and if we can

just go on page 1 of the report, which is your executive summary.

3 M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. MS. SUZAN FRASER: One of the objectives of 4 the report is for the report to be, and this is, it's at 5 the second objective so in the second column part-way down, 6 7 the second objective of this report is to be a platform for the voices of these young people to be heard. Right? So 8 9 it's really to elevate their voices and to bring them 10 forward. M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 11 12 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Okay. And so, I want to look at how you operationalized that, just in terms of the 13 14 process and the steps that you took. Because sometimes ... the way that you get to the point where young people is ... 15 the foundational work that you do will sometimes really 16 assist you or really allow young people to make their 17 18 voices known and to be comfortable doing so. Would you 19 agree? M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes, I would agree 100%. 20 21 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Okay. So when we look at 22 your, on page 9 of your report for the people following 23 along with their own version, the steps that you took to 24 engage with young people. M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 25

1 MS. SUZAN FRASER: The first thing you do is you approached it with the rights framework and the 2 3 literature in order to give an understanding of statistical trends in youth engagement on the topic. Right? So you did 4 your homework, essentially? 5 M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 6 7 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Okay. And then you met with the Chiefs and the leaders and the stakeholders, who 8 9 had lost young people, to listen and to learn from them, and to explore a role with your office? 10 M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 11 12 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Right? Am I right in understanding that the reason you would do that is you would 13 have to, in order to meaningfully participate with young 14 people, establish a level of trust with the leadership and 15 16 the adults in the community? M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes, you know, with our 17 18 process, we needed them to be aware that we were in their communities, especially on our Indigenous communities, 19 because we do respect their right that they are on sovereign 20 21 territories and we did want them to know why we were there and what the process was and, you know, for what purpose. 22 23 MS. SUZANE FRASER: And sometimes, even though you probably have a right to seek out young people 24 25 and to hear from them directly as part of the legislative

1	framework that governs what you do?
2	M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes.
3	MS. SUZAN FRASER: But just because you have
4	the right to speak directly to young people doesn't mean
5	that's gonna end up being the best process for hearing from
6	them, is that fair?
7	M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes, that's fair.
8	MS. SUZAN FRASER: And sometimes if you want
9	to access young people, when you gain the trust of adults,
10	they will help transfer that trust to the young people?
11	M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes, you know, because a
12	lot of the times, and the places that we went, the young
13	people wouldn't have known us. So we had to lean on the
14	relationships that we had with some of the adults within the
15	community to be able to reach those young people.
16	MS. SUZAN FRASER: All right. And if you had
17	not done of all of that preliminary work, in terms of doing
18	your research and making those relationships in the
19	community, would the young people have come to you?
20	M. COREY O'SOUP: I would say probably not
21	have, not in the numbers that they did, you know. We might
22	have, you know, engaged with a few here and there, but I
23	mean, we would have been strangers entering into their
24	worlds and them not knowing who we are or what we were there
25	for.

So I believe we needed to, you know, frame
 that in order to make sure that they were safe and that they
 weren't just talking to basically strangers.

MS. SUZAN FRASER: Right. So then that sort 4 of became, once you had established those relationships and 5 done your homework, that became the next piece of the work 6 that you do was to establish with the young people a kind of 7 informed, what I would call as a lawyer informed, consent 8 process, but what really is about telling them about the 9 work that you intend to do, telling them about what you hope 10 to gain from them and telling them about what's going to be 11 12 done with their stories once they had told them?

13

M. COREY O'SOUP: Hum, mmm.

MS. SUZAN FRASER: And you conveyed all of
that information in advance and got their agreement on it
before you actually started hearing from them?

M. COREY O'SOUP: We did a couple of things.
Before we actually went into this report, we actually did
presentations to them and we gave them an invitation. So we
did presentations to over 1,000 youth in the north, and
after the presentations were done they were given an
invitation to come and participate.

23 So they were taught about our office a 24 little bit, taught about their rights, and then we had a 25 discussion about why we were there. And then, we invited

1 them to participate, and out of that over 1,000, there's approximately 264 that decided to participate. 2 3 And then with the consent piece, if they were over 16, they could sign the consent form themselves. 4 If they were under 16, they had to get permission from a 5 parent or quardian and they had to sign the consent forms. 6 7 MS. SUZAN FRASER: So that would be, and the parents also having the same kind of information about what 8 9 your process was gonna look like before the young person engaged? 10 M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 11 12 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Okay. So then, in presenting to those thousand youths across northern 13 Saskatchewan, how many communities did you have to visit? 14 M. COREY O'SOUP: We presented in 12 15 communities. 16 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Okay. And if you hadn't 17 18 invited young people from Saskatoon, without doing that work, as kind of an alternative way of doing it, do you 19 think you would have had effective participation from the 20 21 young people? 22 M. COREY O'SOUP: Like, within the city of 23 Saskatoon? MS. SUZAN FRASER: Yes. 24 M. COREY O'SOUP: I think if we would have 25

1 went through the same process and presented to them. MS. SUZAN FRASER: Yes? 2 3 M. COREY O'SOUP: We probably would have had similar numbers. I mean, it's, you know ... there's 250,000 4 people in Saskatchewan, or in Saskatoon, so we probably 5 would have got a good number, I would have said. 6 7 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Right. M. COREY O'SOUP: It's hard to tell, though. 8 9 MS. SUZAN FRASER: And so, but would you get the same reception from the northern communities if you were 10 just inviting people to Saskatoon? 11 12 M. COREY O'SOUP: Oh, you mean inviting them down to Saskatoon? 13 14 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Yes. M. COREY O'SOUP: Oh, no, for sure not. 15 There's definitely implications of travel, of, you know, 16 parents coming, you know, supervision, all of those 17 18 different issues that would've have affected that, so no. Ι thought you meant if we invited kids from Saskatoon to 19 20 participate. 21 MS. SUZAN FRASER: No. M. COREY O'SOUP: No, to ask them to come to 22 23 Saskatoon or Regina or any major city was not a consideration, because we felt like we had to go to them. 24 25 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Okay. And then, you went

1 back? 2 M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 3 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Once you collected all the information, you went back to the young people to tell them 4 what you had heard and how you had understood what they had 5 conveyed to you? 6 M. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. We made that 7 commitment early on to them, when we first met with them, 8 9 that we would come back to them and we would validate what they said to ensure that it truly was a representation of 10 what they told us before we actually shared that with 11 12 anybody else, we went back to them. And then, that gave us the validation, and 13 in some places, we went back more than once to ensure that 14 we had their voices and their validation. And even now, to 15 this day, we continue to go back to those communities and 16 continue to talk to those young people in order to keep and 17 18 establish that relationship going. MS. SUZAN FRASER: Okay. So in terms of a 19 process like this; this process has its own timeline, its 20 21 own deadline, it's possible that this process could get an extension. But if young people wanted to participate in 22 this process, do you think it would be necessary for there 23 to be similar outreach and similar engagement? 24 M. COREY O'SOUP: I think whenever gathering 25

1 children and youth voice, we have to consider who were going
2 to, how we're going to get to them and how many before we
3 want the engagement to be.

147

I would say to anybody, including this process, that if you want to get that information, you have to go to the young people. To expect them to come, like we did, to come to a place like this is not always easy, it's not always doable.

9 And it took over a year for us to do that, 10 just to get to those 12 communities, just recognizing the 11 geographical distance, the number of communities, trying to 12 balance schedules. It took us over a year to really do it 13 the way that we needed to, and that was just on one topic of 14 youth suicide, you know.

When I first started, I was like, to my 15 staff, I said, "Well, can we get this done by March?" You 16 know, and this was November. And you know, we set ambitious 17 18 timelines. And you know, my staff said, "Well, maybe June." And then, June came around and you know, I leaned on some of 19 my colleagues across the country and I asked them, you know, 20 21 "What about your processes? And how long does it take?" And they said, "You need to take as long as it takes to 22 23 ensure that you get a quality product; that you ensure that 24 the voices are heard."

25

And, you know, it took us till December of

last year. So it was over a year to do that. You know, I
 was really anxious and I'm the kind of person that wants to
 get things done, like, right now and yesterday is too late
 for me.

7 in order to ensure that we followed the process and that we 8 got the children's voices. And, you know, it -- it was the 9 right thing to do.

MS. SUZAN FRASER:

5

6

16

Right.

MR. COREY O'SOUP: But I had to be patient

10 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Okay. Thank you very
11 much for that.

12 And I think there was one part of your 13 process that maybe I didn't talk to you about which was 14 engaging the community supports, in addition to the leaders 15 in the community.

MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes.

MS. SUZAN FRASER: But also making sure that
the young people were supported by people that they knew
and trusted in the community.

20 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. Whenever we spoke 21 with our young people mostly we had mental health supports 22 there. We did have -- occasionally we had Elders when they 23 were available to support the young people throughout the 24 process. So they were in the room with us, the young 25 people were made aware; the staff were made aware that they

were available for them if the topic became too difficult. They were also available after the discussion if things were -- you know, became too difficult. Because we weren't always able to stay and provide that support, we ensured there was support of someone within the community that they could go to once we had to leave.

8 MS. SUZAN FRASER: And that's sort of a key 9 component to the work, right, because you don't want to 10 leave people in a worse position than when you started with 11 them.

MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yeah. You know, on the topic of youth suicide, and anytime we're talking about death is a difficult topic and we need to ensure that those supports are there because we don't -- exactly what you said; we don't want to leave them in a worse position than we came. You know, and we did have some ---

18 MS. SUZAN FRASER: I just want to note for
19 the record that I see Dr. Samo Dorough nodding along with
20 you.

21Do you agree with what you're hearing from22Mr. O'Soup?23DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes, no question.

24 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Okay, thank you.
25 So please continue.

MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yeah, you know, and the topic was a difficult one. It's not one that we've always been able to talk to. There's stigma attached to it. There's a whole bunch of other things attached to the topic of youth suicide, so we had to ensure that those supports were in place.

7 MS. SUZAN FRASER: And I suppose there's 8 another component to it, as I hear you speaking, which is 9 that if you're persuading a young person or a young person 10 is opening up for a first time, if that goes well and is a 11 meaningful experience, that can be a building block to a 12 lifetime of using their experience to make change for the 13 better, right?

MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. We hope that the
experience we left them with, and I believe that we have,
of empowering them.

17

MS. SUZAN FRASER: Yes.

18 MR. COREY O'SOUP: You know, giving them voice also empowers them to be change-makers within their 19 community. It's part of -- one of our priorities and, you 20 21 know, those go hand in hand with us. And I believe that it can have a positive impact on them for the rest of their 22 23 lives. If you give them voice, if you give them the opportunity to be heard, and even more so if you go back 24 and you validate that, and you tell them what that voice 25

1 has done and the impact that it's had, then they're going to be even more empowered throughout the rest of their 2 3 lives. And we've -- I don't know if I've said we've taken this report literally around the world, you know, and we've 4 communicated that back to those kids that your voices are 5 not sitting on a shelf. They've gone across Saskatchewan, 6 7 they've gone across Canada. We've communicated them to the leaders. We've been invited to the world stage to take the 8 9 voices of you all around the world. And, you know, like, for us and for them, that's so empowering. 10

MS. SUZAN FRASER: Right. It's a movement.
You can actually building a movement of young people by
empowering them in the way that you've done.

MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. Yeah. And that's 14 what we want to do. We want -- and I think I said this 15 yesterday, too, our children are not just our future, they 16 are our present, you know, because by the time our kids --17 18 by the time that we typically give them the chance to have a voice they're adults, right? So they've lost that 19 opportunity to influence as children and youth, the future 20 21 generations, right? So I think we need to let them have a voice so that they can be our present and not just our 22 23 future.

24 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Right. And that would
25 include a process like this.

MR. COREY O'SOUP: That would include any
 process where we engage children.

3 MS. SUZAN FRASER: I'm going to thank you
4 very much for what you've told us today.

5 Professor Gunn, I'm going to shift the focus 6 to you because in your paper that's now an exhibit in this 7 Inquiry, at page 94 you talked about participation of women 8 and Indigenous girls as part of a Convention right. And 9 I'm just wondering if we can talk about that for a minute. 10 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes. Sorry; you said

11 page...?

12 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Page 94. And I understand what you said in that paper is that a human 13 14 rights-based approach should inform the substantive issues of the Inquiry but also the process of the Inquiry, and 15 that international human rights principles and norms should 16 quide all the policies and programming in all phases of the 17 18 Inquiry. The approach requires, I think what you said, is direct participation of Indigenous women and girls in the 19 Inquiry's process from beginning to end as the right to 20 21 participate in the decision-making is increasingly 22 recognized as a basic right of Indigenous peoples and 23 especially, and including, Indigenous women. 24 So I'm just wondering -- you know, what good

So I'm just wondering -- you know, what good
 looked like to you when you wrote that, in terms of direct

1 participation in the Inquiry from beginning to end? 2 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I think I'm struggling to 3 use words beyond "direct participation" and I think I used the words in the planning, right in the development and in 4 the operationalization from -- you know, not only just to 5 who the staff people are but to who was participating in 6 7 hearings like this; who's on the panels. I think all of those different ---8

9 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Okay. And as the Inquiry moves to hear more evidence through its institutional and 10 expert hearings, it will eventually come to the age -- or 11 12 the stage where they have to make recommendations to take -- to put their report. Do you think it's important that 13 the women who are directly affected by the work continue to 14 have a voice in this process as we move through the 15 recommendation phase? 16

MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes.

MS. SUZAN FRASER: Okay. And so if women are -- and girls are more vulnerable or for whatever reasons can't make it to a city to participate in this process do you think it's incumbent upon the Inquiry to actually conduct outreach to smaller communities to gain access to women and girls so that they can actually be heard in this process?

25

17

MS. BRENDA GUNN: I think the idea of

engaging human rights standards and using them as a
baseline is in part trying to recognize, and I think the
various experts have tried to highlight the need for
recognizing the different ways that women experience
violence and experience violation of their human rights,
and providing space and opportunity for that participation
and making sure that it's women from different segments.

I'm trying to think of how this 8 9 international standard has been articulated and utilized and trying to think whether or not, you know, under the 10 current status does that require the Commission to go out 11 12 or, you know, to provide the space. And I think, you know, ideally of course everyone would be going to speak to 13 14 everyone and I think those are the, perhaps, best practices. But I think what we're starting to see the 15 language being used in international law more and more are 16 good practices, realizing that there's ideals and there's 17 18 minimum standards and then there's sort of what we hope and expect people to do to upholding certain human rights. 19

20 So I think my best answer I can give -- or 21 maybe it's a good answer, maybe it's not but the best 22 answer that I can give is that it's about providing the 23 space and opportunities for that participation to different 24 voices. And it's hard for me to say how that needs to 25 happen because I'm not in the process. I don't know how

these decisions are being made but, yes, making sure that
I would say a human rights-based approach suggests that
need to hear from the rights holders themselves in every
stage of the process.

MS. SUZAN FRASER: Thank you. Thank you. I 5 appreciate that. And, we're just going to switch gears for 6 7 a minute, if I could. In Ontario's -- and this is, again, for Professor Gunn. In Ontario's provincial child --8 9 Provincial Advocate for Children and Youth Act, there is a provision that says that statute should be -- the 10 principles of the U.N. Convention on the Rights of the 11 12 Child should be applied in the interpretation and the application of that Act. 13

So, I'm just wondering if you can tell us if that assists -- if that's a means of expressly putting into legislation the adoption of those principles, and if that gives more power, in your view, to the ability to use the convention for the children in Ontario?

MS. BRENDA GUNN: The Supreme Court of Canada has recognized that even though our technical rules of international law require direct incorporation or implementation, which is usually through enabling legislation, they're increasingly recognizing the different ways that international law is being incorporated, and there's some discussion about whether or not international

1 human rights law can be implicitly implemented. And so, I haven't read the Act, and I'm not 2 3 sure if your wording was a direct quote or, sort of, a summary of what it's stating, but I do think, at a minimum, 4 where domestic legislation is saying that international law 5 can be used as an interpretative approach that aligns with, 6 sort of, the minimum standards that the courts have been 7 using to say that domestic law should be interpreted in 8 9 line with Canada's international human rights obligations as one way to ensure and assist Canada in upholding those 10 obligations to make sure that the two lines are consistent. 11

12 And so, I would suggest that it's a good thing that there's making that reference and an attempt to 13 sort of read the two instruments together. Whether or not 14 -- and I'm not sure if your question is trying to get me to 15 say that you could use it in court as a cause of action in 16 and of itself. I'm not sure, and I think that would be a 17 18 fairly technical question that I might have to see the legislation and perhaps beyond my expertise. 19

20 But, I do think that it does allow one to 21 invoke and encourage an interpretation of the domestic 22 legislation in line with the principles and obligations 23 under the convention.

24 MS. SUZAN FRASER: Thank you very much. I
 25 see my time is up. I have many more questions I could ask,

but I want to thank you very much for coming on behalf of my clients who are very, very grateful for the knowledge that you've provided to the Inquiry.

MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. Next,
Beth Symes from Pauktuutit, AT, Saturvitt, OICC and MIA,
has 20 minutes.

7 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. BETH 8 SYMES :

9 MS. BETH SYMES: As part of my introduction of myself to you, I'm probably the oldest person who is 10 participating in these proceedings and educated in the last 11 century when international law, where I went to law school, 12 involved the law of the sea, and I didn't take the law of 13 the sea, because I came from a landlocked place that I 14 didn't think it was going to be all that helpful to me. 15 But, I must confess that I bear the scars of over 40 years 16 trying to litigate issues, raising international human 17 rights conventions, policies, et cetera, and being met with 18 either dead silence or the command, "Move on, Mrs. Symes". 19 So, with that beginning, I am Beth Symes, 20

and I represent five Inuit women's organizations, sort of across Inuit Nunangat, and I'm going to be asking you, each of you, questions about, sort of, the same set of facts. On Tuesday, I focused on housing, and this

25 afternoon, I'm going to focus on children and youth, and

the obligations to children and youth pursuant to the international covenants, and let's just do two of them, the Rights of the Child and UNDRIP. I mean, there's lots more, but those are enough.

So, Dr. Sambo Dorough, for Inuit children 5 and youth, in fact, in lived reality, is the principal 6 7 difference between their current rights under human rights where they live, human rights legislation under the 8 9 Charter, and under the Constitution, the explicit inclusion of social and economic rights as found in, for example, the 10 Rights of the Child and UNDRIP? Is that really the core 11 12 difference?

MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Can you answer
constitutional and *Charter* questions?

MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes, I was going 15 to respond with the proviso that I'm not intimately 16 familiar with the national organic documents that you've 17 18 referred to, but in regard to the broader issue of the international human rights instruments, when one takes into 19 account the wording of, in particular, the international 20 21 covenants and the individual rights orientation of those documents with the exception of Article 1 of both of the 22 23 international covenants, it's safe to say that human rights would attach to youth and children as human beings if we 24 take into account the nature of human rights, that they are 25

universal regardless of age, sex, ethnicity, cultural
 background, religion, et cetera. So, the short answer to
 the question is yes.

159

MS. BETH SYMES: And, because we don't have
social and economic rights as part of the Charter
explicitly written out, would you agree that they include
the essentials of life?

MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I would surmise 8 9 without the documents in front of me that yes, in terms of organic documents on the basis of the peoples of Canada. 10 But, as to the explicit details in that broad phrase, I 11 12 can't answer specifically. I can cite, for example, the relevant articles of the U.N. Declaration on the Rights of 13 Indigenous Peoples that would be responsive to that 14 particular question. 15

16 MS. BETH SYMES: In terms, then, of measuring the social and economic rights, and let's just 17 18 take in the Rights of the Child and in UNDRIP, would you agree that they are measured in terms of the relative worth 19 -- pardon me, the relative wealth of Canada as opposed to, 20 21 let's say, Nepal? That is, the standards with respect to housing, health care and education that are imposed on 22 23 Canada would be different than in, say, the fourth poorest country in the world? 24

25

MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: No, I would submit

that, again, going back to the nature of human rights, their universality, the attachment of human rights on an individual basis and a collective basis, regardless of the context of the GDP or the GNP of a particular country, that this would create no distinctions.

I will point out, however, that there has 6 7 been what I would refer to as a false dichotomy, especially on the part of the United Nations, that access to various 8 9 different resources by the UNDP, for example, are there primarily to support so-called developing countries. So, 10 in that regard at the international level when those of us, 11 12 as in Indigenous peoples, and Inuit in particular, have made arguments within the United Nations system, especially 13 in the context of specialized agencies, organs and bodies 14 of the United Nations that defer to this notion of the 15 developing world and the developed world, this is a false 16 dichotomy, because the social and economic and cultural 17 18 conditions of Inuit throughout the Canadian Artic, as well the Circumpolar Artic, we oftentimes face the same exact 19 dismal socioeconomic conditions of those in the so-called 20 21 developing world.

In some of our communities -- I'll give you specific examples. In some of our communities, we have no potable water, and this is the -- is specifically in the Alaskan context. We have no potable water, we have no

1 other infrastructure to support basic things. 2 Now, if you can think about potable water as 3 an issue, it touches everything to do with the day-to-day activities of the lives of individual Inuit children, and 4 can have numerous serious implications in elation to their 5 health and their welfare and their well-being, their 6 7 quality of life, in every way, shape and form. And I'm certain that you're acutely aware of 8 9 the report of ITK in relation to the social and health determinants. The increase in tuberculosis and other 10 resulting implications of something as basic as potable 11 12 water. 13 MS. BETH SYMES: My question is, under Canada's obligations aren't Inuit entitled to the same 14 standards of healthcare, housing, education as the rest of 15 Canadians? 16 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Absolutely. 17 18 MS. BETH SYMES: Okay. And that's what I'm trying to say, is the measure for Canada is what are --19 what is available in the rest of Canada. We must bring 20 21 everyone at least to that standard, and if we argue in terms of special measures or equality of results, 22 23 additional resources in order to make up for past discrimination, past omissions. 24 25 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes, absolutely.

1 I think that one thing I would say in regard to this term, "special", is that I think it's more accurate to say 2 3 "distinct". Because special measures, at least in various different venues, when the use of the term "special 4 measures" has been applied to Indigenous peoples it has 5 increased the level of resistance and racism because, "Oh, 6 7 those people get special measures" without the background and knowledge of the fiduciary obligation, without the 8 9 background and knowledge of the distinct status and rights of Inuit, for example. 10 But I think in terms of responding also to 11 12 individuals that are the most marginalized, politically, economically, socially and culturally, that distinct 13 14 measures should be taken. MS. BETH SYMES: But Dr. Sambo Dorough, 15 don't you agree that the fact that section 15(2) is in the 16 Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms is a marked 17 18 difference on this than what the legal system is in the United States? 19 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I would have to 20 21 defer to someone else on the panel to respond to that 22 specific question. 23 MS. BETH SYMES: Professor Gunn, would you

agree with me that the specific provision of section 15(2)
in the *Charter* specifically mandates and saves special

measures, and that's been decisions of the Supreme Court of
 Canada in that and other cases?

3 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I think when you look at the couple of cases under 15(2) that are particularly in 4 relation to Indigenous rights, 15(2) has been included in 5 our Charter in order to ensure that Canada can take 6 7 positive steps. And -- so it has protected the fishing rights, and in the Cunningham case, the Métis settlements. 8 9 So I believe if that's sort of what you're saying, that special measures -- I just -- I do appreciate 10 Professor Dalee Sambo's point that -- but terminology 11 12 distinct may sort of move away from some of that backlash

13 that arises.

25

MS. BETH SYMES: No. The question I -- or the fact situation that I want to pose to you to ask the questions is in -- is around suicide. And on Monday, in what the Registrar said is Exhibit 5, the social determinants of Inuit health on page 9, I'll just quote to you that:

20 "The suicide rates of Inuit children 21 and teens are 30 times more likely as 22 are the rates for youth in Canada." 23 (As read) 24 For Inuit youth, 30 times the rates.

And Mr. O'Soup, we're not racing to the

1 bottom, or having a competition about which is worse. DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: M'hm. 2 3 MS. BETH SYMES: What's interesting in the ITK document is that the rates for Inuit male youth are 4 higher than for Inuit female youth, that is, the rates of 5 suicide. 6 7 And so, this month there has been two news articles, CBC, May 8th and National Post, May 14th, in which 8 9 the Nunavut Minister of Health, Pat Angnakak, reported -his report -- she is reported to have said that in 10 Pangnirtung, which is this stunningly beautiful village, 11 12 fly in, remote, in Nunavut, of 1,400 people, had 12 youth attempted suicides in a 2-week period in February. 13 14 The Minister is reported to have said it is a crisis: 15 "It's not just [in] Pangnirtung [she 16 said]. It [is] everywhere." 17 18 And I assume she was speaking about 19 everywhere in Nunavut. Let's just assume that the facts are 20 21 accurate; 12 attempted suicides in a community of 1,400. 22 So Mr. O'Soup, I want to come to you and 23 talk about Saskatchewan. In the remedy from the Canadian Human Rights 24 Tribunal from the Caring Society, Canada was essentially 25

directed to pay all reasonably necessary costs -- sorry, the costs of all reasonably necessary health services for First Nation and youth.

Does that, in Saskatchewan, cover First
Nations and youth who don't live on First Nations' land?
MR. COREY O'SOUP: My understanding is, yes,

7 that it would.

8 MS. BETH SYMES: In Saskatchewan, does that9 remedy cover Inuit children and youth?

10 MR. COREY O'SOUP: My understanding is that
11 it would.

MS. BETH SYMES: Yesterday, you told us
about the lack of mental health services for First Nation
children and youth in Northern Saskatchewan.

15 MR. COREY O'SOUP: M'hm.

25

MS. BETH SYMES: Following the decision of
the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal, what has changed in
Northern Saskatchewan?

MR. COREY O'SOUP: Well, you know, the challenge there is that if we're talking about Jordan's Principle, in particular, I would say that the challenge is that the people in Northern Saskatchewan would not even all have knowledge of Jordan's Principle, nevertheless, the ability to access it.

I know there is 24-hour lines put out there,

1 but the reality is, and this is my reality, maybe 2 anecdotally, hearing from children and families, is that 3 access is still an issue; right. You go into our northern communities, they don't all have phones, so they can't all 4 dial the 1-800 number. You go into our northern 5 communities, they don't all have Internet connection. 6 So 7 you can't just fire up on the Internet and look and search for these resources. 8

9 And I think that's the big challenge that we have within Northern Saskatchewan, is that we create these 10 programs and initiatives and these, you know, national 11 12 programs and we assume that everybody can access them; right. And that's not always the case in our northern 13 communities. I don't know what it is like for you guys, 14 but I know in Northern Saskatchewan, that's not the 15 reality, you know. 16

So again, we have to find, and we have to create, and we have to be innovative in the ways that we reach our people, particularly in those northern and remote places so that they know that those supports are in place, so they don't have to suffer anymore, you know.

Because Jordan's Principle is intended to support health, mental health, education, all of the things that we know that it's supposed to support. But, if you don't know that you have that, and you don't that you have

that ability, or if you have these barriers to you, then is it really going to help you? Is it really going to change your life? Or, is it just another dream? So, I would say no.

5 MS. BETH SYMES: So, let me put it to you 6 very practically, pragmatically. Although there is a 7 ringing decision from the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal in 8 terms of the rights of children and youth to services, on 9 the ground, two years later, you say that there are still 10 people who should have the benefit of that decision who 11 don't even know that it exists?

12 MR. COREY O'SOUP: I would say that that's I mean, we are getting better, and the the reality. 13 14 decisions are getting better, communication is getting better. I believe we're heading in the right decision, but 15 there's not always recognition that people out there don't 16 still have phones, and don't still have the internet, and 17 18 that's the reality in our world; right? Especially in the northern areas of our country. And so, I would say yes. 19

20 MS. BETH SYMES: So, I wanted to turn to 21 you, Professor Leclair. I think I understood your thesis. 22 You speak very rapidly and it was hard to follow in the 23 translation, but I think your thesis would be for Inuit 24 that the Inuit leadership might exert political pressure, 25 shaming Canada for its failure to live up to the

1 international covenants with respect to provision of 2 services for these youth, children and youth who are 3 committing suicide, and that the political pressure might obtain the desired results with greater certainty of 4 outcome, faster, at less cost, and with better result, that 5 is an effective order that might have an action plan with 6 7 goals, timetables and accountability than if they were to go to court. Is that essentially your thesis? 8

9 MR. JEAN LECLAIR: Well, I'm saying that you must not put all your eggs in the same basket. So, yes, 10 you can go to court. But, also, as I said, you can use the 11 12 Declaration, for instance, as a shaming mechanism on the international level, because Canada is very picky about its 13 international reputation. And, if it becomes clearer and 14 clearer that nothing is done, that the rule of law is not 15 respected within Canada, Canada's rule of law, not just the 16 UDNRIP but the Human Rights Act in this case, it might be 17 18 another tool in the box of Indigenous peoples to have their rights recognized. As I said, the Cree successfully did 19 20 that.

MS. BETH SYMES: But, you would certainly
say, sir, that it's up to Inuit, First Nations and Métis
whether to take your advice?

24 MR. JEAN LECLAIR: Well, actually, that was
25 the very first thing I said, and I even said -- I'm

1 disappointed it didn't go through in the translation, but 2 that the very first thing would be for the Indigenous 3 peoples themselves to make the Declaration their own. That was the very first thing I said. 4 MS. BETH SYMES: That's my time. 5 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. Thank 6 7 you, Ms. Symes. Next, we would like to welcome the Vancouver Rape Relief & Women's Shelter, Ms. Hilla Kerner. 8 9 MS. HILLA KERNER: Hello. Good afternoon. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: And, sorry, just --10 yes, 40 minutes, please. So, the Vancouver Rape Relief & 11 12 Women's Shelter will have 40 minutes. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. HILLA 13 KERNER : 14 MS. HILLA KERNER: Thank you. I'm a member 15 of the collective of Vancouver Rape Relief & Women's 16 Shelter. I'm not a lawyer, so I might make some mistakes 17 18 in the way I bring forward questions, and I'm sure Commission counsel will be helpful in that. 19 I will start with you, Professor Gunn. 20 21 Yesterday, you stated that human rights framework enabled to evaluate unjust distribution of power. Would you 22 23 elaborate on that? MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes. I think the basic 24 idea is that it's sort of two-fold. It allows for a 25

1 protection against state exercise of power. So, it provides that safety net, and that sort relates back to 2 3 what I was saying about the evolution of human rights and the importance of remembering that international human 4 rights were developed to ensure that there was an ability 5 for the international world to start looking inside the 6 7 domestic actions of a state to provide that protection. So, I think that's one way that it starts to potentially 8 9 shift.

10 And, the second way is that broader idea that I was speaking to that is highlighted in the U.N. 11 12 Declaration where the process of realizing human rights and Indigenous peoples' human rights requires a move beyond a 13 colonial structure where Canada exercises control over 14 Indigenous peoples in all fashions of their life to one 15 where Indigenous peoples can self-determine their own 16 affairs and their own lives, as well as set that 17 18 relationship between Indigenous peoples and the state. So, those are, sort of, two ways that I see that power-shifting 19 potential. 20

MS. HILLA KERNER: Okay. So, would it be fair to say that, at best, the redistribution of unjust -the distribution -- the redistribution of power would mean eliminating the oppression of women by men, of people of colour and Indigenous people, by white people, in the poor by capitalist and Neo-Liberal forces? So, each member of the world will have equal share of power and equal share of the world wealth.

MS. BRENDA GUNN: I think stemming back to some of the questions and comments earlier, I mean, the idea is with the realization of human rights that all humans are free and equal to all humans, and having the same standard of living, and same access to water, and housing, et cetera. So, I do think that the hope is that it's levelling in that sort of fashion, yes.

MS. HILLA KERNER: Okay. And, you also said yesterday, I think you were trying to make a point that focusing in a substantial way on women does not mean infringing men, and you said something to the effect that self-government concepts that all members are protected means that focusing on the needs of one group does not mean disregard to the needs of another group.

So, would you agree that self-governing models that will protect women is a model that each member, both men and women, have equal share of power and equal access to and use of resources?

MS. BRENDA GUNN: Sorry, I'm struggling,
because I think I agree in a very generalized sense, but I
think the idea that I was getting at is that it's about the
opportunity to participate in the public life and having

1 the ability to participate, for example, in decision making. So, if there's an issue to be resolved by the 2 3 community or, for example, a resource development proposal, my understanding is that this fundamental principle of 4 participation and decision-making means that, you know, 5 women and men must be both allowed to participate in the 6 7 decision-making process, again, focusing on substantive 8 equality.

9 And so, if we're thinking of, sort of, power sharing and benefitting from the resources, I think we're 10 in a very substantive equality sense, and I'm not sure we 11 12 can divide power and sort of, you know, do formal equality on power, but it's about that substantive and having that 13 influence over the decisions of the ability to participate, 14 but also, to influence the outcome needs to be sort of on 15 par with other members of the community. 16

MS. HILLA KERNER: Yes. I think the point I 17 18 wanted to make, there is very important, and strong, and valid call for self-governments, and we should follow the 19 Indigenous feminist call that -- for self-governance, to 20 21 fulfil itself in a just way. It means abandoning the colonialist structures some First Nations took on and allow 22 23 women to have equal share of power and equal share of 24 resources.

25

Dr. Dorough, both you and Professor Gunn,

1 you made a really important point that it will be a mistake 2 to fight only for one set of rights, that all the human 3 rights are interrelated and interdependent and interconnected. And this is consistent with our analysis 4 that fight for Indigenous women only in terms of the sexist 5 oppression, they will not be able to realise their equality 6 7 as women because they will be hampered by the rest of oppression in the other way. Fighting for Indigenous women 8 9 to have equalities Indigenous people will not be realised because it will be hampered by their sexist -- the sex-10 based oppression is essential for economic rights. 11 12 Do you see this translate to also collaboration in the UN level? Is there a way -- I 13 14 definitely notice that Article 22, it's a declaration for the right of Indigenous people, try to answer to both forms 15 of oppression when it comes to women. Do you mind reading 16 it out loud and elaborate on that? 17 18 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Okay. Article 22 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People 19 20 states, 21 "Particular attention shall be paid to 22 the rights and special needs of 23 indigenous elders, women, youth, 24 children and persons with disabilities 25 in the implementation of this

1 Declaration. 2 States shall take measures, in 3 conjunction with indigenous peoples, to ensure that indigenous women and 4 children enjoy the full protection and 5 guarantees against all forms of 6 violence and discrimination." 7 In the context of the international 8 9 Indigenous people's movement, we have seen a very strong Indigenous woman's caucus emerge at the international 10 level. 11 12 So, for example, their participation within the permanent form on Indigenous issues, there is a clear 13 and strong voice by the Indigenous Women's Caucus. And in 14 large part, they are responsible, for example, the 15 recommendation that I referred to that was adopted by the 16 Permanent Forum at 17 Session concerning a review or survey 17 18 of good practices as to how to alleviate this horrific conditions of Indigenous women and girls to violence. 19 MS. HILLA KERN: Thank you. Yesterday you -20 21 - I think it might have been anecdotal but I think it was an important point that you made, that you saw a research 22 23 that was surprising because it showed that in spite of the equality that Canadian women have, they suffer high level 24 of violence from a male partner in the domestic setting.

25

1 So I wanted to propose a few possibilities and explanation to that and to see if you agree with that. 2 3 I would like to explain to you that in Canada women are enjoying formal equality and not 4 substantial equality. Strikes -- a very striking example 5 is family courts where women are fighting for their 6 motherhood. They will not be in favour of the mothers. 7 Many women are economically dependent on their domestic 8 9 male partner, and we have a pontification of our culture that keep reinforcing women's image as a sexual commodity. 10 And I think this is definitely one explanation why women 11 12 are still in Canada are being -- suffering sexual and sexualist form of violence and battering by the hand of 13 14 men.

The second explanation I would like you to 15 entertain is that we see time and again that women -- when 16 women do gain some forms of equality there is a backlash, 17 18 and often the backlash means forms of violence. So when women got access to employment and to labour fields, we saw 19 the backlash in terms of sexual harassment. There is --20 21 in theory, there are much, much, much more options for women to choose and now we see the intensification of 22 prostitution as a choice. So, a lot of time when women 23 gain some measure of equality, more possibility, more 24 access to public life, there is a backlash that very, very 25

1 often will manifest itself as a form of male violence.

2 Do you agree to either explanation and -- or 3 would I suggest, both?

DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I think if we take 4 on these particular issues just in the context of formal 5 equality that numerous difficulties arise. And I know that 6 7 throughout yesterday's offering of testimony that substantive or relative equality, we didn't have a chance 8 9 to really dwell on this particular issue and elaborate how relative or substantive equality would emerge within the 10 Indigenous women and girl's context. 11

So I want to say that first that that would be an important discussion to have. What does relative equality look like within an Indigenous context? What are the contours of substantive and relative equality, not just for Indigenous women and girls, but for Indigenous peoples as distinct peoples, as distinct cultures?

And so this leads me to say that, in relation to the scenarios that you've described, as far as access to formal equality may trigger other reactions and responses, I think there's no way for me to generalise and say yes or no. I have seen certain individuals, and at a very micro level, some of these kinds of scenarios becoming a reality and playing themselves out.

25

And by this I will say only the dynamic that

M'hm.

1 we've seen in some of our smaller communities where, 2 especially Indigenous women and girls who managed to 3 capture an opportunity to pursue higher education, to pursue, as you suggest, positions elsewhere, that there are 4 5 jealousies. There are envies. There are, you know, these things that take place, but this is on a very micro level. 6 7 So I would not entertain answering in a generalised fashion those specific questions. 8

9 MS. HILLA KERNER: Okay. Well, I hope you
10 will consider what I'm proposing is an answer in terms of
11 women's oppression, just because of the expertise that I
12 bring for my work with the women's movement.

13

DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: M'hm.

MS. HILLA KERNER: I believe both Professor Gunn and you, Dr. Dorough, you presented that the main challenge with Human Right Declaration and Convention is abiding and the complying with the principles and the values that they bring. And with this Inquiry we see clear examples of a strong grassroots struggle and protest for many, many years.

In 2008 I believe they resulted with a concluding recommendation of CEDAW to Canada to conduct an inquiry and nothing happened. And the grassroots movement pushed and pushed and pushed, led by Indigenous women, and finally, 10 years after -- 9 years after, the Canadian government struck the Inquiry and still very, very challenging way for -- and I applaud to the Commissioners who are still holding onto this important task. But it's obvious that the Canadian government is not making their job easy at all, or on the contrary, they put a lot of difficulties in the way.

7 So would you agree with me, both Professor 8 Gunn and Dr. Dorough, that one really important avenue to 9 get International Human Rights Convention and Declaration 10 really brought into power is by strong, consistent, 11 insistent grassroots movements, both when it comes to 12 women's rights, when it comes to Indigenous peoples right 13 and definitely when it come to Indigenous women's right?

14 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: No question. I 15 would agree that it will take, and has taken, such a 16 movement at the, certainly at the international level, and 17 I would suggest that the same may be the case at the 18 national level, and that this window of opportunity that 19 exists with this current government that the opportunity 20 should be seized.

And this also has to take into account, at least from my perspective, as I've stated already numerous times, that the urgency of this particular situation, that, and along the lines of the questions from the various different Inuit organisations, that marginalized peoples,

again, primarily politically but also socially,
economically, culturally, spiritually and otherwise, that
the concerted effort and with all of the existing national
and international instruments that something's gotta give
at some point in time. The dam will have to break.
And if these initiatives are short up by a
grassroots Indigenous women and girls movement, and it's

8 already been referenced in terms of, for example, the "Idle
9 no more" actions, here, in Canada, that maybe there will be
10 some substantive concrete comprehensive attention paid to
11 this issue.

12 At the same time, I would also suggest that 13 all these other strategies and tools that are available 14 should be triggered and utilised to the maximum extent 15 possible.

MS. HILLA KERNER: Professor Gunn, you said
yesterday that even though international human rights
conventions and declarations are considered soft laws,
there is a convention within the court to respect the
normative value that they bring forward.

And I was wondering if there is example, not necessarily in Canada cause I believe there isn't, but in other places of the world, that it's true when it comes to decision on violence against Indigenous women?

25

Are there courts that had to deal or judge

on cases of violence against Indigenous women, that took
into account the aspiration that comes from the
combination, probably, of SEDAW, the 1993 resolution on
ending male violence against women, and the convention on
Indigenous peoples rights?
MS. BRENDA GUNN: Thanks. We do actually

7 have examples where the Canadian court has used
8 declarations, and we do have examples the Canadian courts
9 are starting to cite and look to the UN declaration, and
10 consider it in their decisions.

So I do think we do have some examples and,
I'm sorry, and I, the second, I didn't quite get the
second...

MS. HILLA KERNER: The crux of my question was: do you have examples, cause obviously I don't, about applying to violence against Indigenous women?

I think there is a common knowledge about injustice in the decision regarding the murder of Cindy Gladu, the matter will be discussed in the Supreme Court of Canada in a few months. We have too many examples of that in the acceptance of the violation of Indigenous women's barely integrity, I was wondering if there are examples of the opposite?

24 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Okay, thank you. I'm not
 25 sure of specific examples, but if you don't mind, I'm going

to take your question in a slightly different direction.
In part because what I've come to learn, and I've had the
opportunity to do various traditional education seminars
training judges on various aspects of the law and working
on several jurisdictions committees, you know.

I've had judges remind me that they're
really required to make decisions based on (cut) for them.
And so, what I often then urge when I'm training lawyers
and other advocates is that, you know, judges can only rely
on what's put before them, and so we need to start putting
forward these international instruments, including
declarations and these decisions.

And then, we cannot just throw them out there cause the judges won't know what to do with them, so we have to give them the international instruments and say, "This is how we're relying on them and this is what we want you to do with them." And then, you can give them some situations where judges have taken similar steps.

So no, not in the violence against women, but we've seen it in environmental law, we've seen it in refugee law, we're seeing it in a few other areas. So to let judges know that this isn't as big of a step or a leap as they might think it is, but as actually quite standard practice generally, it has just not happened in a specific fact, situation or with a specific instrument.

MS. HILLA KERNER: Another question to you,
 Professor Gunn. You mentioned the due diligence duty, that
 it has five components.

Can you describe the five components, and
how does it might look like in terms of interpreting those
five components?

MS BRENDA GUNN: Yes. So I believe you're
referring to the duty of due diligence to prevent,
investigate, prosecute, punish and compensate? I can say
those again if you need, I was trying to figure out an
acronym to see if that would help me, but it's prevent,
investigate, prosecute, punish and compensate. And so...

I guess, I'm struggling to explain them, 13 14 because the prevention aspect is taking all reasonable measures and taking steps to address and prevent and ... 15 There, I think, are, through the various international 16 human rights, trinity bodies that have looked into the 17 18 issue. There's lots of recommendations that have been made on what are the various ways that Canada specifically can 19 prevent this, and this is what I was pointing to with 20 21 dealing with the socioeconomic marginalisation and addressing these as economic rights. Investigate ... 22

23 MS. HILLA KERNER: Sorry, so maybe we'll go
24 one element after another.

25

MS. BRENDA GUNN: Okay.

1 MS. HILLA KERNER: So if I paraphrase what you're saying, women's economic independence, for example, 2 3 is a crucial way for a preventative measure? MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes. They don't sort of 4 phrase in it that way, but they have noticed the sort of ... 5 access to education, you know, job market and those things 6 7 are a contributing factor. So thus to address or to resolve, you'd need to address this issue, yes. 8 9 MS. HILLA KERNER: Yes, and I would also suggest it needs to be mentioned in a few resolutions in 10 relation to male violence against women, strong women's 11 movements and the contribution of the women's movements, to 12 fight for women's equality and against male violence 13 14 against women. Another, supporting the strong women's 15 16 movement can that be definitely seen as another preventative measure? 17 18 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I think so, and there's recommendations that have been made about insuring adequate 19 funding for services that exist, and I think the standard 20 21 is culturally appropriate and relevant, so that when we're 22 talking violence against Indigenous women, the services 23 should be provided by Indigenous women in culturally 24 appropriate fashions, etc.

So that strong movement is well recognized,

25

and I think to answer your previous question, that Doctor
Dalee Sambo Dorough addressed -- I mean, this is why also
the international arena has created specific venues for
civil society organisations to engage at the international
level and provide these alternative reports when Canada is
reporting their activities under specific treaties.

7 So I think there is a strong recognition of
8 the powerful and important role that civil society plays in
9 addressing human rights violations.

MS. HILLA KERNER: So investigate, would you agree that it means investigating individual act of individual man committed against individual woman? And the collective act of male violence against women, against the collective class of women, like this Inquiry?

MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes. They talk about both 15 investigating the individual cases as you've mentioned, but 16 also they speak to it more of addressing the root causes. 17 18 I'm not sure if you falls under investigate per say, it's they talk about just addressing the root causes, and so 19 they may technically slot that under prevention, but that 20 21 is why we talk about them altogether, I'm not sure that matters where we slot it. 22

MS. HILLA KERNER: And in prosecute, they
 mean only the individual man who commits violence or how in
 general the prosecute element of due diligence is

1 interpreted?

MS. BRENDA GUNN: I think generally it's understood as the individual men. I guess there is, to a certain degree, a recognition where there's widespread and systemic issues that, again, they need to be addressed. I'm not sure there's an expectation of -- under the prosecution aspect, but I think they're looking more at the prosecution at the individual level.

9 MS. HILLA KERNER: And, the element of punishment, which is very complicated, we heard in the 10 previous days there is a rejection by Indigenous feminists, 11 12 the colonialist version of restorative justice that ignores the power imbalance, and sexism, and misogyny within 13 14 societies, but also, we know there is a huge problem with existing prisons systems. And, my group is advocating for 15 criminalization and charging and criminalizing men, but 16 we're definitely -- we're not arguing for harsh sentencing 17 18 or imprisonment.

Is there other examples from the United Nations human rights arena for punishments that are holding men accountable, which is a key element of impunities, repeating again and again, in any United Nation's document that deals with male violence against women, a way to hold abusive men accountable as a way to give women justice, but also, to send a clear public message, this is an

unacceptable behaviour, the undermining and compromising women's equality and safety?

3 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes, I think that sort of what -- as this was, you know, first emerging as these 4 international ideas, it was, I would say, envisioning a 5 fairly traditional criminal justice process for, you know, 6 7 the investigation, prosecution, punishment, sort of viewing typical western criminal justice systems to address 8 9 impunity; right? So that police are investigating, prosecution is prosecuting, and that the judges are 10 sentencing; right? Like, I think that's what it envisions. 11

12 But, I would suggest that where we're 13 talking about Indigenous men who may be perpetrating the 14 violence, or generally, when we're speaking of situations involving Indigenous women, again, we need to make sure 15 that we're very contextual in our analysis and recognize 16 that these ideas of prevent, investigate, prosecute, punish 17 18 and compensate may also need to ensure that they're applied and considered in a culturally relevant way so that under 19 the U.N. Declaration, Indigenous peoples have a right to 20 21 their traditional institutions which could include legal systems and the administration, potentially, of some of the 22 23 justice systems.

And so, not saying that we use the colonial restorative justice process, that's not what I'm arguing,

but where Indigenous peoples are -- desire to take on some of that and revitalize and utilize Indigenous laws in relation to this that that should be part of the consideration.

I don't have an answer as to what the outcome would look like, and I think it would depend. I mean, I'm Métis from Manitoba, my spouse is Cree; right? Our own people, while we live in proximity and mostly peaceful relations between our people historically, we would have different concepts; right?

And so, it's hard to sort of envision, but I would say that it has to be engaged in a specific Indigenous context, and that's where this general principle that's coming out of CEDAW needs to include that Indigenous lens and where the U.N. Declaration and the American Declaration can be very useful.

MS. HILLA KERNER: And, as a non-Indigenous feminist, I can tell you that it will be really wonderful for all women if we could have been relying on our community to hold men accountable and not relying on the state. We're just not there.

To the element of compensation, can it be interpreted as individual compensation to the individual victim on one hand, but also, as a collective compensation to the class of women or to the class of Indigenous women

1 in form of affirmative action in terms of Indigenous
2 women's rights?

3 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes, I think -- I believe I pointed to this in an earlier question today, and I'm 4 scanning the audience to try to remember who I was speaking 5 with. But, the idea of compensation can include the 6 7 broader idea of reparations. That's the idea that comes out, and I provided some of the examples from the genocide 8 9 cases that I was working on in Guatemala, and some of the ideas. 10

So, I think there definitely can be a 11 12 collective aspect to the reparation, particularly when we're looking at widespread and systemic violations of 13 human rights, that it's not necessarily just limited to 14 that individual case-by-case. So, a process such as this 15 that's looking beyond individual cases to look at systemic 16 issues. It may be appropriate to think about some 17 collective reparation. 18

MS. HILLA KERNER: Thank you very much. Dr.
Dorough, I'm back at you with two points. One, you
mentioned Article 43 in the Declaration, that it sets
minimum standards. Can you explain this idea?

23 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: The idea is that
24 the rights, the provisions, the articles affirmed in the
25 U.N. Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples are

1 the floor, and that if, for example, a government were to undertake the enterprise of implementing the U.N. 2 3 Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, any provisions in order to do so cannot fall below the 4 standards and norms affirmed in the U.N. Declaration. 5 It doesn't prevent a government from 6 7 exceeding these minimum standards, and that's one reason why I raised, for example, especially in relation to 8 9 violence against Indigenous women and girls, the standard affirmed in the American Declaration on the Rights of 10 Indigenous Peoples, and to look to the highest standard 11 12 possible.

So, the government, as far as the understanding and interpretation of the rights that are affirmed herein, again, represent the minimum standard. If there is an attempt to go below such standards, an Inuit community, an Indigenous First Nation, any others could challenge such an action on the basis that you have gone below the minimum standard.

20 MS. HILLA KERNER: And, would it be fair to 21 say that -- actually, I would like to allow you to give 22 examples, if you can do on the spot. What would be -- you 23 said the floor standard. What would be closer to the 24 ceiling? What would be, if it's even possible to envision, 25 some rights or elements that have not been articulated?

I know in my group, we speak about equality, which is in a very limited state context, liberty and women's liberation, that it's very hard to imagine when we live in an oppressive society. So, can you imagine or have a concept of what it means if there is room to rise above and way above the minimum standards?

7 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Well, I think that, really, the objectives of these minimum standards is 8 9 the ultimate realization and exercise and enjoyment of the rights affirmed in the U.N. Declaration. This is the 10 ultimate objective. And so, the ceiling would, at least in 11 12 my view, would be the reality for Inuit women and girls, Inuit peoples, Indigenous peoples, to exercise and enjoy 13 14 these rights.

I would hesitate to establish what I might -- or even pronounce upon what I might consider to be the ceiling and the highest possible standard, in large part because of what you started to ask me originally. In these oppressive conditions, if we cannot even meet these minimum standards, why at this moment in time talk about the possible ceiling?

But, in my view and opinion, the reality is the ultimate objective of which I want to recall the intervention I made yesterday and the opening introduction that really, the ultimately objective is to push back the

tide of colonialism and again, for Indigenous peoples to realize, exercise and actually enjoy the rights affirmed herein.

At one point in time, Indigenous peoples were gathered at one of the meetings to negotiate this Declaration, and it was the anniversary of the Universal Declaration on Human Rights. We were able to select one individual to make a very brief statement. At that time, it was the Commission on Human Rights Working Group on the draft Declaration.

And really, the message of this Indigenous woman who was chosen to make this statement was wouldn't it be wonderful if Indigenous peoples were exercising these rights. And that was the Universal Declaration on Human Rights. So that would be my reply in answer.

MS. HILLA KERNER: Okay. Thank you.
I also want -- I heard that on your way here
you had a layover in Vancouver. So I would like, on behalf
of my collective, if you have a layover back to come and
have dinner with us in our house.

MS. FANNY WYLDE: Okay.
DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: M'hm.
MS. HILLA KERNER: I'm grateful for all of
you. I'm sure you know you're educating. Not just the
Commissioners, my group and many, many people across Canada

1	are watching all of you and learning a lot. So you've been
2	doing a great service to Canadian people and to Indigenous
3	people. Thank you. Qujannamikk.
4	MS. FANNY WYLDE: Thank you.
5	So the next party to ask questions is the
6	Assembly of First Nations.
7	Oh, okay. Commissioner Audette is asking
8	for a short recess. Five minutes. Thank you.
9	Upon recessing at 2:36 p.m./
10	l'audience est suspendue à 14h36
11	Upon resuming at 2:46 p.m./
12	l'audience est reprise à 14h46
13	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Excellent.
14	Chief Commissioner and Commissioner, I notice Mr. Wuttke is
15	already at the podium, so Commission counsel would like to
16	call Assembly of First Nations. They have 40 minutes.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. WUTTKE:
18	MR. STUART WUTTKE: All right. Thank you.
19	Good afternoon.
20	Before I start, I'd like to acknowledge
21	and time's ticking. Can I introduce myself first?
22	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: We haven't given any
23	of the other parties that advantage.
24	MR. STUART WUTTKE: So I'd like to
25	acknowledge that we are on Huron-Wendat land. My name is

Stuart Wuttke, I am general counsel with the Assembly of
 First Nations. I'm also from Garden Hill First Nation,
 which is in Manitoba. And the Assembly of First Nations is
 a national organization that advocates on behalf of over
 630 First Nation.

Now, I'll begin by asking Ms. Gunn
questions. First of all, I'd like to thank you for your
submissions yesterday. They're clearly and truly and
insightful, and I have learned a lot from your submissions.
My questions will be -- tend to be more of clarification
type questions, because I really agree with the testimony
you provided.

Now, with regards to your pet peeve where you state that a lot of people feel that international law is not enforceable in Canada or in any other countries, would it be fair to say that the assumption may be related to challenges in enforcing international law?

18 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes. I think that's what
19 I was saying, at least in one aspect, at least for the
20 lawyers. I think that -- and that's where the assumption
21 comes from.

22 MR. STUART WUTTKE: All right. And 23 yesterday, you also mentioned that the international 24 community is really not concerned with the jurisdictional 25 boundaries within the federation. That should a province

breach any international agreements or human rights
 standards, that Canada as a state is still responsible for
 any violations.

MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah. I think how they
approach it is that the human rights obligations are
binding on the state as a whole, and the internal
mechanisms of how those get realized is an internal
problem, but the obligation is owed to the international
community regardless.

10 MR. STUART WUTTKE: Okay. Thank you. Now, 11 with respects to human rights types of frameworks, would 12 you agree that unlike treaties or conventions where 13 sometimes those documents have enforcement provisions such 14 as arbitration or a reference to the International Court of 15 Justice, human rights standards really don't have that type 16 of language imbedded in their framework. Is that correct?

MS. BRENDA GUNN: I think the enforcement mechanisms that exist in the international -- or at least the UN treaty -- or the treaties that exist, the standard international human rights treaties -- sorry; it's been a bit of a long day. My brain is slowing down and I need to slow the thoughts down.

The enforcement mechanisms that exist in the
UN human rights treaty system exists in the periodic
reporting, so that Canada is to report back every 2 to

4 years on actions that they are undertaking. So it's more
 in the supervisory monitoring.

There are situations of individual complaint processes that exist where individual people can bring complaints to international bodies. They're not the same as the international court, per se, but they are, at a minimum, quasi-judicial bodies that engage in anything from investigations to just more passive receiving information and then providing concluding recommendations.

MR. STUART WUTTKE: All right. Thank you. 10 So with respect to international human 11 12 rights enforcements, it's more nuanced in some ways where there is this mobilization of shame, the periodic reporting 13 on states' compliance. In some ways, there is also 14 provision of technical assistance to various states or the 15 withholding of monetary benefits. Those are primarily ways 16 that international human rights legislation is enforced? 17

18 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think that's fair19 to say. Yes.

20 MR. STUART WUTTKE: And those types of
 21 mechanisms, other than the periodic reporting, really
 22 wouldn't affect countries such as Canada?

23 MS. BRENDA GUNN: You know, it's difficult
24 to say how international pressure affects. I will say that
25 we had a period of time in Canada, particularly under the

1 Conservative Government of Harper, that we seemed to be very much immune to our international reputation. 2

3 But from my experience, I think that's more of an exception than the rule for Canada. I think Canada 4 very much cares about its international reputation. And I 5 think this -- I don't know if we can still call them a new 6 government -- I think the Trudeau Government has been far 7 more conscious of its world reputation and has been taking 8 9 steps to promote a positive image. And so I think they respond more to negative criticisms. 10

And so I think, you know, this is why I 11 12 think we now have very large delegations of the Minister of Indigenous Affairs and the justice minister attending the 13 Permanent Forum and other mechanisms and spending, you 14 know, holding multiple side events to sort of showcase the 15 work. So I see a lot more commitment and involvement in 16 these international mechanisms. 17

So I do think that we're at a time where 18 that international pressure will have more -- will have 19 greater effect. 20

21

MR. STUART WUTTKE: Okay. Thank you. 22 Now, with respect to enforcement and other 23 incidences of human rights abuses by other states in other countries, would you agree that a lot of body of evidence 24 was captured in those abuses through the accessing and 25

1 obtaining of state records?

2 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I'm sorry, I'm not -- I
3 don't understand the question.

4 MR. STUART WUTTKE: Well, for instance, like
5 in Nazi Germany, a lot of the Germans were meticulous in
6 maintaining records that sort of showcased their abuse that
7 was later used as evidence.

8 So would you agree that, you know, accessing 9 and acquiring state records regarding various abuses that 10 take place can be used as evidence to showcase that there 11 has been abuses?

MS. BRENDA GUNN: I think I understand where you're going. I will say that one interesting aspect that has come up from many of the reports from various treaty monitoring bodies in relation to murdered and missing Indigenous women and violence against women is the need for Canada to provide better disaggregated data.

And that's not exactly the documents but what they really are pushing is they don't just want Canada to appear and say, look, these are all the wonderful programs. And they list them. They come -- it's amazing the things, like the states can come up with that they're doing.

What many of these UN treaty-monitoringbodies are looking for is they want to see the data and

1 they want to see monitoring and evaluation of programs to actually be able to determine whether or not those 2 3 different programs are actually meeting the standards and addressing human rights situations. So, yes, documentation 4 is required, and a particular call is for disaggregated 5 data that looks at men and women, Métis, First Nation, 6 7 Inuit, on-Reserve, off-Reserve, sexuality, ability; all these different sorts of aspects so that there's a way to 8 9 better judge the information and claims that are being put 10 forward.

MR. STUART WUTTKE: And you're aware of the 11 12 child welfare case that people have been talking about? MS. BRENDA GUNN: At a general level, yes. 13 14 MR. STUART WUTTKE: Okay. If I were to suggest that in that case what really turned the matter was 15 the fact that Canada was forced to disclose a lot of 16 documentation regarding how the child welfare program was 17 18 head up; highly prejudicial documents and that's what carried the day. Would you agree with that? 19 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I have no knowledge; 20 21 sorry. But I will say, again, if there is data that is

22 provided that support claims that -- you know, at least at 23 the international level, that's what we're looking for is 24 data that identifies the meeting or violation of rights.

25

MR. STUART WUTTKE: So AFN is of the opinion

that this Inquiry should subpoena -- use its subpoena powers to compel the production of documents from federal and provincial governments. Would you agree with this recommendation?

5 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I don't disagree with it,
6 but I'm not sure in that sort of general sense.

7 Again, my recommendation yesterday was the 8 need to ensure that there is data and that you need, at 9 some point, once you develop the human rights framework, 10 what are the standards you need to then be able to judge 11 actions against -- determine what Canada is doing or not 12 doing against those standards.

And so in a very general sense that, yes, documentation that indicates that Canada's actions or failure to act, I would assume, would support that work. And I'm not sure if that needs to happen through a subpoena or what those documents are, but I guess in a very generalized sense.

MR. STUART WUTTKE: You mentioned statistics. One of the issues we have with statistics, especially with police forces, in Canada anyways, there's no obligation for them to keep statistics on their interaction with various groups. And as a result of that there is no data being collected. Would you agree with a recommendation that perhaps police forces, education

1 entities should be required, through legislation, to be 2 begin collecting those -- that data?

3 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, and -- I would agree with it and I would say that has been one of the 4 resoundingly large calls from all international human 5 rights bodies, for Canada to collect better data. And I'm 6 7 not a numbers person or a statistician; in fact, I'm not even sure if I can say the word, but I think what I'm 8 9 hearing or at least how I understand the calls for disaggregated data is that sometimes Canada will say, "15 10 percent of Indigenous peoples this," and they don't want 11 12 that sort of formulated or worked over -- I need a math person to help me, that sort of worked over number. 13 Thev want that raw data that can then be used to analyze, not 14 already analyzed information that sometimes goes into 15 creating the statistic. 16

17 Does that make sense? Sorry.

18

25

MR. STUART WUTTKE: It does.

Now, I'd like to move on to your discussion on the difference between conventions and declarations. I was wondering if you can provide more information regarding the difference between how declaration and conventions are ratified and implemented in Canada and what this means in practice?

MS. BRENDA GUNN: Sure. So a convention is

a treaty that requires the state to take specific actions
to sign on. So it requires any state to take a positive,
explicit step to become a party to. And then, as I said,
our technical rule for reception into domestic law, in
order for that treaty to apply then in domestic law
technically requires Canada to pass enabling legislation
that brings that international law into effect.

8 And a declaration, those are harder to speak 9 of in generalization because they come in all different 10 sorts of ways. So if I assume correctly the greatest 11 reference or concern might be the U.N. Declaration on the 12 Rights of Indigenous Peoples. So I'll speak to that one.

That's a resolution of the General Assembly, 13 the highest deliberative body of the U.N. As Dalee has 14 mentioned, that's an instrument that took approximately 30 15 years to negotiate from the first NGO conference to the 16 final Resolution. After Resolution, the General Assembly, 17 I think Dalee you had said eight? The count now is at 18 least eight instances where the General Assembly has 19 referenced the Declaration. So it's not just an instrument 20 21 that was sort of passed one day and then moved on. It's an instrument that has continued to garner a lot of 22 23 international attention. And in that sense, many have argued that its normative value is exceedingly high as it's 24 25 one of the only international instruments that speak

1 specifically to Indigenous people's human rights. 2 And so when we think about how that applies 3 in Canada, if we take the common approach of the courts of not looking at the technical character; is it a treaty? Is 4 it a declaration? Has it been implemented? But looking at 5 the normative value of the instrument and how that can 6 7 influence our domestic law, there are many that would argue, and I agree with, that the U.N. Declaration is a 8 9 highly persuasive, representing broad normative values, including in many aspects, customary international law. 10 And so it has been argued, and I have argued, that it has 11 12 very strong relevance and effect in Canada. MR. STUART WUTTKE: Okay, thank you. 13 14 Moving on to the U.N. Declaration, keeping in mind that provinces may need to be involved considering 15 the jurisdictions that they have authority over, 16 considering that the U.N. Declaration really deals with 17 18 what we would call in the Constitution an "Indianness," would the federal government be able to enact the -- or, 19 you know, implement the legislation under Section 91(24) as 20 21 it relates to the "Indianness" aspect of their federal head

22 of power?

23 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I guess my answer is, in a
24 very general sense, yes. I think the federal government
25 does have jurisdiction to implement the U.N. Declaration,

1 particularly as it relates specifically to Indigenous people's rights, that it would fall under 91(24). But part 2 3 of me feels that pragmatically the involvement of the provinces is probably important for the operationalization 4 of the rights, given the fact that many of the rights deal 5 with lands and resources. And I think Jean Leclair was 6 7 saying this yesterday, that much of the land in Canada is legally categorized as provincial Crown lands and so -- and 8 9 if it's the provinces that are giving the resource development licences, that even if the federal government 10 could pass legislation, the involvement of provinces would 11 12 assist in ensuring that the issuing of resource development licences is occurring with the full -- or is not happening 13 without the full participation of Indigenous peoples. 14

MR. STUART WUTTKE: Thank you. With respect
to UNDRIP, you mentioned yesterday that the category of
being a peoples under UNDRIP is similar to that of the U.N.
Declaration on the Rights of Peoples -- or the Universal
Declaration on Human Rights; is that correct?

20 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I believe that I was 21 saying that Indigenous peoples are peoples and have the 22 same rights to self-determination as all peoples, and that 23 -- and I think Dalee said this as well that the phrasing of 24 Article 3 in the U.N. Declaration is virtually an exact 25 mirror of Article -- Common Article 1 of the International

1 Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the 2 International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural 3 Rights. The main difference is it's not all peoples have a right to self-determination in the U.N. Declaration, it's 4 Indigenous people. So that's the swap. 5 So the point that I was making was that 6 7 Indigenous people have the same right to self-determination as all peoples. It may -- the implementation and the 8 9 exercise of that right may look different but it can't be limited in a way that other people's rights can't be 10 limited. 11 12 MR. STUART WUTTKE: Now, were you here for the panel that was before this panel? 13 14 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I only got here Tuesday afternoon and I saw a few of the last, and a little snippet 15 online here and there on Monday of some of the 16 presentations. 17 18 MR. STUART WUTTKE: You may not be able to answer this question but a couple of days ago one of the 19 experts gave an opinion that, essentially, you know, heaven 20 21 forbid we get self-government that self-government somehow would be negative. Would you agree with that statement? 22 23 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I think my opinion that I stated yesterday, which I think goes to the concerns I had 24 understood that were raised yesterday and that have been 25

raised in the literature and by various organizations over the least 20 years, is that exercise of self-government, of Indigenous peoples' self-government must still ensure that there are protections for Indigenous women. And so, I have no opinion on whether or not the Charter should apply. I think that's for individual nations to determine.

7 But, I am of the opinion that as Indigenous peoples exercise their right to self-government that they 8 9 should be upheld to international human rights standards, including those in the U.N. Declaration. So, I don't see 10 self-government as inherently bad or good, but thinking 11 12 about how is it operationalized and what protections need to exist so that all peoples benefit equally from that 13 exercise? 14

MR. STUART WUTTKE: Now, would you agree that the right to self-government should not be suspended until a future time, that it should be exercised as soon as possible by Indigenous peoples?

MS. BRENDA GUNN: I'm going to keep working
around your questions. They're very direct and I'm going
to dance around them. My apologies.

I think the position that I've been trying to put forward is addressing what I see as a fairly longstanding debate, Indigenous women's rights first, or selfgovernment and then we deal with the Indigenous rights? My

position has been that all of these rights are necessary.
So, I'm not opposed or in promotion of self-government or
holding it back, but the -- I think my baseline is that we
need to move together to realize all of the rights and
their inter-dependence.

And so, I think self-government that isn't addressing other issues of Indigenous peoples, men and women, two-spirited, trans-gendered, et cetera, all those protections need to be in place, and the conditions that lead to marginalization need to be addressed at the same time, and it needs to be part of a larger strategic plan to realize all of the fundamental human rights.

MR. STUART WUTTKE: Okay. With respect to some of those issues you were talking about with respect to protection of equality rights, but even Indigenous world view, spirituality, respect for the environment, all of that is attainable under UNDRIP, would you agree with that? MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes, I think so. I think

19 that's what it's setting out to do.

20 MR. STUART WUTTKE: All right. Now, you 21 mentioned that human rights discourse really started to 22 become an issue or were really brought to fruition after 23 the Second World War, considering all the abuses that 24 occurred. It was also during this time, essentially after 25 World War II, that other peoples around the world started

ending their foreign rule and subjugation and exercising the right to self-government. Would you agree with that statement?

4 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Are you referring to the
5 decolonization process in Africa and some of those
6 processes?

MR. STUART WUTTKE: Yes.

8 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I must admit, I'm terrible 9 with dates. I know there was a large portion, but I'm not 10 sure when it started, but there was definitely increased 11 awareness, and I think the Universal Declaration of Human 12 Rights speaks to some of those issues that are surrounded, 13 and I think Dalee may have a better answer ---

14 MR. STUART WUTTKE: Sure.

7

MS. BRENDA GUNN: --- on that one than
myself. I'm not sure if you can phrase it in another way,
but I don't think I can...

18 MR. STUART WUTTKE: Perhaps, Dalee, do you
19 want me to...

20 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Just very quickly, 21 and more of a footnote to the discussion, yes, the 22 decolonization process, in fact, Alaska was listed in one 23 of the listings of non-self-governing territories. So, 24 Article 1, paragraph 3, related to non-self-governing 25 territories is relevant to the discussion, and if you had

1 asked that direct question to me, my answer would be, yes,
2 that's how one could characterize the important need for
3 all peoples to exercise the right of self-determination,
4 including those in areas that experience colonization.

5 MR. STUART WUTTKE: Just to pick up on that 6 as well, could you sort of explain to the panel what 7 subjugation and domination, or foreign domination, would 8 entail in the international sense?

9 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I suppose probably 10 in the most elementary terms, the answer is colonization, 11 and those elements of subjugation, domination and 12 exploitation are captured in the broader concept of 13 colonization. So, subjugating persons to foreign rule, to 14 dictatorial conditions, we could point to any number of 15 circumstances.

Domination, obviously, with regard to total 16 and complete imposition of powers by others over other 17 peoples. Exploitation, of which we still see today. I 18 think, in fact, actually, in my intervention yesterday, I 19 indicated that these forms of colonial violence linger in 20 21 different ways, but exploitation of peoples for certain purposes. And, indeed, this is one reason why the ILO 22 23 Convention No. 107 was put in place, because Indigenous peoples, as labourers, as forced slaves, were being 24 exploited. That's one example. And, the assimilation 25

orientation of the original ILO Convention No. 107 was one
 of the reasons why it was revised and emerged as Convention
 No. 169 in 1989.

Thank you. Ms. Gunn, 4 MR. STUART WUTTKE: yesterday, you were asked about a national action plan, and 5 Commissioner Audette asked you a question regarding the 6 7 action plan. But, given the reality that the implementation of a national action plan requires political 8 9 will, how would you suggest that we can ensure the national plans are meaningfully monitored, evaluated in the long 10 term and beyond political mandates? 11

12 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes. Again, I'd just say that this is a tough question. I think part of the answer 13 -- again, I would just point to Bill 262, and one of the 14 aspects that I appreciate about that is the inclusion of 15 periodic reporting. And so, a national action plan should 16 have timeframes that, of course, are flexible, but the 17 18 development and implementation process included should have timeframes that are set out. 19

I think the will to implement them increases where all parties are involved in their design. And so, while difficult, I believe that a national action plan that, you know, the government is buying into from the beginning has a greater chance of being implemented; right? So, I do think that the goal of a national action plan is

for all stakeholders, including governments, to be involved in that process, and to buy in and agree with the plan, and that such a plan is one that's likely to -- is more likely, I guess, to be put into place.

But, I think the best safeguards that I can 5 think of, at least at the top of my head to date, is having 6 7 that periodic reporting and contingencies that exist; right? So, that they're developed with the idea of -- one 8 9 of my colleagues in another context said we should be developing legislation, not for the government we have, but 10 for a hostile government; right? So, that these plans 11 12 shouldn't be developed just with the idea that we have a government that's interested in addressing the issue, but 13 sort of build in some of those contingences, and to think 14 about how to ensure that it continues to have on. And, I 15 think, again, there's a strong role for grassroots people, 16 once that plan is in place, to keep the pressure on to make 17 18 sure the governments are upholding it, and that people know that it exists and that commitments were made. 19

20 MR. STUART WUTTKE: All right. Thank you. 21 I just have a couple more questions on the international 22 aspect, and I'll ask Dalee the last few questions on this 23 topic, anyways. Going back to the subjugation type of 24 framework, would you agree that under those colonial 25 regimes, that horrible human rights abuses have occurred,

1 and particularly against women in those societies? 2 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes, I would agree 3 with that statement. And we have also seen in numerous different contexts that have been of concern to the United 4 Nations, and in particular, for example, armed conflict and 5 other forms of violence. 6 7 MR. STUART WUTTKE: Okay. And just building on that theme, in fact, the condition that many Indigenous 8 9 women face today are similar to what people were encountered in those colonized areas as well; is that 10 11 correct? 12 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I think it's safe to say, as a general response, that -- and we still see 13 these forms of violence taking place in other areas of 14 violence that -- so, generally speaking, yes. 15 MR. STUART WUTTKE: And would you also agree 16 that state being complacent or enabling the preying on 17 18 Indigenous women, for instance in Canada, is a tactic that was used in the past to subjugate populations? 19 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Again, very 20 21 generally speaking, and if you look at and review those who have chronicled the history of contact between settlers or 22 23 colonizers and Indigenous peoples, whether it was in relation to actual armed conflict between Indigenous 24

25 peoples and settlers, yes.

And I think that there are probably numerous recordings of this type of activity and I'm certain there must be a pool of scholars that have done work in this particular area as well.

5 MR. STUART WUTTKE: And I have one more 6 question for you. You talked about these camps, mining 7 camps or other industry camps are located in northern 8 areas, would you say primarily the people working in those 9 camps are non-Indigenous?

MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Yes, I would say
absolutely yes. And I can speak from direct firsthand
experience. For example, anyone who, even to this day,
takes an Alaskan Airlines flight to the north will see a
good number -- in fact, actually, they have dedicated
flights for North Slope workers.

I know for a fact also in Ontario there are direct flights out of Kitchener/Waterloo to mining communities in the north where, you know, there are nearby Indigenous communities, but what has sprung up around them are smaller work camps and communities that are certainly a majority of non-Indigenous employees and other supervisors and workers.

23 MR. STUART WUTTKE: All right. Thank you.
 24 I'm going to move on to Mr. O'Soup. And
 25 once again, thank you for your -- the evidence you gave the

1 other day. I found it to be very enlightening and you're doing a lot of good work in that province. 2 3 I'll start off on CFS. You mentioned yesterday that 90 per cent of the children in care in 4 Saskatchewan are Indigenous; is that correct? 5 MR. COREY O'SOUP: I think the estimates go 6 7 as high as 90 per cent. They can be as low as 70. I think the problem with that is data collection and, you know, we 8 9 rely on self-identification most of the time. And there are a lot of instances where our people do not want to 10 self-identify. And I think that skews the data a lot. 11 12 And, you know, historically, data collection has been used to negatively impact our people and in 13 negative ways, so there's lots of instances where, you 14 know, that data can be translated in different ways. So we 15 like to say between 70 and 90. 16 MR. STUART WUTTKE: Okay. Thank you. 17 18 And with respect to children being put into protective care, do you agree that a negligent or neglect, 19 I should say, and abuse are the two main categories where 20 21 children are put into care? MR. COREY O'SOUP: I think those are two of 22 23 them. I wouldn't say they were the main, but I think they're right up there, for sure. 24 25 MR. STUART WUTTKE: Okay. And with respect

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Wuttke)

1 to neglect, the criteria would be inability to feed your child, inability to clothe your child, provide adequate 2 3 housing, provide an education? MR. COREY O'SOUP: Keep them safe and 4 protected. Yeah, the list goes on and on, yes. 5 MR. STUART WUTTKE: So, okay, thank you. 6 7 And really, in your view, would these types of factors be related to poverty? 8 9 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes, those are definitely things that are related to poverty. 10 MR. STUART WUTTKE: So would you also agree 11 12 that a lot of First Nation or First Nation children are being removed from their communities because of --13 basically out of their loving families because of, you 14 know, poverty issues? 15 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yeah, I would say that I 16 would agree with that statement. 17 18 MR. STUART WUTTKE: Have you -- you talked 19 yesterday about the child welfare case, have you read the decisions? 20 21 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Which one specifically? 22 MR. STUART WUTTKE: The main one from 2016, 23 October 2016? MR. COREY O'SOUP: The -- sorry, you have to 24 be more specific. 25

1 MR. STUART WUTTKE: Well, there are a number of compliance decisions ---2 3 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Oh, the Human Rights Tribunal? 4 MR. STUART WUTTKE: Yeah, the Human Rights 5 Tribunal, yeah. 6 7 MR. COREY O'SOUP: I haven't read them intimately, but I am aware of them, yes. 8 9 MR. STUART WUTTKE: All right. Thank you. Now we talked about funding yesterday and a 10 lot of children in care. The child welfare case really 11 12 dealt with a perverse incentive as far as funding, meaning that children -- CFS agencies were basically funded on the 13 number of kids they brought to care, and they were 14 underfunded for that. And would you agree with that? 15 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 16 MR. STUART WUTTKE: And in order for the 17 18 agency to get more money, they would have to apprehend more kids. 19 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 20 21 MR. STUART WUTTKE: So that really created the perverse incentive. 22 23 MR. COREY O'SOUP: You know, it's a challenge that we have; right? I mean, unfortunately, 24 sometimes taking children away from their homes is a 25

1 business; right? And like you just mentioned, taking away children from their homes is a way to make money. 2 3 And, you know, I think we need to flip that. I think we need to invest in prevention and solutions so 4 that we can find ways to stop taking away our children. 5 And, you know, once we invest in those children in other 6 ways such as education and health, you will find that the 7 economic impacts in the future by investing in those 8 9 children will far outweigh the benefits of the small business of taking them away and putting them in other 10 11 places. 12 MR. STUART WUTTKE: I agree. And under the provincial legislation, least disruptive measures or 13 prevention is to be utilised first and apprehension's a 14 last resort; is that correct? 15 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 16 MR. STUART WUTTKE: But the opposite is this 17 18 in First Nations where they're required to apprehend before they can provide any services; is that correct? 19 MR. COREY O'SOUP: No, I think that there 20 21 are prevention services available before apprehension, but that does happen. 22 23 MR. STUART WUTTKE: Now with respect to the issue of neglect, would you agree that neglect is often 24 seen through a Eurocentric view? 25

1 MR. COREY O'SOUP: I think we definitely have different viewpoints when it comes to the way that 2 3 Indigenous people raise our children and youth compared to the western viewpoint, which is why I think that we need to 4 definitely consider giving back control of the child 5 welfare system to our Indigenous people so that we can -- I 6 7 don't want to say impose, but we can use the way that we were brought up moreso than the western way that we've 8 9 been, you know, kind of forced to fit into their box. MR. STUART WUTTKE: I agree. And, for 10 instance, taking a child on the land to hunt and to learn 11 12 the natural environment and traditional ways is valued by First Nation communities, not so much by eastern teachers; 13 14 is that correct? MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yeah. I think that's 15

16 changing as well though, but, yes, we definitely value it 17 more as an educational experience than, say, a field trip. 18 You know, and for us, I mean, we use that to teach many 19 different things to our young people. You know, hunting, 20 fishing, trapping, going out, gathering berries, I mean, 21 there's so many different lessons that we can teach our 22 children through that.

And I think that we need to get back to that more and I -- you know, I've been a part of the provincial education system too and there are pockets of, I guess, you

know, rays of light out there that are starting to realise
 the value of that. But for sure, we definitely value it
 more in our Indigenous world.

MR. STUART WUTTKE: And with respect to when
a child is removed from the home, typically they're taken
out of the community, put in a non-Native foster homes.
There are some First Nation foster homes. But when a child
is taken out of the community would you agree that, you
know, they lose their identity, they lose aspects of their
language, their culture, the attachment to the community?

MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. You know, I believe 11 12 we need to get back to a place, and we need to be at a place where the first place that we look to, you know, make 13 sure our children are safe. And I'm not saying that they 14 should never be removed because there are definitely 15 instances where our children need to be removed and put in 16 safer, protected places, but we need to start looking more 17 18 within our own community so that our children and youth can grow up surrounded by their own people, by their own 19 practices, by their own language, by their own culture. We 20 21 need to start looking at kinship, as well, as a place of placement, you know, because I do believe that far too many 22 23 of our children initially are being taken away, and then when they are, I believe we need to find more ways to keep 24 25 them at home.

1 MR. STUART WUTTKE: Thank you. And I'm 2 running out of time so I'm going to move on to education. 3 This might be a very long question. MR. COREY O'SOUP: How much time have you 4 got? We can go -- I mean, it's ---5 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Oh, wow. 6 7 MR. STUART WUTTKE: So you mentioned that the average graduation rate for Indigenous peoples is about 8 9 43 percent in Saskatchewan. 10 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. MR. STUART WUTTKE: Now, with respect to 11 12 education, would you also agree that education's also rolled out in a very Eurocentric type lens? 13 14 MR. COREY O'SOUP: The education system is still based a classroom setting with a teacher at the front 15 of the room, kids sitting in desks being lectured to. 16 Again, it is changing, but I don't think it's changing fast 17 18 enough. And if you can measure it by the success of our students in that system, you can tell that it doesn't work 19 for us and that the system needs to change to meet the 20 21 needs of our kids. 22 For far too long, I believe that we've 23 blamed our families, we've blamed our children as not being smart enough, as not being ready to learn, as being too 24 poor, as coming into the classroom with all of these 25

different issues. And our system has said, well, when
 they're ready to learn then we'll teach them.

But as we know that from no fault of their 3 own, our children enter into our learning facilities not 4 always ready to learn. Sometimes they might be hungry 5 because they haven't eaten for a couple of days. Sometimes 6 7 they may not have slept because, you know, maybe they played videogames all night and there was no parent there, 8 9 or maybe their parent was there and, you know, they just weren't there. 10

11 And the kids, you know, from no fault of 12 their own, come into our school systems in those types of 13 situations. And in the way that we would have said before 14 is, you know what, you need to have them fed, you need to 15 have them sleeping and ready to learn.

But I believe that, you know, if our children are hungry when they come into our schools, we need to feed them. If they've been up all night for no fault of their own, we need to let them put their heads down on their desk and maybe catch a few Z's. Because they can't learn if they're hungry, they can't learn if they're tired, and we need to adapt to meet their needs.

23 MR. STUART WUTTKE: All right. Thank you.
24 That's probably going to be the last
25 question. But one of the questions I do have, and I think

1 all parents with children in school can relate to stories. I remember one of my kids had this 2 3 assignment where he had to put pins on the flag of the world where his parents came from. So he put one in 4 Manitoba where I'm from and put one in my wife's tribe in 5 California. And the teacher said, you can't put them 6 there. Nobody was here before -- I mean, nobody came from 7 here. So he put them in different countries. 8 9 How do we deal with that type of ignorance of educators when they really don't understand the history 10 of Canada, history of First Nations people, treaties, the 11 12 Indian residential schools, Sixties Scoops, all the abuses that occurred? How do we -- how would you recommend we 13 begin to address and overcome that? 14 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Well, you know, I think 15 for us reaching the younger generation, definitely, I've 16 said it before, it needs to be in our curriculum. We're 17 18 doing a better job of that, but it needs to be there more. I think that when it comes to the older 19 generation, and I -- by older, I mean over 18, so I think 20 21 you're all included in that older generation, I didn't want to offend anyone. But there are different ways that we can 22 do that, you know, and that's through public education; 23

221

24 right.

25

We had a challenging situation in

Saskatchewan just a few months ago with one of our
 ministers. You know, she felt like treaty education was
 too infused and that we needed to un-infuse it and put it
 in a box in a single class, you know.

5 And the uproar was pretty significant, you 6 know. And I like to take pride in the fact that our people 7 stood up and we made some noise, and that our allies stood 8 up. Non-Indigenous people as well stood up for us.

9 So I think we need to search out those
10 allies, I think we need to do a better job of public
11 education. I think we need to do a better job as
12 Indigenous people of engaging in conversations with
13 non-Indigenous people.

14 And I was taught one time about this continuum. You know, we have this -- a continuum and 15 there's seven stages in this continuum. And say on this 16 side of the continuum you have people that are fully aware, 17 fully knowledgeable of Indigenous people, and then over 18 here, you have the most racist people that you can find. 19 And I think sometimes we try and move these people all the 20 21 way from, you know, Stage 1 in this continuum, all the way to Stage 7 in one conversation. 22

But what we'd like to do and what I was
taught is that, you know, we just to move these people from
Stage 1 to maybe halfway through Stage 1, then into

1 Stage 2, and you know, we need to see, and we need to bring them along in that way. So if they're in Stage 5, let's 2 3 take them to Stage 6. We need to recognize that people are the way they are because that's the way they were brought 4 up to be, and it's not easy for them to change as well. 5 So if we can recognize and figure out where 6 7 they might be on the continuum and have a conversation with them that way that's not necessarily confrontational, but 8 9 just recognizes who they are, where they are, and that that's the way that they were brought up as well. 10 MR. STUART WUTTKE: All right. Those are 11 12 all my questions. Thank you very much. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: 13 Thank you, 14 Mr. Wuttke. Commission counsel is welcoming or inviting 15 Treaty Alliance of Northern Ontario to come up, and they 16 have 20 minutes. 17 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. ORDYNIEC: 19 MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: (Speaking native 20 21 language). I am Krystyn Ordyniec for Treaty Alliance of Northern Ontario. 22 23 First, I would like to acknowledge the sacred items in the room. The grandmothers for keeping us 24 strong, and the traditional territory of the Huron-Wendat. 25

1 Treaty Alliance is made up of Grand Council Treaty 3 in Nishnawbe Aski Nation, which is 77 communities 2 3 in Northern Ontario and Eastern Manitoba. Today, I have also with me the Deputy Grand 4 Chief of Nishnawbe Aski Nation, Deputy Grand Chief Anna 5 Betty Achneepineskum. 6 7 I would like to first start by asking Professor Gunn some questions. And Professor Gunn, I'm 8 9 going to move away from the academic for a minute. MS. BRENDA GUNN: I'll try to go with you. 10 (LAUGHTER/RIRES) 11 12 MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: There are communities in Northern Ontario and Eastern Manitoba that have lived 13 under boil water advisories for decades. They lack 14 adequate housing, running water, electricity, and there are 15 virtually non-existent health services. They're living 16 with human rights atrocities on a daily basis, but at the 17 18 same time, they're mourning loss of loved ones. And they were ones that really advocated for this Inquiry to happen, 19 and they're - they are wondering what is happening at these 20 21 expert hearings. 22 So I'm going to ask how you would help to

meaningfully describe to these communities, to these First
Nation people, whose human rights are violated every day,
what it actually means to apply an international human

1 rights framework to this Inquiry? MS. BRENDA GUNN: Thank you for the 2 3 question. I guess I tried to do that yesterday when I described it, and I'm not sure if your question implies, 4 and understandably so, that I was not successful in ---5 MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: No, it doesn't ---6 7 MS. BRENDA GUNN: --- explaining it an -no. But it's fair enough. I am an academic. 8 9 But I think -- and this relates to -- I think, Commissioner Audette's question was along the same 10 lines; right? That -- this is the challenge, and I --11 12 sorry. I think I'm -- just as my brain gets tired I'm taking longer paths to get to questions. 13 14 Is that, you know, I was -- years ago, about 10 years ago, I was doing training to provincial -- one of 15 the provincial territorial organizations on the UN 16 Declaration. And I got about -- and I had been invited, 17 18 and I got about two minutes into my presentation before a chief stopped me. And it was like, "What are you talking 19 about? Like these are the crisis that we're dealing with 20 21 on a daily basis, you know. You're talking about this UN stuff." 22 23 And so I understand, and I appreciate that

24 it feels like there is a disconnect between sort of what 25 sometimes we speak about and is this actually going to

1 clean the water; right? And I guess my only response or my best response that I can provide is that, one, I think by 2 3 using international human rights law we are able to speak of these issues of the -- I think you mentioned -- boil 4 water advisory, housing and health services as violations 5 of fundamental human rights that impact security of the 6 person, the right to life for peoples. But also then, the 7 flip side of that is that it also allows us to speak of a 8 9 legal obligation that Canada has to address these issues.

And so, while all countries have multiple 10 pressures on their resources, when we think of the right to 11 clean and accessible drinking water when we think about the 12 right to a safe and adequate house, when we think about the 13 right to healthcare, which would include access to our 14 traditional medicines, it means that governments are 15 obligated to spend -- under the one convention, to spend 16 the maximum available resources to realize those rights. 17

18 So, for me, it's about putting this in a framework of government has to address these matters. 19 Ιt can't be the boil water advisories or housing. It can be 20 21 the issue that keeps falling off the budget; right? We can't just keep running out of money. If the money doesn't 22 23 stretch that far, this means, as a legal obligation, it has to move higher up; right? The budget has to be there for 24 25 the progressive realization of the rights.

1 I think the second point that I was trying to think about yesterday is I recognize the disconnect 2 3 between potentially the individual lived experiences and the systemic changes that I'm trying to push forward that I 4 think the human-rights-based approach addresses. And so, I 5 do think that the system is broken and that all of the 6 7 issues that you raised are symptoms of a broken system. And, while we can try to fix each of these, you know, every 8 9 time the boil water advisory comes up, we can try to fix the system or create a sanitation system, but it's all part 10 of a larger broken system. 11

And so, part of the energies that I am dedicating is to see if I can fix that system to address these, sort of, symptoms that are very real and very lived. And so, in that way, I'm playing a longer-term game, but hoping that governments will also take steps immediately to start addressing these issues.

18 MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Thank you. And, in 19 no way did I mean to suggest that you didn't do a good job 20 yesterday, and I appreciate your answer, and I know that 21 it's difficult, so thank you very much for that.

The next questions are going to be directed to Mr. O'Soup. You mentioned and spoke in detail with Ms. Fraser when you were conducting research with the youth in regard to the suicides, that you attended in the

1 communities multiple times. We heard that today; correct? MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 2 3 MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: And, just applying what you learned throughout that process, how do you think 4 that this particular National Inquiry should proceed with 5 its work in terms of travelling to the remote communities, 6 both now and on a future basis, to make sure to understand 7 those unique perspectives of the northern communities? 8 9 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Well, you know, I mean, I'm challenged to give them advice because I don't 10 understand the full scope and the ability and the finances 11 12 and all of that behind what they have to do. You know, even myself, you know, over that year, I was not able to 13 personally attend to every session. I was in, you know, a 14 lot of them but not all of them. So, I think that has to 15 be recognized, that I did have staff that did attend to 16 every session and met with all of the children and youth, 17 18 you know? So, that made it, I guess, more -- made me more able to do it that way, you know? 19 But, I do believe that our children and 20 21 youth need to have their voices heard, you know, and it's not always easy for them to come to a place like this, 22

even. I'm sitting on a stage like this with the lights,
and the cameras, and all of these lawyers and people here
in their faces, it's not an easy place for them to sit and

1 for us to ask those difficult questions.

You need to be able to build a relationship with them. You need to be able to, you know, coax those answers that you're looking for out of them, and that can only be done, you know, in a place where they feel safe and protected and, you know, where they feel comfortable. This is not a place that they would feel comfortable in doing that.

9 That's why we had to go to them, you know? And, it wasn't always me personally. So, there's ways that 10 we can do it, you know, and I think those ways are 11 12 acceptable. So, you know, I think for me, that's what we have to consider, is that, you know, our children are not 13 adults. They're not professionals. They're not, you know, 14 people that are used to this type of environment, and 15 especially if they're in a remote and northern community. 16 You know, we've had stories where kids have never even gone 17 18 into a restaurant and ordered food, you know? Those are the types of barriers that our kids face. 19

There's places in our northern communities, they don't even have banks or restaurants or different things like that. So, for them to come here, they don't have hotels, you know? Everything would be a challenge for them. And then on top of that, we ask them these difficult questions, you know?

Thank you.

So, I think we need to make an environment and create an environment where they are able to be at their best, and to be able to engage at their best, and I don't know if this would be the best environment for them to do that.

Yesterday, you spoke in your testimony both about lack of
mental health resources as well as the criminal justice
system, and I'm just interested in hearing your thoughts as
related to the intersection of these two and what you're
seeing in your community and in Saskatchewan.

MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC:

12 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. You know, they have a lot to do with each other, right? You know? And, a lot 13 of times, we criminalize our young people because of mental 14 health issues. And, if we can properly diagnose, and if we 15 can properly treat these mental health issues earlier on, 16 and if we can, you know, find out what it is, and if we 17 18 know exactly what we're dealing with, then we can give these kids the best start, you know? And then they won't 19 end up in our criminal justice system because they had 20 21 behaviour problems or because they had a disorder that 22 could have been treated with counselling or with 23 medication; right? And, instead, we criminalize them for having a mental health issue. 24

25

6

We don't criminalize people for breaking

1 their leg or for having a heart attack. These are the same 2 types of things; right? They're just, you know, health 3 issues of the mind and of the brain, you know? And, even in our northern communities, the access to diagnose, to 4 treat, to educate is not there, you know? And, that takes 5 dollars. That takes targeted funding. You know, we've 6 7 used the words "distinct measures", "special measures". That's what it's going to take for us to really get at the 8 9 heart and the root of our problems in the area of mental health. 10

You know, and then our justice system takes them, and we don't necessarily always treat what the issue is with them. Yes, they receive those supports and those services once they're in there, but they're criminalized already, and now that follows them wherever they go. So, I think we need to really take a good examination of that system and how they do intersect.

MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Thank you. Yesterday -- two days ago, sorry, I had asked the panel, and you spoke about when an Indigenous youth ages out of the child welfare system, and you mentioned that there were -- you feel like they are unsupported during those times. What would some of your recommendations be in order to address that gap?

25

MR. COREY O'SOUP: I think we need to,

1 particularly in Saskatchewan, we need to redefine the age of a child. Right now, it's 16. So, a lot of the services 2 3 drop off at the age of 16. We need to raise that to the age of 18. I couldn't speak specifically for all of the 4 provinces across Canada. A lot of our services, we do 5 extend to age 21. We have suggested legislatively that 6 7 those services go to the age of 24 so that those transition pieces are there so that we can support our kids as they 8 9 look to further their education, as they look to become contributing members of their society. 10

So, I think we need to look at those types 11 of measures, you know, because those kids fall through the 12 cracks a lot of the times; right? And, those are the ones 13 that end up in our systems. Those are the ones that end 14 up, you know, in an inquiry like this, and I think that we 15 need to provide better supports because as a parent, I 16 mentioned this yesterday, my daughter just turned 18. I 17 18 didn't kick her out the door and not provide her with any supports or any services. In fact, she's still living at 19 home. I'm still feeding her. I'm still providing for her. 20 21 I'm still giving her shelter. I'm still supporting her as she goes on to university next year. 22

You know, I'm supporting her in every step
of the way of her life, and I didn't just cut it off at the
age of 18. I believe if governments are going to take our

children, and they're going to take responsibility for
 them, they need to follow that up and not just cut them off
 as soon as they turn 18.

MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Thank you very much. 4 And, I have just one last question. As an educator, how do 5 we ensure that young family members of those who were lost 6 7 to violence and are the subject of this inquiry are protected from future trauma, especially in remote northern 8 9 communities when the information, as you identified, doesn't always get to them or they see something on social 10 media. 11

12 MR. COREY O'SOUP: I think that's a responsibility of us, as adults. I think sometimes we 13 14 expect and we push things on governments to do our job as parents, as adults, as aunties and uncles, as kokums and 15 moshums. I think that we -- you know, sometimes we say, 16 well, if the government gives me this or this or this, then 17 18 I can do this. But I think when it comes into those places, especially in our northern and remote communities, 19 the answers are within our communities. 20

You know, the answers aren't going to come from me in Saskatoon. They're not going to come from Ottawa or Quebec City. We need to go into our communities and we need to search for the answers there, because I believe the answers are there. They lie within our family

systems. They lie within our elders. They lie within our
communities. And if we can take that responsibility back,
I believe that we can make a difference in our communities.
And I believe the job of government is to support us as we
take those systems back and as we take those roles back,
you know. And that's what I believe that we should be
doing.

MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Thank you very much.

9 My last question will be for Dr. Sambo 10 Dorough. Yesterday you spoke of the value and necessity of 11 desegregated data. And I wonder if you could just touch on 12 that a little bit further, and especially in the context of 13 the application of certain legislation that may have 14 certain -- well, not may have, it's a legacy of sex-based 15 discrimination in certain legislation.

8

MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I think that --16 first acknowledge that Brenda Gunn referred to the need for 17 18 desegregated data. Indigenous peoples have made this intervention on numerous occasions within in particular the 19 special mandates in relation to nearly everything. Because 20 21 across the globe, and I would expect here in Canada as 22 well, there isn't sufficient desegregated data so that we 23 can even establish the baseline as to the status and conditions of Indigenous peoples in Canada, whether it is 24 to health, to housing, et cetera. And more often than not, 25

we're finding NGOs and others to provide that information, if you set aside the issue of self-identification, because that is an individual right to determine your own identity, and your willingness to share that information.

In relation to this particular issue, the 5 subject of the Inquiry, Indigenous women and girls, I think 6 7 that it would be extremely helpful if a mechanisms could be put into place to look at all the status and the conditions 8 of the rights affirmed in the various different 9 international instruments and give us at least a baseline 10 as to where things stand. And if issues such as 11 12 discrimination, violence -- it would be a complex system but on a community-by-community basis I do think that it is 13 possible to allow for the appropriate agencies at the 14 national level and at the local level. 15

And also, to piggyback on the comment that 16 Corey made, for communities themselves to begin such -- or 17 18 at least put the -- a little meat on the bones as far as the opportunity to track this kind of information. It's a 19 difficult task and it takes someone with skill to do so, 20 21 but we've seen Indigenous communities elsewhere develop that. I mean, the social and health determinants, for 22 23 example, of ITK is one example.

24 MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Thank you very much.
25 And with that I would just like to say

1 Miigwich to the experts, to the Commissioners and also to 2 the parties who have shared their knowledge today. Thank 3 you. MS CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. 4 Next, Commission Counsel would like to 5 invite the Advocate for Children and Youth of Saskatchewan 6 up, Mr. Gregory Walen. He will have 20 minutes. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. GREGORY 8 9 WALEN: Thank you. MR. GREGORY WALEN: 10 Commissioners, members of the panel, my name 11 12 is Greg Walen. I am counsel to the ---MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: We don't have volume 13 14 yet. CHIEF COMMISSIONER BULLER: We need to stop 15 the clock. 16 First of all, on the motion of Registrar, 17 18 we're making the following order, just a brief housekeeping 19 matter. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: 20 Okav. 21 CHIEF COMMISSIONER BULLER: That it's an order to redact all personal identifiers on CVs that have 22 23 been filed as exhibits. 24 Thank you. My apologies, Mr. Walen. MR. GREGORY WALEN: That's fine. That's 25

1 fine. 2 I appear on behalf of the Advocate for 3 Children and Youth for the Province of Saskatchewan, who just happens to be an individual that I will be cross-4 examining. And I'm in a ---5 (LAUGHTER/RIRES) 6 7 MR. GREGORY WALEN: --- relatively unique position in that regard. I do realise that Rule 45 of the 8 9 protocol suggest that I'm to restrict my cross-examination in a -- to non-traumatising questions, so I'll attempt to 10 stick to that. 11 12 I will be, of course, addressing Mr. Corey O'Soup directly and I have no questions for the remainder 13 14 of the panel, so you can relax. (LAUGHTER/RIRES) 15 MR. COREY O'SOUP: I think you should. 16 MR. GREGORY WALEN: Yes. Mr. O'Soup, in --17 18 you filed 15 recommendations in Exhibit 12 during your examination in-Chief and I wanted to reference. Do you 19 have that in front of you by any chance? 20 21 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes, I do. 22 MR. GREGORY WALEN: Great. I'd like to 23 reference, first of all, recommendation number 12. You state in recommendation number 12 -- and I'll just read it 24 25 out,

1	"To create a statutory National
2	Children's Commissioner, independent
3	from the Government of Canada, but
4	accountable to the Parliament, with
5	particular emphasis on Indigenous
6	children and youth and the national
7	dimension of the work on programs,
8	evaluations and outcomes." (As read)
9	Is that correct?
10	MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes, that's correct.
11	MR. GREGORY WALEN: Okay. Would you agree
12	that I believe your testimony was yesterday that
13	currently all provinces and territories, with the exception
14	of Prince Edward Island and the Northwest Territories, have
15	a sort of a children's advocate in one form or another?
16	MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes, they all do.
17	MR. GREGORY WALEN: Some are independent of
18	the government, like your office.
19	MR. COREY O'SOUP: I believe they're all
20	independent.
21	MR. GREGORY WALEN: They're all independent?
22	MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes.
23	MR. GREGORY WALEN: Okay. To what extent,
24	if any at all, would gaps be filled in by a National
25	Children's Commissioner in terms of why aren't the

provinces able to cover off all the things that you want them to cover off?

3 MR. COREY O'SOUP: You know, there's a number of challenges and we all do have varying degrees of 4 legislation. Like, for instance, in Saskatchewan I think 5 I've given testimony as to our responsibilities, then our 6 legislation allows us to look into places like social 7 services, which covers child welfare and places like that, 8 9 education, health, justice and corrections. That would not be the same for every province and territory. I think we 10 need some sort of standards when it comes to that and a 11 12 National Commissioner could bring those standards.

13 But I think for the purposes of the National Inquiry, I believe that the biggest thing that this would 14 bring for us would be a Commissioner that can attend to the 15 needs of our Indigenous children and youth. I believe that 16 even in Saskatchewan and even being an Indigenous person 17 18 and even taking the steps that I've taken, my ability to --I quess to look into the lives of children and youth, 19 particularly Indigenous children and youth, is challenged 20 21 by my legislation.

I believe if we empowered a national Commissioner, and I believe that person -- and I said this yesterday as well -- should be Indigenous, would be able to, with proper legislation and proper support, be able to

1 go onto our First Nations and work with our First Nations 2 and work with our Métis people in a way that we cannot. 3 Because there are many national issues that I believe 4 should be addressed that we are limited at our Canadian 5 Council of Children and Youth Advocates because of our 6 varying legislations and because of the fact that we have 12 or 11 different people sitting at the table as well.

So I think there are opportunities, like 8 9 even on the issue of youth suicide, Indigenous youth suicide. It's not just a northern Saskatchewan Indigenous 10 youth issue. It's actually a national issue. And it's 11 12 something that we should be talking about nationally and we need a national youth voice on this issue. And the only 13 way that we're going to be able to do that is if we are 14 able to bring all of those youth and bring their voice 15 together in one form or another. And I believe that, you 16 know, I'm doing that work in Saskatchewan but I can't go do 17 18 that work in other provinces or territories.

MR. GREGORY WALEN: You would agree with me that advocates offices across Canada, the ones that do exist, for the most part are independent, but they are relegated to simply investigating, reporting and advocating on behalf of children and youth; is that correct?

MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes.

24

25

MR. GREGORY WALEN: They have no power of

sanction against the government or any government agencies, would that be correct?

3 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. I think that's one of the challenges that we face, you know, because we do 4 investigate, we do make recommendations, but our 5 recommendations are non-binding, and we have to use 6 7 different ways to influence and hope and make those decisions and those recommendations come to fruition. 8 You 9 know, we hold regular meetings with our ministries, and they are standing items, those recommendations, but still, 10 at the end of the day, they can choose to ignore them if 11 12 they want. Of course, we go to public opinion, we go to the media, and we use that as a tool to hold our 13 14 governments to account.

But, if a national commissioner was ever 15 appointed, I believe they would need those powers to go 16 beyond just making recommendations, because that's the same 17 18 situation we're in right now. And, you know, if we don't give this national commissioner those powers of sanction or 19 those powers to make binding recommendations, then, you 20 21 know, I don't think we're any better off than we are right 22 now.

23 MR. GREGORY WALEN: Thank you. You spent
24 some time yesterday and, indeed, in cross-examination today
25 talking about the Shhh...LISTEN!! report, and youth

Indigenous suicide in the north, the north half of
 Saskatchewan. This is an inquiry into murdered and missing
 Indigenous women and girls. What's the connect?

MR. COREY O'SOUP: I believe there's a 4 direct correlation to the things that the young girls 5 brought up to us in this report. You know, I mentioned the 6 7 six themes earlier. Those are all themes that directly correlate to our young girls going missing and being 8 9 murdered. You know, themes of bullying and cyber bullying, that directly relates to our young girls running away from 10 their homes, running away from their foster homes, running 11 12 away from those care placements. You know, drugs and alcohol, those are all things that are contributors to, you 13 14 know, what's happening in our report.

And, you know, I believe that those are 15 forms of violence; right? And, that directly correlates 16 to, I would say, Article 19 within the UNCRC and, of 17 18 course, Article 22 within UNDRIP. And, you know, in order for us to protect our young girls from those forms of 19 violence, we need to hear what they have to say, and we 20 21 have to react to that, and that's what this report does for It brings out all of those situations, all of those 22 us. 23 reasons why, and they also give us solutions; right? And, I think that's even more important than the reasons why, 24 because I think we have a general understanding as, you 25

know, the Canadian public as to why, but we haven't always
 been able to get those solutions from our young people, and
 I think that's really important.

MR. GREGORY WALEN: Thank you. We heard 4 yesterday from other members of the panel that 5 international treaties have the same legal obligation, the 6 7 same legal obligations exist for the Government of Canada as if it was a law, essentially. Did you -- would you 8 agree that the obligations, et cetera, as set forth in the 9 United Nations Convention on the Rights of a Child should 10 also impose those same legal obligations on the Government 11 12 of Canada?

MR. COREY O'SOUP: You know, I think unless we actually do that, we are still in the same position of advocating, of lobbying, of hoping, you know, that they will do the right thing until they actually become whatever the term within the legal ---

18 MR. GREGORY WALEN: Legal obligations.
19 MR. COREY O'SOUP: With a legal obligation,
20 yes.

MR. GREGORY WALEN: Thank you. You
reference in Recommendation 14, and I'll just read
Recommendation 14 out for the record, "That the Federal
Government adopt all necessary measures to ensure the full
inclusion of the optional protocol to the United Nations

Convention on the Rights of Children, on the sale of
 children, child prostitution, child pornography into its
 domestic legal system."

Why did you recommend that? 4 5 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Well, you know, this optional protocol has been, I'll say, mostly accepted by 6 7 Canada, but there are some provisions in there that I believe directly influence the way that we deal with our 8 9 young Indigenous women and girls. You know, particularly, they mention that girl children, to use the language, are 10 disproportionately, I quess -- disproportionately over 11 12 represented in sexual exploitation in the world and in Canada in general. 13

And, you know, we have these things in place to protect our children. So, you know, I think we need to fully adopt that here in Canada, and some of the concerns that I have with that is it is data collection, again. You know, we don't know, and if we don't know, then how can we make properly informed decisions on how to react, how to implement, how to legislate, how to lobby?

The other piece here is the public is not fully aware of that. So, we need to have public awareness campaigns. It's not fully budgeted for, you know. And, one of the other things in there that concerns me probably the most and why I think that Canada needs to fully adopt

1 and ratify this optional protocol is because with all of 2 the protections that we have in place, it's still not 3 illegal to sell a child here in Canada. Thank you. I have no 4 MR. GREGORY WALEN: further questions. That wasn't so bad. 5 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you, Mr. 6 7 Walen. Commission counsel will now call upon the last party with standing to be cross-examining today, and that 8 9 will be West Coast LEAF, I believe, Raji Mangat. And, Ms. Mangat has 20 minutes. 10 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Thank you. 11 12 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: She actually hasn't started yet, so can you reset, please? 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. RAJI 14 MANGAT : 15 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Good afternoon. As Ms. 16 Big Canoe said, my name is Raji Mangat. I'm here 17 18 representing West Coast LEAF, a non-profit organization working in B.C. on gender equality. I'd like to echo the 19 thanks offered by others to all who have come together for 20 21 these four days of learning. As with the other time I got to cross-22 23 examine, many of the topics I wanted to cover with the witnesses have already been done so very ably by my 24 friends. So, I'm going to primarily be directing my 25

1 questions to Mr. O'Soup, and I apologize in advance if I jump all over the place. I'm trying to avoid duplicative 2 3 questions, but I might not be perfect in doing so. So, when you were giving your presentation, 4 Mr. O'Soup, you spoke about the barriers to breaking these 5 cycles, the cycles that seem to perpetuate cycles around 6 poverty and violence. One of the barriers that we've been 7 discussing with you over the past day and a half is this 8 9 crisis level of Indigenous children in child protection. And, you know, when we're saying the low end of the 10 estimated range is 70 percent, to me, that's a crisis. 11 12 MR. COREY O'SOUP: That's more than a crisis. 13 MS. RAJI MANGAT: More than a crisis. 14 Exactly. 15 MR. COREY O'SOUP: It's something we should 16 definitely be looking at. And, you know, we're actually 17 18 not the worst. Somebody said a race to the bottom. You know, I think Manitoba actually has reports that it's 90 19 percent that they can verify. So, you know, we're beyond 20 21 the crisis level. 22 It's an epidemic. MS. RAJI MANGAT: 23 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. MS. RAJI MANGAT: Yes. And so, one of the 24 25 things you talked about when you were discussing the U.N.

Convention on the Rights of the Child is a right of
 children to be raised by their parents when it's safe to do
 so.

MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 4 MS. RAJI MANGAT: I'm not super familiar 5 with that convention. And so, I was wondering if you would 6 be able to talk a little bit more about that right? 7 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. I think for us and 8 9 for the convention, I think that they needed to identify the best place, the most secure place, the most loving 10 place, the most caring place for our children and our youth 11 12 is with their families, you know? And, I think that's why it was explicitly put in there. I'm not like the doctor 13 here. I wasn't a part of the writing of the convention 14 like she was of UNDRIP, but my understanding is that the 15 best place for our children and youth is to be surrounded 16 by their family, by those that love them, by those that 17 18 trust them, by those that have their best interest at hand.

You know, of course, that's not always -you know, unfortunately, it's not always the case with our young people. You know, we do deal with cases where the family have been a part of the problem, you know? But, in those cases, it's not always, you know, the immediate family. If it is, there are extended family that we can look to, you know, to take our children into their homes,

1 and to love them and care for them. I believe those are 2 the places that we need to go to first, you know? And, 3 within our communities, within our First Nation communities, within our Metis communities, within our Inuit 4 communities, we need to look there first. And I think 5 often we do not go there first, or you know, our people are 6 7 disqualified for simple things that, you know, that they shouldn't be, right? And then that's something that takes 8 9 our kids away from their homes, you know? And we can put them in these loving, caring environments. 10 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Absolutely. And so that 11 12 would be like, kinship careers ---MR. COREY O'SOUP: Kinship care, yeah. 13 14 MS. RAJI MANGAT: --- I guess, is what you're talking about. 15 MR. COREY O'SOUP: I believe I mentioned 16 that. That is, you know, for me that's the best place for 17 18 our kids, if it's safe to do so. MS. RAJI MANGAT: So just sort of stepping 19 back a little bit, could you describe briefly what the 20 21 legal process is in Saskatchewan where a determination has been made about an Indigenous child needing to be removed 22 23 from her home? Where does she go? What happens? Just very briefly. I'm not sure everyone -- I'm -- in fact lots 24 of people, I think, don't know how these systems work in 25

1 our law.

2 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yeah. Well, for us it's 3 the Ministry of Social Services that does that and makes that determination. And the complaints can come from 4 anywhere really, you know. Like, someone can see a child 5 in a grocery store being yelled at or whatever, or you 6 know, it happens all too often in our hospitals, you know? 7 Children are taken away at birth for no reason. But I 8 9 believe that, you know, the process is a challenge to me, right? Because we are imposing, I guess, western ideals, 10 on our Indigenous Peoples once again. And we aren't using, 11 12 and we're not asking our First Nation and our Metis People to be a part of that situation and a part of that system. 13

249

So you know, anybody can call. Ministry of 14 Social Services opens up a file. They go and the do an 15 investigation. They knock on the door. They could show up 16 at any time, and if they determine that that child is not 17 18 safe for whatever reason that they deem, then they can remove that child. And once that happens, you have a file 19 open on you pretty much forever. And you are always kind 20 21 of on their radar, and then in order to get your children back, it's not an easy process either, you know? And 22 23 that's a difficult one too. So you know, the legalities of 24 it lie within our Social Services Ministry.

25

MS. RAJI MANGAT: That very much aligns with

1 the experience that I've heard from Indigenous families in 2 B.C. Often no reason is provided, or at least not in any 3 kind of reasonable period of time. And so that level of uncertainty and that scariness of having somebody come into 4 your home, not tell you why they're removing your child, it 5 sounds like that's something that's being experienced 6 across jurisdictions. Is that your -- is that what you've 7 heard from your counterparts in other provinces? 8 9 MR. COREY O'SOUP: We haven't really gone into that discussion very deeply, so ---10 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Okay. Fair enough. 11 12 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yeah. MS. RAJI MANGAT: So do you think that there 13 is any difference in the way that standards are applied 14 when -- or interpreted, when it comes to removing a child, 15 an Indigenous chid from her home, versus, you know, maybe 16 from like, where there have been concerns around harm to 17 18 that child in foster care? Like, is there -- is the -does the system approach that child and that child's 19 circumstance in the same way when it's coming from her 20 21 Indigenous home, versus, she's in foster care and there's some concerns about her treatment in foster care? 22 MR. COREY O'SOUP: I would say anecdotally, 23 I would have concerns about that. We do have policies and 24

procedures in place to protect our kids, but sometimes

25

1 those policies are flawed, you know, and we're dealing with 2 human beings and their own personal judgement. So I would 3 say that I'm hoping that that's not the case, but I can't say 100 percent that it is the case -- that they're not 4 being treated differently. 5 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Right. That there aren't 6 7 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 8 9 MS. RAJI MANGAT: --- let's say, kind of racist attitudes, or stereotypes, and gendered ideas about 10 Indigenous women for instance, that apply in one context 11 12 that perhaps don't apply in the other? MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 13 14 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Okay. Thank you. In B.C.'s legislation -- and I'm not going to go deep into 15 B.C.'s legislation because I know that's -- you're coming 16 from Saskatchewan. But I wanted to know if -- in B.C. 17 18 there is a responsibility to provide supports to parents to be able to parent their children. So I think this might be 19 that least restrictive means kind of concept you were 20 21 talking about. Is that something similar in Saskatchewan's legislation? 22 23 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. We provide supports, yeah. 24 25 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Okay. So the -- so what

1 I've heard from some women is that because you -- as you 2 said, there is this idea that once that Ministry opens the 3 file it can be very difficult to disentangle yourself from that Ministry, or from the various social workers and other 4 people that are part of that apparatus. So I've actually 5 heard from Indigenous women in B.C. that they have a lot of 6 fear, even when they recognize that they might need 7 supports for themselves to parent, and there is this 8 9 obligation in the legislation to provide that support. There is a fear on their part that the supports won't be 10 offered, the child will just be removed, and is that 11 12 something that you've heard about or come across in your work as Saskatchewan's advocate? 13 14 MR. COREY O'SOUP: I feel like I'm getting a little close to -- to individual cases and ---15 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Okay. 16 MR. COREY O'SOUP: --- to respecting the 17 18 confidentiality and the anonymity of our families and our children and youth there. 19 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Fair enough and I'm sorry 20 21 I ---22 MR. COREY O'SOUP: No, no. That's okay. 23 MS. RAJI MANGAT: --- certainly didn't intend to go that way. 24 MR. COREY O'SOUP: No. 25

MS. RAJI MANGAT: Okay. Well, you had said, 1 2 I think it was yesterday, you said something, and I'll 3 paraphrase. That we asked our Indigenous schools to do the same thing as what the public school system is offering 4 with less funding and then we blame those schools for not 5 succeeding. Would you draw a parallel with the child 6 7 protection system, or child welfare system? Similarly, that we -- you know, for these delegated Aboriginal 8 9 agencies we are maybe setting them up to fail? We are putting a lot of obligations but not resourcing properly. 10 MR. COREY O'SOUP: I would say that that's -11 12 - if Cindy Blackstock was here, she could give you the 10year fight that she went through. And that's where I would 13 14 point to, is the fight that Cindy went through for the last 10 years to get equality of funding for our children and 15 the child welfare system on reserve. So I believe that 16 that is the case when it comes to child welfare, similar to 17 18 education. MS. RAJI MANGAT: M'hm. So you would draw 19 that parallel, absolutely. 20 21 MR. COREY O'SOUP: I would draw that parallel, yes. 22 23 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Okay. Yesterday and

earlier today we talked a little bit about the best
interests of the child as a standard. Not only -- like, we

1 know that this is a domestic standard, but in the Convention on the Rights of the Child it's an international 2 standard as well. You're familiar with it. I'm ---3 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yes. 4 5 **MS. RAJI MANGAT:** --- very familiar. And your -- you understand that that standard, best interest of 6 7 the child, is a primary consideration in all actions concerning children, including actions of child welfare 8 9 agencies? 10 MR. COREY O'SOUP: M'hm. MS. RAJI MANGAT: And do you find that that 11 12 best interests of the child is being used as this guiding principle in Saskatchewan, in your experience, when it's 13 14 relating to child welfare hearings? MR. COREY O'SOUP: You know, it is in our 15 policies, it's part of our Act actually, the best interests 16 of the child within Saskatchewan. So it's something that 17 18 we are well aware of and something that we hold our government to account to, particularly our Ministry of 19 Social Services. But I think if I can reflect back to the 20 21 numbers, you know, I think the numbers tell the story more than I could tell the story. You know, if we were truly, I 22 23 guess, adopting, and adapting, and receiving that best interests, we wouldn't have 70 to 90 percent of our kids, 24 25 our Indigenous kids, in care, right?

1 So you know, I would take it back to that Even though it's in policy and even though we say 2 number. 3 it. I think if we look at the numbers and we look at the number of kids that have been taken away and the number of 4 them that are Indigenous, I think that tells a different 5 story. 6 MS. RAJI MANGAT: 7 Right.

8

MR. COREY O'SOUP: Yeah.

9 MS. RAJI MANGAT: And I'd ask this guestion a few days ago and what I'd like -- what I'm trying to do 10 is kind of like, this idea of what's in a child's best 11 12 interest with what's in the best interest or -- how do you frame that around, sort of, who are the carers in that 13 child's life? And that you can't really divorce, in my 14 view, best interest from the context in which they're being 15 actualized or would be actualized. So would you agree with 16 me or with the proposition that the best interests of 17 18 children are inextricably linked to the lived experiences of the careqivers in their lives, like their mothers, their 19 grandmothers, their aunties, the various people in their 20 21 circle of caring?

22 MR. COREY O'SOUP: I would say in general I 23 agree, but I think we need to apply some of the other 24 articles, you know, like the non-discrimination, the right 25 to life and survival and the right to thrive and the right

to have voice knowing -- if all of those foundational 1 principles from the UNCRC are being applied in those 2 3 situations with their mothers and their grandmothers, then I think that would be -- yes, then I could agree with that. 4 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Okay. Do you know if 5 Indigenous youth in Saskatchewan are primarily cared for by 6 7 female caregivers? Like, whether that's moms or other female caregivers, like kinship carers? 8 9 MR. COREY O'SOUP: Oh, I'm trying to think of the numbers. I don't think I would ---10 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Okay. 11 12 MR. COREY O'SOUP: --- be able to answer that question. 13 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Okay. Fair enough. 14 So I think that might be everything with 15 16 you. And I just have a couple of -- I noticed I 17 18 just have about five minutes and I have a few sort of I quess sort of housekeepingey [sic] things that I'd like to 19 kind of get onto the record. And I'd like to do that by 20 21 directing my inquiry to you, Professor Gunn. You should have been provided with a copy of 22 23 a five-page document called Violence Against Indigenous Women and Girls in Canada, Review of Reports and 24 25 Recommendations.

MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes, I have it. 1 MS. RAJI MANGAT: And I understand that this 2 3 document was emailed around to counsel for the parties withstanding. And I have a couple of copies if we need. 4 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: So the violence ---5 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Yes, that's right. 6 7 So I think I'm right in that that was provided to all parties, withstandings, counsel, as well as 8 9 to the Commissioners, and to the witness. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: And there's no 10 objection, so I'm assuming it's applied -- implied consent. 11 12 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Okay. Fantastic. So just taking a look at this document, 13 Professor Gunn, it says on the first page this was prepared 14 by Pippa Feinstein and Meghan Pearce, dated February 26, 15 16 2015? MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yes. 17 18 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Are you familiar with this work or this document? 19 MS. BRENDA GUNN: In a very general sense. 20 21 I was able to review it briefly the other evening and I'm generally aware of the work, the Legal Strategy Coalition. 22 23 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Okay. Well, I'm not proposing to ask any questions. I simply wanted to sort of 24 establish the document on the record and hope to have it 25

marked as an exhibit, if that's okay. 2 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: If I might, I don't 3 think there's going to be a need to mark it as an exhibit. And the -- and we can stop the time in case she has more 4 questions. Our interim report points specifically to the 5 research we've undertaken, which is actually to utilise the 6 7 Legal Strategy Coalition's original report. And we actually, through our research team, have included not just 8 9 the 40 in this but now over 90 of the reports using at least 1 of the same researchers. So our process is 10 informed not only by the Legal Strategy Coalition but it's 11 12 documented within our interim report. So for, you know, reference I think it's 13 14 helpful, but if you don't actually have a question for the ___ 15 MS. RAJI MANGAT: No, no, that's ---16 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: --- witness in 17 18 relation to it, I'm not sure if we need to exhibit it. MS. RAJI MANGAT: No, that makes perfect 19 Thank you. I was not aware that it had 20 sense to me. 21 already been incorporated. 22 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. 23 MS. RAJI MANGAT: The other thing I'd like 24 to do and ---

MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: You can start time

258

1

1 again.

2 MS. RAJI MANGAT: --- again by -- oh.
3 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Yeah, we can start
4 time again.

MS. RAJI MANGAT: Just similar sort of thing 5 is earlier counsel for the Native Women's Association of 6 7 Canada, Ms. Lomax, had asked questions about international human rights instruments around two-spirit and LGBTQ 8 9 persons, protections from violence and discrimination for those populations. And there was some question around 10 whether there are instruments at the international level 11 12 dealing with violence and discrimination against those populations. 13

I know at the time we weren't sure, but I understand from counsel for Nova Scotia, Sean Foreman, he circulated a couple of international human rights documents that do look at protections for two-spirit and LGBTQ people. And I just kind of -- I don't have copies. I'm not proposing to put them in the record.

20 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: So I'm sorry, but 21 now what you're doing -- we haven't stopped time. I'm not 22 sure if you're getting to a question and I'm trying to --23 this is not a formal objection.

24 MS. RAJI MANGAT: No, that's fine.
25 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: But another counsel

1 who has found information that hasn't necessarily been put to us, even if it's been circulated in this particular 2 3 format would not be appropriate because if you're challenging a question or you want to sort of see, but her 4 answer to the question ---5 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Sure. 6 7 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: --- so was asked and previously answered and her answer was she just couldn't 8 9 pinpoint without documents and stuff in front of her. MS. RAJI MANGAT: M'hm. 10 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: So if you want to 11 12 put the documents in front of her and then she could verify But if not, then you're -- the question's now been 13 it. asked and answered to the best of her ability in this 14 circumstance so. 15 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Okay. Well, but in the 16 interest of just kind of having complete information for 17 18 the work of the Commissioners I thought I might just read out the titles of these two documents. Would that be okay 19 to put on the record? 20 21 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Are you putting it as a question to one of the experts? 22 23 MS. RAJI MANGAT: I can ask her if she's 24 familiar with them. 25 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you.

1 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Professor Gunn, are you familiar with the 2011 report of the UN High Commissioner 2 3 for Human Rights to the General Assembly's Human Rights Council? I'm sure there were many 2011 reports, but one 4 that deals particularly with LGBTQ. 5 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: We'll have a 6 7 subtitle. Do you have the subtitle or the ---MS. RAJI MANGAT: I do not have the 8 9 subtitle. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: --- the number? 10 Okav. So ---11 12 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Sorry. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Yeah. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: No, I need a UN document 14 number. I'm aware of many ---15 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Okay. 16 MS. BRENDA GUNN: --- 2011 OHCHR ---17 18 MS. RAJI MANGAT: I'm sure. MS. BRENDA GUNN: --- documents including 19 those produced and that I helped produce for the expert 20 21 mechanism on the rights of Indigenous people, which I think you're not referring to so. 22 23 MS. RAJI MANGAT: No. 24 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I think given the ---25 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Okay.

1 MS. BRENDA GUNN: --- wealth of work, if we don't have a UN it's going to be ---2 3 MS. RAJI MANGAT: The second one -- okay, leaving that one aside -- is a more recent one. It's from 4 2017 and it's an overview of UN efforts to combat violence 5 and discrimination against LGBTI peoples. Is that 6 7 something that you're familiar with at all or no? MS. BRENDA GUNN: No, I don't believe that 8 9 I've specifically read it or at least in a way that has been incorporated into my memory. 10 MS. RAJI MANGAT: Okay. No, that's fine. 11 12 Thank you. And that's my time. 13 14 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. Chief Commissioner, Commissioners, it's now 15 4:20. I'm going to request a 10-minute break at which 16 point when we return I will be asking. 17 18 So I'm going to formally close the crossexamination now that we've heard all of the parties. So 19 Rule 38 as it applies to Commissioner and counsel no longer 20 21 is in effect. And I'm going to, after our break, invite the Commissioner's questions. And I anticipate the need to 22 23 use my re-examination. So it's usually the same assignment as any of the parties, so 20 minutes. I'll try not to use 24 25 the whole one but thank you.

1 So if we can take a 10-minute break? --- Upon recessing at 4:22 p.m./ 2 3 La séance est suspendue à 16h22 --- Upon resuming at 4:40 a.m./ 4 La séance est reprise à 16h40 5 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Just for the record 6 7 notes before we get going again, the Chief Commissioner has had to leave. However, there's three presiding 8 9 Commissioners. I understand the Chief is undertaking to review the remaining transcript when it becomes available 10 and that she's conferred with you in terms of any 11 12 outstanding questions. I see you nodding. I assume that means yes. 13 14 Okay. On that basis, if we can recommence. At this point I would like to offer the Commissioners an 15 opportunity to ask questions. I just want to make sure we 16 all have -- does everyone have a device that they need? 17 18 Okay. Perfect. So at this point I would offer the 19 Commissioners an opportunity to please ask questions. 20 21 QUESTIONS BY/QUESTIONS PAR COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON : 22 COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Okav. Thank 23 you very much. 24 Thank you very much. First of all, I just 25 want to thank all the panellists for taking the time to

spend with us and help us with your guidance and I just
 have a few follow-up questions from some of the evidence
 that we heard.

First of all, Professor Leclair, I just 4 wanted to ask you about a follow-up question about human 5 rights treaties that must be implemented through domestic 6 7 legislation to have full effect. And could you just comment or clarify on how Canada gets -- potentially gets 8 9 provinces on board where there might be reluctance or what Canada could do if provinces are reluctant and they're not 10 on the same --- well, I quess Brenda would be more 11 12 competent than me to answer, but usually, if you take these huge treaties for NAFTA, for instance, the federal 13 14 government, in order to have its treaty respected by the provinces in the areas that fall within provincial 15 16 jurisdiction will consult with the provinces. So, that's basically the way they operate. 17

18 When a federation signs a treaty without being sure that the states within the federation will apply 19 the treaty, they can use what's called a federation clause, 20 21 meaning that the state only engages its responsibility within the limits of what it can apply within the state, 22 23 and Brenda will correct me if I'm wrong on this. But, usually, in Canada, they try to seek the cooperation of the 24 25 provinces to make sure that they will do their jobs.

COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Okay, thank
 you very much. Do you have anything to add, Professor
 Gunn?

MS. BRENDA GUNN: The only thing I would add 4 is I think part of the question included, what can the 5 federal government do if provinces are reluctant? Did I 6 hear that correctly? Okay. One of the things that some of 7 us are maybe holding out a little bit of hope for now is 8 9 the renewed, revitalized, return, federal, territorial, provincial human rights meetings. So, there was one in 10 December, I believe, of last year. 11

12 So, I would say that, I think, a few of us human rights advocates are hopeful that one of the things 13 14 that's going to happen, hopefully, on an ongoing basis is regular meetings between the provinces and territories and 15 federal government to discuss human rights' standards, and 16 that they may have an agenda to address these things so 17 18 that if there is reluctance, there is maybe a venue to have these conversations. And, the negotiations that have to 19 happen over, you know, if a province is concerned, what is 20 21 the concern and what is the hold back? You know, if it's a monetary issue, you know, how are those things going to be 22 23 addressed?

24 COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Thank you very
 25 much. I have a couple of questions. I think these would

1 probably be best for Dr. Dorough or Professor Gunn. So, 2 more than one witness that we've heard from this week has 3 referred to violence against Indigenous women and girls being carried out with impunity. And, I'm wondering in 4 such circumstances if you can comment on the engagement of 5 international human rights instruments in such 6 7 circumstances with a view to government accountability in Canada? 8

9 MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Brenda, would you
10 like to take it first or...?

11 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I would love if you want
12 to take it.

MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Okay. 13 The way that I characterized it, again, was in relation to the very 14 public and stunning murder of Rio de Janeiro council woman, 15 and the way that the journalists reported it. And, in 16 regard to this climate of impunity, I think that it is safe 17 18 to say that if we look at even the history of this Inquiry and the numerous reported cases heaping one upon another 19 suggests that there is a climate of impunity on the part of 20 21 the member state that acceded to and ratified numerous both direct and indirect international human rights treaties 22 23 that should serve to protect those Indigenous women and girls that have suffered from this horrific condition which 24 25 in the context of Indigenous youth and Indigenous children,

as we've heard from Corey, has achieved crisis level. We
 just heard that, but also, epidemic levels.

3 And, as far as recourse, I think that it's important to recognize that what we've introduced through 4 our various different interventions as expert witnesses, 5 that there are an array of important and very powerful 6 tools that have emerged, and I would urge the Inquiry and 7 also, indeed, all of the various different respective 8 9 representatives, the parties with standing, and others to pick those tools up and use them in order to change the 10 climate of impunity. I think that the record is clear in 11 12 this regard.

MS. BRENDA GUNN: 13 Thanks. If I may, if I 14 think about the value of engaging human rights in a situation where murdered and missing Indigenous women and 15 girls has been ongoing for such a long period of time, I 16 think as Dalee said, words are powerful, right? And, I 17 18 think one of the benefits of using international human rights law are some of the words that we can start to use 19 to label this crisis. There fails to be a word, I think, 20 21 strong enough to describe what we've been hearing and experiencing. 22

But, you know, one of the things that struck
me when I was doing the research for the paper was a
reference to widespread and systemic instances of enforced

disappearance may be a crime against humanity; right? And,
I'm not saying that this has reached that level, and I
haven't done that research, but if research were to
indicate that, if we understood what the standard is and to
look at Canada's actions, and particularly, the failure, I
think terms like that are powerful.

7 Enforced disappearances, again, taking
8 things that we think are Latin American problems and
9 realizing that these are the challenges at home, right,
10 that we have to have that mirror and reflect on the actions
11 here. I think that can be really important in helping
12 people come to grips with the situation and to move the
13 Canadian government to act.

14 The other aspect that I think the human rights lens can be very helpful on is we talk -- sometimes 15 we hear the statistics, and I think people think of 600 or 16 1,000, or 1,200, or 2,000 or whatever the number is of 17 18 murdered and missing Indigenous women as 2,000 individual cases. But, I think what the human rights analysis that 19 has existed so far has done is stated the way in which 20 21 these are part of a systemic problem with the marginalization and -- the social and economic 22 23 marginalization of Indigenous women.

And then I think the third aspect that canbe beneficial is that although these individual cases may

have been perpetrated by individual private actors, that
this may involve Canada's complicity in the process because
Canada has failed to uphold its standards. So, I think
shifting that focus from individual cases to a systemic
problem that Canada is responsible for can be important
when trying to mobilize responses.

7 COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Thank you. So, I want to thank you for the evidence regarding using a 8 9 human rights framework. That's both substantive and -- an international framework that's substantive and 10 intersectional in analyzing and in formulating the 11 12 recommendations that the National Inquiry creates, but I want to ask more about around our recommendations 13 ultimately being implemented. 14

So, you know, given what -- some of the 15 conversation we've had here the last two days about 16 international human rights standards not necessarily being 17 18 incorporated in Canadian domestic law, and I'm thinking in particular about social and economic rights, so I'm just 19 wondering if you have any further thoughts or 20 21 recommendations in relation to the recommendation that this Inquiry is ultimately going to come up with, and how those 22 23 can ultimately be implemented in terms of, are there mechanisms? Are we relying on political will? In terms of 24 25 your international expertise.

1 MS. BRENDA GUNN: This is always the 2 struggle, and it's the, I think, hardest question to 3 answer, you know, what can be done? Again, I go back to saying I think the benefit of a human-rights-based approach 4 means that when the recommendations are being made, they're 5 grounded at least to some extent in legal obligations and 6 7 framing them that way. So these aren't sort of things, oh, Canada should do this or Canada should do this. It's 8 9 Canada has legal obligations and has failed to uphold fundamental human rights, right, so that the language may -10 - or engaging an international human rights-based approach 11 12 may engage more mandatory language to recommendations potentially because they are grounding in legal obligations 13 14 and I don't know if you have stronger language that leads to more implementation, I'm not sure. 15

The other thing that I was really struck by and really appreciated was Corey's comments earlier about the different responsibilities, right. And so, you know, part of ensuring obligations or encouraging people to follow through with the recommendations may also be that we think creatively on who's responsible and how to engage all the different parties.

And as part of that, empowering Indigenous
women to also be involved in this implementation process,
right.

1 What I'm not sure is super successful is, 2 you know, a stack of recommendations to the governments and 3 then we sort of all walk away but building in, you know, the periodic reporting, having recommendations that the 4 community, including Indigenous women and families of 5 murdered and missing Indigenous women, also believe in that 6 7 they're going to rally behind, right, so that the people who have been involved in this process and the people who 8 9 haven't been involved in this process, when they read them, they're going to be like, yeah, this is something that 10 people need to do and they're going to push for them, you 11 12 know.

And I think we've seen some of that momentum coming out the Truth and Reconciliation Commission's Calls to Action that there's been really large buy-in from different sectors and different ground -- grassroots movements and pushes to begin that process. So I think that helps.

And to use Jean Leclair's ideas of legitimacy, I also thing that when you ground them in human rights, to certain audiences that may give greater legitimacy. To others, it may not, right. I do recognize that sometimes to Indigenous communities, human rights feel like a very foreigner western concept and so whether or not that's alienating or how to bring that in but it may also

1 give some of that legitimacy to recommendations. 2 COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Next, Doctor 3 Dorough. 4 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Thank you very much. 5 I've said repeatedly that the international 6 7 human rights standards don't really mean anything in the halls of the United Nations. They're not relevant at the 8 9 U.N. Headquarters in New York. They're really not relevant in the context of the Centre for Human Rights in Geneva. 10 Where they are relevant is at the national level. Where 11 12 they are relevant is where the human rights violations are taking place. 13 So I think that in terms of the larger, as 14 Jean Leclair said, social enterprise of changing the 15 structural and underlying causes and risks that we can 16 start through taking, for example, the legal system and 17 when there is discrimination, call it discrimination. 18 Don't let anything sit for a moment longer. I mean we 19 know. We know it when we see it. 20 21 As individuals, we know it, we see it. You know, think about the person on the bus when he sees 22 23 discriminatory act being taken by someone and to stand up and say, you know, I'm watching you. This is unacceptable 24

and intolerable behaviour.

25

1 So as governments, in particular those responsible for gaining the resources, whether they're 2 3 subsidized by the federal government or the taxes of the provincial level, supposedly in favour of the people 4 through social contract, the policing of that system that 5 is consistent with the basic and fundamental principles of 6 human rights of non-discrimination, equality and so forth, 7 but I think also even moreover that the actions taken by 8 9 these various different institutions, as I said previously, from the investigators to the public defenders, the 10 prosecutors, everybody throughout the system that this is 11 12 one area where some change can happen in very practical terms that are consistent with the international human 13 rights standards. So you compound that with the 14 obligations and, again, very, very practical measures out 15 16 there and on the ground.

I'll just share quickly, in Alaska, we had
difficulties with our land claims agreement, the
recognition of tribal governments and federally recognized
Indian tribes. And some of this work emerged from Thomas
Berger who the Inuit Circumpolar Council managed to secure
as an independent commissioner of what was referred to then
as the Alaska Native Review Commission.

24 His report is entitled "Village Journey:
25 The Report of the Alaska Native Review Commission". He

captured the voices of Indigenous peoples in regard to the
 problems and impacts of our land claims agreement in
 Chapter 7.

So the empowerment of people out there and on the ground was one thing but it took -- it took engagement with the state government, the equivalent of provincial or territorial governments here, to really start that dialogue at the local level and then eventually at the national level.

And one of the important things that came out of all of this work was what was referred to as the Millennium Accord wherein our state government sat down with tribal governments or the First Nations' equivalent in Alaska and every agency within the state government had to engage in dialogue with their corresponding peers within tribal governments.

And in particular, Division of Family and 17 18 Youth Services had to sit down with those responsible for implementing the Indian Child Welfare Act as a tribal 19 government and to begin this dialogue about, okay, how do 20 21 we make sure -- as Corey cited, how do we make sure we're not losing children from our communities that get captured 22 23 in the system and can have great difficulty in being 24 returned.

25

And this was just a very practical step of

1 people talking to their counterparts as far as -- as far as government is concerned. And I think that the U.N. 2 3 declaration and other international human rights instruments can offer these important guidelines for 4 engaging in that type of discussion at the grassroots level 5 and where people are being victimized the most. 6 7 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Sorry to interrupt your questions. I'm going to ask or request if there's any 8 9 further questions for Monsieur Leclair as he will have to depart and I'm going to ask if you can excuse him from any 10 questions. So first if you have questions and direct them 11 12 into if you can. COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Okay. 13 So I'm

14 finished with my questions. So I'll pass the mic onto my 15 colleagues.

16QUESTIONS BY/QUESTIONS PAR LA COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE :17COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE:18c'est très bref.18c'est très bref.

19Brièvement, un gros, gros merci, Monsieur20Leclair, avant que vous repreniez la route-là pour21Montréal.

22 Ma première question je vais la retracer 23 parce que je l'ai écrit en français. Croyez-vous que le 24 Canada... et ça s'applique pour tous les panelistes en 25 avant-là, les experts... que le Canada... ou avez-vous

l'impression ou avez-vous des preuves que le Canada... je
 vais le dire dans mes mots, o.k.

3 Est-ce que le Canada reconnaît que les
4 causes profondes que les femmes vivent là au niveau de la
5 violence sont reliées à la violation de leurs droits
6 économiques, sociaux et culturels?

7 Me JEAN LECLAIR: Je sais pas ce que le Canada, les représentants canadiens en pensent mais quand 8 9 on regarde les choses, guand on regarde les dizaines de commissions qui sont tenues, quand on regarde plus de 1 000 10 recommandations je pense ont été faites, je pense que ça 11 12 crève les yeux qu'il y a un problème très grave qui se manifeste dans les statistiques qu'on a entendues. Et je 13 pense que c'est en utilisant toutes les normes qui sont 14 disponibles, que ce soit des normes de droit international, 15 soit des normes de droit fédéral, provincial, 16 constitutionnel, il faut mobiliser ces normes-là pour 17 18 exiger du gouvernement qui -- des gouvernements qu'ils prennent les mesures qui sont nécessaires. 19

20 COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Dans ce cas-là,
21 parce que les travaux de l'enquête, l'analyse de la preuve,
22 le rapport et les recommandations, nous le faisons, et
23 encore une fois je vais le dire dans mes mots, avec une
24 lentille, avec un esprit-là dans lequel les droits de la
25 personne font parties de notre grille d'analyse. Que ce

soit au niveau du genre, de la culture, ça aussi c'est
 important là dans nos travaux.

277

Et on remarque avec des internationaux, 3 nationaux ou interaméricains que certaines conventions 4 n'ont pas été ratifiées par le Canada. Et on a entendu 5 quelques fois de la part de différents panelistes que la 6 disparition forcée, l'une des conventions-là qui... est-ce 7 que le Canada devrait ratifier cette convention-là... bien 8 y en a deux-là, une internationale pour la protection de 9 toute personne contre les disparitions forcées, est-ce que 10 le Canada devrait la ratifier? 11

12 Me JEAN LECLAIR: Vous me demandez ca à moi? COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Oui. 13 14 Me JEAN LECLAIR: Bien, c'est sûr que... COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Pour que les 15 gens vous entendent à travers le Canada. 16 Me JEAN LECLAIR: Je pense que oui. Je crois 17 18 que ... mais comment répondre à ça? Comment ... la réponse, c'est oui, pour ça ; la question, c'est de savoir pourquoi ces 19 conventions-là, même si elles sont signées, elles ne sont 20 21 pas nécessairement un grand changement. C'est plutôt ça, la question qui est colossale. 22 23 COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE : Oui. Ça,

24 c'était la sous-question.

25

Me JEAN LECLAIR : Oui. Écoutez, je suis

juste un juriste, je ne suis pas… donc, je m'en tiens à mon
 expertise pour répondre à une question comme celle-là.

3 C'est sûr que quand on regarde la construction de l'État canadien, il s'est construit sur le 4 dos des peuples autochtones. Je pense qu'il y a des efforts 5 sincères de réconciliation qui se font. Je pense que la 6 Cour suprême, dans la limite de ce que peuvent faire des 7 juges non élus dans une démocratie constitutionnelle, tente 8 de transformer les choses. Je pense que la Cour suprême -9 je n'ai pas le temps d'élaborer, mais je pense qu'elle sert 10 la vis toujours un peu plus. Mais c'est une institution 11 12 humaine, c'est une institution qui ne remettra jamais en question la souveraineté de l'État canadien, c'est clair. 13

Donc, les tribunaux judiciaires sont limités dans l'étendue de ce qu'ils peuvent accomplir - ce sont des outils. Et je vous donne la réponse de Jean Leclair l'être humain aussi bien que le juriste : je pense que le travail de cette commission-là, mon espoir, c'est qu'elle contribue, enfin, à ce que les mentalités se transforment.

En même temps, je me dis que le droit relatif, même aux autochtones, n'était même pas enseigné il y a 15 ans d'une manière systématique dans les universités. J'ai eu 180 étudiants cette année ; 75 % d'entre eux sont des femmes. Il faut voir l'espoir là où il se trouve.

25

COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE : Vous parlez

1 d'espoir et c'est là-dessus que je terminerais et j'invite tout le monde à répondre, si vous avez des choses à dire. 2 3 Moi, je viens d'un milieu très très proche de ma communauté et c'était ça, mon école. Et quand on nous a mandatés, en 4 2016, un mandat très très vaste, un pays énorme, qu'on 5 appelle maintenant le Canada, avec une diversité culturelle 6 magique, forte et incroyable ou, à certains endroits, plus 7 difficile de maintenir sa culture, sa langue et ses 8 9 traditions. Et on ne nous a pas demandé d'étudier sur un nombre d'années et des sujets bien précis. On nous a donné 10 un grand mandat. 11

12 Et dans ce mandat-là, on nous a aussi donné, ordonné - j'aime la traduction franglaise que je fais, we 13 order you, on nous a mandaté de faire un rapport avec des 14 recommandations faciles ou, je dirais dans mes mots, 15 pratiques. Alors, quand on entend parler des conventions 16 internationales, des pactes, des droits humains, ça peut 17 18 paraître très loin dans la survie d'une femme, comme ca a été mentionné par certains membres de partis intéressés. 19

La question est difficile, mais comment on peut faire en sorte, dans nos recommandations, qu'on sente qu'on a pris cette lunette-là, mais qu'on a aussi des recommandations qui vont faire en sorte que le Canada soit imputable?

25

Me JEAN LECLAIR : Écoutez, je suis bien

honnête, je suis venu ici pour speak in a good way. Je
pense qu'il faut... je pense que le droit international qui a
été exposé aujourd'hui fait partie de la solution. À la
grande échelle, c'est un discours normatif essentiel qui
peut servir aussi de levier politique.

Mais en même temps, je répète ce que j'ai 6 7 dit, il me semble que ce qu'il faut... puis c'est vraiment personnel, ce qui pourrait faire la différence entre cette 8 9 commission et d'autres, bien humblement, je me dis que s'il était possible de recommander que des initiatives 10 autochtones à petite échelle... parce que le droit 11 12 international, c'est la très grande échelle. Mais est-ce qu'il n'y a pas des initiatives autochtones à petite 13 échelle qui ont du succès? Ou à moyenne échelle, qui ont du 14 succès? Je pense aux travaux fait par Cory, avec l'aide, 15 même, du gouvernement. Essayer de trouver, dans ces succès-16 là, même si ce ne sont pas des succès nationaux, ça peut 17 18 être des succès régionaux, ça peut être des succès locaux, ça peut être des succès de petits villages, de petites 19 communautés, de se servir de ça comme d'un tremplin pour 20 21 voir qu'est-ce qui pourrait avoir de la légitimité, qu'estce qui pourrait fonctionner. 22

Parce que souvent, je trouve qu'en droit, en
fonctionne comme si les êtres humains étaient des surhommes
ou des sur-femmes. Il faut fonctionner avec une

1 anthropologie, je dirais, minimale : les gens sont coincés 2 dans le monde dans lequel ils sont puis que le droit doit 3 tenir compte de cette fragilité-là. Il y en a qui sont capables de faire de grandes choses : vous, plein de gens 4 ici. Mais il y en a d'autres pour qui c'est plus difficile. 5 Alors, il faut que les solutions soient appropriées pour 6 7 tout le monde. MS PENELOPE GUAY: So, I am sorry ... 8 9 Me JEAN LECLAIR: Je vous remercie beaucoup, puis je m'excuse, c'est pour des raisons personnelles 10 importantes que je dois m'absenter. Merci beaucoup du 11 12 privilège de m'avoir eu ici. COMMISSAIRE MICHELE AUDETTE: 13 Merci. 14 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: So, actually, I know that you are dashing off, M. Leclair, but Pénélope has 15 something small for you. 16 (PRESENTATION OF GIFT/REMISE DE CADEAU) 17 18 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Mr. Leclair, before you go, I would just like to give Audrey an 19 opportunity to explain the gift wrapped in the floral cloth 20 21 that has been given to you because this comes with responsibilities, as I've been taught. 22 23 So I want to give her the opportunity to convey this to you. 24 25 MS. AUDREY GIRARD: Thank you for being here

QUESTIONS

282

and sharing your knowledge, your energy, what we call

1

2 medicine, too. And what is in there is the white tail 3 feather from a bald eagle, as was shared with me in Edmonton -- Winnipeg; I can't remember. This is the 4 feather for the warriors. 5 You have allowed yourself or put yourself to 6 7 be on the tip of a particular spear and this is -acknowledge the good that you've done, and also to remind 8 9 you how to take care of yourself and take care of others, not just with the knowledge but also with the energy and 10 the spirit and to acknowledge the work that you've already 11 12 done. So we raise our hands and say haitch'ka (ph). MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Commissioner 13 14 Robinson, do you have any questions? QUESTIONS BY/QUESTIONS PAR COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON : 15 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: I think you 16 know me well enough by now. I have questions and I have 17 18 more questions than we have time for but I'll do my best. I also have some questions that Chief 19 Commissioner Buller handed to me, and I think I'm going to 20 21 start with one of hers. And I'll direct it to you, Professor Gunn, because it's building off one of your 22 23 slides, but I think this is also something that I encourage any of the panellists to speak to if you feel that you are 24 25 compelled.

QUESTIONS (Commissioner Robinson)

On your slide that speaks to who is
 obligated to uphold human rights -- and I'm going to go
 back again and preface this.

It's really for me, as we do this work, 4 constantly necessary to connect what we're learning back to 5 what it means for those living this. So as we talk about 6 7 obligations, there's a whole range of people with power around a woman, around an Indigenous woman and child who, 8 9 by virtue of takin this power, have obligations. So I think it's really important that we talk about these 10 obligations, what they mean, and who holds them. 11

At the bottom of your slide you indicate that Indigenous governments hold obligations under international human rights instruments. Can you elaborate on that? What do you mean by Indigenous governments? Particularly in a country where there's been limited agency or space provided to many Indigenous peoples to structure themselves and to have that space as a government.

MS. BRENDA GUNN: Thank you for the question. My decision to include this was related in part to some of the conversation that I heard on Tuesday, and I think came up again here, was concerns about if and when Indigenous peoples exercise the right to self-government, will that process lead to a better outcome for Indigenous woman. And I'm aware in the nineties and earlier there

were conversations about whether or not the Charter of
 Rights and Freedoms should apply to Indigenous self governance.

And I guess part of my inclusion there is a 4 belief that particularly in reference to the U.N. 5 Declaration where Indigenous peoples were the first 6 7 drafters of the very first draft, were involved at every stage of the articulation of the rights, that the rights 8 9 that are encapsulated in the U.N. Declaration really reflect Indigenous people's own understandings of human 10 In particular the U.N. Declaration also makes 11 rights. 12 reference to Indigenous people's own laws, customs, traditions, and institutions. And so I do believe that you 13 know, Indigenous peoples aren't just rights holders but 14 where and when we govern ourselves we have the obligation 15 16 to uphold those same international human rights standards.

And I would point particularly to the U.N. 17 Declaration, at a minimum, for example, as one that 18 Indigenous peoples were involved in negotiating and 19 identifying the standards and making those connections. 20 21 Then, again, I don't see it as being an imposition but in reference to Indigenous people's own laws, ensuring that 22 23 decision-making processes are participatory, right? And that Indigenous women and children and youth are involved 24 in decision-making processes. I believe this is part of a 25

real foundational right that exists in international law
 and as we continue to govern ourselves and be recognized to
 be self-governing, I think these are appropriate standards
 to hold ourselves up to.

I'm not sure if the question was also hoping 5 for me to say, like, you know, Indian Act, chief and 6 7 councils, et cetera, but I do think that this Indigenous governments it was the shortest bullet point on the slide 8 9 and it was sort of in some ways the vaguest because I did mean it in a very vague and general sense, knowing that at 10 this point in time in Canada Indigenous peoples are self-11 12 governing in various fashions. There's communities that still have traditional councils that exist and you know, 13 the Métis communities in Manitoba at least we're still --14 technically the Manitoba Métis Federation is a corporation, 15 right? So we're still under that corporate structure. But 16 these are standards that I hold -- I do call that my Métis 17 18 government and I do hold them to those same standards.

So I mean the term quite broadly but I also meant to imply it in that sort of ongoing basis of negotiating for greater recognition of self-governance. Does that get to the question?

23 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: It does to a
 24 degree and I guess it goes to this question of what is a
 25 government so -- and, you know, what is self-government.

QUESTIONS (Commissioner Robinson)

1 MS. BRENDA GUNN: And that's what I'm hedging around. 2 3 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: We could be in school for ---4 5 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah. COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: We could do 6 7 this for years. MS. BRENDA GUNN: but I ---8 9 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Dalee, do you have a comment? 10 MS. BRENDA GUNN: I'll let Dalee -- I'm 11 12 sorry. COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: It looks like 13 you have something to say. 14 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: No, I simply 15 wanted to say that Brenda's reference to the U.N. 16 Declaration acknowledging and affirming Indigenous 17 18 practices, customs, institutions, self-government and actions should be taken consistent with those traditions, 19 customs, and practices, including legal traditions. And I 20 21 know that, Qajaq, you're familiar with not only rights but responsibilities. If we think about many of our -- we call 22 23 them Indigenous governments but we know that it's -- that doesn't translate, actually. You know, things like the 24 word "sovereignty" and "self-determination," it doesn't 25

1 translate neatly into Inupiag or Inuktitut or Siberian 2 Yup'ik language. But we know what it is. We know what it 3 is, we feel it. We work in terms of rights and responsibilities. And I think that, for example, even 4 Nunavut as a public government but a majority Inuit that 5 - to adopt the U.N. Declaration and to begin implementing 6 7 it, to some extent, there are areas that are easily translatable. 8

9 The other dynamic I wanted to point out is 10 that there has become an emerging practice on the part of 11 Indigenous governments or Indigenous political institutions 12 far and wide, of adopting the -- of formally adopting the 13 U.N. Declaration, translating it into Indigenous languages, 14 and looking at how they can incorporate these things into 15 their own practice and behaviour.

16 One of the best examples that I have is that the Treble (ph) government in southwest Alaska in Bethel, 17 18 Yup'ik people, Yup'ik all the way and what they chose to do again in relation to child welfare was to begin the 19 drafting of a new and different Tribal Code. The first 20 21 source of their Tribal Code to take care of their own children is their knowledge, all of their practices on --22 23 and Corey started to describe this as well -- when a child is orphaned or the practice of the UPIC is the first uncle. 24 So they used their own knowledge. 25

Then they borrowed the best that there was
 available at the national level, which at that point in
 time was the Indian Child Welfare Act.

Then they went to the international level and they looked at the Convention on the Rights of the Child and integrated that into their own UPIC Code and other international human rights instruments that speak to protection of children, and that became their Code. Most of it is oral, completely oral, UPIC, but they did take the effort to translate it into their own language.

And so I think the reference that Brenda made in that particular slide is in likelihood informed by all of these different developments, but also looking at rights and responsibilities within our own community, many of which are not translatable.

COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: And that 16 absolutely goes to the crux of what I'm asking about. 17 You 18 know, in the conventional Euro-Canadian sense, we can look at these international instruments and human rights 19 legislation and say, "Okay, well, they ratified it. 20 Thev 21 legislated it -- so the feds did -- and then the Province did, and then the Territories did, and their delegated 22 23 authorities did."

To connect those dots, it does not go in
terms of the -- whom is legally obliged follows that

mechanism that we talked about yesterday. 2 And am I right to say that this does not 3 automatically then go to Indigenous governments? For example, the Nunatsiavut government has jurisdiction over 4 social, family, youth services through their land claims 5 and self-government agreement. There's different nuances, 6 7 mechanisms whereby they are legally bound. What you have both shared with us is more of 8 9 responsibility that we have regardless of what the legal mechanism is. Is that a correct way to characterize it? 10 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think so, and 11 12 particularly, you know, when we start looking beyond those specific instruments, the normative standards really do 13 become clearer, and I think we're fortunate now because we 14 live in an era where there is the UN Declaration that when 15 we're speaking of Indigenous People's rights, we have a 16 really good starting point to figure these things out. So 17 18 I am talking -- I think you're right -- more of that normative and beyond that sort of technical. 19

And at a minimum, I mean, I could have 20 21 caveated it a whole bunch of different ways, but I thought it was worth throwing out there at least as a starting 22 23 point for conversations that, you know, there are responsibilities of governments, and especially if we're 24 saying the Canadian government needs to hold this standard 25

289

QUESTIONS (Commissioner Robinson)

when engaging with us, it's hard to think about why we
 wouldn't hold ourselves to the same standard.

3 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: And we've heard this week about trepidation and fear that women, 4 Indigenous women and children have about the switching of 5 power in that -- in the most simplest sense because it's 6 the hand of -- it's the power to switching from one 7 oppressor to another. And we have to acknowledge, and I 8 9 have to acknowledge, as someone who has heard this directly from families and survivors across the country, that that 10 is the fear. There is huge fear. 11

12 Would you think, in terms of as our position it would be a wise recommendation to -- it would be wise 13 for us to recommend to either Indigenous governments, 14 Indigenous political organizations, to engage in what you 15 just described to us of taking those standards and 16 implementing them and upholding them? As a means of -- I 17 18 think there's two positive outcomes there, that -- what's that word -- just sort of this flagrant normative -- how 19 violence has become normal, how this reality has become, 20 21 you know, just this unspoken just the way life is. The word is lost to me now, but that doesn't matter. 22

23 Do you think that this might be an
24 instrument that can help Indigenous women gain some
25 confidence when it starts looking at who will the service

1 providers be as self-government becomes actualized as well? DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: If I understood 2 3 you correctly, the new norm, the status quo becomes just we accept this level of violation and insecurity. 4 I think that in answer to your specific 5 question, my advice and recommendation to you as 6 7 Commissioners would be to include such a proposal and First Nations, Métis and Inuit, will decide on the basis of self-8 9 determination if they want to be responsive. But I get what you're saying about the 10 trepidation as well and the fear, and we have examples of 11 12 that. I think for me anyway, one of the clear examples is greenling government, where the Inuit are a majority, but 13 they've taken some steps that are actually in violation of 14 the rights and interests of communities that could be 15 severely and adversely impacted by the decisions that the 16 government has made and undermining the rights of the 17 18 people who will be most adversely impacted. So it serves as a warning and also a target 19 about good governance and the principles of good governance 20 21 that should be practised not only by all the governments and in particular those that ratify or exceed to 22 23 international human rights instruments, but good governance in the context of Indigenous governments as well, including 24

25 those where they are majority Inuit.

1COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON:Thank you.2Yeah, go ahead.

3 MR. COREY O'SOUP: You know, I was going to -- you know, we're talking about responsibility, right, and 4 whose responsibility is it? And, you know, I always like 5 to take it right back to the child. Do they know who the 6 United Nations Director General is or whatever it is? Do 7 they know who the Prime Minister is, the Premier? They 8 9 might know who their Chief-in-Council is, but at the end of the day, I believe responsibility lies for the child first, 10 for the young girl first with those that surround her. 11

COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: M'hm.

MR. COREY O'SOUP: You know, with their parents, with their caregivers, with their grandmas and their grandpas, right? Because they don't know -- they don't understand what the UNCRC is. They don't understand what UNDRIP is.

12

25

18 So I believe the first level of 19 responsibility doesn't lie with our governments, and I 20 would say not even with our First Nation and Métis and 21 Inuit governments. I believe the first responsibility lies 22 within our communities, within our families. And that's 23 what our kids understand. That's what our young girls 24 understand.

And, you know, we can apply these in our

family lives, you know, the best interests, ensuring our children have a voice, without them even knowing that their rights are being upheld within that family unit or within that community unit.

And I think for me, if I want to take it right back to the granular level where it's going to impact our young girls the most, the people that are going to do that are their family. You know, it might be the Chief, but depending on who that Chief is or who that leader is, they might be the perpetrator.

I had one Elder tell me, you know, that our men need to start being men again. They need to stop doing the things that they're doing to our young girls and they need to start being the leaders and they need to start being men again.

So, you know, if we're taking it to the 16 leadership level, you know, that might even be an issue. I 17 18 think we need to go even further down on who's responsible, even if they don't understand what those international 19 rights are and that they have. I think that we need to 20 21 make recommendations and we need to hold our families, our communities, we need to hold our men to account when it 22 23 comes to these situations.

24 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Thank you. As
 25 we talk about -- I mean, one of the big hopes for us as

1 Commissioners coming out of this week was really fully 2 understanding how a human rights analysis, and how framing 3 recommendations and solutions in this human rights -- and when I say that I include UNDRIP and Indigenous rights. 4 And we've -- it's not just you that has brought this out as 5 something that needs to be the methodology and the approach 6 7 that's taken. Setting those benchmarks and standards against which those with responsibilities and those that 8 9 bear the obligations must be measured up against. There's been some criticism about applying a straight human rights 10 framework or that which is defined by these instruments, as 11 12 it arguably could result in a lack of inclusion of Indigenous rights and Indigenous views. 13

14 I'm going to try to formulate this as a question, because -- to be fair. Do you see this as being 15 16 something that we should be cognisant of? Does this human rights lens or analysis -- situational analysis I think, is 17 18 what it's been called. By applying just a human rights lens, do you see there being some limitations, and 19 particularly because of that concern that it doesn't 20 21 include Indigenous legal frameworks, or Indigenous legal 22 values? And how can we address those limitations? Again, 23 to whomever.

24 MS. BRENDA GUNN: He and I are just going to
25 keep passing the ball back and forth, I think. I guess my

1 answer is, depending how you do this. I think if you just take the sort of, instruments on sort of the words on the 2 3 page and just try to use that in an a-contextual approach there is that worry. But I mean, one of the reasons that I 4 have -- am so moved and impassioned about implementing the 5 UN Declaration and using the UN Declaration in connection 6 with broader human rights, is that I really believe that 7 the UN Declaration is grounded in Indigenous Peoples' own 8 9 laws. And that when we take general human rights standards and use them to analyse a situation in relation to 10 Indigenous Peoples, you have to bring in those 11 12 understandings and those Indigenous laws.

And so, I think that concern can exist if 13 it's not done in an appropriate contextual approach, right? 14 So this is where things like the best interest of the child 15 may look different when we're talking about Indigenous 16 children. And that's why the Convention on the Rights of 17 18 the Children has a general recommendation on Indigenous children that talks about how the general Convention 19 applies specifically in relation to Indigenous Peoples, 20 21 right? That we look at these things in different ways. 22 The other thing that I would come back to is

part of this foundational aspect, you know, once we say no
discrimination, equality, self-determination, foundation, I
mean, I would say one of the next foundational principles

in international human rights law is that Indigenous
Peoples are involved in the process, right? So I mean,
there's then a responsibility of the Indigenous Peoples who
are involved in the process to bring in that perspective
and bring in some of that Indigenous law, so that the space
is made and that it's brought.

7 So I think those are some of the safeguard that could exist to ensure that the human rights based 8 9 approach doesn't just perpetuate western European views. And I would say that I'm very impressed with the ways in 10 which international human rights bodies have begun to 11 12 understand how general human rights instruments apply in a specific context. And the Committee on the Elimination of 13 Racial Discrimination had a general recommendation that 14 talks about Indigenous People's rights in relation to 15 racial discrimination. There's lots of those, sort of, 16 documents that help to start think through how general 17 18 human rights apply in a specific context.

MS. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I'd also like to say that those human rights treaty bodies that were following the developments and the negotiation of the UN Declaration started to actually invoke it, even when it was a draft declaration. And in fact, some of the recourse mechanisms that had been previously utilized by Indigenous Peoples, namely the Inter-American Commission on Human

1 Rights, the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, invoke the declaration when it was in its draft form. So it meant 2 3 something to these various different institutions. And this is my way of saying that we have to be mindful of the 4 objective of the Declaration. That the key and primary 5 parties and the way that it was drafted was to compel the 6 UN member states to take into account the distinct cultural 7 context of Indigenous Peoples, no matter where they were. 8 9 And that the -- that was the primary objective.

And so, when you look at -- when you look at 10 the preamble, for example, of the Declaration, the final 11 12 preambular paragraph before Article 1, solemnly proclaims, the following United Nations Declaration on the Rights of 13 Indigenous Peoples as a standard of achievement to be 14 pursued in a spirit of partnership and mutual respect. And 15 the partnership in this context is between nation states 16 and Indigenous Peoples' nations and communities. And I 17 18 think that that in addition to how you begin to take the rights affirmed herein and implement them at ever possible 19 level, including within Indigenous political institutions, 20 21 lends itself to operationalizing these rights.

22 But again, the most important party and the 23 most important element is the nation states, as Jean 24 Leclair said, has -- that have built their nations on the 25 lands and the territories of Indigenous Peoples.

QUESTIONS (Commissioner Robinson)

Especially throughout the Americas, Africa, and Asia. So I
 think it's important for us to be mindful about what its
 real objective happens to be.

4 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Thank you.
5 very mindful of the time, so I don't want to keep going too
6 much. So I'm going to leave it to two more questions. Two
7 more themes, sorry.

We've talked about the enforced 8 9 disappearance and I think the -- what's the acronym for that -- sorry. The Convention on the Protection of all 10 persons from enforced disappearance. And this was one that 11 12 was new to me, so I pulled it up and read what the definition of enforced disappearance was. Brenda, in terms 13 of -- so this is currently not signed by Canada. What 14 value do you believe this instrument would add to the 15 existing legal instruments Canada is a party to? When 16 looking specifically at the issue of violence against 17 18 Indigenous women and girls.

MS. BRENDA GUNN: A couple things. Yes, Canada is not a party. I did indicate that there is some academic writing that the rule against enforced disappearance is becoming a rule of customary international law, which then if we accept that or that it's a growing rule of customary international law, the Convention can then be seen to have some normative value and directions

1 for what it says.

Value -- and I should also reiterate that 2 3 I'm not alone in calling for Canada to ratify, that I can't remember all of the different treaty monitoring bodies that 4 have reported, but I think, if I'm correct, both CEDAW and 5 CERD have both called for Canada to ratify this Convention. 6 7 So I think it has several values. I mean, Canada has said they're serious about addressing the 8 situation, so why -- and that we are human rights abiding 9 state, so why not become party to a convention that 10 specifically addresses enforced disappearances? 11 12 The second thing that I think -- or maybe that was third. I don't know. I can't count anymore. The 13 next one is that the jurisprudence that's coming out of the 14 Committee is useful in that it's fleshing out some really 15 good ideas of what is appropriate and necessary action of 16 the state. 17 18 And I'm not sure I can pull up the details but my article provides a few examples where there are 19 enforced disappearances in different countries and sort of 20 21 -- you know, the Committee found that taking X amount of days to respond was too long; right? So there's some 22 really practical information coming out from the Committee 23

299

24 that oversees it.

25

And, yeah, so I think those are some of the

1 examples. And I just think that, at least for me, someone 2 who, you know, believes in human rights and wants to see 3 Canada do better, it's really important that if Canada truly says that they're going to start addressing the 4 situation that they exceed to a convention that addresses 5 the issue; right? Like, I just don't understand how 6 7 someone can say we're committed to addressing the issue but we're not willing to be bound by these international 8 9 standards that provide protection against this, right, and that set out these obligations. 10

11 And so for someone -- in my own mind then 12 I'm thinking of the rule of law. I don't understand how 13 one can old those positions. And so that's why I included 14 it in my proposals.

DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I just want to 15 quickly add that I wouldn't be surprised if, in fact, this 16 intersectional perspective that Pragna Patel and others 17 18 have written about in terms of gender and racial discrimination, that this particular issue arises, 19 especially if you recognise, for example, on the Convention 20 21 Against Torture, that the UN Special Rapporteur on torture has now been urged to take an anti-torture framework in 22 23 relation to gender and violence.

And so I think that this is a reallyimportant thing to consider in terms of the potential for a

 and specifically violence against Indigenous women and girls. COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's reall the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing th implementation of this Convention would provide. In addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it' that mechanism that's of value. MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a fina 	3 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay. 4 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: who are 5 looking specifically at the issue of violence against women 6 and specifically violence against Indigenous women and 7 girls. 8 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's really 9 the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing the 10 implementation of this Convention would provide. In 11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being 19 set out can be helpful.	3 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay. 4 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: who are 5 looking specifically at the issue of violence against women 6 and specifically violence against Indigenous women and 7 girls. 8 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's really 9 the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing the 10 implementation of this Convention would provide. In 11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being	1	much more expansive role on the part of, for example,
4 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: who are 5 looking specifically at the issue of violence against wome 6 and specifically violence against Indigenous women and 7 girls. 8 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's reall 9 the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing th 10 implementation of this Convention would provide. In 11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it' 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a fina 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that	4 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: who are 5 looking specifically at the issue of violence against women 6 and specifically violence against Indigenous women and 7 girls. 8 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's really 9 the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing the 10 implementation of this Convention would provide. In 11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being 19 set out can be helpful.	4 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: who are 5 looking specifically at the issue of violence against women 6 and specifically violence against Indigenous women and 7 girls. 8 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's really 9 the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing the 10 implementation of this Convention would provide. In 11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being	2	Special Rapporteurs
 looking specifically at the issue of violence against wome and specifically violence against Indigenous women and girls. COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's reall the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing th implementation of this Convention would provide. In addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it' that mechanism that's of value. MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a fina level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I do also just think that some of the conversations that 	 looking specifically at the issue of violence against women and specifically violence against Indigenous women and girls. COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's really the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing the implementation of this Convention would provide. In addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's that mechanism that's of value. MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I do also just think that some of the conversations that they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being set out can be helpful. 	 looking specifically at the issue of violence against women and specifically violence against Indigenous women and girls. COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's really the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing the implementation of this Convention would provide. In addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's that mechanism that's of value. MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I do also just think that some of the conversations that they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being 	3	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay.
 and specifically violence against Indigenous women and girls. COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's reall the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing th implementation of this Convention would provide. In addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it' that mechanism that's of value. MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a fina level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I do also just think that some of the conversations that 	 and specifically violence against Indigenous women and girls. COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's really the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing the implementation of this Convention would provide. In addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's that mechanism that's of value. MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I do also just think that some of the conversations that they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being set out can be helpful. 	 and specifically violence against Indigenous women and girls. COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's really the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing the implementation of this Convention would provide. In addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's that mechanism that's of value. MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I do also just think that some of the conversations that they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 	4	DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: who are
 7 girls. 8 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's reall 9 the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing th 10 implementation of this Convention would provide. In 11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it' 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a fina 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 	7 girls. 8 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's really 9 the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing the 10 implementation of this Convention would provide. In 11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being 19 set out can be helpful.	 7 girls. 8 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's really 9 the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing the 10 implementation of this Convention would provide. In 11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being 	5	looking specifically at the issue of violence against women
8 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's reall 9 the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing th 10 implementation of this Convention would provide. In 11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it' 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a fina 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that	8 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's really 9 the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing the 10 implementation of this Convention would provide. In 11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being 19 set out can be helpful.	8 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's really 9 the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing the 10 implementation of this Convention would provide. In 11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being	6	and specifically violence against Indigenous women and
 9 the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing th 10 implementation of this Convention would provide. In 11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it' 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a fina 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 	 9 the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing the 10 implementation of this Convention would provide. In 11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being 19 set out can be helpful. 	9 the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing the implementation of this Convention would provide. In addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I do also just think that some of the conversations that they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being	7	girls.
implementation of this Convention would provide. In addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it' that mechanism that's of value. MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a fina level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I do also just think that some of the conversations that	10 implementation of this Convention would provide. In 11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being 19 set out can be helpful.	10 implementation of this Convention would provide. In 11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being	8	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's really
11 addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it' 12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a fina 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that	11addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's12that mechanism that's of value.13MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final14level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I15do also just think that some of the conversations that16they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for17an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what18Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being19set out can be helpful.	11addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's12that mechanism that's of value.13MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final14level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I15do also just think that some of the conversations that16they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for17an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what18Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being	9	the recourse mechanism that this the body overseeing the
12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a fina 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that	12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being 19 set out can be helpful.	12 that mechanism that's of value. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being	10	implementation of this Convention would provide. In
 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a fina level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I do also just think that some of the conversations that 	MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I do also just think that some of the conversations that they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being set out can be helpful.	13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final 14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being	11	addition to the substantive rights they're recognising it's
14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I15 do also just think that some of the conversations that	14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being 19 set out can be helpful.	14 level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I 15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being	12	that mechanism that's of value.
15 do also just think that some of the conversations that	do also just think that some of the conversations that they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being set out can be helpful.	15 do also just think that some of the conversations that 16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being	13	MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I think it's a final
	16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being 19 set out can be helpful.	16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for 17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being	14	level. It also then provides a recourse or a body. But I
16 they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for	17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what 18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being 19 set out can be helpful.	 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being 	15	do also just think that some of the conversations that
	18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being 19 set out can be helpful.	18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being	16	they're having on what the state needs to do, I think for
17 an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what	19 set out can be helpful.		17	an Inquiry like this that is trying to sort of set out what
18 Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being	-	19 set out can be helpful.	18	Canada needs to do, some of the standards that are being
19 set out can be helpful.	20 COMMISSIONER OAJAO ROBINSON: Thank you.		19	set out can be helpful.
20 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Thank you.		20 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Thank you.	20	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Thank you.
21 Do you think that in addition to the issues		21 Do you think that in addition to the issues	21	Do you think that in addition to the issues
	21 Do you think that in addition to the issues		22	of disappearances and violence and murders, we also hear a
		of disappearances and violence and murders, we also hear a	23	lot about what I will call state violence and denial of
22 of disappearances and violence and murders, we also hear a	22 of disappearances and violence and murders, we also hear a		24	rights in relation to children, girls in the child welfare
 of disappearances and violence and murders, we also hear a lot about what I will call state violence and denial of 	of disappearances and violence and murders, we also hear a lot about what I will call state violence and denial of	23 lot about what I will call state violence and denial of	25	system, youth, female youth in custody, and women in
	21 DO YOU THINK THAT IN ADDITION TO THE ISSUES	-	22	
			22	
		22 of disappearances and violence and murders, we also hear a	23	lot about what I will call state violence and denial of
 of disappearances and violence and murders, we also hear a lot about what I will call state violence and denial of 	of disappearances and violence and murders, we also hear a lot about what I will call state violence and denial of	23 lot about what I will call state violence and denial of	24	rights in relation to children, girls in the child welfare
of disappearances and violence and murders, we also hear a lot about what I will call state violence and denial of rights in relation to children, girls in the child welfare	of disappearances and violence and murders, we also hear a lot about what I will call state violence and denial of rights in relation to children, girls in the child welfare	 23 lot about what I will call state violence and denial of 24 rights in relation to children, girls in the child welfare 	25	system, youth, female youth in custody, and women in

1 custody. We're hearing about this huge overrepresentation of women, not only from the families and survivors, but 2 3 it's coming out in reports from the Office of the Correctional Investigator, Statistics Canada's data is 4 showing this as well and it's an alarming reality. 5 Is this a Convention -- do you think this 6 7 Convention will help frame understanding in responding to that issue of women in custody and girls in the care 8 9 system? MS. BRENDA GUNN: The International 10 Convention on ---11 12 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Enforced Disappearance. 13 MS. BRENDA GUNN: --- Enforced 14 Disappearances we're thinking of specifically? You know 15 what? I hadn't fully turned my mind to that and how it 16 would apply ---17 18 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: I don't want to ---19 MS. BRENDA GUNN: --- in those 20 21 circumstances. COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: --- put you on 22 23 the spot. 24 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Yeah, I ---25 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: It's just sort

of what I'm thinking ---1 2 MS. BRENDA GUNN: Sorry, I think at this 3 point in the day I'm not able to think of exactly how except, again, for the interconnectedness of them. I mean, 4 when we're looking at what is causing the enforced 5 disappearances and state complicity, many of the 6 7 international reports have noted the problem with overincarceration, the problem with that. I mean, at the 8 9 International Human Rights arena they are seeing all these issues as being related. So just at that general level I 10 would say yes, but ---11 12 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay. MS. BRENDA GUNN: --- specifics I can't pull 13 up in my head. 14 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: I just quickly 15 want to say that I think it's even more elementary than 16 that in terms of just the equal application of the rule of 17 18 law. If we look at the disparities that exist within -for example, Indigenous women in custody, so I think that 19 it's, again, even -- yeah, basic and fundamental human 20 21 right. 22 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Yeah, by no 23 means am I suggesting that it's the only instrument. 24 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Right. COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: I'm trying to 25

QUESTIONS (Commissioner Robinson)

1 understand how as an instrument that Canada isn't a signatory to it helps supplement the analysis and when 2 3 we're looking at the rights and obligations perspectives. We had an extensive presentation yesterday 4 from Professor Leclair on the domestic implementation of 5 the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. 6 7 And I'm aware that Inuit Canada Me has developed their own views on the domestic implementation as well as looking at 8 9 Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami has developed their own views on the domestic implementation, as well as looking at it 10 through a comprehensive -- implementation through a 11 12 comprehensive legislative framework. And that's where these two documents, Exhibit 28 and 29 were added. 13 14 I don't want to get into this too much because I -- again, it's something that we could speak to 15 for an incredibly lengthy period of time. But I see that 16 one of the recommendations, the fourth recommendation 17 18 speaks to an Indigenous Human Rights Commission. And I'll 19 read it, "This Commission would establish --20

20 Finite committeerion would establish 21 would be established consistent with 22 the UN Paris Principles relating to the 23 competence and responsibilities as well 24 as status of such a national 25 institution and those core fundamental

QUESTIONS (Commissioner Robinson)

-- those core function would be to 1 2 promote and protect Indigenous rights 3 and ensure the harmonization of national legislation." (As read) 4 We also heard about sort of this type of 5 idea from Tim ---6 7 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Argetsinger. COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: ___ 8 9 Argetsinger. When you know people by their first names it's -- anyway, on our first day. I was hoping, Dalee, if 10 you want to speak a little bit more on that recommendation? 11 12 And first, you've played a role in the drafting of this position or you've been advising ITK on this position, as I 13 understand it. Could you expand a little bit on what you 14 see the value and necessity of this Commission? 15 DR. DALEE SAMBO DOROUGH: Quickly, the first 16 thing that I want to say is that the Paris Principles 17 18 establish essential quidelines for the creation of national human rights institutions, just for those that may not 19 20 know. 21 As far as the idea of an Indigenous People's Human Rights Commission, one could the idea of an 22 23 Indigenous Peoples Human Rights Commission, one could, I think, easily argue that in Canada as well as other 24 countries across the world with a high concentration of 25

1 Indigenous Peoples is that this has become a specialized 2 area of law. Some of it draws upon, in fact, Nations state 3 customary practices and behaviour toward Indigenous Peoples, and indeed that's how we argued for the 4 recognition of the collective rights of Indigenous Peoples 5 was to point to all the laws, policies, constitutions, et 6 cetera, that Nation states have put in place to refer to 7 Indigenous Peoples as Peoples, which is an expression of 8 9 customary practice and behaviour, and hence customary international law. 10

11 So the idea is to then build upon that 12 foundation of what I would again refer to as very 13 specialized areas of law, to the extent that we're 14 encouraging instruction in law schools about Indigenous 15 law, even within universities, just basic general education 16 requirement to know about Indigenous Peoples.

17 So establishing a Commission that would 18 monitor the implementation and the compliance of the state 19 with the norms and standards in the UN Declaration and 20 other international human rights instruments that apply 21 specifically to Indigenous Peoples, so a national human 22 rights institution that is specific to Indigenous Peoples. 23 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Commissioner

24 Robinson, the Paris principles, the principles relating to25 the status of the national institutions was actually

1	potentially
2	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: It was an
3	exhibit filed, I believe, or it was in our material.
4	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Was it, though?
5	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: It wasn't
6	filed.
7	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: It wasn't file. It
8	was one that was potentially going to be filed, so I'm
9	going to suggest we file it now.
10	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Yes, I would
11	like it filed. Thank you.
12	So that will be Exhibit
13	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thirty-four (34).
14	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. B34:
15	"Principles relating to the Status of
16	National Institutions (The Paris
17	Principles, adopted by General Assembly
18	resolution 48/134 of 20 December 1993"
19	(three pages)
20	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Thank you.
21	I think those are all my questions, and I'm
22	really mindful that people are going to have to travel.
23	I want to express our grandmothers are
24	going to do it as well, but I just want to express my
25	gratitude, our gratitude for the learning and what you've

1 been able to provide us over this week.

2 Our Terms of Reference ask us to investigate 3 and report on all forms of violence in its Indigenous women and girls -- it's incredibly broad -- and to look at 4 recommendations on how to address it, again incredibly 5 broad. And we have heard about numerous, numerous, 6 numerous types of violence that speak to so many different 7 legal instruments and responsibilities and obligations, and 8 9 to understand and to help frame how we look at that has been tremendously helpful. It, of course, confirmed the 10 complexity and the further complexity that is revealed 11 12 every step of this process.

But I want to, for myself and my colleagues 13 who are nodding -- I'm assuming they agree -- how helpful 14 this has been. And I am very hopeful that Canadians have 15 been listening because it's important that we understand 16 the realities that the families and survivors have shared 17 with us is a direct result of the legal, political 18 realities that we're hearing about and it speaks to what 19 needs to be done, and I think that we all have to be 20 21 engaging in this learning together.

So I'm hopeful that Canadians have beenwatching and learning along with us.

24 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Commissioners,
 25 mindful of the time and the fact that I don't want to take

1 up 20 minutes -- sorry, objection? 2 MS. SUZAN FRASER: I'm sorry to rise at this 3 point. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: 4 If we can get her mic on please? 5 MS. SUZAN FRASER: I'm sorry to rise at this 6 7 time of the day, Commissioners, but I do rise just to object, before you close the proceedings, to the departure 8 of the Chief Commissioner. I understand you have the 9 authority to sit as three, but with the Commissioner's 10 departure without an explanation on what has been a very 11 12 important day, it gives my clients great concern, and I'm obliged to bring those concerns to your attention. 13 And I like to operate from a principled 14 basis to bring my criticism right to you when I have it. I 15 think this is the place for it. I come here on that basis, 16 so that you are aware directly of my client's concerns. 17 18 So I'm sorry to keep the witnesses and everyone ready, but I just thought I should make that 19 concern known while we're here. 20 21 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Noted, and you're free to respond if you would like. It's noted on the 22 23 record and you're free to respond if you'd like. COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Thank you for 24 raising the objection and raising it directly with us in 25

1 this moment. I think that's important as well, and we will 2 share that.

310

3 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: So, sorry, I was just about to actually waive my right too -- actually, 4 yeah, everyone cheer here. This is where you're going to 5 all love me this week. I was going to raise my right to 6 re-examine, because I would have been afforded 20 minutes 7 to clarify, and I do have a whole list of things I could 8 9 have clarified, but I do recognize, given the nonadversarial nature of the work that the National Inquiry 10 does, that the experts have provided us an abundance and 11 12 well more than what we would normally see on any other record in terms of cross-examination with a number --13 including 15 parties and the Commissioners asking them 14 questions. 15

So on that basis, I won't, but I do actually 16 ask if I can just put one proposition to them, and I'm not 17 asking for a particular specific answer in this moment, but 18 to put your mind to one thought. We have heard over and 19 over again across the country people's positions on media. 20 21 And I only raise that because we've also heard in the course of this panel that corporations also have 22 23 obligations, not just stakes. A number of media are now major corporations. 24

25

So I'm going to ask if the panel, and it's

at their own choice, if they could just put their mind to 1 sort of the intersection of what obligations does a 2 corporation like a media have as it relates to the 3 4 perpetuation of the stereotypes and harms that are occurring to women across the country and the way they're 5 6 characterizing. And I give an example like Cindy Gladue where the stigmatization, the racism, all of the human 7 rights that you'd be afforded against discrimination that 8 9 occur from a media corporation.

I'm not asking you to answer this now as this is not re-examination, but because the issues of corporations having obligations as well has come up, if you could put your mind to that and reply back to the Commission, we would share with all parties with Part 3 standing any of the responses.

And I do want to -- I'm not re-examining,
but I would like to offer Mr. O'Soup an opportunity to
present something to the Commission and to explain it.

MR. COREY O'SOUP: I think, first of all,
I'm incredibly grateful for the opportunity -- I didn't
think I was going to well up here -- but for the
opportunity to come speak for children and youth. They are
my passion. They are my heart, especially our young
people, our girls. I have three girls of my own, and two
boys, but you know how dads and their girls are. But I am

so appreciative of the opportunity to come and represent
our young people here on this national stage and to bring
their voice, because I believe it's so important and I
believe it's their right to be represented, and I know it's
their right. It's in the international laws and treaties
that we've been talking about here today.

7 I never thought I'd be sitting here probably 8 even a month ago. You know, I didn't think I'd be in this 9 chair and having this opportunity to bring their voice to 10 this table, and I felt that it was so important that we are 11 here.

12 It's in our office and my own tradition to bring gifts and to offer things to you for the opportunity, 13 because I can't stress enough how important it is that our 14 15 children have a voice in every step of the way, in everything we do, and all the decisions that are being made 16 17 about them. And this is probably, in the history of our country, one of the most important things that's going to 18 affect our young girls in particular. And I just want to 19 offer my thanks and my gratitude for being allowed voice 20 21 and opportunity today and yesterday.

22 So I just wanted to present this to you 23 guys. This is from a local artist in Saskatchewan, Kevin 24 Pee-ace. We did have this commissioned for our office. It 25 is what we do in our office. These are our young people,

1 our young girls reaching for the stars. And the stars are many different things for many of our youth, and I believe 2 it's our job as an office, but also as a country, as a 3 4 people, to help them reach their biggest potential, to help them reach their dreams and their goals, and those are 5 6 represented by the stars there. So I just wanted to leave this with you as a gift from our office to you. To all of 7 you. You can decide on where it goes. So I'd just like to 8 9 present this to you.

(APPLAUSE)

MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: I understand the Commissioners also have gifts for the witnesses. So -- so if I could just formally close the hearing at this point and call an adjournment on the part three hearings, and concluding the second hearing of three, of the part three. So just an adjournment. And I do believe though, however, we will be having a closing for those that wish to stay.

18

10

COMMISSIONER MICHELE AUDETTE: Okay.

Parfait. Après ça, I need... j'aimerais inviter nos sages,
nos aînés, nos grands-pères... il y en a un en arrière. This
part I'll -- I will try to say it in English and you will
understand why. You talked about a meeting where two Amber
alert was happening. Well, yesterday sad to say, a family
lost a daughter, and I'll say, again. In Winnipeg, Red
River, she was found, April Carpenter. And the family says

on the Facebook page, that we should all pray for them. 1 That we should send love for them because it's very hard. 2 Like, what we're doing is very hard. But to lose a 3 daughter, it's unacceptable. So we take this very 4 seriously and we know that the prayers are also part of our 5 6 culture, but it's sending us a message also that we are all responsible. I don't want them to disappear, none of my 7 kids, or my friends' kids. 8

9 So if it's possible for you to join us for a 10 moment of silence for the Carpenter family. Yeah. She was 11 -- she went missing on April 26th and found yesterday. So -12 --

13

(MOMENT OF SILENCE)

Merci. Merci beaucoup. We're all human 14 15 beings. We're also super mum, and fighters, but also very, very, very dedicated. It is not a job. It is for me, more 16 17 than a passion. We're not perfect, but I'm sure together all of us will make sure that we will be able to say Canada 18 is a safe place to live, I hope for to the end, the rest of 19 20 forever. But in order to get there, we need to finish this 21 Inquiry. We need to do it right and we need to do it not for this generation, but for the seventh generation to 22 23 come.

We will have a song from the warriors, thewomen's warriors after my closing remarks. And of course,

after our Elder from this beautiful land, Wendake, the 1 Nuwansu (ph) and we'll finish with your beautiful and 2 powerful song, grandmothers. I want to say thank you for 3 the Wendat People for welcoming us, welcoming you. You're 4 in -- you are in my yard, just so you know. And I'll try 5 6 to speak English to you, but it comes from my heart because I listened to you in French and you have few minutes to 7 hear a speaker in French, so I hope you understand how I 8 9 feel all day, and I laugh later. I'm not weird, I'm 10 telling you.

And I say thank you for the grandmothers for 11 being there for us everyday, strong warriors, strong 12 grandmothers. They call them minions, but for me they're 13 more than minions, they're super grandmothers. But most of 14 15 all, I want to say thank you for family members like you, Maggie, for being here and making sure that we are doing 16 17 this. It's not perfect, but we have to do it and I always 18 take the message or the criticism as a learning thing to change or improve, and I take hugs too. 19

I have to say thank you also for the witness, amazing people. We read books about you, articles, or we heard you at the UN or other event that brings the international community together. But to have the privilege to be sitting with you in this capacity and they're making a chapter in the history of Canada. And

that I commend you and I say thank you very much. 1 I say thank you also for the parties for 2 being here in my yard in Quebec City, in this territory of 3 the Wendat people. Thank you for coming. Thank you for 4 adding your expertise, your passion, your knowledge and 5 6 representing the voice of the people that you represent. That was very, very important and I know this journey is 7 not over. We have other hearings that are coming too fast, 8 9 to be frank with you, but this is what we have been given right now. So from inside there is warriors to say this is 10 not a healthy way to do things but we have to do it. 11 So thank you for being here and adding this to this important 12 journey. 13

We have the duty to do it together, not only for this Inquiry, but for the people across Canada, the men and the women, the youth and the elders, the people that we work for or walk with.

But I want to add also for the Canadian what
-- for you too, Canadian. My dad is white, white. He's
pink, I should say.

(LAUGHTER/RIRES)

22 COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: But he's the
23 most beautiful Québécois my dad. So beautiful. And they
24 are part of the solution. I don't want to blame. I want
25 them to walk with me and us and say let's change those

21

legislation. Let's change many, many thing for the benefit
 of everybody, but most of all women and -- Indigenous women
 and girls of course.

And this hearing is one of the next --4 there's other hearing that coming, like I said too fast, 5 6 but they're coming. There's no extension too fast. I hope Ottawa you hear me. It help us, it help me to make sure 7 that we're doing the right thing by adding the human rights 8 9 lens, the gender lens, you know, all the cultural -everything we discuss, change, challenge, wonder and with 10 your expertise it makes me feel comfortable to continue in 11 that way. But also, you were able to teach Canada there's 12 many things to change also or ratify or improve and so on, 13 so thank you very much. 14

And to conclude I'll say this, we said to 15 the federal government we will do our best when we got that 16 17 call. We knew two years it was too short. We knew it, but 18 we wanted to prove that building a canoe, well, we have to pagayer at the same time in that canoe was going to be a 19 hard, hard journey. And I'm not afraid to say today, I'm 20 not afraid -- I'll be a little bit free moccasin for a 21 22 moment, that it is important the Inquiry gets the extension, for the families, for the survivor who share 23 24 their truth to us, to Canada, to the governments, because the expectation is so high. But it's also important to 25

honour the 500 women and men who registered and still want
 to share their truth in this process.

And right now it breaks my heart to see it's not feasible if we don't have that extension. But we're fighting inside to try and find solution to make sure that we honour that, but it's in the heart, hand and brain of the government right now. This is how I see it.

8 In 10 days we're in Calgary. In 20 days 9 after that we are in Toronto and then we will be in Regina. 10 All that in every two weeks. Back to back. We say en 11 français, back-à-back, I don't know in English. But I 12 think you understand that it's going very fast. The canoe 13 is in big, big rapide. I don't know how you say rapide en 14 anglais. Rapids.

15

(LAUGHTER/RIRES)

COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Et voilà. 16 17 It's too rapids those rapids. See. We want to do it right 18 because this is, for the first time for me, being involved for the past 20 years on women issue, giving my love for 19 the Indigenous women's issue, that we have 13 government 20 21 who sign -- 14, sorry -- thank you Qayaq, my translator -that agree to work with us or to sit here and respond to 22 our question. So we need to do it right. And right now 23 24 for me four inquiries or hearings, it's not enough so mon message est clair. So we can do it together. 25

I wish you a beautiful, beautiful, beautiful 1 journée, fin de journée. Now to switch in French just for 2 that part. And we will not going to give up. We will 3 4 continue and we will make sure that in 10 days we will hug you again, welcome you and receive your objection, 5 6 question, comment because for me this is democracy. C'est important. Vraiment important. So je vous aime et je vous 7 souhaite une belle fin de journée. Thank you so much. 8 9 Parfait.

M. MARCEL GODBOUT : Alors, je vous disais 10 quelques mots dans ma langue, je me nommais encore une 11 12 fois, c'est la façon de faire chez nous. On parlait de la culture, que c'était important pour nous de faire partie de 13 ce monde-là, que notre culture soit présente, même à 14 15 travers les (problèmes de son) de qui nous sommes puis de parler à travers notre façon de voir l'univers, notre 16 17 culture, notre propre culture. Donc, pour nous, dans notre 18 (problème de son 18:20:50 à 18:21:03) chez les Wendats, que la femme est au centre de notre société et nous en sommes 19 fiers. Et c'est par ma mère que j'ai mon clan, donc mon nom 20 21 de famille traditionnel.

Donc, on parle souvent de l'importance des femmes; c'est une façon de leur rendre honneur aussi, en parlant de notre… en exerçant notre culture et aussi en mettant la femme au centre de notre société.

Donc, le chant que je vais vous interpréter, 1 le chant de notre communauté, c'est une danse ronde. 2 Pourquoi j'ai choisi ce chant-là? C'est parce que comme 3 4 dans ce processus-là, qui est une forme de processus de quérison pour nous tous, on a besoin de tout le monde. 5 6 Donc, une danse ronde, c'est que tout le monde se donne la main dans cette danse-là et les hommes, les femmes, peu 7 importe l'âge que nous avons, même les gens plus âgés, les 8 9 plus jeunes participent à cette danse-là et nous ne formons qu'un. On dit souvent, dans notre langue, (Langue 10 autochtone parlée), c'est qu'on forme un seul esprit. 11

Alors, c'est un peu… c'est ce que… c'est la 12 raison pour laquelle je souhaite vous partager ce chant-là 13 de mes ancêtres et de notre nation, qu'on se joigne au 14 15 grand cercle pour les femmes qui sont disparues et pour ces jeunes filles aussi qui vivent toutes sortes de choses 16 17 difficiles. Mais aussi de penser à un meilleur avenir ; 18 c'est sûr que pour bâtir, il faut parler du passé, il faut parler du présenter, mais il faut penser aussi à qu'est-ce 19 qu'on doit faire pour avoir un meilleur monde. Donc, cette 20 21 danse ronde là se veut être un encouragement pour nous tous à participer à ce grand cercle-là, pour faire en sorte que 22 ce que l'on fasse ensemble, on a besoin de tout le monde. 23 24 Il n'y a personne de plus important qu'un autre dans un cercle, tout le monde doit apporter sa part, apporter son 25

essence, qui il est et apporter du mieux qu'il peut ce
 qu'il est, aux autres.

Donc, c'est la raison de ce chant-là, donc 3 4 une danse ronde. (Langue autochtone parlée) (DANSE / Langue autochtone parlée.) 5 6 Alors, je vous souhaite une bonne journée à tous puis à ceux... je sais que ce n'est pas terminé, mais à 7 ceux qui doivent quitter, que les Créateurs sont (langue 8 9 autochtone parlée) vous protègent dans votre retour, pour retourner dans un monde que nous avons à construire pour 10 nos enfants, pas juste les femmes, mais les filles, mais 11 12 pour tous ceux qui ont besoin de paix, d'amour et de mieuxêtre, surtout, pour toute notre société. (Langue autochtone 13 14 parlée) COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE : Merci 15 beaucoup, merci. Micro numéro 8? Veux-tu faire la prière? 16

17 (Langue autochtone parlée)

18 MS REBECCA VEVEE: Comme tu veux. (Langue
19 autochtone parlée) Merci beaucoup tout le monde. J'aime ça,
20 moi, travailler avec mes collègues et (inaudible), merci.
21 Merci mon amie, merci tout le monde.

Aujourd'hui, on a pleuré ; lundi, on a
beaucoup pleuré, toujours, because my cœur cassé, because
my cousins, my deux cousins sont partis, Mary and Louis.
Encore, encore, my cousin and (inaudible). Si la vie

1 (inaudible)... merci beaucoup, merci beaucoup tout le monde.
2 And fini! [Rires] Merci! Oh, j'ai compris
3 (inaudible)... si la vie? Okay, merci!

MS PENELOPE GUAY : Je suis attristée
d'apprendre la nouvelle pour cette jeune fille. Ça nous
rappelle qu'il faut faire encore beaucoup d'efforts,
beaucoup de rassemblements, beaucoup de guérisons. Ce que
j'ai entendu pendant ces quatre jours, ça donne de
l'espérance, de l'espoir.

Puis j'aimais aussi quand... je ne me souviens plus du nom, mais qu'il faut agir maintenant, parce que c'est important. J'ai des petits-enfants... je n'aimerais pas ça que ça lui arrive. Je prie à tous les jours pour que... puis je lui embrasse ses petits pieds en lui disant qu'il va avoir un bon chemin.

Je vous remercie beaucoup d'avoir écouté, 16 17 d'avoir senti, d'avoir ouvert votre cœur. Je remercie les commissaires, les experts. Je vous remercie parce que ça 18 m'a donné le goût de retourner à l'université en droit. 19 J'ai appelé mon prof, Renée Brassard, puis je lui ai dit : 20 21 « Là, il faut que tu m'apprennes autre chose. » Elle est prête! Je pense qu'il ne faut pas lâcher ; l'éducation, 22 c'est très important, parce qu'un peuple éduqué, c'est un 23 24 peuple qui sort de ses oppressions.

Je vous remercie de me laisser prendre la

25

parole comme grand-mère. Merci. Bon retour à la maison en 1 toute sécurité et que le Créateur vous accompagne. 2 COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE : Merci beaucoup 3 4 Penelope. Merci beaucoup Rebecca. Now I would like to ask our beautiful 5 6 Barbara, she's a mentor and also a member of the NFAC, so the National Family Advisory Circle. Very important to me 7 -- to us. 8 9 MS. BARBARA MANITOWABI: Thank you. I'm going to put that down for a second. 10 Okay. My name is Barb Manitowabi. 11 I'm a survivor and I have anxiety, so public speaking is --12 you're all naked right now. 13 14 (LAUGHTER/RIRES) 15 MS. BARBARA MANITOWABI: I'm a survivor of family violence, sexual violence, sexual abuse. And four 16 17 years ago I started to stand up. Before that I was leading 18 a very colonized life. I didn't have my culture. I didn't -- I had 19 20 no culture and I was dying inside. 21 A traumatic event happened and it led me to 22 become homeless with my three beautiful adopt -- adopt children and it ripped us apart. And I met Gladys Radek 23 24 who is my mother of the heart now and she took me under her wing and we started working together and she encouraged me 25

1 to craft.

The grassroots level doesn't have a lot of money to throw around. We have no money. So any gifts, any banners, we have to make it by hand and you can't buy this stuff at Walmart and these women, this movement has encouraged and nurtured this to come out of me. I didn't know it was there before.

8 Our drums are our voice and it's that that 9 I'm trying to protect. It's that I'm trying to elevate. 10 It's those women I'm trying to help their voice. I'm 11 trying to raise that voice of Gladys Radek. I'm trying to 12 raise that voice and protect that drum.

In doing that, we started gifting families that were sharing their stories. I'm just going to grab one. Very elaborate now but they were just a simple fabric and part of our culture, Ojibway culture that I started to relearn and try to put back in my family. This was part of it.

19 So our guests and our panel, our Elders, 20 this is what I've been creating for them. It's a little 21 baby smudge kit. Everything you need to do a smudge is in 22 there, seven matches, a shell, our medicines, and I made 23 this for everyone. And we're going to ask you not to open 24 it right away because there might be someone in your circle 25 that needs it more than you. People keep them in the dash

1 of their car but it's meant as a beacon of hope or to help
2 in that healing.

And what we're doing in these last four days, I'm blown away by how hard everyone is working and how we're looking and working together and talking, trying to find solutions and trying to get Canadians to listen and connect those dots for yourself too.

8 So I think I'm okay. I'm done now but we're 9 going to sing and I want to thank you really. We need to 10 bring it back to its simple -- the problem is simple. We 11 need to love. We need Canada to love its Indigenous 12 people. We need to make them fall in love with us and we 13 need to start working together. Okay.

14Oh, yes, we're making these and sending them15out to April's family and if I haven't made enough, write16your name down and your address and I'll get it to you.

Are we ready?

17

18 MS. AUDREY: Some of us will be wearing beautiful drum bags that Barb also makes. So if anybody is 19 interested in ordering anything to support not just a 20 21 frontline worker but a rising woman warrior, check out the bags and please feel free to, if Barb is comfortable, to 22 talk to her or we could get her contact info to you. 23 24 Maybe we'll do more than one song but we're going to start with something -- the song that we started 25

with yesterday to finish with something soft and gentle and 1 beautiful so that you leave gently, so that you leave 2 hopefully with lighter hearts than you came, and that you 3 4 remember to tread gently because everywhere you go across this land known as Canada which is Turtle Island, you're 5 6 walking on someone's ancestors. You're walking on someone's home. You're walking on lives and people that 7 mattered without which Canada could not have existed. 8

9 So we sing this for all, especially the 10 women warriors. We sing this for the mothers and daughters 11 and grandmothers. We sing this for April and all who love 12 her. She is loved, she matters and she is missed. No more 13 stolen sisters.

We sing this because we have pain and anger that need to come out and we won't rage against the machine that's still killing us. Instead, like Barb said, we will make them love us. We have our songs. We have each other. We have still this land. It's not all destroyed yet.

19 So we are here to share a song that is from 20 my lands, from the West Coast. It's called the Prayer Song 21 by Chief Dan George. It's an anthem some will call it but 22 when I close -- I have close my eyes when I sing it because 23 I see -- I see land forming and feel how much that man 24 loves his land.

25

And I'm lucky I have the privilege of

knowing what land I come from. I know where my ancestors 1 are. I get to put my feet on the earth where they are. I 2 3 call on them and they come through me. How many people don't have that? How many people who call themselves a 4 Canadian don't know where their people come from? Not just 5 6 ground people, people who crossed oceans like my dad's family for a better life. So we sing this for the land 7 too, for the mother, for all of the mothers. 8 9 So I raise my hands and I say estzaka (phon.) to each of you for being here, for sharing your 10 medicine, for staying. They've been long days and thank 11 you for letting us make sure that you lead in a good way. 12 13 (CLOSING SONGS) --- Upon adjourning at 6:46 p.m. 14 15 La séance est ajournée à 18h46 16 17 LEGAL DICTA-TYPIST'S CERTIFICATE 18 I, Nadia Rainville, Court Transcriber, hereby certify that 19 I have transcribed the foregoing and it is a true and 20 21 accurate transcript of the digital audio provided in this 22 matter.

nadia Lainville

25

23

24

- 1 Nadia Rainville
- 2 May 17, 2018