National Inquiry into Missing and Murdered Indigenous Women and Girls



Enquête nationale sur les femmes et les filles autochtones disparues et assassinées

National Inquiry into Missing and Murdered
Indigenous Women and Girls
Truth-Gathering Process Part II
Institutional hearings: "Government Services"
Sheraton Suites Calgary Eau Claire
Calgary, Alberta



Part II Volume II

Tuesday May 29, 2018

Panel 1: "Models for Delivery of Victims Services to Indigenous Peoples"

John Phelps, Chief Federal Prosecutor, Yukon Region;

Leanne Gardiner, Director of the Community Justice and Policing Division for the Department of Justice, Government of the Northwest Territories;

Naomi Giff-MacKinnon, Senior Policy Analyst, Government of Canada Betty Ann Pottruff, Q.C., Senior Advisor, Government of Saskatchewan

Heard by Chief Commissioner Marion Buller & Commissioners Michèle Audette, Brian Eyolfson & Qajaq Robinson

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

41-5450 Canotek Road, Ottawa, Ontario, K1J 9G2 E-mail: info@irri.net – Phone: 613-748-6043 – Fax: 613-748-8246

CORRIGENDUM

In her testimony at line 18 on page 36 and continuing on to page 37 of this transcript, Ms. Betty-Ann Pottruff, QC, described the North Sask Victim Services model as "a community-based model", which she no longer holds to be accurate.

Through her counsel, Ms. Barbara Mysko, Ms. Pottruff has requested that the official record be corrected. In her sworn affidavit, Ms. Pottruff provided the following correction:

"[The] North Sask Victim Services (NSVS) is in fact a Police-based Victim Services program. It is, however, much larger than the other programs in the province, serving the entire Northern Administration District (NAD) in Saskatchewan. The NSVS board of directors, like all other RCMP based programs, is comprised of community members from the NAD, and RCMP members. Because the program is so large with multiple staff and a significantly larger budget, Government has created an Executive Director position for this program."

Ms. Mysko submitted the application for this correction on June 14, 2018. There was no objection recorded by any of the Parties and the Commissioners granted Ms. Mysko's request on July 18, 2018.

III

APPEARANCES

Aboriginal Women's Action Network	Fay Blaney (Representative) MiKenze Jordan (Representative)
Assembly of First Nations	Julie McGregor (Legal Counsel)
Assembly of Manitoba Chiefs	Joëlle Pastora Sala (Legal Counsel)
Awo Taan Healing Lodge Society	Darrin Blain (Legal Counsel)
Canadian Association of Chiefs of Police	Ashley Smith(Legal Counsel)
Directeur des poursuites criminelles et pénales	Anny Bernier (Legal Counsel)
Eastern Door Indigenous Women's Association	Natalie D. Clifford (Legal Counsel) Cheryl Maloney (Representative)
Government of Alberta	Nicole Pfeifer (Legal Counsel)
Government of British Columbia	Jean Walters (Legal Counsel) Rachel Holmes (Representative) Emily Arthur (Representative)
Government of Canada	Anne Turley (Legal Counsel) Anne McConville (Legal Counsel) Sarah Churchill-Joly (Legal Counsel) Tania Tooke (Paralegal) Jennifer Clarke (Paralegal)
Government of Manitoba	Coral Lang (Legal Counsel) Kendra Jarvinen (Legal Counsel)

IV

APPEARANCES

Government of New Brunswick	Maya Hamou (Legal Counsel)
Government of Newfoundland and Labrador	Denise Spencer (Legal Counsel)
Government of Northwest Territories	Karin Taylor (Legal Counsel) Brad Patzer (Legal Counsel)
Government of Nova Scotia	Sean Foreman (Legal Counsel)
Government of Ontario	Julian Roy (Legal Counsel) Catherine Rhinelander (Legal Counsel)
Government of Saskatchewan	Barbara Mysko(Legal Counsel)
Government of Yukon	Jennifer England (Representative) Fia Jampolsky (Legal Counsel)
Independent First Nations	Sarah Beamish (Legal Counsel) Diane Maracle-Nadjiwan (Representative)
Institute for the Advancement of Aboriginal Women	Rachelle Venne (Representative)
Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami	Elizabeth Zarpa (Legal Counsel)
MMIWG Manitoba Coalition	Catherine Dunn (Legal Counsel) Hilda Anderson-Pyrz (Representative)
Manitoba Keewatinowi Okimakanak	Jessica Barlow (Legal Counsel)
Native Women's Association of Canada	Virginia Lomax (Legal Counsel)
Nishnawbe Aski Nation/Grand Council Treaty 3; Treaty Alliance Northern Ontario	Nishnawbe Aski Nation Deputy Grand Chief Anna Betty Achneepineskum Krystyn Ordyniec (Legal Counsel) Amanda Byrd (Law student)

APPEARANCES

Ontario Federation of Indigenous Friendship Centres	Niki Hashie (Representative)
Pauktuutit, AnânauKatiget Tumingit, Saturviit, Ottawa Inuit Children's Centre, and Manitoba Inuit Association	Beth Symes (Legal Counsel)
Regina Treaty Status Indian Services, Inc	Erica Beaudin (Representative)
Saskatchewan Association of Chiefs of Police	Katrina Swan (Legal Counsel)
Winnipeg Police Service	Kimberly Carswell (Legal Counsel)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Truth-Gathering Process Part II Volume II

Panel I: Models for Delivery of Victims Services to Indigenous Peoples (continued)

Panel I: Models for Delivery of Victims Services to Indigenous Peoples

Chair: Meredith Porter, Commission Counsel

Second Chair: Breen Ouellette, Commission Counsel

Witness: John Phelps, Chief Federal Prosecutor, Yukon Region

Counsel: Anne McConville for Government of Canada

Witness: Leanne Gardiner, Director of the Community Justice and Policing Division for the Department of Justice, Government of the Northwest Territories;

Counsel: Karin Taylor and Brad Patzer for Government of Northwest Territories

Witness: Naomi Giff-MacKinnon, Senior Policy Analyst, Government of Canada

Counsel: Anne Turley for Government of Canada

Witness: Betty Ann Pottruff, Q.C., Senior Advisor, Government of

Counsel: Barbara Mysko for Government of Saskatchewan

Heard by Chief Commissioner Marion Buller & Commissioners Michèle Audette, Brian Eyolfson & Qajaq Robinson

Grandmothers, Elders & Knowledge-keepers: Minnie Amidlak, Cynthia Cardinal (National Family Advisory Circle - NFAC), Barbara Dumont-Hill (Government of Canada), Spike Norton Eagle Speaker, Louise Haulli, Kathy Louis, Myrna Laplante (NFAC), Gerald Meguinis, Melanie Morrison (NFAC), Bernie Poitras, Sarah Nowrakudluk (NFAC), Gaylene Rain, Audrey Siegl, Laureen "Blu" Waters, John Wesley, Alvine Wolfleg, Charlotte Wolfrey (NFAC), Waasaanese (Government of Ontario)

Clerk: Maryiam Khoury

Registrar: Bryan Zandberg

VII

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Opening Comments	1
Drumming Ceremony	3
JOHN PHELPS, Cross-Examined by Mr. Blain	7
BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Cross-Examined by Mr. Blain	12
LEANNE GARDINER, Cross-Examined by Mr. Blain	14
JOHN PHELPS, Cross-Examined by Ms. Beaudin	21
LEANNE GARDINER, Cross-Examined by Ms. Beaudin	32
BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Cross-Examined by Ms. Beaudin	35
JOHN PHELPS, LEANNE GARDINER, NAOMI GIFF-MCKINON, BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Cross-Examined by Ms. Blaney	38
JOHN PHELPS, Cross-Examined by Ms. McGregor	53
NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Cross-Examined by Ms. McGregor	63
JOHN PHELPS, Cross-Examined by Ms. Pastora Sala	70
LEANNE GARDINER, Cross-Examined by Ms. Pastora Sala	80
BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Cross-Examined by Ms. Beamish	90
BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Cross-Examined by Ms. Ordyniec	96
BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Cross-Examined by Ms. Lomax	101
BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Questions by the Commissioners	107
BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Cross-Examined by Ms. Barlow	127
NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Cross-Examined by Ms. Barlow	130
JOHN PHELPS, Cross-Examined by Ms. Lomax	136
LEANNE GARDINER, Cross-Examined by Ms. Lomax	144
JOHN PHELPS, Cross-Examined by Ms. Clifford	151
NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Cross-Examined by Ms. Clifford	159

VIII

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Cross-Examined by Ms. Ordyniec	165
BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Cross-Examined by Ms. Mysko	176
NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Cross-Examined by Ms. Zarpa	181
JOHN PHELPS, Cross-Examined by Ms. Zarpa	183
LEANNE GARDINER, Cross-Examined by Ms. Zarpa	195
NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Cross-Examined by Ms. Beamish	199
JOHN PHELPS, Cross-Examined by Ms. Beamish	203
LEANNE GARDINER, Cross-Examined by Ms. Beamish	208
JOHN PHELPS, Cross-Examined by Ms. Dunn	216
LEANNE GARDINER, Cross-Examined by Ms. Dunn	219
NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Cross-Examined by Ms. Dunn	223
LEANNE GARDINER, NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, JOHN PHELPS, Cross-Examined by Ms. Big Canoe	232
LEANNE GARDINER, Questions by the Commissioners	205
NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Questions by the Commissioners	263
JOHN PHELPS, Questions by the Commissioners	266
NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Questions by the Commissioners	288
LEANNE GARDINER, Questions by the Commissioners	300
JOHN PHELPS, Questions by the Commissioners	307
NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Questions by the Commissioners	315
NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Re-Examination by Ms. McConville	320
JOHN PHELPS, Re-Examination by Ms. Turley	321

LIST OF EXHIBITS

N	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
to	nel 1A: Models for Delivery of Victims services Indigenous Peoples Eness: Betty Ann Pottruff, Q.C.	
21	Saskatchewan Domestic Violence Death Review Interim Report, Pilot - Phase 1 (May 2017), Saskatchewan Ministry of Justice (31 pages)	6
22	Saskatchewan Domestic Violence Death Review Final Report, (May 24, 2018), Saskatchewan Ministry of Justice (43 pages)	6

All exhibits submitted by Meredith Porter, Commission Counsel.

LIST OF EXHIBITS

NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE

Panel 1B: Models for Delivery of Victims services to Indigenous Peoples

Witness: John Phelps

23 "Crown Witness Coordinator Program Sub-Study: Final 323 Report," (March 29, 2010) Justice Canada Public Prosecution Service (73 pages)

All exhibits submitted by Anne Turley, Legal Counsel for Government of Canada.

1	Calgary, Alberta
2	Upon commencing on Tuesday, May 29, 2018 at 8:20 a.m.
3	OPENING COMMENTS
4	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Good morning,
5	everybody. Good morning, attorneys, commissioners.
6	Amongst the Blackfoot people, we have a very
7	sacred order that we're all expected to join when we get to
8	that age of maturity. Anyway, I'm I'm a little bit away
9	from it, but but Spike and Alvine are members of that
10	order. I'm a I'm a helper of those people, so of the
11	Sun Dance, when our Sun Dance happens and our ceremony
12	times happen, we all are assumed to to that time. And
13	I'd like to ask Spike and or, sorry, Alvine and I
14	always call him Spike, but anyway, Ina (ph) to come on up
15	here and come and have a word of prayer today so that we
16	can begin. They're going to help us.
17	And then we're going to have a song because
18	it's something that you're in this area, and, Madam
19	Commissioner, we're very honoured to have you, to have us,
20	to have a prayer. So (speaking in Native language).
21	MR. SPIKE EAGLE SPEAKER: (Speaking in
22	Native language).
23	Good morning, I hope everybody had good rest
24	last night. I just wanted to mention that when my wife and
25	I were first asked to come up here to do some of this

1	prayer and all that, we didn't really know what this
2	Inquiry was really about, we just thought it was kind of
3	another culture workshop or something, but we had a chance
4	to sit back there yesterday and listen to all the stuff and
5	not realizing the people's pain, their loss. And we talked
6	about it last night, and I said, "You know, there's a lot
7	of people that thousands of our people are hurting."
8	And when I got to my room, the way I felt, I
9	just sat down and I started to pray. And that's the way I
10	was raised. I was taught that when you're struggling with
11	your own spirit, you have to sit down and reconnect and you
12	have to pray, and that's what I done. So I feel a lot
13	better today, and I'm going to offer this prayer so that
14	today would be a very good day for all of us.
15	People that have sorrows, people that have
16	lost loved ones, we're going to pray for those people and
17	that things they could have some kind of resolutions to
18	some of their losses and their problems. (Speaking in
19	Native language).
20	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Thank you to the
21	Eagle Speaker family. Just put your hand on your heart and
22	say, "Hey, hey." Now, you're all Blackfoots.
23	(LAUGHTER)
24	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: We're going to ask

our nephew to sing a song. You don't have to stand up,

1	just enjoy it. He's going to sing it, and he told me that
2	it's kind of like an arising song, just to lift your
3	spirits, so enjoy. And if you want to dance chicken dance,
4	you can go ahead.

--- DRUMMING CEREMONY

MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Thank you. Thank you. Well, we're in the beginning. I don't know about you, but I want to go get my bows and arrows and go get my land back after that song.

10 (LAUGHTER)

MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: Okay. My Elder just asked me what my Blackfoot name was, and I didn't introduce it yesterday. But in the Indian way, down the road, whenever you people meet each other, it's common experience to introduce each other with your Indian name. And mine is (speaking in Native language). It means the warrior that rode a red horse.

But today's a special day, and we'll allow you Madam Commissioner to begin. I have six daughters, and I have a wife, and I have a whole bunch of aunties, and some went to the next world, and it's about this and their day today. So thank you, again, to all of those that testified. And welcome, again, to Blackfoot country. And remember you can only eat steak twice a week, so --

25 (LAUGHTER)

1	MR. JASON GOODSTRIKER: So tonight, Michèle,
2	maybe I'll buy you a salad or something, or fish or
3	something. Thank you. Enjoy. Enjoy today.
4	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: I have to buy
5	something for him, or?
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think he's going to
7	buy for you.
8	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Okay.
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) I have
10	steak too.
11	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: I'll ask
12	counsel to take their places as well as witnesses, please.
13	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Good morning. Good
14	morning. Good morning, Chief Commissioner, Commissioners.
15	I have a couple there's a few housekeeping items that I
16	did want to speak to prior to getting started with the
17	cross-examination of the witnesses. First, I did want to
18	mention to the parties with standing, that if they had
19	questions that they wanted to direct to Betty Ann Pottruff,
20	as you are aware, she will be leaving early this afternoon.
21	So if you did have any questions that you did want to put to
22	her prior to her departure, if you could go to the first
23	table and see Francine Merasty, she's got her hand up. Just
24	identify yourself to her, and have a chance to speak with
25	her, so she's aware of of who will be posing questions

1 later on this morning. Thank you.

I'm aware that yesterday on the record it was noted that the witnesses that are appearing as part of the victim services panels were referred to as experts on the record. And I just wanted to clarify on the record that they have not been brought in as experts, nor have they been qualified as experts. So I do just want to note that on the record. I think that was an error. That came up yesterday.

The final issue that I did want to speak to was with respect to the party that cross-examined at the end of the day yesterday, she had spoken to a couple of documents in her questions, and I don't believe those had been tendered as exhibits. So I will speak to them briefly, and in -- in particular, she had made reference to both the interim and the final report of the Saskatchewan Domestic Violence Death Review Report. And I am going to then now request that both the interim report and the final report, I believe copies have been provided to all Commissioners, and I do have a copy here for the Registrar. So at this time, I request that they be tendered as exhibits in relation to the cross-examination of the party counsel for Pauktuutit, she spoke to them yesterday.

CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay. The interim report dated May 2017 will be Exhibit 21.

1	EXHIBIT NO. 21:
2	Saskatchewan Domestic Violence Death
3	Review Interim Report, Pilot - Phase 1
4	(May 2017), Saskatchewan Ministry of
5	Justice (31 pages)
6	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: And the
7	Saskatchewan Domestic Death Review Report, May 24th, 2018,
8	will be Exhibit 22.
9	EXHIBIT NO. 22:
10	Saskatchewan Domestic Violence Death
11	Review Final Report, (May 24, 2018),
12	Saskatchewan Ministry of Justice (43
13	pages)
14	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you very much.
15	All right. Thank you very much. We'll continue then with
16	the questions from the parties with standing. And then next
17	party that I would like to invite up to question the
18	witnesses with their in cross-examination is Awo Taan
19	Healing Lodge Society. And I note that counsel for the Awo
20	Taan has 24 minutes.
21	MR. DARRIN BLAIN: Thank you very much, and
22	good morning, Chief Commissioner Buller. Good morning,
23	Commissioners. Good morning to the Elders. It's an honour
24	for me to be here in Blackfoot Territory, Treaty 7
25	Territory. I'll always cherish my days as general counsel

1 to the Blackfoot Nation, a hundred kilometres to the east of here. For those of you who I haven't met, my name is Darrin 2 3 Blain. I'm a lawyer in private practice here in Calgary. I've just finished my 800th Indian residential school 4 hearing. And welcome to my hometown. Good morning. Mr. 5 Phelps, good morning. 6 7 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Morning. JOHN PHELPS, Previously Affirmed: 8 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BLAIN: MR. DARRIN BLAIN: Sir, I take it that you're 10 aware of our Supreme Court of Canada decision known as 11 12 Jordan. MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, I am. 13 MR. DARRIN BLAIN: I wonder if you could 14 comment briefly on the intersection of Jordan and the work 15 that the people in your office do with the victims. And 16 what I'm wondering, specifically, if we can have a -- a chat 17 18 with the Commission this morning about -- I -- I'd like to know whether or not the failure of victims and witnesses to 19 come forward are resulting in cases being thrown out as 20 21 contemplated in *Jordan*? MR. JOHN PHELPS: As indicated yesterday, my 22 23 perspective comes from my practice being in the Yukon Territory, and my responsibility over the Yukon Territory. 24

We're quite fortunate, unlike many other jurisdictions in

1	Canada, to not be experiencing a significant impact from the
2	Jordan decision. Our our courts are able to hear matters
3	in a timely fashion. And we have not lost a significant
4	prosecution as a result of <i>Jordan</i> to date. So I'm unable to
5	assist in answering that question because we haven't had
6	that experience.
7	MR. DARRIN BLAIN: Very well. And I wonder
8	if the services that are provided by your office are
9	provided in all of the traditional languages of of the
10	people that you serve?
11	MR. JOHN PHELPS: They are not, no.
12	MR. DARRIN BLAIN: Could that be a
13	recommendation to the Commission, sir?
14	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's certainly a priority
15	in Nunavut for for us, and it is provided in Nunavut.
16	The significance in our jurisdiction in the Yukon may be
17	less so because we don't face the language barrier that's
18	experienced on the East Coast, so I I I'm not too sure
19	that I can answer the question. I don't know if it would be
20	a better service to victims or not, but I don't and I
21	don't know that it would result in better communication for
22	them on the West Coast, in the Yukon Territory. I don't
23	have the answer to that, and I don't have an opinion one way
24	or another.

25

MR. DARRIN BLAIN: Very well. Thank you.

1 You painted a picture yesterday -- excuse me -- of the 2 services that your office provides, and as I understand it, 3 people who have been subpoenaed as witnesses and have witnessed something traumatic, potentially, they're 4 contacted by your office. There's some kind of link made up 5 between your office and these people made in court 6 7 preparation, people who are going to court as -- as witnesses. I have a few appointed questions in that regard. 8 9 When your office contacts them, are they given a gender choice of representative from your office? The reason I ask 10 that is because it's been my experience in sitting with 11 12 hundreds of sexual assault victims that women often like to speak to women and -- and -- and so forth. 13 14 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Unfortunately, we -- we don't presently have that luxury. Crown Witness 15 16

don't presently have that luxury. Crown Witness

Coordinators in the Yukon Territory are female at this time.

I think across the North -- it hasn't always been the case,
but given the turnover I spoke about yesterday, currently,
for example, they're all female in the Yukon and we -- there
may be one male to assist, so it can be very difficult to
give the opportunity of gender of choice. With the
prosecution service, we have a much better balance, male to
female, and that would be an -- an option that could be
canvassed, although to answer your question, it's not
offered.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	MR. DARRIN BLAIN: I wonder if that might be
2	a recommendation to the Commission.
3	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I I would I wouldn't
4	see why not. Thank you, sir.
5	MR. DARRIN BLAIN: You mentioned just now
6	about prosecutors, and I'm wondering if you can comment on
7	the existence or the complement of First Nation prosecutors
8	in your in your courts.
9	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I I don't have the
10	statistic for the other two regions. We have 1 out of 12 in
11	the Yukon.
12	MR. DARRIN BLAIN: In in your perspective
13	and in what you deal with in the trenches on a day-to-day
14	basis, I wonder if the addition of more First Nation
15	prosecutors ought to be a recommendation to the Commission,
16	sir.
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: The only concern the
18	reason why I'm pausing is is not that I have any concern
19	with the recommendation whatsoever. It's just the the
20	ability to staff those positions. We don't seem to attract
21	applications as frequently as one would hope from First
22	Nation candidates, not particularly, with my experience in
23	the Yukon. We had in our complement one born and raised
24	Yukon First Nation individual who articled and spent ten
25	years with us, but that was an anomaly, not the norm. I

1	don't see any harm at all with the concept, and I $\operatorname{}$ I see
2	it as being potentially a benefit to the regional offices if
3	it's possible.
4	MR. DARRIN BLAIN: Thank you very much. And
5	just an I suppose as a gentle reminder for you and I that
6	we don't necessarily need to be concerned with how we're
7	going to get that done or how the Commissioners are going to
8	put that into the report, just that it might be something
9	that would benefit our people, which takes me to judges.
10	When you talk about the judges that the CWC folks and and
11	the witnesses are appearing in front of, can you talk about
12	the composition of the bench in your area and whether there
13	are are any First Nation judges?
14	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Sorry again. I'm only
15	pausing because I'm not familiar with the other two
16	territories, so I can't be certain. Certainly in the Yukon,
17	there are no First Nation judges.
18	MR. DARRIN BLAIN: I wonder if that might be
19	a recommendation to the Commission, sir.
20	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Certainly.
21	MR. DARRIN BLAIN: Thank you. You talked
22	about, in your your presentation, sir, about my
23	impression was that you gave your program a pretty decent
24	report card, and you talked about a complaints process so
25	that, if my understanding is that if a victim or somebody

- that's being helped by your -- by your program wants to
 complain about how things went, they have the right to do
 that. I wonder if there are any First Nation people hearing
 complaints in that process or Elders or cultural advisors.
 I wonder if there's any of that involved in that.

 MR. JOHN PHELPS: No, there's not.

 MR. DARRIN BLAIN: I wonder if that might be
- 8 a recommendation to your Commission, sir.
- 9 MR. JOHN PHELPS: I have no objection to 10 that.
- MR. DARRIN BLAIN: Thank you. I turn now toMs. Pottruff. Good morning, ma'am.
- MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Morning.
- 14 BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Previously Affirmed:
- 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BLAIN:
- MR. DARRIN BLAIN: The first item of business 16 is for me and my family to bring our condolences to your 17 province. We understand that, with our extended family in 18 your great province, that we have -- your province has 19 suffered a great loss with the hockey team bus tragedy 20 21 occurring just south of Tisdale. I've driven that road and we -- we're all human beings, we all suffer the loss of 22 others, and I want to give you our heartfelt condolences as 23 a matter of housekeeping and -- and -- and a good morning to 24 25 you, Ma'am.

and is currently under review.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DARRIN BLAIN: And is it your recommendation to the Commissioners that it continue to be under review for the purposes of reviewing sentences for people who harm Aboriginal women and children?

sentencing is one of the areas that is always under review

1	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I I think that
2	sentencing is an always an area where, in fact, we can be
3	more innovative and and look at ways to better serve both
4	the victim and the offender, and certainly that includes
5	Indigenous women and girls.
6	MR. DARRIN BLAIN: Thank you. Those are my
7	questions for you this morning. I understand you're leaving
8	early, and and I wish you safe travels. Just bear with
9	me for a second. I'm just reviewing my notes. Ms.
10	Gardiner, good morning.
11	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Morning.
12	LEANNE GARDINER, Previously Affirmed:
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BLAIN:
14	MR. DARRIN BLAIN: Now, as I understand your
15	
	presentation, as I understand what you do, and I $$ and I
16	presentation, as I understand what you do, and I and I acknowledge the hard work that you do and that your office
16 17	
	acknowledge the hard work that you do and that your office
17	acknowledge the hard work that you do and that your office does. I don't think working with victims is easy work,
17 18	acknowledge the hard work that you do and that your office does. I don't think working with victims is easy work, whether they be before a court hearing or after a court
17 18 19	acknowledge the hard work that you do and that your office does. I don't think working with victims is easy work, whether they be before a court hearing or after a court hearing, so just a nudge and an acknowledgement of the work
17 18 19 20	acknowledge the hard work that you do and that your office does. I don't think working with victims is easy work, whether they be before a court hearing or after a court hearing, so just a nudge and an acknowledgement of the work that your office does.
17 18 19 20 21	acknowledge the hard work that you do and that your office does. I don't think working with victims is easy work, whether they be before a court hearing or after a court hearing, so just a nudge and an acknowledgement of the work that your office does. I have to be real frank about something. It

traumatized by sexual violence, whether they be women or

children, and that's what we're talking about in this

Inquiry -- and I'm not trying to be disrespectful to the

men that are also traumatized, that there is significant

and remarkable psychological impact and need for the women

and for the children who either experienced it or have

unfortunately witnessed that, or may have witnessed their

mother being killed, that sort of thing, the need is so

great, it's acute.

It -- do I understand you to be telling the Commission that there is no real special training required for your people that are -- your staff that are going out and meeting with this -- these dear people? Can you comment on that?

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes, thank you for your question. I just want to qualify a bit just so we're on the same page. I don't have staff members that report to me that are providing that frontline service. We're not typically serving victims directly out of our office. We have a community-based program where we're providing funding to sponsoring organizations to provide that frontline service that reflects the context of the community that they're serving.

So when it comes to their qualifications, because I think me kind of establishing our model doesn't take away from your comments, of course, about training,

and I agree wholeheartedly with what you say about the reality of victims of crime and tragedy and where they are and their needs, and their needs sometimes being acute and requiring additional services that our victim services providers are not equipped to provide. So as I mentioned, they're not, for the most part -- we do have some providers that are trained as social workers and a variety of other backgrounds, we have some that are not.

It really is up to communities to decide who they want to staff those positions with, with our support, as far as background. Sometimes we help prepare the job descriptions that they might put out for -- to hire. We provide all of that support and communities decide who is best suited to do that work.

That being said, I have a responsibility in my role, absolutely, to do my best to help provide the opportunities to support that skillset and to build that skillset, so that's why we do -- we do some of the work we do around training. We support communities to apply for additional funding, if that's required, to support that training.

I want to mention as well that our territory has been involved with a Pan-Territorial project with the other two territories in partnership with the Northern Institute of Social Justice in the Yukon, with Yukon

1	College, about an essential it's the essential
2	skills my apologies if I get the acronym
3	wrong essential skills for northern victim services
4	worker. So the goal and right now there's a draft of
5	modules for all of those essential skills, that the
6	intention of these positions, or these providers, is to
7	provide the initial contact, as well as ongoing support.
8	That and when I say "referrals," that
9	includes referrals to professionals who have the training
10	to be supporting people in their varying degrees of need.
11	It also and when I say "referral," I want to make sure
12	because we had some discussion yesterday, referral is not
13	sending someone down the street to a different program.
14	This program in general, and I can say consistently, even
15	though all these different organizations are running
16	programs in communities, they're not typically sending
17	people down the street to a different service. They're
18	finding out exactly what they need, and they're
19	walking they're walking them there. Sometimes they're
20	waiting until they're done and continuing to provide the
21	service.
22	So I hear your concern, and I agree that
23	it's something we have to always keep in mind and keep
24	coming back to make sure that in a real time way the
25	experiences that our providers are having, that we're

1	looking at that and making sure they have access to what
2	they need to do their jobs safely, and safely for their own
3	long-term wellbeing as well.
4	MR. DARRIN BLAINE: M'hm.
5	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I hope that answers
6	your question.
7	MR. DARRIN BLAINE: It does answer it. I'm
8	wondering if we could rephrase some of it. We're here to
9	make recommendations to this to the Commission. I
10	wonder if I could ask you a few pointed questions about
11	your work and the world that you work in and the people
12	that you work that you work with and that are working
13	with these vulnerable women and children and others.
14	Would it be your recommendation today,
15	Ms. Gardiner, to the Commission that more First Nation
16	service providers, frontline workers, be hired and funded
17	and be properly qualified for the people they serve? Is
18	that a fair recommendation to the to the Commission this
19	morning?
20	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Absolutely, especially
21	in our territory where the people we are serving, people
22	providing services need to reflect the clients they're
23	serving, and absolutely, I agree.
24	MR. DARRIN BLAINE: And would you
25	it thank you. Would it be your recommendation to the

1	Commission this morning that they do what they can to move
2	heaven and earth to ensure that the services that are being
3	provided to victims be provided in all of their traditional
4	languages, and that funding be provided for that initiative
5	as well?
6	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I would agree that
7	efforts need to be significant to make sure that that's the
8	case. I hear your comments from earlier about not being
9	concerned about how to make that happen, but that a
10	recommendation because we I have the similar
11	challenges, or we do, when we when we try to make those
12	things happen, but
13	MR. DARRIN BLAINE: M'hm.
14	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: if something is to
15	be person centered, which I will always suggest is the best
16	approach, someone's first language is the best way to
17	support them
18	MR. DARRIN BLAINE: Great.
19	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: in my experience.
20	MR. DARRIN BLAINE: Great. Bear with me for
21	a moment.
22	This is a general question to everybody
23	except Ms. Pottruff. It's one of those if anybody can
24	answer this, go ahead and please please chime in, if you
25	can. I'm not trying to be smart or sassy by asking the

1	question, I'm trying to find out if anybody has the answer
2	to this.
3	We talk about all of the reports that have
4	come out with regard to victim services and some people try
5	and paint it really a nice picture about how victim
6	services are well-handled and that kind of thing. Can
7	anybody point me, or more importantly, the Commissioners,
8	to a report where the families of the decreased and the
9	victims were questioned about the effectiveness of the
10	programs, the victim services programs?
11	In essence, has there been a systematic
12	analysis of what the users are saying about the program?
13	Because it's one thing for people who sit at a desk all day
14	to look at statistics to say we're getting convictions,
15	we're doing well, people are coming to court, the witnesses
16	are coming to court. I'm thinking of a case in
17	Saskatchewan called Colton Boushie, which everyone in this
18	room should know about. That family is not very happy
19	about how they've been supported, for example. I'm
20	wondering if anybody on the panel can refer me or the
21	commissioners to any reports, either in existence on the
22	record or not, regarding this?
23	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I'm not aware of one.
24	MR. DARRIN BLAINE: Thank you.
25	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.

1	MR. DARRIN BLAINE: Thank you. Good morning
2	to the Commissioners. Those are my questions.
3	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Thank
4	you. The next party that I would like to invite up to
5	question the witnesses is from the Regina Treaty Status
6	Indian Services. And that party will have 23 minutes for
7	questioning.
8	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Good morning. This
9	microphone is affecting my bifocals this morning. So my
10	apologies. Or I guess they're called progressives.
11	Good morning. Thank you to the Elders,
12	drummers, and singers for their prayers and songs
13	yesterday. As well, as a citizen of Treaty 4, I
14	acknowledge the Treaty 7 I acknowledge the welcome to
15	Treaty 7 and bring well-wishes from our Treaty area. My
16	name is Erica Beaudin, and I am the executive director of
17	the Regina Treaty Status Indian Services. My first
18	question is to Mr. Phelps.
19	JOHN PHELPS, Previously Affirmed:
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BEAUDIN:
21	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Mr. Phelps, thank you
22	for your presentation yesterday. Regarding the Crown
23	Witness Coordinator Program, it was developed in 1991 to
24	bridge the cultural gap between the prosecutors and the
25	victims and witnesses, correct?

1	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, that was one of the
2	primary purposes.
3	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: So could we conclude
4	this program was to fill a gap with witness with victims
5	or witnesses to crime within the legal system in the three
6	Territories?
7	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
8	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Have there been
9	statistics captured to document the success of convictions
10	for the Crown since the creation of the Crown Witness
11	Coordinator Program?
12	MR. JOHN PHELPS: You may want what was
13	the question again?
14	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: So basically what I'm
15	asking is pre-CWC and post-CWC, have there been statistics
16	gathered to see if there has been more success for the
17	Crown in terms of convictions with the CWC program?
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I'm not aware of actual
19	statistics. There was a study done of the program in 2010
20	by the Policy Centre for Victim Issues with the Department
21	of Justice, and there were certainly a number of interviews
22	done with various parties to the justice system, including
23	the judiciary. And there was certainly anecdotal
24	commentary that more offenders were being held to account
25	as a result of the program, primarily due to the fact of an

1	increase in cooperation by victims in the system,
2	particularly at the trial stage. But not statistics that
3	I'm aware of.
4	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. Do you feel the
5	CRC and may I use that abbreviation has or the
6	Crown Witness Coordinator Program do you feel that it's
7	essential or integral to the success of increasing
8	conviction rates due to the support given to the victims
9	and witnesses?
10	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I do think it's it's
11	extremely important. It it certainly does increase the
12	success of the prosecution to have victims supported
13	throughout the process, understanding the process and
14	what's going on. And our experience is that there is an
15	increased or there's certainly with knowledge,
16	there's a willingness to participate. So I would agree
17	with your comment on that basis, yes.
18	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: So while the program was
19	created to create greater advantage or understanding for
20	the Indigenous peoples in the Territories, there has, in
21	fact, been the other benefit of this education and
22	knowledge creating better conviction rates for the Crown?
23	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That has been one of the
24	results, yes.
25	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. Thank you. The

1	Crown Witness Coordinator Program works with Victims
2	Services and other community-based agencies to meet the
3	non-legal needs of the victims or witnesses to crime,
4	correct?
5	MR. JOHN PHELPS: We we collaborate with
6	the other programs so that we have an understanding of
7	what's available in each community, what those programs do,
8	so that we can make the referrals, yes, so that they can
9	provide that support.
10	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. When working with
11	the overall needs of the victims or witnesses to crime,
12	we're talking spiritual, emotional, mental, physical, who
13	is the primary case holder of the victim or witnesses to
14	crimes file to ensure the needs of the client and I'm
15	using "client" just to abbreviate continuously saying
16	"victim or witnesses to crime" so the needs of the
17	client, so to speak, are met?
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Sorry. I'm having a
19	difficult time with your question. Our Crown Witness
20	Coordinators are are primary contact and responsible for
21	the sharing of information responsible for support through
22	the prosecution service. Their particular needs are
23	generally met through referrals. We don't provide
24	counselling, and we don't provide the level of support that
25	a lot of the victims would need, I believe, in the context

1	that you're asking the question. So that would be referred
2	out to another organization such as the Territorial Victims
3	Services Unit.
4	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. So the CWC
5	becomes one aspect of that client's case file in terms of
6	meeting the needs of the victim or the survivors?
7	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's correct.
8	Regardless of which agency is involved with a particular
9	victim and, for example, again I'll refer to the
10	Territorial program they also provide court support for
11	victims that that request it from them. But we would be
12	part of that team, so we would work with them. We would
13	defer to them. We would carry through with our
14	responsibility, but we would support the the individuals
15	that are providing care or support for the victim through
16	the process, as well.
17	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: So how is the overall
18	well-being of the victim's identified needs met in terms of
19	the CWC?
20	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It would depend on the
21	needs. So as I mentioned yesterday, there is information
22	gathering for the purpose of the prosecution the
23	prosecutor, sorry, who could deal with some of the safety
24	needs. And when I say that, I say it in the context of
25	what's available to us as prosecutors, which is what we

1	could request a court to place on an individual for safety
2	reasons. And they also provide assistance for travel at
3	times to make sure that an individual can make it to court.
4	We're responsible for travel for civilian witnesses. And
5	they would make those arrangements for a victim. If the
6	victim requires support, often they would make those travel
7	arrangements for the support, as well, and the requests, et
8	cetera. And they would meet with them and make sure that
9	they're comfortable in the you know, in the courthouse,
10	we'll often do a walk-through beforehand so that they
11	understand where they're going, what the environment's
12	going to be like.
13	And that's sort of a you know,
14	that that's the context of of our program. It's not
15	designed and I wouldn't put it forward to suggest that it
16	meets all of the needs of victims. We are open to working
17	with any agency that's willing to provide support to a
18	victim in a collaborative fashion so that we can meet our
19	needs and our objectives, and they get the care that's
20	required, as well.
21	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. Once the court
22	process is complete, does the CWC program disengage from
23	the victim?
24	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. It it does, yes.
25	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. What is done to

ensure from the CWC's perspective -- so once it's complete, what is done to ensure the victim's needs continue to be met once the legal process has been completed in -- and I guess what I'm talking about is if there's safety concerns after the legal process has been complete, do they stay within the program or do they go to the community-based organizations or the victims services and a new file is opened, if it becomes legal again?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: I believe the answer to your question is that they -- they go with the community-based organization. So if there -- there were safety needs at a sentencing, for example, those would be addressed in court. But once the sentencing is complete, and assuming there isn't an appeal of the case, then our responsibility -- or not responsibility, sorry, but our interaction with the victim does come to an end. There would be some follow-up meetings to ensure that they understand what took place, and that we answer any questions they may have with respect to the process and how it ultimately ended. But then, again, it would be a referral to other organizations that provide services to victims and support to victims, regardless of whether or not there's a court process under way.

MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. When working with victims or witness to violence, has there been a lens

1	created to meet the unique needs of Indigenous people in the
2	territories?
3	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Sorry, a a lens?
4	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: A lens or a a process,
5	a method, in order to ensure that you're better meeting the
6	needs, as opposed to coming in from a a westernized legal
7	perspective?
8	MR. JOHN PHELPS: We recognize the importance
9	of training, so that there's a better level of understanding
10	of the variations cultural variations throughout the
11	territory the Yukon and the territories in general.
12	That's, you know, and and, I suppose, a there and
13	an understanding is developed throughout CWC's career on how
14	to deal with particular victims from particular backgrounds,
15	and that information is shared. As far as in an a
16	lens or an identifiable policy, we don't have one, no.
17	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. So could this be
18	done to ensure cultural greater cultural appropriateness
19	of the CWC? The what you had just spoken about. Could
20	more be done?
21	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I I think that more can
22	always be done, so I I you know, if there was a a
23	look, specifically, at that, I don't see it as being a bad
24	thing at all.
25	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Thank you. In terms of

1	the evaluation of the CWC program, has there been a tool
2	created so the clients you work with have an authentic voice
3	in whether their needs are met through this program?
4	MR. JOHN PHELPS: We we don't currently
5	have a an exit tool to to assess that, no.
6	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay.
7	MR. JOHN PHELPS: We we find that it's
8	I think it would be a good idea. So I'm not too sure how we
9	would go about it, and whether or not we would have
10	successful compliance, but the the concept is a good one
11	to measure whether or not they feel that the the service
12	is positive.
13	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. So what you do
14	have for an evaluation of your program, is there any sort of
15	cultural appropriate considerations that would be identified
16	through your statistics, or how, like I said, you measure
17	success of the program?
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Do we currently have?
19	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Yes.
20	MR. JOHN PHELPS: No, we don't. We don't ask
21	or or require victims to self-identify for any purpose.
22	And we don't provide any sort of measure with respect to
23	what would be considered a success rate because our program
24	might be successful, for example, of course, in a case of an
25	acquittal if the necessary information and supports were in

1	place for a victim. So we don't we don't have a measure.
2	I mean, we would have a measure of convictions versus
3	acquittals, but we wouldn't, in that context, have a sense
4	of whether or not it was a successful service regardless of
5	the outcome.
6	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. Moving on to the
7	recruitment for CWC program. You discuss the difficult time
8	recruiting and retaining Indigenous people, correct?
9	MR. JOHN PHELPS: All people, including
10	Indigenous, yes.
11	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. In order to be
12	more client-based and friendly, is there opportunity for a
13	different recruiting or hiring process to mentor or hire
14	people who may not have the initial required education or
15	skill level, but who may have the creditability within the
16	communities and, therefore, bring a different skillset to
17	the program?
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I believe there may be,
19	yes. There may be a there may be a different approach
20	that we haven't looked at, yes.
21	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. You had mentioned
22	that the determinants of success don't necessarily have
23	clients' voices in there, but how can victims of violence,
24	witnesses, families who have utilized this program have an
25	impact in who is hired or who stays in that program? How is

their voices heard? How are their voices heard?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: In -- in -- with respect to

the who is hired, they -- they don't have a voice at this

point in time. There's -- I'm not too sure I understand the

question.

MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: I'm looking at appropriateness of staffing within there, in order to provide quality and relevant services to the Indigenous people who utilize the CWC program.

MR. JOHN PHELPS: There's not a formal avenue for -- for feedback in that regard. If that's -- if that's the question. I -- you know, I -- we're -- we're quite proud of the program that we have, and -- and the service we offer because we find it extremely important that, in the context of the work we do, we make sure we do whatever we can for -- for the victims throughout the process, within the confines of us being a prosecution service. And we think we do that very well. With respect to Indigenous or non-Indigenous workers, we really haven't had any method of assessing whether or not it would improve or decline as a result of the turnover.

At times, we've had the majority of

Indigenous court workers. Right now, we have a minority.

The service overall, though, we think is positive and

important. We talked about the recruitment methods. I -- I

1	don't disagree that we could think further outside of the
2	box for the for the recruitment, but we also have to keep
3	in mind that when we lose a Crown Witness Coordinator, or
4	times two, which would be 40 percent of our our
5	population of Crown Witness Coordinators, there's an urgency
6	to fill those positions. And we're reacting we're
7	reacting as quickly as we can to make sure that we don't
8	have a large gap in service.
9	So we would have to think about that long-
10	term, and certainly give consideration to what we hear
11	today, and what recommendations may be to figure out how we
12	do that in a timely fashion.
13	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Thank you, Mr. Phelps.
14	My next questions are for Ms. Gardiner.
15	LEANNE GARDINER, Previously Affirmed:
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BEAUDIN:
17	MS. BEAUDIN: In the Northwest Territories, a
18	community-based model is utilized for Victims Services,
19	correct?
20	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes, Ma'am.
21	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Was there ever a police-
22	based model in the territories?
23	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Not that I'm aware of.
24	My awareness goes back as far as about 2004. But not and
25	not in my the operation, like, my job every day. It's

1	not something that I'm aware of was in place.
2	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. Yesterday, you
3	stated that victims can self-refer, and they don't
4	necessarily have to be part of an active legal system,
5	correct?
6	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes.
7	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Is the agency who holds
8	the Victims Services program the primary case worker? And
9	are they also responsible for assisting the CWC with
10	navigating any legal process that occurs?
11	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Sorry. When you refer
12	to primary case worker for a victim, as far as the services
13	that they've come to look for assistance with, then I would
14	say, yes. They're they could also be involved with other
15	programs of course, with case management or other services.
16	But as far as that aspect, their their would be the
17	main contact person for the services they're looking for.
18	If they're involved with a court process as
19	well, then, yes, they'll often work together with the local
20	Crown Witness Coordinators to address victims needs. So
21	it's it wouldn't be strange. I would I'd submit that
22	a witness, or victim could be in court and have both of
23	those supports in the courtroom at the same time.
24	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. So once the legal

issues are complete, if there are, does the victim services

25

1	worker still keep an open file and assist the client until
2	they don't require services?
3	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes. There are, at
4	times, victim services providers will be in regular contact
5	with someone, you know, for for years, so it's not
6	contingent on what's happening in the court system or not.
7	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay, thank you. What
8	is the evaluation tool used to determine statistics or
9	victim satisfaction with the victim services agencies?
10	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: At this time, we
11	don't we don't have an evaluation tool that would
12	determine victim satisfaction. We have annual reporting
13	that victim services providers complete to indicate which
14	services they've been providing, what types of offences the
15	victims have been victims of, so that we can kind of see
16	what we can monitor and see what kinds of services are
17	being demanded because that, to me, and to my organization,
18	provides some valuable information about people's needs.
19	It also provides some information about what other services
20	they're being referred to, because it also paints a picture
21	of the people who programs are serving.
22	But as far as an evaluation tool and a
23	formal feedback from the victims being provided the service
24	about efficacy, currently that does not exist. There is
25	a there is a complaints process where they can come to

1	the department and let us know, and it happens on occasion
2	that if things have not gone well and we we find ways to
3	address that, but far as formal evaluation, there is not at
4	this time.
5	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay, thank you. I'm
6	cognizant that I have three minutes left, so
7	Ms. Giff-MacKinnon, I'm going to bypass the questions I had
8	for you, if you don't mind, but thank you for your
9	presentation yesterday, it was greatly appreciated.
10	BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Previously Affirmed:
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BEAUDIN:
12	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Ms. Pottruff, thank you
13	for your presentation yesterday. You discussed the
14	provincial partnership committee on missing persons, you
15	mentioned the Amnesty International report, would you also
16	say the missing and murdered Indigenous women in
17	Saskatchewan that went missing and/or murdered in 2004, '05
18	was also an impetus?
19	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yes.
20	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Today I name Tamra
21	Keepness is still missing, Daleen Muskego Bosse, Amber
22	Redman and Melanie Geddes, who went missing and later found
23	murdered. I say their name for remembrance today.
24	Would you also say from your recollection,
25	was it additional lobbying or advocacy by the Indigenous

1	Women's Leadership that created pressure for the Province
2	of Saskatchewan to respond to missing persons?
3	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yes, I think that's
4	fair.
5	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: You believe the province
6	had many lessons to learn from the Indigenous people of
7	Saskatchewan on how to work with families of missing and
8	murdered people?
9	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yes, clearly, and
10	we we did have significant support from the Indigenous
11	community and organizations to learn those lessons.
12	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay, thank you. Did
13	the province ever have a victim services model that wasn't
14	police based, to your knowledge?
15	[Registrar's note: The following response by Ms. Betty Ann
16	Pottruff was subsequently corrected. See the "Corrigendum"
17	on page II of this transcript.]
18	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: There is a
19	community-based model for the north, North Sask Victim
20	Services is a community-based model, but the other the
21	other services are police-based.
22	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: What would be required
23	for the Province of Saskatchewan, especially the municipal
24	police services, to change their model from police or
25	systems-based to community-based?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I think one of the things that could be looked at is the model of North Sask Victim Services, which is community board members as well as some police members on the board that oversees the service.

The services initially were, in my recollection, created as police-based models because there -- this was a new service, and we needed not only to find a way to bridge to victims and to support them, but also to have the police become comfortable with this sort of service because it was new, and -- and so it may be that over time the service is accepted and so that the model being placed in the police service may not be as important as it was at the beginning to make sure of -- at that time we wanted to make sure it had credibility with the police service, so it's certainly something that could be looked at.

MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Okay. How did the families of the missing and murdered people contribute to the provincial partnership? And I'm going to be really fast here, Ms. Pottruff. How can the PPMC -- because we have 20 seconds -- prioritize families' voices in the work of the PPMC -- PPCMP, and more specifically, act as voices for change with even the agencies within the provincial partnership?

1	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I think we've
2	certainly talked about ways to to have better links with
3	agencies and with families, and whether that could be
4	rotating regional meetings, each year taking a different
5	region and trying to have a more intensive conversation
6	there, or whether it's some other process. I think we're
7	open to talking about how do we how do we maintain the
8	links and the connections and make them stronger?
9	MS. ERICA BEAUDIN: Thank you. My time is
10	up right now, so as you mentioned, you will be retiring
11	from provincial public service next month, I just want to
12	personally, and on behalf of my organization, thank you for
13	your years of quality compassionate and empathetic service
14	to the vulnerable people of Saskatchewan. Thank you all.
15	(APPLAUSE)
16	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. The next
17	party that I would like to invite up to question the
18	witnesses is from the Aboriginal Women's Action Network,
19	and the Aboriginal Women's Action Network will have 23
20	minutes for questions.
21	JOHN PHELPS, LEANNE GARDINER, NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, BETTY
22	ANN POTTRUFF, Previously Affirmed:
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BLANEY:
24	MS. FAY BLANEY: Good morning. My first
25	question will, I'm sure, reveal where I'm coming from. I'm

1	wondering if you've thought of utilizing more positive
2	terminology rather than referring to the people that you
3	serve as "victims"? And that's for each of you that have
4	victim services programs.
5	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: It's not something
6	that I'm aware of in general that as something that
7	we've considered.
8	MS. FAY BLANEY: And, John?
9	MR. JOHN PHELPS: To be honest with you, I
10	can't speak for the organization as a whole, but I haven't
11	given consideration to that. Thank you for your your
12	question.
13	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: If it's okay if I
14	provide an answer to that important question? There is a
15	real movement to recognizing the limitations of the term
16	"victim of crime," and there is an increased sensitivity to
17	understanding the journey that people go through when
18	they've been harmed and and how they survive those
19	experiences, so there's a real emphasis on survival and
20	survivors of crime.
21	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Thank you. If I
22	can just respond too for a moment?
23	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm trying to see
24	where the voice is coming from.
25	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Sorry, I'm over

1 here. I appreciate what Naomi has said because I think 2 that's important. I also want to recognize that 3 Saskatchewan recently implemented a legal advice for survivors of violence program, so we're trying to move 4 in -- somewhat in that direction. 5 MS. FAY BLANEY: Okay. And my next question 6 7 has to do with what I spoke about the last time around. I'm just wondering if there was any consideration of 8 9 drawing on the work of the consciousness raising feminist antiviolence women's' groups for this type of work? 10 what I'm getting at there is this concept of service 11 12 delivery, particularly in light of the fact that Indigenous peoples have been colonized, women's groups still struggle 13 with patriarchy, and we still struggle with poverty, we're 14 a very oppressed group, and so I'm just wondering if there 15 was any consideration of drawing on groups that would do 16 consciousness raising that would recognize this as a 17 18 catalytic moment for change to recognize those oppressive forces that are impacting these groups that you call 19 victims? And that's for everybody. 20 21 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I will -- thank you for your question. I would say that the model we have includes 22 23 some of those groups as service providers already, so I would say that the -- if there's a formal effort on my part, 24 for example, to inject that particular movement into, I 25

1	would say no. But it's not necessarily my my role
2	either, we because it's a community-driven program, but
3	some of our organizations are women's groups that are
4	delivering the service, so I think that they're the
5	programs are being shaped by those those elements already
6	and just in the nature of having it be delivered by
7	community organizations.
8	MS. FAY BLANEY: Community-driven? Are they
9	Indigenous organizations behind your program?
10	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes. Some of the
11	majority of the organizations delivering victim services are
12	Indigenous governments or hamlet councils.
13	MS. FAY BLANEY: And did you speak with the
14	Native Women's Association of Canada at all?
15	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I have not spoken with
16	the Native Women's Association of Canada, but our provider
17	in our capital city in Yellowknife is the Native Women's
18	Association of the Northwest Territories. One of our
19	longest-running victim services providers has been doing
20	that work in Yellowknife for 20 years, so we I speak with
21	them on a regular basis. They're a valuable partner of
22	ours.
23	MS. FAY BLANEY: I struggle with the concept
24	of victim services and women's groups. They just seem
25	somehow to clash.

1	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I share that I
2	share that sentiment with you. My experience with the
3	people being served by these programs is one of resilience
4	and and when we talk about vulnerable peoples, I I
5	have the same if there was a way we could refer to to
6	people we're we're helping in a way that recognizes the
7	moments of vulnerability but some overall resilience, I I
8	would be absolutely supportive of changing the the
9	approach.
10	MS. FAY BLANEY: And would you make that
11	recommendation to change the approach?
12	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I I would lend my
13	support to that.
14	MS. FAY BLANEY: Okay. Good to know.
15	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Thank you.
16	MS. FAY BLANEY: And I'm I'm not familiar
17	with everyone's names over there, but if I can hear from
18	you, I would really appreciate that.
19	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Just to build on
20	what Ms. Gardiner mentioned in terms of the partnerships and
21	the question that you asked in terms of the nature of those
22	partnerships, and and the transition from moving from
23	a service that's delivered to a service that's codesigned,
24	and I agree with the the discomfort that you raised in
25	terms of some of the the ways we are thinking about

victim services. And -- and victim services networks across
the country are looking at that.

meeting this week. It is National Victims and Survivors of Crime Week, so we're having those discussions across the country. In terms of the -- the Family Information Liaison Units that have been set up, as I mentioned yesterday, many of them have been designed with input from many Indigenous women's organizations across the country, and they have codesigned the program and they're co-delivering it for families as well. So I agree that that's an important way to move forward.

MS. FAY BLANEY: So with BC, which is where I'm from, I'm just wondering if the model suits -- I -- and I had a question here relating to the rationale of why you would use the language of "family" within Family Information Liaison Unit. Because in BC, where, I think, this Inquiry began, in spite of the rhetoric going on now about it being a families-first. It did start in BC and it started not with families but in the *Pickton Massacre*. It was women that were being prostituted, and so I'm just wondering if this one-size-fits-all suits the needs of women in urban centres like the Downtown Eastside of Vancouver.

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So each -- in
terms of the -- the -- the Family Information Liaison Units,

they do look different in every province and territory, so it -- it was important to not have a one-size-fits-all. I would note as well the -- the -- in addition to the -- the funding for Family Information Liaison Units, at the same time, funding was made available to support community organizations to provide dedicated supports for families at the community level and for victims and survivors as well, so that was a companion investment to the -- the more formalized unit to work with families to get information. Though there was an -- an attempt to -- to be a -- a bit more comprehensive in terms of the partnerships and the types of needs that families and Indigenous victims and survivors of crime have.

with the term or the concept of "family" is that it is a patriarchal construct, and within the *Indian Act*, it's a patriarchal construct and it has caused huge damage in our community. So I'm wondering why you would use the language of "family".

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: When we -- when we developed the name "Family Information Liaison Unit" our thinking was to be inclusive to the needs of family members who have outstanding information about their missing or murdered loved ones, so it was about identifying the -- the group of people who had outstanding needs and -- and were

1 seeking some assistance in terms of getting the information 2 about their loved one. 3 MS. FAY BLANEY: M'hm. And I -- I don't mean any disrespect to families. I know that the families have 4 suffered a great deal across this country and they have 5 mobilized. But the fact remains that people in the Downtown 6 7 Eastside of Vancouver and other urban centres like that do not neatly fit into that one-size-fits-all of "family." 8 9 That's -- that's the case I'm making. MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Is it okay -- I 10 understand what you're saying and I -- I see what you're 11 12 saying now. So I -- I would mention that the Family Information Liaison Units across the country would be open 13 to all those different types of families that are developed, 14 very broad definition of "family" -- loved ones and friends 15 would also be included. 16 MS. FAY BLANEY: M'hm. 17 18 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: For a network. MS. FAY BLANEY: Well, I have consistently 19 maintained that this Inquiry needs to be a feminist-first 20 21 agenda rather than a families-first, so I'll leave that one alone for now. And I didn't hear from the others on my 22 23 question about the importance of coming at it from a consciousness-raising perspective, but I will -- I'm okay 24 with leaving that. I am wondering, since we're talking 25

1	about women and girls in this Inquiry, I'm wondering if your
2	programs have benefited women and girls and if you do
3	disaggregate those statistics.
4	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Our one of the
5	exhibits we looked at yesterday and will show that the
6	majority of people served by our local programs are
7	absolutely women and more often Indigenous women. So as far
8	as who we're serving, those those programs are absolutely
9	serving
10	MS. FAY BLANEY: And do you have hard numbers
11	for that?
12	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: There are numbers for
13	the '16, '17 fiscal year in the report that was do you
14	want me to give you the name of
15	MS. FAY BLANEY: Oh, no, it's okay. I'm
16	just
17	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Okay. It should be in
18	the materials you received. It's a 2016-17 program report
19	that we provide to our our partners at the Federal
20	government, and in the back, you'll see some statistics
21	around who the program's serving, like gender and ethnic
22	background.
23	MS. FAY BLANEY: Okay. And John?
24	MR. JOHN PHELPS: As far as statistics go, we
25	don't keep statistics with respect to the victims that we

support. I can say that we deal with, as I mentioned yesterday, a significant amount of violence. Within that violence, there's a significant amount of intimate partner violence, and the vast majority of individuals that we're supporting are women in that context. We have a lot of children that are victimized within the territories. In the Yukon Territory, we are part of a multi-partner collaboration for the support of children. Our Crown Witness Coordinators participate in that program and we provide -- we do provide support at a significant volume, I suppose, to -- to young women, girls, as well, but we don't have statistics on that. It's -- it's not something that we keep.

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: In Saskatchewan, in the paper that was provided to the Commission yesterday, page 14 through about 17, talks about the various victim programs that are provided by the Province of Saskatchewan, and -- and for most of them, there are some numbers provided in terms of number of clients served. The one that -- I'm not sure if this answers your question, but the one that would be relevant to the question is the numbers of transition house and sexual assault service persons served and -- and it's about -- we had -- about 80 percent of those served are women and children, and -- and, you know, many of them, most of them Indigenous, 80 percent Indigenous served

1 by those.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That's a really good question in terms of -- and some of the discussion that we've had in terms of data collection and reflecting on the impact of programming on the users of that service, how is it affecting survivors and family members. So in terms of learning from that and learning about the importance of that as we move forward, the FILU initiative, we worked with all the partners across the country to develop some consistent reporting tools, which would include seeking feedback in an appropriate sensitive way, which would vary across the country, from family members who used the FILU services, to get a sense of how the programs are meeting their objectives, and we will have some data in terms of the -- the numbers, the quantitative aspect of an evaluation and a performance measurement as well as some qualitative feedback about the services that have been provided.

MS. FAY BLANEY: I'm at my final question now. Since we're here trying to investigate the question of missing and murdered Indigenous women and girls, primarily what I'm interested in, are their lives any safer as a result of what it is that you've done? Are they, for instance, moving beyond community pressures to not report? That's a dominant aspect of my community, that women are

pressured not to report, so do you -- is there any indication that women are moving beyond that? Has there been any noticeable increase in reporting of male violence against women and children, whether that be sexual or physical assault? What difference is your program making to ensure the safety of women and girls that are alive right now?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I'm not sure that I can give you a definitive answer on any of that. What I've -- what we've heard from agencies dealing with sexual assault, for example, is that they have seen an increase in people calling for their service and their support, and there's -- there's an impression that, in fact, the number of reports are increasing to the police as well as -- as to other resources. And I think -- I think it's -- it's really hard then to put a number on that.

We know that the -- you know, less -- less than one in -- one in ten or three in ten of either domestic or sexual assaults are reported, and, as I say, there's -- there's an impression that that's increasing, but it's always difficult to -- to know whether, in fact, those statistics are -- are relevant to the nature of the violence, because the more serious the violence, the more likely it is to be reported. And so there's a lot of things to pick apart to try to figure out whether, in fact,

1 we have a good answer to that.

I do know that with programs like the legal advice for victims, survivors of sexual violence, we're hoping the information we make available to people free allows them to make a decision about reporting or not and -- and gives some control back to the victim, so the victim will perhaps have a little more sense that they can take advantage of the options that the justice system offers to them.

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Thank you for your question. I -- I agree with Betty Ann when she says that even if you see changes in -- in, you know, reported statistics, it's hard to take those apart to determine what's impacting where. I would -- I would see victim services provision, like our program, as -- as an attempt to reduce harm, to mitigate risk. Whether that's actually resulting in a -- you know, a longer-term impact on victimization or harm, violence, I don't -- I don't, and I'm not likely to have statistics related to our program that show that that's happening.

Do I think that the support that people are getting, and women in particular are getting, is in an anecdotal way that I can't show -- like, I don't have a way to show that in data. Do -- you know, emergency phones that are being provided are being used, safety planning is

1 helping with -- with women who have asked for the support, 2 they're using that, they're using those plans, they're 3 putting them in place, so I think that if we weren't there, that wouldn't be happening. So whether it's reducing the 4 violence, I'm not -- I'm not convinced that a harm 5 reduction approach is necessarily going -- you know, 6 7 serving people who are victims of crime is going to impact that long term, but I do think that women are getting some 8 9 supports that they need from people that really care about their safety in general. But do I have a rigorous 10 evaluation method that shows that? I don't. 11 12 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Sorry, I don't -- we don't have statistics that would assist in answering that 13

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Sorry, I don't -- we don't have statistics that would assist in answering that question on whether or not a positive experience through direction with our program is positive as an experience can be in the context of those cases would increase further reporting. Sorry about that.

MS. FAY BLANEY: And you?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with what was said in terms of thinking about the role of victim services has been after someone who has been harmed and trying to work within that -- that experience. So victim services comes in at a certain point in someone's experience of harm and -- and they work -- and that's where they start working from, so there are some challenges

1	there, as Ms. Pottruff and Ms. Gardiner noted.
2	MS. FAY BLANEY: Can you rephrase that? I
3	didn't quite get what you were saying.
4	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I was thinking
5	about victim services as coming in at a certain point in
6	someone's experience of harm, which is after they have been
7	harmed, so there are there are challenges within that
8	victim services framework in terms of their role in terms
9	of preventing crime. As Ms. Gardiner mentioned, there are
10	opportunities to work with with them in terms of safety
11	planning and identifying other methods to keep safe and the
12	supports that they need to move to move in that way, but
13	they come to victim services because they have been harmed,
14	so there's so that's where they're starting from.
15	MS. FAY BLANEY: M'hm. Okay, so it's
16	pointless for me to ask any prevention questions?
17	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: No, I don't I
18	don't think so.
19	MS. FAY BLANEY: Okay.
20	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I think that
21	it's just that it's there are some limitations within
22	victim services.
23	MS. FAY BLANEY: Right. Okay. Thank you
24	very much.
25	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Excuse me, just

1	MS. FAY BLANEY: Yes.
2	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Just for the record,
3	although a representative from the Aboriginal Women's
4	Action Network may not need an introduction, for the
5	record, could we have your name, please?
6	MS. FAY BLANEY: Fay Blaney.
7	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you very much.
8	(APPLAUSE)
9	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. The next
10	party that I would like to invite up to question the
11	witnesses is from the Assembly of First Nations, and they
12	will have 23 minutes for their questions.
13	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Good morning,
14	Commissioners and is this on? Good morning,
15	Commissioners and panel members. My name is Julie
16	McGregor, I'm an Algonquin from Kitigan Zibi Anishinabeg,
17	and I represent the Assembly of First Nations.
18	I would like to begin by acknowledging the
19	drum and the Elder's excuse me, and the Elder's prayer,
20	and I would like to thank the Treaty 7 people for welcoming
21	me on to their territory. In terms of questioning for the
22	panel today, I'd like to begin with directing my questions
23	to Mr. Phelps, and then to Ms. Giff-MacKinnon. Good
24	morning, Mr. Phelps.
25	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Good morning.

JOHN PHELPS, Previously Affirmed:

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MCGREGOR:

MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: I'm going to start by asking my -- I'm going to start asking my questions to you about the Crown Witness Coordinator Program. And then I'm going to ask a few questions about the PPSC Deskbook on "Victims of Crime", which I believe, is Exhibit number 4.

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Okay.

MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: To begin with, on the Crown Witness Program, which -- which we're referring to as the CWC Program, you've given in -- a lot of evidence in terms of the program this morning and yesterday, and I don't want to go over what's already been said, but I do want to ask a few questions about it. And, specifically, on the role of the CWC person and their ability to provide appropriate and timely referrals to support programs. So are there any support programs that the CWC's make referrals to, which are culturally appropriate and specific to the First Nations that you serve in your area?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: The -- the Crown Witness
Coordinator is responsible to have the requisite knowledge
for the communities that they service. And in the Yukon,
pretty much every community, with the exception of one that
we go to, does represent a separate First Nation within the
territory and they're responsible to have an understanding

of what resources are in place with respect to each First
Nation, what counselling is available within each First
Nation, and what services the First Nation, if they're not
providing themselves, are -- are supporting in the
community. And they make referrals on a regular basis to
those programs to make sure that victims understand that
they're available and can take advantage of them. It's not
always the case that there is anything that's culturally
relevant in the community, but if there is, then they would
make the referral to the program.

MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: And these services, you mentioned First Nations operated services, is there a number of First Nations operated support services in your area that you cover?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: It -- it truly does vary from First Nation to First Nation as to what it is they're offering, and at what stage they are at drawing down the powers under their self-governing agreements. Most of the First Nations have some form of an active justice department, and an active social department. At least one of the First Nations has a victim service -- I call it a department, but a -- a victim service within their First Nation. So it really depends from First Nation to First Nation if they have in-house counselling to assist their members. Then we would make the referral that -- I don't

1	have the statistics, it it's not universal, that's for
2	sure. And it's not the same from First Nation to First
3	Nation necessarily.
4	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: When you have a First
5	Nation person who's a victim of crime, how does the CWC
6	treat the victim differently as opposed to a non-Indigenous
7	victim of crime?
8	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I don't know that I can
9	answer that question. I I would suggest that they
10	approach each individual the same way, and assess the needs
11	of the individual, and they modify their approach on a on
12	a case-by-case basis by the individual, but there's not a
13	a set distinction between the approach.
14	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: You stated in your
15	evidence yesterday that the CWC employees employs about
16	21 individuals across the territories.
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
18	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: But there are only maybe
19	one in Northwest Territories and one in the Yukon who are
20	Indigenous staff; is that correct?
21	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Currently, yes. That
22	that does fluctuate, but currently that is correct.
23	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Given the Indigenous
24	population in the north and the types of communities that
25	you serve, do you think that that's an acceptable ratio?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: I -- I'm not too sure what an acceptable ratio is. It certainly doesn't reflect the victimology within the territories. We -- we certainly do, regardless of the population. The population, for example, is 25 percent in the Yukon Territory, Indigenous -- the -- the representation within the justice system on the accused side is in the range of 80 percent and I would suggest the victimology is -- is up in that range as well. So do we -- do we have representation that reflects the victimology? No, we don't.

MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Thank you. Now, the parties previous to me have brought up the issue of recruiting more people into -- into the CWC. And you've mentioned in your evidence that it is a challenge. And that a lot of the times it's very reactionary when you lose staff because you don't have the time to put in a process that would see more representation of First Nations CWC individuals, workers. Has the PPSC ever considered partnering with First Nations to develop a training program for CWC, which would ensure culturally appropriate services for First Nations -- the First Nations population? That would be, sort of, a proactive rather than a reactive way of recruiting.

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Not that I'm aware of that we have done it. I -- I would suggest that it's a good

1	idea. In the Yukon, for example, the possibility of
2	for for example, partnering with the Council of Yukon
3	First Nations would be something that could assist, and
4	would be worthwhile. Again, so there are there are
5	options available, I believe, in all three territories. Not
6	that it's necessary in Nunavut at this time, but in
7	Northwest Territories and Yukon, to change the way we do
8	things, so that we're not reacting in the fashion that I
9	I spoke of yesterday and referred to today, or earlier
10	today, whichever it was.

MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: As a Chief Federal Prosecutor, what do you see as barriers to adopting a more inclusive approach and proactive approach?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: I don't see any barriers to receiving recommendations, or even going back after having this dialogue today, and reconsidering the way we do things. I have an ongoing and continuous collaborative working relationship with the Council of Yukon First Nations on other projects. There's no reason why I could not have a dialogue about this and consider a -- an approach that would be beneficial to the goal of increasing the number of Crown Witness Coordinators. We could reconsider our approach to the Yukon College, for example, and consider presentations that might serve to attract applicants to our program. And those are issues that we could certainly consider, and we

1 could look at doing differently. 2 MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Thank you. You mentioned in your evidence yesterday that CWCs receive 3 cultural awareness training; is that correct? 4 MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's correct. Yes. 5 MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Have you, yourself, as a 6 7 Chief Prosecutor -- Federal Prosecutor, sorry, been required to take cultural awareness training? 8 MR. JOHN PHELPS: I have taken the -- the 9 training, and I have implemented it as mandatory for all 10 employees within my region. It was not required to take it 11 12 myself, no. MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Do you think that all 13 Federal Prosecutors should take cultural awareness training, 14 especially if they're dealing with an Indigenous population? 15 MR. JOHN PHELPS: I -- I do. Absolutely. 16 Yes, I support that. 17 18 MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: The cultural awareness training that CWCs take, is it provided through any of the 19 First Nations in the area, or partnered with them, or in 20 21 conjunction with them? The program that we've made 22 MR. JOHN PHELPS: mandatory was developed between the Northern Institute of 23 Social Justice, which is with the Yukon College facility, 24 and in partnership with the Council of Yukon First Nations, 25

JOHN PHELPS CROSS-EXAM BY MS. MCGREGOR

1	so it does have involvement of the First Nations. I did
2	speak yesterday of the program that was available on the
3	Tr'ondëk Hwëch'in First Nation. That's their specific
4	program, specific to their First Nation, but the one that's
5	mandatory was developed in partnership.
6	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Thank you. I'd like to
7	move on to asking you some questions about your Deskbook.
8	The Victims of Crime Deskbook, it is exhibit 4. Do you have
9	it in front of you?
10	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I do.
11	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Thank you. Now, the
12	date on the cover says January 15, 2017. Is that correct?
13	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
14	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Now, is that date the
15	day that the document has been revised, or was that the
16	original date of the Deskbook coming into being?
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: The revision date.
18	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: So this is the latest
19	version of the Deskbook; is that correct?
20	MR. JOHN PHELPS: To my knowledge, yes.
21	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Is there a section in
22	the Deskbook which deals specifically with Indigenous
23	victims of crime?
24	MR. JOHN PHELPS: No, just just what's
25	covered within this chapter.

JOHN PHELPS CROSS-EXAM BY MS. MCGREGOR

1	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: So it's a fairly up-to-
2	date document and you've discussed about the victimology.
3	Why is there no section relating to Indigenous victims of
4	crime?
5	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I apologize, but I don't
6	have an answer for that. It's not a document that I
7	personally have control over. It's developed through
8	headquarters and it's a directive from the Attorney General
9	of Canada, so I don't know the answer to whether or not it
10	was considered and not put in or whether it was an oversight
11	or otherwise. I don't know.
12	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: So you're saying that
13	you do not and your office does not have any direct input on
14	a handbook that is developed for dealing with victims of
15	crime in an area, in your specific area, which you have a
16	lot of Indigenous victims of crime?
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: We are consulted on change,
18	so if you're asking perhaps the question might be why I
19	didn't advocate for it, and I don't have an answer for that.
20	I I did not advocate for it at the time. I thought that
21	the the chapter was sufficient as written, and that's a
22	very good recommendation. Thank you
23	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Also, I'd like to turn
24	to section 4.2, "The Special Needs of Some Victims." It's
25	on page 5.

1	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, I have that before me.
2	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: I'd like to direct you
3	to the last paragraph of that section, and I'll read the
4	paragraph out for you. (as read)
5	Some victims may view court proceedings
6	with suspicion. They may have concerns
7	about biases or prejudice based on their
8	race, ethnic origin, gender identity, or
9	sexual orientation. Crown counsel
10	should be aware of such concerns and
11	seek to address them in appropriate
12	manner in an appropriate manner.
13	Can you tell me how Crown how Crown counsels seek to
14	address these concerns and what is an appropriate manner?
15	MR. JOHN PHELPS: The the requirement is
16	to be aware of the concern. We provide training to try and
17	enlighten the Crown prosecutors with respect to,
18	particularly, cultural concerns that may exist and provide
19	training for them to understand that they may need to modify
20	their approach or their appreciation for their interactions
21	with individuals as a result, but there's not a a one-
22	size-fits-all answer to that question.
23	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: And is the training that
24	they receive the same as the cultural awareness training you
25	spoke of earlier?

1	MR. JOHN PHELPS: They do have to take that
2	training, yes, and we encourage them to take advantage of
3	any training that would assist in this regard. It might be
4	made available through the Law Society, through the Yukon
5	College, or through any of the other various organizations
6	in the Yukon that may provide training from time to time.
7	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Thank you, Mr. Phelps.
8	I'm going to move my questions over to Ms. Giff-MacKinnon.
9	NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Previously Affirmed:
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MCGREGOR:
11	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: According to your
12	evidence and your biography, which is at exhibit which is
13	exhibit number 13, you lead the National Family Information
14	Liaison Unit and community-based activities with Indigenous
15	organizations; is that correct?
16	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
17	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Am I correct that I
18	I may need to be corrected on this one, but the the
19	FILU program began in August of 2016? Is that correct?
20	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That's when the
21	funding was announced.
22	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Yes. And that the FILUs
23	became operational not all at the same time, not, obviously,
24	all in on August the 26th, but afterwards in staggering
25	staggered ways?

1	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
2	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Yesterday, you described
3	the work of FILUs as as sort of a conduit, if I could say
4	that, and can correct me if that's a wrong characterization,
5	but a conduit for sharing information with family members.
6	Is that correct?
7	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That's that is
8	one way to describe it. It could also be described as a
9	navigator position, as well.
10	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: And you also stated that
11	FILUs work closely with with regional or local Indigenous
12	organizations to to provide services to family members;
13	is that correct?
14	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
15	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: That this includes,
16	as you stated in your testimony yesterday, the Manitoba
17	region, correct?
18	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
19	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Were you aware, Ms.
20	MacKinnon, that in late as of late last spring and summer
21	of 2017, regional organizations in Manitoba were on their
22	own and without on their own volition and without funding
23	from the inquiry or the FILU program, were organizing and
24	providing information and outreach sessions to First Nation
25	communities in Manitoba? Were you aware of that?

1	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Not specifically
2	that not specifically, no.
3	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Were you aware at the
4	time that there was a concern about a lack of information
5	being provided to First Nations family members in Manitoba
6	and that organizations these regional organizations were
7	taking it upon themselves to do this?
8	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I don't have I
9	didn't don't have any knowledge of the specific work that
10	was being done in Manitoba, but we were aware that that
11	information that families were seeking information
12	through other ways as well before the FILU initiative began,
13	that there were some mechanisms for families.
14	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: But this would have been
15	during the time the FILU initiative would have been somewhat
16	operational. We're talking spring and summer of 2017.
17	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I'm not certain
18	about how the Manitoba organizations were working with
19	families. I do I do know that in the Manitoba FILU
20	model, Manitoba victim services works closely with Manitoba
21	Keewatinowi Okimakanak as well as Ka Ni Kanichihk in terms
22	of their work with families, and maybe they built on that
23	work that they were doing already to to extend the
24	region's scope.
25	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Thank you. Were you

1	aware that the National Inquiry has been holding community
2	hearings across Canada to gather the truth from family
3	members and survivors?
4	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
5	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Are you aware that one
6	such community hearing was held in Smithers, British
7	Columbia, which was in close proximity to the Highway of
8	Tears, where many family members had lost loved ones?
9	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
10	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: Were you aware that some
11	of the family members who provided testimony during the
12	hearing were approached by the RCMP, in some cases hours
13	before they were about to provide their testimony, and
14	provided information about their loved ones' cases,
15	including autopsy reports, and that this was highly
16	upsetting to a lot of the family members who were providing
17	evidence?
18	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I'm aware that
19	meetings were held in Smithers with family members, that the
20	FILUs FILU team had organized with the with the RCMP
21	and with the families. Yes, I'm aware of that meeting. I
22	I'm not I'm not certain I'm not aware of the the
23	what you mentioned about the concerns families had about
24	receiving information at that time.
25	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: It was provided as

testimony during the community hearing, but it was also reported in the news that families were upset with receiving this type of information just as they were about to provide their testimony. So would that have been the job of the --the FILU, to be a conduit or a navigator for that information rather than the RCMP providing that sort of information, highly upsetting information, before the community hearing?

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: In terms of how that meeting was organized, I'm -- I don't have all the information because I was not present at that time. I do know that there were some concerns shared. I knew -- I know that there was some discussion. I -- I do -- as I understood it, the FILU team in British Columbia working in Smithers at that time were working closely with the families who were seeking the information, and that they were taking direction from families, as I understand it. But they would be best placed to speak to that.

But I -- as I understand it, as well, I do believe that they've had discussions about how that situation -- how that situation played out and how they could do -- do better in some ways in terms of working more closely together to make sure that there are no -- no concerns or -- or challenges in the -- in the work that FILUs are doing with families.

1	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: would you agree with me
2	that that that way of proceeding in terms of meeting
3	with the RCMP and providing documents such as autopsy
4	reports was not a trauma-informed way of providing
5	information to family members?
6	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: When family
7	members bring their questions to FILU teams we've talked
8	a lot about this amongst the FILU network about the the
9	importance of taking direction from families in terms of
10	what information they'd like to see. So families identify
11	what information they'd like to know, what their gaps are,
12	and FILU teams work to find that information for them.
13	Along the way, FILU has worked very closely
14	with families to identify the the nature of some of the
15	information that they're about to receive and talk about
16	how it could cause trauma and could be very upsetting, and
17	talk about ways to diminish or to minimize the trauma to
18	the extent that they can. So if families are seeking that
19	information, FILU teams take the direction from families on
20	that front but work very closely to ensure that
21	families that they're having those sensitive
22	conversations about how best to support families through
23	receiving that difficult information.
24	MS. JULIE MCGREGOR: I do have a follow-up
25	question, but I I realize my time is is up, so thank

1	you very much.
2	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. Chief
3	Commissioner, we are about 10 minutes after. We have a
4	break scheduled for 10:30. I'd like to seek your
5	instructions to call invite one more party before we
6	head into our first break of the morning?
7	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Let's
8	have one more party.
9	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. In that
10	case, I will invite the next party to come and pose their
11	questions to the witnesses. And the next party is the
12	Assembly of Manitoba Chiefs, and they will have 23 minutes
13	for their questions.
14	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay.
15	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Good. Okay. Good
16	morning, Commissioners, Elders, family members. My name is
17	Joëlle Pastora Sala. I am counsel to the Assembly of
18	Manitoba Chiefs. I'd like to just begin by acknowledging
19	and thanking the Blackfoot Nation who are welcoming us into
20	their territory. I thank them also for the prayer
21	yesterday and acknowledge the sacred items that are in the
22	room.
23	Good morning, panel members. Thank you for your
24	presentations yesterday. My questions this morning will
25	focus primarily on questions for Mr. Phelps, Ms. Gardiner,

1	and Ms. Giff-MacKinnon. And I'd like to begin with
2	Mr. Phelps. I hope you're not not feeling picked on
3	yet.
4	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Not at all. Thank you.
5	JOHN PHELPS, Previously Affirmed:
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. PASTORA SALA:
7	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: During your
8	presentation yesterday, you indicated that the the CWC
9	was originally developed in 1991, and we've already heard
10	this quote, but to bridge the cultural gap including
11	between the common law and Aboriginal and Inuit cultures,
12	correct?
13	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
14	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: And based on your
15	knowledge, was the CWC program created with any involvement
16	of Indigenous nations or representative organizations?
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I wasn't part of the
18	development at the time, and I'm not familiar with that. I
19	wouldn't know.
20	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: As a follow-up to
21	Ms. McGregor of AFN's question, do you know if PPSC ever
22	considered delivering the CWC services or programs in your
23	region through an Indigenous organization or Indigenous
24	nation?
25	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Through one? Not that I'm

1	aware of, no. I think the intention of the program our
2	program is to, as much as possible, complement any other
3	program that might be available. So we would be quite
4	supportive of more victims services being available through
5	a variety of agencies across the North, and we would be
6	happy to work collaboratively with them. So it's not a
7	suggestion that our service, you know, is is the one
8	that that should be in place for the primary care and
9	services for a victim. We're there to collaborate and
10	assist.
11	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: And picking up on
12	that theme of collaboration, in terms of bridging that
13	cultural gap, would you agree that relationship building
14	with the community is key?
15	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I do, yes. That's the
16	primary purpose for us to, where possible, try and maintain
17	continuity between our Crown Witness Coordinator and
18	specific communities.
19	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: And one of the
20	reasons why relationship building may be key is that there
21	has been a historic lack of trust in the western system,
22	including the PP
23	MR. JOHN PHELPS: PPSC.
24	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: PSC.
25	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yeah, that's a fair

1 comment, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Can you point me towards an initiative of the CWC which has the objective of building relationships with the community on a long-term basis?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Beyond the integration that we attempt with -- with the assignment, there's encouragement. There's requirements within their objectives to develop those relationships. That's something that's relatively new in the Yukon region over the last few years. I can't remember when we implemented it, but to require them to actually develop some paperwork documentation to show their knowledge of what's going on in the community. We encourage all of our Crown to do the same, and we encourage them to have meetings and -- and show the outcome of their attempts to have meetings with either leadership within the First Nation or the designated leadership representation, such as the justice departments within each First Nation, so that at least there's a development of some form of a relationship, even at -- at that level and an attempt to learn more about what might be available to better understand the community. And that's -- that's done on a community -- a community basis. And the teams, being the prosecutor who's assigned and the Crown Witness Coordinator who's assigned are expected to

1	follow through. And we provide them with the sorry. We
2	afford them with the time in the community to do that.
3	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Would there be any
4	of the in addition to what you've just said, are there
5	any initiatives that re-invest in the community as part of
6	that building of a relationship?
7	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Re-invest, did you say?
8	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: In terms of
9	relationship buildings building.
10	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I beyond what I've just
11	answered, I can't I can't think of anything.
12	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: In answer to
13	Ms. Beaudin's question earlier about cultural appropriate
14	considerations, you indicated that there were no
15	evaluations, correct?
16	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's correct, yes.
17	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: And since the
18	creation of the program almost 30 years ago, has there been
19	any evaluation or audit of the CWC to determine whether it
20	has been able to, " bridge the cultural gap?"
21	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Specifically, no, not that
22	I'm aware of.
23	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: And recognizing
24	your comments earlier that you feel that your program is
25	doing a good job, would you agree that an independent

1	evaluation might be helpful?
2	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, I think it would.
3	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Would you agree
4	that it may be beneficial to include Indigenous Nations or
5	Indigenous representative organizations in such an
6	evaluation?
7	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I think any information
8	that I can receive as the chief federal prosecutor for the
9	Yukon would be beneficial, and if that information if an
10	evaluation was done that included Yukon First Nations, I
11	think that would be quite valuable, yes.
12	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: I'd like to take
13	you to the I think it's still Exhibit 2, which is the
14	overview of public prosecutions of Canada CWC program. Do
15	you have that before you, sir?
16	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I do, yes.
17	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: And at pages 2 to
18	4 of this document there's a description of the services
19	provided by CWC; do you see that?
20	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
21	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: And these include,
22	but are not limited to, initiating contact with victims and
23	witnesses, ensuring victims understand their rights under
24	the Canadian Bill of Rights, taking appropriate steps to
25	enhance the safety and comfort of victims and witnesses,

1	and providing individualized personal and emotional
2	supports; do you see that?
3	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
4	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Are any of these
5	services provided from an Indigenous perspective based on
6	the nations within your region?
7	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Sorry, I'm pausing because
8	I my personal experience with prosecution and with
9	actually on the groundwork with the team is with the Yukon
10	territory. I think it's it's a different service in
11	Nunavut, for example, based on the population there and the
12	makeup of our Crown Witness Coordinator team, and I think
13	it's it's done differently as a result of that.
14	Is there anything specific within our
15	mandate or our approach from community to community within
16	the Yukon? Other than having the awareness through the
17	training and hopefully the understanding of the regional
18	differences throughout the territory, no, I can't say that
19	there is.
20	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Switching gears a
21	little bit, would it be correct to assume that when you use
22	the term "victim" that you're relying on the definition in
23	the Canadian Bill of Rights?
24	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Our program would go
25	beyond that, but for the purpose of the discussions here,

1	yes. We do provide a service to non sort of businesses
2	that may have suffered a loss as a result of crime, but our
3	primary focus would be the definition under the CVBR, yes.
4	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: When you say you
5	go beyond that, is there something additional than the
6	definition in Section 2 of the Canadian Victim Bill of
7	Rights that I should be aware of?
8	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Just that the CVBR deals
9	with the real person, and we do provide at times to a
10	corporate body some services, but it's a small percentage,
11	yes.
12	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Okay. Can I take
13	you to Section 2 of the Canadian Victim Bill of Rights?
14	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Sure.
15	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: So Section 2
16	specifically defines victim?
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
18	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Do you see that,
19	sir? And it says that it's an individual who has suffered
20	physical or emotional harm, property damage or economic
21	loss as a result of the commission or alleged commission of
22	an offence; do you see that?
23	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, I do.
24	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: In terms of the
25	services we just referred to provided by CWC, is it correct

1	that these services are not offered to family members of
2	missing loved ones?
3	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Is it is correct in the
4	sense that we are a prosecution-based service and we only
5	engage if there have been charges.
6	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Right. So the
7	MR. JOHN PHELPS: In most cases we wouldn't
8	be the service that provides the support, there would
9	be there are other entities within the Yukon territory
10	that would provide that support.
11	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: So you
12	wouldn't just to clarify, you wouldn't be providing the
13	supports because victims must be engaged with the criminal
14	justice system, and for those family members who have loved
15	ones who are missing, they're not understood to be,
16	"engaged with the criminal justice system;" would that be
17	correct?
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I think that's a fair
19	assessment. We have a collaborative relationship with the
20	territorial government and a memorandum of understanding
21	for service the provision of services with them and with
22	the RCMP. And when it comes to the reporting of crime or
23	concerns, as you've referenced, of missing individuals, the
24	service that would be provided would be throughput the
25	territorial government and the RCMP, or both.

1	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: And, Mr. Phelps,
2	you spoke a little bit yesterday of what happens when a
3	victim doesn't want to access the CWC services, and I
4	believe your answer was that they're it was their right
5	to do so, correct?
6	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's correct, yes.
7	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: To the extent that
8	someone has been a victim of a crime, but chooses not to
9	proceed with their complaint, you would agree that there is
10	a possibility for re-victimization?
11	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
12	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Is it also
13	possible that someone who is the victim of a domestic
14	assault, but chooses to withdraw their statement or
15	complaint, could be subject to a criminal charge of
16	mischief on the basis that the complaint was not was
17	made, but not proceeded?
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That is a possibility,
19	yes. In practice it's not the case for the very reason
20	that you've alluded to, the re-victimization of an
21	individual who has already suffered the harm.
22	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: In trying to piece
23	the different elements of the presentations we heard
24	yesterday, it occurs to me that in some cases victims may
25	be interacting with a minimum of two or three different

1 groups, so including victim services, CWV, the police, and then possibly the FILUs; is that correct? 2 3 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: I'd like to 4 explore some questions relating to the relationship between 5 these services. First, is there a formal relationship, 6 7 whether through policy or otherwise, between these service providers to determine, like, who does what when? 8 9 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Could you point me 10 to --11 12 MR. JOHN PHELPS: We have memorandums of understanding, so there's the relationship between the RCMP 13 and the Crown as it relates to informing victims of what's 14 going on after a crime has been reported, and the 15 responsibility rests with the RCMP until such time as 16 there's a first appearance in court, and then it transfers 17 18 to the Crown's office, for example. The relationship with the victim services branch in the Yukon territory is a 19 little more complex than that because they provide service 20 21 before a report of a crime, or regardless of report of a crime, through a criminal justice process and beyond as 22 23 well, so we have an understanding of primary responsibility

for updating a victim throughout the prosecution stage of

that service so that they're not receiving multiple phone

24

24	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Good morning.
23	Gardiner.
22	those are my questions for you. Good morning, Ms.
21	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Thank you, sir,
20	service, yes.
19	turnover over the lifetime of their requirement for the
18	does even within the programs themselves due to the natural
17	program we do our best for that not to occur, but it it
16	recognize as a concern, and within the limitations of our
15	conceivable, yes. It's it's something that we we
14	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's certainly
13	therefore be re-traumatized multiple times?
12	that victims would have to retell their stories and
11	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Is it conceivable
10	information requests from from the program.
9	the concerns, so we're collaborating with the program for
8	do have assigned prosecutors within our office to address
7	there's no formal MOU or otherwise with the FILUs, but we
6	MR. JOHN PHELPS: At this point in time
5	the FILUs?
4	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: What about with
3	a victim.
2	coordinated effort to make sure that it's not confusing to
1	calls with respect to what's going on, and there's a

LEANNE GARDINER, Previously Affirmed:

MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Similar to my 2 3 question of Mr. Phelps, I read in your materials that you also rely on a similar definition of victim, as per the 4 Victim Bill of Rights; is that correct? 5 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I would say we rely on 6 7 that definition specifically for, especially the position. One of the positions I'm responsible for that is responsible 8 9 for the implementation of the CVBR. When it comes to frontline service provision for victims, I would say the 10 definition is -- is broader than that. You'll see in some 11 12 of our materials we refer to victims of crime and tragedy. There are many other instances where our providers are 13 supporting families and victims where no -- where it's not 14 immediately apparent that there's necessarily a criminal 15 offence that's taken place. Suicide, for example, has such 16 wide -- has such a wide impact in a community. And victim 17 18 services providers, absolutely, serve those families as 19 well. MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: If families come to 20 21 victim services and they have a missing loved one, and they're -- is it fair to say that they would not receive 22 23 services offered by victim services in the NWT? MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Although I'm not -- you 24 know, I haven't personally delivered the service, my 25

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. PASTORA SALA:

1	understanding of what's it's not my understanding that
2	families would be turned away. Just knowing the you
3	know, the way in which the programs are being delivered,
4	those services are being delivered by community
5	organizations. It's crime and tragedy, and that's the, kind
6	of, the language we use when we're when we're if we're
7	asked for support from those providers, that's the language
8	we're using. So it's not my understanding. At the same
9	time, there there have been times where it's possible
10	that providers might see the role in a different way, yeah.
11	But if it came to our attention, we would work with that
12	community to address it. It's not my experience that that's
13	the go-to position for the providers though.
14	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Okay. Thank you.
15	In answer to a a question yesterday about the challenges
16	and gaps in delivery of service, you stated, and $I^\prime m$
17	paraphrasing, "That services are based one of the
18	challenges is that services are based and delivered when all
19	stakeholders are working well." Sorry, let me retry try
20	that again. "Services are delivered well when all
21	stakeholders are working well together."
22	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes.
23	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Is that do you
24	recall saying that?
25	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes. And it so

1 my -- I would just qualify and say I think the best service is when all stakeholders are working well together. 2 3 MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Thank you. То assist us in understanding how to move forward --4 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: M'hm. 5 MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: -- I'm wondering if 6 7 you could provide concrete examples of the types of situations when different service providers are not working 8 9 well together? MS. LEANNE GARDINER: That's a good question. 10 If I think of our two programs, the -- the Crown Witness 11 12 Coordinator Program and our program, for example, because -and I'm -- this isn't a specific example because there's a 13 general issue or concern there. But where there have been 14 challenges in that relationship or in a relationship with 15 the RCMP, for example, then the impact, if there isn't a 16 good relationship between, for example, a Crown Witness 17 18 Coordinator and a victim services provider at the community level, if they're not working well together, then, I think, 19 some of the concerns that you mentioned when you were 20 21 questioning Mr. Phelps about -- about having victims have to retell their -- their stories, for example, I think that 22 23 that's what happens. I think if we're not -- if it's not a timely service that we're providing together, and if we're 24

not communicating well, then I think that

1 that -- that is the risk, and I -- I think it happens. MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Thank you. 2 3 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: So that would be an example. And I would include any of the relationships with 4 police in that example as well. 5 MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Thank you. 6 7 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I will say our FILU, like, our local FILU works quite closely with victim 8 9 services as well, so I think it's less likely, mostly because it's all coming out of the same office. And we have 10 a -- a more formal relationship there too. So the risk is 11 -- is less in that case. But -- but we do things to 12 mitigate those as well, including joint training 13 initiatives, and -- and deliberate efforts to build those 14 partnerships. 15 MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Thank you. I only 16 have a couple minutes left, so I'm going to switch quickly 17 18 to Ms. Giff-MacKinnon. Good morning. MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: 19 Morning. You indicated yesterday that Justice Canada has funded the FILUs 20 21 for \$11.7 million for the period of September 2016 to March 2019, correct? 22 23 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: To March 31st, 2019, yes. 24

25

MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Yeah. And if we do

1	an approximate calculation, and I'm not math is not my
2	forte, but that would mean it would cost approximately \$4
3	million per year for the operation of this program?
4	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: It's a yes,
5	it's about \$4.6 million a year, but because they started
6	mid-way through the year, that's the difference. Yeah.
7	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: And you indicated
8	yesterday that you have been in operation for approximately
9	a year and a half, and that you've serviced approximately
10	400 individuals?
11	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That the FILUs,
12	yes, across the country. Yeah, I've done that.
13	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: And does this
14	number include more than one contact per individual?
15	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: No. They're
16	they're each individual. Is is that what you mean by the
17	the number of sorry. Maybe you could
18	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: I guess, I'm trying
19	to understand in when you say 400 persons, how how
20	many times can family members access the FILUs, or how long
21	approximately do the services last? And how many times are
22	you interacting with the family members?
23	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So that would vary
24	between each FILU for for sure. That there would be
25	different experiences and different questions that families

1	would have that they would bring to the FILU that would
2	determine the nature of the work that the FILU would be
3	doing to assist the family. It might be based on the the
4	family would like to receive information. They might like
5	to have meetings with each of the agencies. They might like
6	to have one meeting with all of the agencies. So there can
7	be lots of different opportunities for FILUs to work
8	directly with family members. I'm not sure is it I
9	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Thank
10	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I could expand
11	on that it if you'd like?
12	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: I think my well,
13	you know, I'm going to try and squeeze in my last question.
14	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Sure.
15	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Do you have any
16	specific objectives or expectations that you hope to
17	achieve, essentially, from September 2016 to March 31st,
18	2019 for FILUs?
19	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That family
20	members who have outstanding information gaps and are
21	seeking information about their loved one, receives that
22	information.
23	MS. JOËLLE PASTORA SALA: Thank you. Thank
24	you all.

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Thank you.

1	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Okay. So we've
2	on again, we're scheduled for a break now. And I did
3	want to bring to the attention of the Commissioners that, I
4	believe, there's a typo on the schedule. We have a break
5	for 10:30 with reconvening at 11. So, I believe, unless
6	I'll seek your instruction on that. Do we need a half hour
7	break? Or or can we request to change that, and come
8	back in say 15 or 20 minutes?
9	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: It says a
10	30-minute break
11	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Yeah.
12	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER:
13	(Indiscernible) break.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.
15	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Fifteen?
16	Twenty? I'm being I've been told 20 minutes.
17	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Twenty minutes, okay.
18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I said 15, this is
19	different. It's okay.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) 30.
21	(LAUGHTER)
22	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: So I'll just note
23	note that to the parties, that we are going to reconvene
24	then in about 20 minutes. The other issue that I did
25	mention to to the parties already was that we one of

12	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Twenty
11	we'll reconvene?
10	opportunity to put their questions to her. And with that,
9	scheduled a little bit later in the day and may not have an
8	would just note that to the parties who, again, are
7	this is going to be your last opportunity to do so. So I
6	her that you have some questions to put to Ms. Pottruff, and
5	member of the legal team, Francine Merasty, and indicate to
4	that witness has left, please identify yourselves to the
3	the day, perhaps, falling after falling into time after
2	that are scheduled for their cross-examination later on in
1	our witnesses is leaving early. So for any of the parties

- 15 --- Upon recessing at 10:37 a.m.

minutes.

13

14

25

- 16 --- Upon reconvening at 11:02 a.m.
- MS. MEREDITH PORTER: I think we're due to 17 18 get started again. I just -- before we get started, we're going to move now into the cross-examination of the witness 19 who has to leave early this afternoon because we want to 20 make sure the parties with-standing who have 21 questions -- okay, we're starting back. We want to make 22 23 sure that the parties who are due to leave this afternoon 24 have an opportunity to put their questions to the witness.

MS. MEREDITH PORTER:

Thank you.

I'm just going to set out very quickly how

the procedure for this is going to -- is going to go. have five -- we have five parties -- or four parties, actually, including Commission counsel, who have questions that they want to put to the witness, and so we are going to start the clock, as we do with all other -- with all parties, and the time for the questions that are put to Ms. Pottruff will be deducted from the total time that the party has for their cross-examination.

I'll give you an example. If six minutes of questions are posed -- are put to the witness, later on this afternoon in your cross-examination of the other witnesses, that six minutes will be deducted from your total time. They're 23 minutes, for example, you have 17 minutes remaining this afternoon for your cross-examination of the other witnesses.

That will also leave, of course, adequate time for any questions that the Commissioners may have as well for the witness. And then before the witness leaves this afternoon, there will be the allocation of time for her counsel to do her re-examine of the witness once all the questions have been put to the witness.

So the first party who has requested to put questions to Ms. Pottruff is from the Independent First Nations. I want to make sure I get the party's name. Yes, Independent First Nations, so I will invite that

1	representative up at this time to question Ms. Pottruff,
2	and ask that I would and ask that 23 minutes be put
3	on the clock, and we will take note at the end of the
4	questions of how much time remains.
5	Thanks very much, Registrar.
6	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: All right, thank you.
7	My name is Sarah Beamish, and I represent the Independent
8	First Nations in Ontario. This is a group of 12
9	unaffiliated Haudenosaunee, Oji-Cree and Anishinaabe First
10	Nations. Each one of these First Nations has lost women to
11	violence, most recently 23-year-old April Carpenter who was
12	just found in the Red River last week.
13	These First Nations bring their greetings to
14	the Commission and thank the Blackfoot Nation for hosting
15	this Inquiry on their territory.
16	BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Previously Affirmed:
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BEAMISH:
18	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: So, Ms. Pottruff, I just
19	want to ask you questions about two things. The first I
20	would refer to Exhibit 20, page 18 of Exhibit 20. This was
21	the this was a this page 18 of this report talks
22	about some legislative enactments which included The
23	Victims of Interpersonal Violence Act.
24	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: M'hm.

MS. SARAH BEAMISH: And I want to read you

1	one line from that page. It says: (As Read)
2	The use of the emergency provisions
3	related to exclusive occupation of a
4	home is considered by the Federal
5	government to be in conflict with
6	Federal authority relating to Indian
7	Reserves.
8	So I'm wondering if you can tell me, does
9	this mean that the emergency provisions related to
10	exclusive occupation of a home are not enforced on reserves
11	in Saskatchewan?
12	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: That's correct.
13	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. So just after
14	that in the report it goes on to state: (As Read)
15	Although some Bands have attempted to
16	adopt the emergency intervention order
17	regime by band bylaw, the bylaws have
18	not been approved by the Federal
19	government.
20	Can you explain why these bylaws have not
21	been approved by the Federal government?
22	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I'm not sure I can
23	give you the Federal explanation. This goes back to the
24	early development of the emergency protection order regime,
25	and and while there is an argument as to whether or not

1	those occupation clauses are ultra viers or not in terms of
2	a residence on Indian Reserves, for caution sake we have
3	suggested that that people not rely on those.
4	Bands have attempted to take the
5	Saskatchewan provisions and replicate them in bylaws and
6	sent them to Ottawa, and they have not been approved. Now,
7	since that process, we also have the family property on
8	reserve regime, which tries to replicate, again, the
9	emergency intervention order provisions that are in the
10	Saskatchewan legislation.
11	We have an option, obviously, of enacting
12	those in the province. It requires that we designate a
13	justice of the peace, and the province has been prepared to
14	do that. But we consulted with First Nations leadership in
15	terms of whether or not there was support for our doing so,
16	and and they advised no. So we have not designated
17	justice of the peace for that purpose.
18	We do have we do say the other provisions
19	of the emergency protection order regime do apply on
20	reserve, it's just with respect to the specific residence
21	that there's an issue.
22	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay, thank you. So my
23	second set of questions is about I'm not sure if we've
24	called it Exhibit 21 or 22, it's the Saskatchewan Final

Report on Domestic Violence Stats.

1	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Okay.
2	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: I think it's Exhibit 22.
3	So this report makes a number of recommendations that
4	include access to programs and services, such as domestic
5	violence treatment programs and parenting education
6	courses, that kind of thing. Would you agree that the
7	delivery of these kinds of programs and services is
8	typically designed for those who are either in or have easy
9	access to urban centres?
10	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Not necessarily.
11	The programs can be delivered by smaller organizations in a
12	community, and so I I think that we very much, when
13	we're developing these types of programs, we're looking to
14	community in terms of what are what are the local
15	organizations prepared to prepared to provide and and
16	is there some way to support that. So I don't think you
17	have to have an urban situation to be able to provide
18	programming.
19	We have experience with communities like
20	Sandy Bay offering family centres and being able to provide
21	a lot of programming there, so I think that that shows it's
22	possible in a smaller community.
23	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. So although it's
24	possible, would you say that people in more remote
25	Indigenous communities often have difficulty accessing

1	these programs, particularly if they're living with poverty
2	or disabilities or they are caregivers?
3	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Absolutely. Unless
4	we can make the programs available locally, obviously
5	travel costs are prohibitive to some people and
6	particularly if the location is remote.
7	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. So would you
8	agree that people living in remote Indigenous communities
9	are often falling between the cracks of some of these kinds
10	of programs and victim services?
11	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I'm not sure that
12	they're falling between the cracks, per se. I think the
13	issue is, are we prepared to sort of penetrate all the
14	communities with with sufficient programming? Is is
15	there the financial and and human resource potential
16	available to be able to to be in all communities?
17	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. So given
18	Saskatchewan's experience with maybe trying to deliver some
19	of these programs in more remote communities, are there
20	recommendations you would make to the to the Commission
21	about how these kinds of services can be made more
22	accessible and more useful for Indigenous women and girls in
23	those communities?
24	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, I I think a

lot of it has to do, as -- as I've indicated, with having

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the financial resources to be able to support local
programming and to be able to train local facilitators to
provide the programming. Often, that requires that that
we develop, sort of, the program outline and and matrix
for the program so that the local providers don't have to
start from square one, right? But they've got they've
got a product that they can then implement and and adopt
to the community culture or needs.

MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. All right. I think that's all my questions for you. Thank you, Ms. Pottruff.

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Okay, thanks.

MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Can we stop the clock? And I believe we had 16 minutes and 43 seconds left for that part of this afternoon, and at this time, I'm going to invite the representative for the Nishnawbe Aski Nation, Grand Council Treaty 3, and the Treaty Alliance of Northern Ontario to come up and put questions to Ms. Pottruff. request that 23 minutes be once again put on the clock.

MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Good morning, (Speaking in Native language), bonjour. On behalf of my clients and Treaty Alliance Northern Ontario, which is made up of the Nishnawbe Aski Nation and Grand Council Treaty 3, I would like to thank the Blackfoot Nation for welcoming us so kindly here. I acknowledge the sacred items in the room

1	and thank you for the prayers and the drum song. Also, I'd
2	like to acknowledge the traditional territory of Treaty 7
3	and the Métis Nation Region 3 again.
4	BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Previously Affirmed:
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ORDYNIEC:
6	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Ms. Pottruff, I
7	yesterday, in your testimony, you talked about barriers to
8	going north. Could you just expand on that a little bit for
9	context and and let us know what you meant by that?
10	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Are you referring to
11	barriers to continuing the to meet with the northern
12	families?
13	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: That's right.
14	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Okay. What
15	happened, for example, is fires. Fire season led to
16	cancellation, because obviously, it was not the first
17	
	priority for the community to be dealing with with
18	with our our issues at at that time. Other issues
18 19	
	with our our issues at at that time. Other issues
19	with our our issues at at that time. Other issues that intervened were simply weather, other thing
19 20	with our our issues at at that time. Other issues that intervened were simply weather, other thing elections, all of those things intervene with with being
19 20 21	with our our issues at at that time. Other issues that intervened were simply weather, other thing elections, all of those things intervene with with being able to organize.
19 20 21 22	with our our issues at at that time. Other issues that intervened were simply weather, other thing elections, all of those things intervene with with being able to organize. MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: And would you agree

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: That -- that's part

1	of it, because of the travel costs involved, and it's also
2	part of for the northern communities as well. How do you
3	how do you organize to have people accessible in in
4	several communities and and try to cover the north?
5	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Sure. So the
6	remainder of my questions will focus on exhibit 20 and
7	specifically page 15. You talk about a Northern
8	Transportation and Support Initiative created in 2015, and
9	obviously, you're familiar with this, correct?
10	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yeah.
11	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Could you provide some
12	context and backgrounds on how this initiative came to be?
13	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, we've
14	certainly had lengthy discussions with northern communities
15	and representatives through organizations such as STOPS to
16	Violence or Saskatchewan Aboriginal Women's Circle Corp and
17	other partners about some of the challenges of northern
18	Saskatchewan and how to address the issues.
19	Because we do not have transition houses or
20	safe houses in most of those communities and and there
21	are challenges to creating such facilities in those
22	communities, and one of the things that we heard back from
23	our partners was was the concern about that that

individuals out of the community or trying to find a way to

1 support them to flee, and they were, you know, this is a 2 personal cost to them. And so we -- we developed the 3 Northern Transportation Initiative to try to -- try to make sure that we offset that cost and made sure that there was a 4 program that people could apply to for funding emergency 5 relief to, in fact, help with the transportation costs and 6 7 -- and resettling of people in a place where they would be 8 safe. 9 MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: And is it only to do with emergency situations? 10 MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I -- pretty much, 11 12 yes. MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Okay. And in the 13 guidelines for delivery that are footnoted, you suggest, 14 quote, "leaving in a hurry," which would support that. How 15 quickly is an individual able to access the assistance from 16 -- from when they determine they're in an emergency 17 18 situation to when help is provided? MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: 19 Immediately, because they can access the -- the northern victim services 20 21 organization 24/7. 22 MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: And how did -- how do 23 remote communities become aware of this initiative, aware 24 that this is available to them? MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: There would have 25

1	been discussions with northern community service providers.
2	Certainly, the police would be aware of it, and victim
3	services would become aware through the police, I think, or
4	through direct contact. Often, when you're dealing with
5	northern communities, you're also making sure that
6	organizations such as the local nurse and and others know
7	about the services that are available. I don't know the
8	specifics of how this program was was publicized, but
9	those would be some of the processes we use. We often, as
10	well, use Missinipi Radio to make sure that people are aware

of the services.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: And after an individual is supported to leave a community, what kind of supports are available to them when they are in a safe place?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Often, they would be being supported into a transition home, either in -- in one of the northern locations or they would be linked to services that -- that are available to other individuals, whether they're Social Services, whether there's welfare, income services, whatever, they would be linked to those.

MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: And Ms. Pottruff, would you agree with me that this is only one option and perhaps a better option would be to have those resources in the northern communities so that individuals fleeing violent

1	situations, specifically women, would not have to travel
2	these far distances?
3	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: You mean in terms of
4	resources for them to be able to have a safe place to stay?
5	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Right. That's right.
6	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yes. If if if
7	we can think of how to do that in a way that will maintain
8	their safety, which is the biggest concern.
9	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Thank you very much.
10	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you, and I'll
11	ask
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me. For the
13	record, could we have counsel's name, please?
14	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: I apologize. It's
15	Krystyn Ordyniec.
16	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Okay, and for the
17	record, I'm just going to note that the clock stopped at
18	17:30 for the party. The next party I'm going to invite up
19	to question Ms. Pottruff is from the Native Women's
20	Association of Canada. And again, if 23 minutes could be
21	put on the clock?
22	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Thank you. First
23	first, I'd like to begin by thanking Treaty 7 and the Métis
24	Region 3 for welcoming us to their territory today. I'd
25	also like to acknowledge the sacred items that are in the

1 room with us and thank the Elders for their prayers this 2 morning. 3 BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Previously Affirmed: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. LOMAX: 4 MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And, Ms. Pottruff, you 5 testified yesterday that you -- you have witnessed your 6 7 staff and -- oh, no. You testified yesterday that, in the PPCMP, there was not a reporting relationship with the 8 9 government because a reporting relationship with the government will put the government above other parties, 10 thereby creating a non-partnership relationship. Is that 11 12 correct? MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: That's correct. 13 MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And would you agree with 14 the statement that a relationship with the government where 15 one party is reporting to the government is not a 16 partnership but is rather creating somewhat of a hierarchy? 17 18 MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: It's -- it can be collaborative, but it is still a hierarchy, yes. 19 MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And yesterday you 20 21 testified that you hoped that this inquiry would come out with practical -- practical, workable recommendations for 22 23 you to begin implementing. Is that correct? 24 MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Correct.

MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: So throughout the course

1	of this inquiry, we have heard many families give
2	recommendations to the inquiry, and yesterday, you testified
3	that, through the course of the PPCMP, families brought
4	forward extremely important information and recommendations
5	that were instrumental to the PPCMP. Is that correct?
6	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: This is correct.
7	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And would you agree with
8	the statement that recommendations from families who have
9	testified before this inquiry are also important and
10	instrumental to the inquiry's work?
11	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I would assume so.
12	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: So would you agree that
13	educating the public from coast to coast to coast about what
14	really happened in residential schools is a practical,
15	workable recommendation for you or other governments and
16	their departments to begin to implement?
17	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I believe so. I
18	I believe that's also a recommendation in the in the
19	Truth and Reconciliation Report.
20	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And would you agree
21	with the statement that developing strategies and programs
22	in partnership with Indigenous people to restore and
23	promote Indigenous culture, spirituality, and languages is
24	a practical, workable recommendation that you or other
25	government departments could work to implement?

1	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Certainly, I think
2	so.
3	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And would you agree
4	with the statement that working in relationship with
5	Indigenous people to develop and implement strategies to
6	lift up and strengthen Indigenous families instead of
7	separating families through apprehension is a practical,
8	workable recommendation that you and other government
9	departments could work to implement?
10	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I agree.
11	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And would you agree
12	with the statement that creating more transparency and
13	enforceable rights for victims and families within the
14	criminal justice system is a practical and workable
15	recommendation that you and other government departments
16	could work to implement?
17	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I I think
18	that's true in balance with always maintaining the balance
19	with the rights of the accused and the rights of the
20	community.
21	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And would you agree
22	with the statement that funding and promoting honouring and
23	memorial projects or funds for families of missing and
24	murdered Indigenous women is a practical, workable
25	recommendation that you and other government departments

1	could work to implement?
2	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I think you heard
3	me speak about the challenges of using the word "memorial".
4	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Yes, in certain
5	circumstances.
6	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Okay. And and
7	so I would have to differ on that. I certainly support any
8	community, any organization, any family who wants to
9	develop some form of remembrance, but it doesn't have to be
10	one form. Each community, each family, is different, and
11	we need to honour that, as well. And and for for us,
12	it was important to have a a symbol of remembrance, but
13	there are many ways to do this, and I I would not
14	presume to say for families or communities how it should be
15	done.
16	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And would you agree
17	with the statement that closing the gap in health care
18	delivery between non-Indigenous and Indigenous people,
19	particularly children, is a practical, workable
20	recommendation that you or other government departments
21	could work to implement?
22	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I think it's a
23	very important recommendation, and and I think it's one
24	that should be developed.
25	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Would you agree with

1	the statement that releasing document about missing or
2	murdered loves ones to families is a practical, workable
3	recommendation that you or other government departments
4	could work to implement?
5	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I believe that's
6	true, subject to the usual privacy and and other
7	legislative restrictions.
8	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And would you agree
9	with the statement that addressing race and sex-based
10	bullying in schools is a practical, workable recommendation
11	that you or other government documents could work to
12	implement?
13	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I agree.
14	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And would you agree
15	with the statement that developing a 24-hour a day
16	counselling service for families and survivors is a
17	practical, workable recommendation that you or other
18	governments could work to implement?
19	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I think we need to
20	develop counselling support. Whether it's practical to
21	have 24 hour and how to do that, that would be the
22	question.
23	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And finally, would you
24	agree with the statement that you and/or other government
25	departments could begin to implement these practical and

1	workable recommendations in partnership in First Nations,
2	Métis, and Inuit communities, families, and organizations
3	before the release of the National Inquiry's final report?
4	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I certainly think
5	that governments and other organizations don't need to wait
6	for a final report to continue good work. But once again,
7	we're hoping that the final report would would help
8	direct us down some pathways.
9	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Thank you very much.
10	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. And if we
11	could stop the clock? And for the record, the clock was
12	stopped at 1715, so that is the remaining time for that
13	party this afternoon. And at this time, that concludes the
14	parties that have requested to put questions to the
15	witness. And at this time, I'd like to invite the
16	commissioners to question Ms. Pottruff.
17	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Does the
18	commission counsel have questions for her?
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't believe so.
21	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: The questions were
22	asked by another party, so so they've been asked and
23	answered, so I do not need to use the time. Thank you.
24	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Thank
25	you.

1	COMMISSIONER QADAJ ROBINSON: I I have
2	one question, and it will sort of build what Ms. Lomax was
3	working on. The or her style.
4	QUESTIONS BY THE COMMISSIONERS:
5	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: In terms of
6	how I'm so bad with acronyms the PPCMP?
7	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Yeah,
8	that'll do. Partnership committee. That's easy.
9	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: The
10	partnership committee? Okay. In terms of how that was
11	structured and brought together, is this a model of
12	inter-departmental and inter-stakeholder relationship that
13	you would recommend for other decision-making bodies,
14	power-holding bodies? I state that because I think we have
15	to talk about more than just governments here. Everyone
16	who is in a position to impact the lives of Indigenous
17	women and girls plays a role in this issue, so I I tend
18	to speak about power-holders, those with obligations, as
19	opposed to just using the generic "government."
20	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I I think it
21	worked well for us. I think it can work well in other
22	situations. It is very dependent on relationships and on
23	building trust. And and so those are two of the
24	foundations for using the partnership approach. It's not
25	unlike what's now called collective impact approaches, and

those have been very effective, too. So I think -- I think 1 2 if you look at the literature on collective impact, you 3 will find many similarities to what we unconsciously did. And I think that yes, it is -- it is a good practice. 4 may not work in every scenario. 5 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: One of the 6 7 things we've heard from families and grassroots organizations, particularly Indigenous feminist 8 9 organizations, is a lack of having the seat at many of these tables. How in your partnership was -- was that 10 addressed or considered? 11 12 MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, our partners included Indigenous organizations. We had the Federation 13 14 of Saskatchewan Indian Nations, now the Federation of Sovereign Indigenous Nations, the Women's Commission 15 membership, as well as Saskatchewan Aboriginal Women's 16 Circle Corp. and -- and other organizations. So -- so we 17 18 were certainly conscious of -- of having those voices at 19 the table. You also don't need to have the voice at the 20 21 table to have the voice heard because you can have meetings with agencies, which we had, to -- to also hear 22 23 perspectives and take those into account. 24 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: And is that something that -- that's similar to my first question. Do 25

1	you think that other agencies and decision-makers should be
2	engaging with that objective in mind?
3	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I think it's
4	important to hear the voices from the different
5	constituents. And and if depending on the mandate
6	and and the purpose of of the group putting together,
7	yes, it may be appropriate to have those individuals as
8	part of the group, or it may be important to simply have
9	another opportunity to hear those voices and and discuss
10	what they have what they have suggested.
11	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Those are all
12	my questions.
13	Do you have any? He doesn't have any
14	questions.
15	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Thank
16	you.
17	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay.
18	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay. I
19	have some questions.
20	In looking at the document 113127 the
21	document, "Part II: Institutional Hearings", which is
22	Exhibit 20, Page 15, which was referred to by other
23	counsel, by the Northern Transportation and Safety
24	Initiative, isn't this premised on the assumption that the
25	parties leaving the communities are women and children?

1 MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Primarily, yes. CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay. 2 3 What support, financial support, is there -- or any other type of support is there for alleged abusers to leave a 4 community other than through the criminal justice system? 5 MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: And -- and that can 6 be a challenge, I agree. I'm not aware whether we've had 7 situations where they've been supported to leave the 8 community. It -- it may be that there have been, but I'm 9 not aware of those. 10 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: 11 12 So if we are looking at an emergency intervention order, and the alleged abuser or alleged offender is removed from 13 the home, what resources, if any, are available to that 14 alleged abuser to leave the community so that the order can 15 be enforced, in other words? 16 MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, often there 17 is that challenge in the community in terms of whether 18 there is another location in the community that they can 19 reside in, with family or friends, but we know the housing 20 21 issues in many of those communities, and so they -- they may be looking for opportunities to leave the community. I 22 really can't speak to it more than that. 23 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Assuming 24 for the moment this scenario, a family of four, a mother, 25

two children and father/husband, or spouse, and the spouse -- father/spouse is an alleged abuser, because I'm going to say I know it works the other way, where women can be abusers as well, but for the time being we will say this scenario. Isn't it -- and I appreciate I'm asking an opinion here. Isn't it less disruptive to the family to remove the abuser from the community than to remove the rest of the family?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: That's certainly an issue that has been raised by community and by partners in terms of the lack of adequate support for abusers in terms of housing, whether it's in a remote community or whether it's in a rural community, there are issues in terms of you don't want the abuser unnecessarily detained in jail, but there needs to be a place for them to go to. Some of the southern locations, of course, have -- have shelters which are provided available to men as well, but yes, it is one of the challenges.

CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: In considering programs that are offered to protect women and children and work that you've done, especially with -- I better get this right -- the partnership committee, have you taken the perspective of a women and children, human right to be safe and removing the abuser from the situation as opposed to removing the woman and her children from the

unsafe situation? Have you applied that lens to your
programs?

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Well, and I think that was the initial reasoning behind the emergency prevention orders, was, in fact, to have an intervention which would maintain the women and children in the home, and -- and so that's the whole focus behind that, is to be able to have an emergency response that would support the women and children staying in the home. But for a fulsome response you need to also look at how are you going to then support the abuser, where are they going to -- where are they going to go? And I would agree that we don't have a fulsome response on that process yet.

chief commissioner marion buller: So really in Saskatchewan the only way that a woman and her children, her family, can realistically remove an alleged abuser from not only the residence, but also the community, is through the criminal justice system?

ms. Betty ann pottruff: The emergency protection order is a civil order, it's not a criminal order, so there are those. There's also peace bonds, you know, there's other processes that are used. And where at all possible, obviously, we hope that the woman and the children can stay in the community, can be safe with the emergency protection order or the peace bond or whatever.

1	But where it's important that because their safety
2	cannot be maintained in the community, then then our
3	resort is generally to remove them from the community.
4	I will note that, you know, we are
5	continuing to have extensive consultations with our
6	partners on how to address violence against Indigenous
7	women and girls in Saskatchewan, and as well how to address
8	interpersonal and domestic violence within the province,
9	and we've had a number of raw discussions with our partners
10	over the last two years trying to come up with how we move
11	forward collaboratively with an action plan.
12	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay. In
13	Saskatchewan you have the domestic violence courts?
14	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yes.
15	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Are
16	there
17	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: We have three of
18	them.
19	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: There are
20	three of them in total. How how are the referrals made
21	to get families into those courts? Is that through Crown
22	counsel only?
23	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: The courts the
24	three courts operate slightly differently, but, yes, it's
25	through Crown counsel, but also through legal aid, in terms

1 of their clients have to be willing and interested in 2 taking that approach. If they are not interested in a 3 therapeutic approach, then -- then they will soon be screened out of domestic violence court. 4 5 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay. Again, I appreciate I'm getting a little beyond your 6 7 expertise. For women and their families who go through domestic courts, what, if any, supports are there for them 8 9 through -- throughout that whole process? 10 MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: They're fairly extensive supports. The domestic violence courts, the 11 12 victim services are involved throughout with -- with supporting the victim, and as well the courts involve a 13 14 collaborative approach between legal aid, it can be child protection, it can be mental health, it can be victim 15 services and the Crown, to sort of case manage and support 16 both the victim and the -- and the offender or the accused 17 18 going through the process, and -- and we found it to be, I'd say, very effective. 19 That, by and large, that you -- you achieve 20 21 earlier quilty pleas, so the matter is resolved earlier, and then the offender may be involved in treatment, but the 22 23 victim is also provided treatment and support during that period of time often, and -- and as a result, the sentence 24

for the offender is -- is affected, recognizing if they've

completed the treatment. And more frequently people in the therapeutic stream complete the treatment than those who are not, and that, in fact, our evidence, which may not be strong, but is persuasive, is that the level of violence is vastly reduced after going through this process, that we don't see as much re-offending.

CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay.

Thank you, those are my questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Merci chefs, commissaires, merci beaucoup, alors je vais procéder en français. Je veux juste me permettre Mme Pottruff, vous avez fait une belle introduction sur vos expériences, votre bagage et vos implications et étant une femme très, très impliquée pour le droit des enfants et je me souviens il y a plusieurs années sur toutes les questions pour faire en sorte qu'éventuellement le Canada a mis en place cette commission d'enquête là. Alors je vous remercie d'avoir contribué avec beaucoup de gens. Dans votre introduction, vous avez parlé de chaos qui règne, un chaos qui règne au saint des communautés et qui a de grands défis et parmi les obstacles, une des raisons pourquoi on se retrouve de même dans les communautés et un changement de leadership constant, ce qui arrive à travers le Canada, on est d'accord avec ça, et que les communautés doivent travailler avec plusieurs paliers de gouvernement et avec cette

réalité-là, il est difficile d'apporter un grand changement. Lorsque vous avez mentionner ça, oui je suis d'accord pour avoir entendu d'autres témoins, le vivre dans leurs propres communautés mais la question que je pose dans le cadre de ce mandat avec toutes vos années d'expérience et implication et académique aussi, professionnel, avez-vous réfléchi à des solutions? La solution magique mais à des solutions qui pourrais devenir des recommandations?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Now, there's a big question. I think one -- one of the -- I'm getting some feedback here, so I'm trying to -- one of the things that impressed me, and it's not maybe everybody's solution, but certainly the consensus form of government that you see in the Northwest Territories and Nunavut is -- is a really interesting, different style of -- of governing. But I think it's more along the process of collaboration. And -and the expectation that to provide services to individuals, all levels of government have to be collaborative. And that we have to avoid, as much as possible, the partisan influence on really what are the big, complex, wicked questions as we call them. That if you want to see change, sustainable change, there has to be a commitment that crosses party lines, and crosses governments. To -- to be able create change in a generation, is what we all want. And there has to be a --

1 a sustained emphasis and momentum to do that. And, I think, people of goodwill can -- can create that. 2 3 COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Merci. Alors qu'est-ce qui explique dans les années 90, 2000 et 4 aujourd'hui à la fin des années 2000, au lieu avec toutes 5 les recommandations, notamment ceux de vos rapports que vous 6 nous avez présenté. Au lieu de voir une diminution au 7 niveau de la violence faites aux femmes et au filles 8 9 autochtones. Au lieu de voir une diminution au niveau des statistiques au niveau des disparitions et des meurtres ou 10 des meurtres, des décès et des meurtres non résolus, et la 11 12 liste est longue. Pourquoi on se recouvre avec une explosion de chiffres là, alarmante à travers le Canada et 13 dans votre territoire aussi, province? D'après vous, 14 qu'est-ce qui se fait qu'on se retrouve avec ça? 15 MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I'm -- I'm getting 16 feedback again, sorry. So I got to turn this down. 17 18 COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: You understand 19 me, yes? MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yeah. I -- I think, 20 21 that in fact we have to be very careful with the numbers. The general social survey numbers from the Canadian Centre 22 23 of Justice Statistics would tend to indicate that, in fact, we're not seeing an increase in crime. And that some crimes 24 25 are -- are, perhaps, being decreased, and that includes

domestic violence, but that's not true in every community, right? So taking very general statistics and trying to draw from them what's happening, it's a very broad brush, and doesn't give you a very accurate picture. You really need to be talking to the community about what they see in their community or looking at more localized statistics.

The same with -- with criminal justice statistics. They're very broad strokes and they -- they don't tell you a lot, quite frankly. Because it's either about what's reported, or what's under reported, or you know, how comfortable people are with reporting. And so one of the challenges that -- that we faced since the Indian and Métis Justice Review Committee Reports in 1991, '92, was the request that there be more statistics, more accurate statistics. And we still aren't there. And -- and partly that is -- requires a discussion as to what it is we want to collect. What are we going to measure? And how are we going to measure it?

And -- and so the statistics in and of themselves it -- you know, just aren't much of an accurate measure given that so much is unreported, and -- and the -- and the level of -- of violence that -- that individuals themselves, either are afraid to come forward, or feel they would manage themselves, or whatever.

I -- I think that the situation is much more

hopeful than you've indicated. It -- it is my belief that there is greater awareness now of -- of the issues of violence against Indigenous women and girls. And -- and that's thanks, in part, to the Commission and thanks much to the work of the Indigenous womens' organizations, and the families, in bringing these issues forward. I think there's a -- a large community awareness of violence, generally, in the "Me Too" movement. And others have -- have increased that.

What is needed is, I think, focus and tools and support for individuals, communities, and governments to work collaboratively on prevention and awareness. To work on community-based solutions because each community is different and has a different group of agencies or supports. And to work on systemic change.

And those are the three recommendations, actually, that came out of our consultations with Indigenous organizations and non-Indigenous organizations, on how to address violence against Indigenous women and girls in Saskatchewan. Those are the three areas that -- that were identified that need action.

COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Merci
beaucoup. Justement, c'est la prochaine question. À la
lecture de vos documents, on semble comprendre que c'est une
approche globale, pas globale mais qui va inclure les

premières nations, métis, et femmes de la Saskatchewan, et dans d'autres régions on va voir qu'il y a des politiques précisent qui sont des plans d'action pour les premières nations. Est-ce que chez vous, les groupes de femmes et les femmes qui ont participé à vos travaux veulent être incluses avec les canadiennes ou aimerais avoir une politique pour les femmes autochtones, un plan d'action pour les femmes autochtones et une approche spécifique pour les autochtones?

been successful in and -- it's getting -- turn this down, we'd had discussions on the issues of how do we address violence against Indigenous women and girls in Saskatchewan. We want -- or what we heard back from those discussions is that people want a Saskatchewan solution, okay. We've also heard that that solution needs to recognize the violence happens in families in communities. So it is not just Indigenous women and girls, although that is the primary focus. What we can't -- we forget there's violence against Indigenous boys too. There's violence against Indigenous men. You can't heal a community just by looking at one part of the equation, right? But we also don't want to lose the focus that now is there on -- on the violence against Indigenous women and girls.

Having said that, and having had those conversations, including what type of principles we would

use, and that includes human rights principles, and leadership, and community-based development, and -- and recognizing culture. And all -- all those issues we -- we've taken into account in terms of looking at what would be some possible principles for moving forward. There -- there was agreement that while the issues that face Indigenous women and girls are -- are critical to moving forward, this should not be separated from the issues that impact all women and girls. And -- and from the province as a whole, we're all living together in one place. We have to find a way to live together. And some of the violence, as -- as we know, against Indigenous women and girls is from non-Indigenous men and boys, right? So -- so we need to find a way to heal together and to live together.

And so we then had a consultation that -which involved our Indigenous partners as well as -- as
community partners talking about what is Saskatchewan -what can be a Saskatchewan approach then to address the
inter-personal violence and abuse. That doesn't mean that
there wouldn't be a focus on specific issues important to
Indigenous women and girls. What -- but it would be part of
the overall approach to address violence, recognizing it
affects everyone in the community.

What we want is a situation, hopefully, where everyone sees stopping violence as their responsibility.

1	And it's in and every business, every organization, every
2	individual has that as a responsibility to prevent and
3	respond to violence because it takes a community.
4	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Ma dernière
5	question, merci beaucoup, ma dernière question. On voit que
6	dans votre document le plus, avec les recommandations,
7	l'évolution et l'état ou est rendu la recommandation. Ça
8	c'est un outil je pense que tout le monde devrait se doter
9	de ce suivi là au niveau des recommandations donc ma
10	question est, et peut être que je l'ai manqué, qui s'assure
11	ou est rendu tel recommandation? Est-ce que vous collaborer
12	avec un groupe de travail dans lequel on retrouve des
13	autochtones, des métis, des premières nations pour être
14	partie prenante dans l'évolution des recommandations?
15	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: In terms of
16	the sorry, the partnership committee recommendations, or
17	the death review recommendations?
18	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Two then.
19	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Both? Okay, all
20	right. With the partnership committee recommendations then
21	the responsibility is amongst the partners to make sure
22	that we're reporting on the activity undertaken, but also
23	then to look at where have we not followed up as much as we
24	should and what should we be doing next, and so I'm
25	assuming that will continue.

1	In terms of the death review, what we have
2	indicated yesterday, when yesterday, last week when the
3	Minister released the report, was that this will be part of
4	the discussion with our partners about how does
5	Saskatchewan move forward to create a strategic broad plan
6	to address interpersonal and domestic violence.
7	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Merci. I'm
8	biting my tongue to stop. There's so much more.
9	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Okay, thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Merci
11	beaucoup.
12	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Okay, thank you.
13	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Commissioner Eylofson,
14	you have no questions? Okay, thank you.
15	I have a note here that there are two
16	parties who are scheduled to cross-examine the witnesses.
17	In particular, I have the Manitoba Keewatinowi Okimakanak,
18	or MKO, and I also have the Missing and Murdered Indigenous
19	Women and Girls Manitoba Coalition. And I have a note here
20	that these two parties, who are the next two parties
21	scheduled to cross-examine the witnesses have, on consent,
22	agreed to swap order of their cross-examination.
23	And so at this time I am going to ask if I
24	may, please, call the Missing and Murdered Indigenous Women
25	and Girls Manitoba Coalition to cross-examine the witnesses

1	before our lunch break.
2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Before the lunch
3	break?
4	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Before the lunch
5	break. We are just before noon, it's
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you very
7	much
8	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Excuse
9	me.
10	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Just a minute.
11	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Excuse
12	me, we have decided we will stop for lunch now and
13	reconvene at one o'clock.
L4	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Thank you.
15	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: We have we will
16	adjourn then for the lunch break and ask that the parties
17	attend back to the room at one o'clock sharp to reconvene
18	the cross-examination of the witnesses. Thank you.
19	Upon recessing 11:56 a.m.
20	Upon reconvening at 1:09 p.m.
21	
22	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON:tragedies
23	from across the country. Indigenous women lost to violence.
24	We no day passes where we aren't reminded that this is
25	ongoing. "Tragedy" seems like an a word that doesn't

1 quite cut it.

But we, the four of us NFAC members, grandmothers, and our team wanted to take a moment today, as we have learned that, in Thunder Bay, a -- a young woman was lost. There was also a tragedy -- two people's lives were lost in Igaluit and a woman in BC recently. And I know that that's the tip of the iceberg, sadly. So we would like you all to join us and the nation to join us in a moment of silence, and Alvine, I'll pass the mic to you, and if you could lead us in this moment.

MS. ALVINE EAGLE SPEAKER: I just wanted to explain that, with the loved ones that we -- we don't know where we are, we don't know what happened to them, what -- I was -- we were asked, my husband and I were asked if we should do a memorial or how would we do it. Well, when we lose family members back home, it takes us a whole year to mourn, so the following year, the following year, we have a memorial for them. We have a big feast and everything to make -- to know that they're gone, that they're not going to come back. They'll be with us in spirit, but they won't be in a human form for us to see them. And that's how I see the loved ones that we've lost, the loved ones we don't know where they are.

And the best thing I can suggested [sic], and I suggested that, is just to have a moment of silence that

1	we're going to there's you know, we don't know where
2	they are. And I'm I'm just as hurting as much as
3	everybody is. I mean, I have family member, too, that I'm
4	still wondering. I have the neighbours' daughter that we're
5	still we still look for her, and she was one of my
6	students when I was teaching. So the best thing we can do

is just have a moment of silence.

In your own ways, you pray for the family members, especially the moms and dads, if any family that are still here. We're hurting. We're hurt every day. There's not a moment that we don't think about these precious people to us, and so that's why I suggested that we should have a -- a moment of silence from our hearts to give what we can. To strengthen each other and to strengthen the family members that are -- that are somewhere.

(SHORT PAUSE)

17 MS. ALVINE EAGLE SPEAKER: Thank you. Merci.
18 Go ahead.

MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you.

Commissioners, I had announced prior to the lunch break that two parties had, on consent, swapped their order of cross-examination. I have since learned that those parties have requested that they swap back to their original order, so the next party that I would like to invite to pose questions to the witnesses is from the Manitoba Keewatinowi

Okimakanak, MKO, and the party will have 23 minutes for their questions.

MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Thank you. Hi, good afternoon. I'll begin with an acknowledgement of the Elders, the drummers, the singers, sacred items in the room, families, and the survivors that are either here today with us or back home in our communities. I'd also like to thank the Commissioners, the panel witnesses for your testimony. I'd also like to thank the nations of this territory for welcoming us here today to participate in this work in a good way. I'd like to recognize the lands that we're on as well, that being Treaty 7 and the MNA Region 3.

By way of introduction, for the record, my name is Jessica Barlow. I am legal counsel for the Manitoba Keewatinowi Okimakanak, or MKO, as it's commonly known. MKO is a non-profit political advocacy organization that focuses on providing a collective voice to issues such as inherent treaty, Aboriginal, and human rights for the citizens of the over 25 sovereign First Nations in northern Manitoba, of which these nations are signatories to Treaties 4, 5, 6, and 10.

Many of my questions have been aptly asked and answered by my friends, and so I will make this very short for the panel members today.

BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Previously Affirmed:

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BARLOW:

2	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: I'll begin my
3	questioning with you, Ms. Pottruff, if that's okay. You've
4	spoken about about the the Northern Transportation
5	Safety Initiative. You've been asked numerous questions on
6	that, but I just wanted to touch on a few other points if I
7	can. And so you've talked about how this initiative is
8	intended to increase access to places of safety and provide
9	transitional support for residents of the Northern
10	Administration District who are fleeing circumstances of
11	interpersonal violence and abuse, and so they're provided
12	with a a place of safety and and resources to return
13	to the community when the danger is reduced; is that
14	correct?
15	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: That's my
16	understanding, yes.
17	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Thank you. And you've
18	also provided evidence that speaks to the fact that there
19	are no transition houses in northern and remote communities
20	in your region; is that also correct?
21	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: That's correct.
22	There is a a transition house in La Ronge, but that's
23	that's as north as we have.
24	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Thank you. And so
25	you've identified that there's no transition houses

1	available for these northern or remote communities, and
2	and so essentially, these individuals that are fleeing those
3	circumstances would have to leave their communities in order
4	to access these services. Is is that it?
5	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: That's correct.
6	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: And so they would
7	essentially have to uproot themselves from from their
8	communities and potentially their children or or
9	dependents as well until it's assessed that the dangers are
10	reduced; is that
11	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Correct.
12	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Correct. And so, given
13	this situation, would you agree that the the victims
14	having to leave these communities in order to access safe
15	spaces and and services, that they this might actually
16	have the effect of perpetuating or essentially exacerbating
17	or even causing further feelings of victimization, hardship,
18	or distress on an individual?
19	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I think it
20	certainly causes additional trauma to have to leave your
21	home and and whatever supports you have in the community
22	to travel someplace else, yes.
23	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Okay. Thank you.

And -- and so would you agree that a recommendation for

increased services in -- in these -- and funding in these

24

1	northern and remote communities to encompass both issues of
2	safety and and also coupled with preventing trauma that
3	you spoke to in these northern remote communities should be
4	a priority?
5	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: I certainly think
6	we need to look at solutions. We it really, I think,
7	depends on further discussions with the communities is in
8	terms of what those solutions are.
9	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Perfect. Thank you.
10	Those are my questions for you.
11	NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Previously Affirmed:
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BARLOW:
13	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: My next questions are
13 14	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: My next questions are for you, Ms. Giff-MacKinnon, if I may. In your testimony
14	for you, Ms. Giff-MacKinnon, if I may. In your testimony
14 15	for you, Ms. Giff-MacKinnon, if I may. In your testimony yesterday, you spoke about family information liaison
14 15 16	for you, Ms. Giff-MacKinnon, if I may. In your testimony yesterday, you spoke about family information liaison units, or I've heard it both ways, FILUs and FILUs. Sorry.
14 15 16 17	for you, Ms. Giff-MacKinnon, if I may. In your testimony yesterday, you spoke about family information liaison units, or I've heard it both ways, FILUs and FILUs. Sorry. I'm not sure which is correct. But you did speak about
14 15 16 17 18	for you, Ms. Giff-MacKinnon, if I may. In your testimony yesterday, you spoke about family information liaison units, or I've heard it both ways, FILUs and FILUs. Sorry. I'm not sure which is correct. But you did speak about that
14 15 16 17 18 19	for you, Ms. Giff-MacKinnon, if I may. In your testimony yesterday, you spoke about family information liaison units, or I've heard it both ways, FILUs and FILUs. Sorry. I'm not sure which is correct. But you did speak about that MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Either.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for you, Ms. Giff-MacKinnon, if I may. In your testimony yesterday, you spoke about family information liaison units, or I've heard it both ways, FILUs and FILUs. Sorry. I'm not sure which is correct. But you did speak about that MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Either. MS. JESSICA BARLOW: today, right? Or
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for you, Ms. Giff-MacKinnon, if I may. In your testimony yesterday, you spoke about family information liaison units, or I've heard it both ways, FILUs and FILUs. Sorry. I'm not sure which is correct. But you did speak about that MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Either. MS. JESSICA BARLOW: today, right? Or yesterday, sorry.

it actually began in September 2016; is that correct?

1	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That the funding
2	was available in September 2016, yes. Yeah.
3	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Thank you. And in your
4	testimony yesterday, you spoke briefly about geographic
5	barriers to accessing information, particularly as it
6	related to to northern and remote communities; is that
7	correct?
8	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I did, yes, in
9	terms of FILU's outreach with families, yes.
10	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Thank you. And are you
11	aware that that these FILUs, particularly in northern
12	and remote communities, specifically to northern Manitoba,
13	have not been previously available before this program
14	began? FILU, specifically.
15	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: As far as I know,
16	there was no Family Information Liaison Unit in place prior
17	to the creation following the funding.
18	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Okay. Thank you. And
19	you mentioned yesterday as well that that there's
20	actually an increased demand for these the for these
21	FILUs, and that it's actually growing and increasing; is
22	that correct?
23	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That yes,
24	that's what I'm hearing from my FILU colleagues across the
25	country, yes.

1 MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Okay. Thank you.

And -- and so in the instance that families and -- from northern and remote communities that have been experiencing barriers to accessing information about loved ones and have now began forming these relationships of trust or bonds with their -- the FILUs associated with their communities who are assisting them and that demand for services are going up, and that also given that the -- the funding is expected to end in March of -- March 31st, 2019, I'm wondering if you can speak to the potential anticipated fate for these FILUs and the families in which they serve beyond that date.

ms. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, that's a really good question, and that's something that is being raised by a lot of the FILU teams themselves. The funding was announced in -- in -- as a complement to the National Inquiry and the work of the National Inquiry, and that's why the timelines are the way they are for the funding. And it is set to sunset March 31st, 2019. At this point in time, that -- that's the -- that's the funding authority that we have to work with. We're always looking at what is successful. We're always looking for opportunities to -- to further successful initiatives, but at this point in time, the funding is set to -- to sunset March 31st, 2019.

1	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Thank you. And has
2	Justice Canada considered any options in delivering these
3	services through Indigenous organizations?
4	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Directly
5	to through Indigenous organizations?
6	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Yes.
7	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: We haven't
8	considered that at this point in time. The funding is
9	being flowed flowed through provincial/territorial
10	victims services division at this time, so that that
11	would be something to look at.
12	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Okay. And to your
13	knowledge, has Justice Canada ever received any proposals
14	for the delivery of FILUs or FILUs from a regional First
15	Nations perspective?
16	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: We so have we
17	received proposals from a an an Indigenous
18	organization to deliver the FILU services? Is that what
19	you mean?
20	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Yes.
21	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, we have.
22	Yeah.
23	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Okay. And earlier on
24	in answer to one of Ms. McGregor's questions about the work
25	done in Manitoba, you had indicated that there was

1	engagement held in Manitoba that may have been conducted
2	through Ka Ni Kanichihk and MKO; is that correct?
3	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Sorry. I missed
4	the first part of your question.
5	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: So earlier in
6	Ms. McGregor's questioning, you had mentioned that there
7	had been work conducted in Manitoba with those two
8	organizations; is that correct?
9	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: As as I
10	remember, I think that I had mentioned that it was raised
11	to my attention that there was some work going on pre- the
12	FILU operations.
13	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: And just one final
14	question for you. As a senior policy analyst for the
15	Centre for Victims Services, are you aware of the Families
16	First approach and report in Manitoba?
17	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, I am.
18	MS. JESSICA BARLOW: Thank you. Those are
19	all of my questions. Thank you. Good afternoon.
20	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. So as I
21	had mentioned those two parties had swapped back, but we do
22	actually have another request on consent from two parties
23	to exchange the order of their cross-examination.
24	According to the schedule, the next party that was
25	scheduled to pose questions to the witnesses was the

Missing and Murdered Indigenous Women and Girls Manitoba 1 Coalition, but it's my understanding that there has been, 2 on consent and agreement between them and the Native 3 Women's Association of Canada, to swap the order of their 4 cross-exams of the witnesses. So I will ask, then, to call 5 6 the Native Women's Association of Canada. And the Missing and Murdered Indigenous Women's Manitoba Coalition then 7 will revert down to the 14 -- to number 14 on the order of 8 9 cross-examination. So at this time I will invite the 10 representative from the Native Women's Association of 11 12 Canada, and as had been noted on the record this morning, the Native Women's Association of Canada has 17 minutes and 13 15 seconds remaining of their cross-examination of the 14 15 witnesses. I'll just wait for that to be put on the clock. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible). 16 17 MS. MEREDITH PORTER: 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. They can't do They'll round up. 19 seconds. MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Okay. I think they 20 21 do. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 22 (Indiscernible). MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Good enough. Okay. 23 24 Thank you. That's fine. Thank you.

25

MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: So thank you very much

1	for welcoming me back. I would first like to begin with
2	Mr. John Phelps.
3	JOHN PHELPS, Previously Affirmed:
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. LOMAX:
5	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: So your department
6	works closely with victims to help them navigate the
7	criminal justice system; is that correct?
8	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
9	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And during your
10	testimony, you referred to the Canadian Victims Bill of
11	Rights, and it was entered into evidence as Exhibit 3; is
12	that correct?
13	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I'm not certain that it
14	was entered. We did refer to it earlier, though.
15	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: I believe it was
16	entered. Could I get a check? Teller teller it was in the
17	materials given to the parties with standing, but it was
18	not entered yesterday as an exhibit on the record. So
19	the the parties all were given a copy of it, but
20	yesterday it was not sought to be put on the record. And I
21	don't have a problem of anyone referring to it, obviously.
22	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: It
23	wasn't marked as an exhibit, but certainly we can take
24	judicial notice of legislation.
25	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Okay. All right.

1	That's fine with me, if that's okay with everyone else.
2	Thank you.
3	So your department engages with victims
4	through the Canadian Victims Bill of Rights; is that
5	correct?
6	MR. JOHN PHELPS: The we engage with
7	victims as a result of our function as the prosecution
8	service, and we comply with the Canadian Victim Bill of
9	Rights, yes.
10	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And so your department
11	and your staff, you would say that you have a great deal of
12	experience helping victims assert their rights under this
13	bill?
14	MR. JOHN PHELPS: A great deal of experience
15	supporting
16	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Yes.
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: victims, yes.
18	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And so you're familiar
19	with the practical application of victims asserting or
20	helping victims use these rights, and that's correct?
21	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
22	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: So does the Victims
23	Bill of Rights grant victims the right to information about
24	the criminal justice system and the role of victims in that
25	system?

1	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, we we provide that
2	information to victims in every case where we have contact
3	with victims.
4	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And does the Victims
5	Bill of Rights grant victims the right to services and
6	programs like restorative justice?
7	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I'm not certain if there's
8	a right to services, but if it's in there, then I would
9	acknowledge that we as I indicated earlier, and it's not
10	as a result of the CVBR, but a recognition of the
11	individual needs of victims, that we do our best to ensure
12	that they get that assistance. It's not through our
13	organization, but by referral.
14	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: So would you agree with
15	a statement that the rights generally and I'll spare you
16	going through all of the details of these rights. Would
17	you agree with a statement that these are important rights
18	for victims to have within the criminal justice system?
19	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, I do.
20	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Would you agree with a
21	statement that whatever is defined as a right under this
22	Act is something that a victim should be entitled to
23	receive?
24	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, I would.
25	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And would you agree

1	that victims should be able to secure and enforce these
2	rights?
3	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, I do.
4	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And with permission,
5	I'd like to read Section 25 of The Canadian Victims Bill of
6	Rights. This section is known as the remedies section, and
7	it reads: (As Read)
8	Every victim who is of the opinion that
9	any of their rights under this Act have
10	been infringed or denied by a federal
11	department, agency or body, has the
12	right to file a complaint in accordance
13	with the complaints mechanism.
14	And Section 25 sub 2 reads: (As Read)
15	Every victim who as exhausted their
16	recourse under the complaints mechanism,
17	and who is not satisfied with the
18	response of the federal department,
19	agency or body, may file a complaint
20	with any authority that has jurisdiction
21	to review complaints in relation to that
22	department, agency or body.
23	Now, Mr. Phelps, could you give me an idea
24	of who that authority might be that victims could review a
25	decision under a complaint? At the very least in your

1	jurisdiction?
2	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Off the top of my head,
3	sorry, I don't know where the next plausible route would
4	be. As I indicated in my testimony, we've never had a
5	complaint, period, so we've never gotten to that point in
6	the process.
7	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Yes, and I do remember
8	that part of your testimony, so I was wondering if
9	hypothetically you could answer a question for me of
10	whether the CWC would guide the complaining victim through
11	this process, if they were to appeal a complaint or
12	complain?
13	MR. JOHN PHELPS: The expectation is that
14	they would make certain that they're aware of that
15	opportunity and where to find the information with respect
16	to the complaint. It hasn't come to my attention that
17	there's been a request for assistance, for example, in
18	filling out a form or otherwise. I would have no concern
19	should they should they do that though.
20	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Thank you. So with
21	permission I'll read Section 25(3), which reads that: (As
22	Read)
23	Every federal department, agency or body
24	that is involved in the criminal justice
25	system must have a complaints mechanism

1	that provides for a review of complaints
2	involving alleged infringements or a
3	denial of rights under this Act, the
4	power to make recommendations to remedy
5	such infringements and denials and the
6	obligation to notify victims of the
7	results of those reviews of the
8	recommendations if any were made.
9	Yet Section 28 reads that: (As Read)
10	No cause of action or right to damages
11	arises from an infringement of or a
12	denial under this Act.
13	And Section 29 reads that: (As Read)
14	No appeal lies from any decision or
15	order solely on the ground that a right
16	under this Act has been infringed or
17	denied.
18	So earlier I asked you if you would agree
19	that victims should be able to secure or enforce rights
20	under this Bill; is that still correct?
21	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I have no concern with
22	that statement, no.
23	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: So is it reasonable to
24	conclude that although victims have a right to file a
25	complaint, they have no right to bring an action for

1	damages or otherwise if a right under this Act is actually
2	violated; yes or no?
3	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That would be my read of
4	it as well, yes.
5	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And it's also
6	reasonable to conclude that victims would have no means of
7	appeal to the decisions related to their complaints; yes or
8	no?
9	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That would appear to be
10	the case, yes.
11	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And so it's reasonable
12	to conclude that although the Canadian Victims Bill of
13	Rights contains rights for victims, that there is no
14	enforcement mechanism available for these rights; yes or
15	no?
16	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Not beyond what you've
17	highlighted already.
18	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: So does the Victims
19	Bill of Rights presently contain a process for victims to
20	obtain standing in criminal trials or any status beyond
21	observer status in the criminal justice system?
22	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Not that I'm aware of, no.
23	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: So would you agree with
24	a statement that providing victims standing in criminal
25	trials would amount to criminal justice reform in favour of

1	victims' rights?
2	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I'm not certain that
3	I I can provide an opinion on that.
4	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: So would you agree with
5	a statement that providing victims a mechanism to enforce
6	rights contained in this Bill, rather than to simply
7	complain about violations, would amount to criminal justice
8	reform in favour of victims' rights?
9	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It would seem to advance
10	their rights, yes.
11	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Thank you very much.
12	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Sorry, I'm not trying to
13	be argumentative, I just haven't thought that through.
14	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Neither am I.
15	So I have questions for Ms. Leanne Gardiner.
16	LEANNE GARDINER, Previously Affirmed:
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. LOMAX:
18	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Yesterday you testified
19	that your staff and volunteers received trauma-informed
20	training; is that correct?
21	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes, to varying
22	degrees. There isn't a formal training program to that,
23	but, yes, I can give you details, if you'd like, about
24	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Yes, and specifically
25	could you comment how much training staff or volunteers

would receive?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Are you asking

3 specifically, sorry, for trauma-informed practice training?

4 MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Yes.

5 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Okay.

MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Specifically for

trauma-informed practice.

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Right now there is not -- trauma-informed practice training is not a formal part of regular training for victim services providers. We haven't -- we haven't found that that request necessarily comes from that particular group. I think probably because many of them come from a background that includes that type of approach. But what I will share is through other initiatives that are part of my responsibilities outside of that particular program, I've been able -- or our division has been able in the last 18 months or so to take a particular focus on trauma-informed practice training, so we've trained about 70 people, frontline workers in the justice system, including corrections, police, victim -- some victim services providers, other providers in other departments, GNWT departments and federal, including some Crown Witness Coordinators, in a two-day trauma-informed practice course, which we received good feedback on for sure, for its relevance to the work that

1	they do.
2	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: Thank you. And so do
3	your staff or volunteers ever receive training specific to
4	issues relevant to two spirit, LGBTQ plus and gender
5	diverse people?
6	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: It's my understanding
7	that it has been a topic that has been addressed on
8	occasion as part of ongoing conversations in that network
9	of providers, but I'm not aware of specifics as far as my
10	staff advancing it as a topic.
11	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And do staff or
12	volunteers ever receive training specific to issues
13	relevant to youth's needs?
14	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: To youth? Sorry, what
15	was
16	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: The needs of youth?
17	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: The needs of youth.
18	Again, it would be a category that's discussed often and
19	one of the training opportunities that happened this last
20	March with our territorial Crown Witness Coordinators and
21	our victim services providers, was child witness court
22	accompaniment training. It's a three-day course by
23	professional trainers with experience in that in that
24	area, so that particular to help with the skill set
25	around supporting children who who may be going through

1	that criminal justice through the court process as a
2	witness. And that was at the request that really came
3	from our community level providers saying that that was a
4	skill set they wanted to build.
5	MS. VIRGINIA LOMAX: And so you testified
6	that one of the main activities you engage in with the
7	victims you assist is safety planning; is that correct?
8	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Local community victim
9	service providers do that. I don't personally. But, yes,
10	the absolutely, it's a main activity of the service
11	providers.
12	MS. VIRGINA LOMAX: So do you know if any of
13	the service providers have safety planning strategies
14	specific to the needs of 2SLGBTQ+ youth and gender diverse
15	people?
16	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I'm not aware of a a
17	process or an approach that's different than what the
18	materials they use for non for people who are not two-
19	spirited. But I I would submit that it tends to be and
20	it a person-centered service, so they really do address
21	the needs of people who present themselves for assistance.
22	And I know them in general to be providing that kind of
23	service.
24	MS. VIRGINA LOMAX: And so what are some
25	strategies that you have seen for creating culturally

1	appropriate safety planning?
2	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I have to say, I have
3	not personally seen those strategies as I'm not in a
4	position to be reviewing someone's safety plan, helping them
5	create it. Those plans are are done, as we mentioned,
6	through funding we provide to local organizations, and
7	Indigenous governments, and hamlet councils. So as far as
8	how they would ensure that those plans took into
9	consideration one's Indigenous status, I I couldn't give
10	you specific examples, except that our model is built on the
11	that understanding that the community is providing that
12	service and has that lens.
13	MS. VIRGINA LOMAX: And so you testified
14	yesterday that the RCMP entered into an MOU to formalize the
15	process between RCMP and victim services in 2008; is that
16	correct?
17	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: We have an MOU between
18	the department and the RCMP that was entered into in 2008,
19	yes.
20	MS. VIRGINA LOMAX: And has the process
21	described in that MOU been revisited since 2008?
22	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Not to my knowledge.
23	There is a clause at near the end of the MOU, which you
24	might have noticed, it says, "We should revisit it as needed
25	by June" I believe, I don't the it right in front of

1	me right now, but " by June of each year where
2	necessary." And there's I certainly have that in process
3	right now.
4	MS. VIRGINA LOMAX: Thank you. So you
5	testified that one of the greatest challenges that you have
6	is to create impactful relationships; is that correct?
7	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I I would say that
8	that's correct. I would only qualify and say sustained
9	trusting relationships at that community level with all the
10	stakeholders, that should be included, yes.
11	MS. VIRGINA LOMAX: So do you have any
12	specific strategies to encourage trusting, safe, and
13	meaningful relationships with 2SLGBTQ+ and gender diverse
14	people in your communities?
15	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: No. I I
16	wouldn't I wouldn't say that. No, not specific to that
17	group.
18	MS. VIRGINA LOMAX: Would you have specific
19	strategies for encouraging trusting, safe, and meaningful
20	relationships with youth in the communities?
21	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Not specific, other
22	than, and I I should qualify my answer to the last
23	question as well. Other than partnerships that we establish
24	and that we have from our office. So, again, we're not the
25	service providers, but we do have those relationships with

1	RCMP and with, of course, with our sponsoring organizations
2	as far as victim service delivery. But we have those
3	relationships too with youth organizations, LGBTQ
4	organizations, locally and across the territory where they
5	exist. So that could that looks different depending on
6	the organization. Sometimes it looks like funding
7	initiatives, or youth programs separate from victim
8	services, but still within my responsibility. And sometimes
9	that looks less formal as an a relationship.
10	MS. VIRGINA LOMAX: And so you testified
11	yesterday that you have witnessed your staff and volunteers
12	come up with effective and creative strategies for outreach
13	in the communities; is that correct?
14	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: It is.
15	MS. VIRGINA LOMAX: Can you comment if you
16	have witnessed any of these strategies focused on outreach
17	to 2SLGQ [sic] LGBTQ+ communities?
18	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: None of those examples
19	come to mind. It's again, because I'm involved at the
20	front-line level with the development, I I don't know
21	that that would mean that they don't exist.
22	MS. VIRGINA LOMAX: And so I suppose, if I
23	were to ask you that if you could comment on witnessing any
24	of these strategies with outreach for youth in the
	<u> </u>

1	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: What was the first part
2	of your question, sorry?
3	MS. VIRGINA LOMAX: Witnessing any strategies
4	focused on outreach for youth.
5	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: What I would say is
6	youth are often identified in the sponsoring organization's
7	work plan. So part of the process when when an
8	organization would like to deliver victim services, or in an
9	annual, bi-annual process that we go through is my staff
10	work with communities to develop their annual work plans for
11	the funding. And included in that are outreach activities.
12	Often, those outreach activities include youth. I don't
13	recall seeing activities specific to LGBTQ youth. But I
14	certainly recall reference to youth, as it's often a focus
15	of the engagement.
16	MS. VIRGINA LOMAX: Well, thank you very
17	much. I've reached the end of my time.
18	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Thank you.
19	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. The next
20	party that I would like to invite to pose questions to the
21	witnesses is from the Eastern Door Indigenous Women's
22	Association. And the Indigenous the Eastern Door
23	Indigenous Women's Association will have 23 minutes for
24	questioning.
25	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Thank you. My name

JOHN PHELPS CROSS-EXAM BY MS. CLIFFORD

1	is Natalie Clifford, and I am external counsel for the
2	Eastern Door Indigenous Women's Association. We represent
3	where the regional interests of Native women's
4	specifically Mi'Kmaq and Maliseet Indigenous women in our
5	region come together. And that is why we are taking part in
6	the Inquiry today. Well, I appreciate the scope of your
7	institutional representation here today does not necessarily
8	apply to the east coast, or I'm going to try to ask some
9	questions that might help us in our submissions.
10	So I'd like to start with Mr. Phelps.
11	JOHN PHELPS, Previously Affirmed:
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. CLIFFORD:
13	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: I note that the CWC
14	Program is run, sort of, under the umbrella of the PPSC in
15	the territories. Is this a unique scenario based on your
16	understanding of counterparts?
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I I'm not certain how it
18	happens from region to region. I think there are various
19	models, whether they're housed with the RCMP, housed with
20	the province, or housed with the prosecution service. So
21	there may be others. I'm not familiar with the models all
22	across Canada.
23	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Okay. But the
24	direction from the Attorney General, like, in the exhibit
25	that you shared, is that something that would be given

across the country?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's only within the

Federal Prosecution Service of Canada. So it's national

with our organization, but dealing with victims is unique to

the north.

MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Okay. So not -it's not necessarily so that public prosecution services
across Canada would have internal CWCs working with them in
their offices?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Not necessarily the case, no. And they would have their own individual directives provincially.

MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Okay. Thank you. So in your model, then, I'll just focus on this model, and I presume that as a prosecution service, and again, focusing even more narrowly on the Yukon, you would have a robust conflict determination process for determining -- maybe you could enlighten me on the conflict process for determining who can prosecute certain cases in your region?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: The only time that -- or the times that conflict would arise in our office are in relation to relationships themselves. So if a file were to involve a family member of one of our staff, then we would consider ourselves in -- in conflict. Individuals may have friendships within the witness list of a particular file,

and they would be in conflict to dealing with that file. It such a -- so it's a -- it's a determination on a case-bycase basis as to what the nature of the individual relationship might be. For example, were it to involve myself, then we would get somebody from outside our region

to deal with the matter.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Okay. So I'd like to hone in on the conflict issue because one of our victim services representatives has told our organization that -and I'll just offer you this statistic, and maybe you can respond. Based on her own data collection in the past year, of the 33 -- 33 percent of her clients in the last year were both accused and victim, and of those 33 percent, 17 percent of those previously reported physical violence involving the same victim and accused in reversing roles. So given the CWCs are working under the umbrella, and whether this is an experience that you have seen in -- in the Yukon, in your region, how, under your model, are CWCs to deal with this? Because I'm imagining a scenario when, at one point, prosecutor was adversarial to an accused, and then at another point within the near future, the same would be hopefully taking advantage of the services of the CWC, and how this plays out.

MR. JOHN PHELPS: And sometimes at the same time, and that does exist, and I'm sure it's a national

issue, not -- not unique to our organization.

What we do is we do our -- our best to inform the victim that we're dealing with, even though they're an accused or have been an accused in another capacity, again, of their rights and how we would be able to support them through the process, acknowledging that, if they have a -- an existing file for which they're an accused, we're -- we're going to have to notify their counsel and make sure that their counsel is okay with the services that we're providing in our -- our capacity as a prosecution team.

So it becomes complex. It's very common to have accused-victim-accused-victim through time, for sure, and we just do our best to work through that and support individuals on a case-by-case basis. I wish I had a magic answer for that. It's -- it's an extremely complex scenario and what we want to do is make sure we're able to provide the support ourselves or make sure we're -- that there's a referral to an organization like the victim services branch in the Yukon Territory so that they have that support through the process. And -- and we do partner with those organizations as well, so there's an information-sharing relationship with victim services to make sure the same level of support is provided.

MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: And what about -- I think you touched on it yesterday, but I just wanted to

clarify. A victim's correspondence with a CWC is not 1 confidential? 2 3 MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's correct, so if -- if the content gives rise to information that would be relevant 4 to the prosecution, then we're obliged to share that with 5 6 the others. MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: For any case, not 7 just the case? 8 9 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Pardon me? MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: For any other case 10 as well, not just the case that --11 MR. JOHN PHELPS: It would be for the case 12 that's -- that it -- it corresponds, is relevant to. 13 MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Thank you. Would 14 it be fair to say that this is a barrier to the trust, the 15 relationships and building trust that the CWC program hopes 16 17 to foster? 18 MR. JOHN PHELPS: I -- I would suggest it is, I think it's important -- it's -- it's an important to 19 20 note, and we're happy, again, to partner with other 21 organizations that can provide support in a different way than we do. Often, it's the case that we're dealing with 22 victims that, for their own reasons, don't take advantage of 23 24 those other supports, so we're the support of last resort, and that's the majority of cases, yeah. 25

JOHN PHELPS CROSS-EXAM BY MS. CLIFFORD

1	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Thank you. So I'm
2	going to switch topics now, but stay with you, Mr. Phelps.
3	On the topic of restitution, CWCs have an obligation for the
4	Attorney General's directive to notify victims of their
5	right to request restitution, correct?
6	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Correct, and that's in the
7	legislation as well.
8	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Section 16 in the
9	CVBR codifies this, correct? It's a actually, maybe you
10	could read the section if you have it in front of you,
11	because I would submit that it elevates the the right to
12	actually having the application considered, which isn't
13	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's correct, yes.
14	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Okay. So this is
15	for all victims?
16	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
17	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Not just Indigenous
18	victims?
19	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's correct, yes.
20	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: And to be clear, as
21	a prosecutor, you don't represent victims?
22	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's correct.
23	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: The CWCs have
24	certain obligations, but do they represent victims?
25	MR. JOHN PHELPS: No. In the in the

1	context of restitution, the CWCs would work with the
2	prosecutor in order to facilitate a request in court, so the
3	CWC informs the victim of the right if there has been a
4	monetary loss. They advise them of the information that
5	would be required to present in court in order to make a
6	claim for restitution and our prosecutors would make that
7	application on their behalf.
8	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: And in your view,
9	is that restitution limited to monetary loss, or are we
10	looking at broader compensation for the loss of lives?
11	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Generally speaking, it's
12	it's monetary or or physical items that are lost.
13	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Sticking with you,
14	Mr. Phelps, my next question is simply to confirm that there
15	is not a national mandate to ensure Indigenous
16	representation in CWC roles?
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: No.
18	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Do you face funding
19	security as an issue in provision of CWC service?
20	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I I would imagine that,
21	at any point in time where the department is facing a
22	budgetary crunch, all of our services would be in jeopardy.
23	At this point in time, it's not a concern. We've we've
24	expanded to the numbers that I referenced over the last
25	couple of years, so it's quite supported by the PPSC across

1	the North. It's something that's given a lot of importance.
2	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: And so just to
3	confirm once again that you cannot speak to CWC programs in
4	other jurisdictions, correct?
5	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's correct, yes.
6	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Would you agree
7	that, in order for the commission to make meaningful
8	recommendations about victim services across the country, it
9	would be important for them to hear about not necessarily
10	the great models, as we have in the territories, but perhaps
11	the broader scope of models as are experienced across the
12	country?
13	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I'd I think it's
14	important to look at all of the models and for them to take
15	that into account when they're determining their
16	recommendations for this type of a service. I I'm not
17	advocating that ours is necessarily the one that would be
18	recommended, it's just an example of one that exists and
19	and how it works.
20	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Thank you. I have
21	a couple of questions for you, Ms. Giff-MacKinnon.
22	NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Previously Affirmed:
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. CLIFFORD:
24	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: It was your
25	evidence that you took part in the planning stages of FILU,

1	we call on the east coast typically, FILU. Pre-funding,
2	pre-the announcement of funding, or
3	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Once the funding
4	was announced.
5	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: identifying the
6	need
7	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yeah. I sorry,
8	excuse me, yes. In terms of the development of the funding
9	model and the and the initiative Federally, yes, I I
10	helped develop that.
11	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: So is it fair to
12	say that indeed there were gaps for for families of
13	missing and murdered women, specifically, to access and
14	navigate the system prior to FILU?
15	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: To to navigate
16	information systems? Yes.
17	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: And so, at this
18	point, can you confirm you don't have data collected about
19	the national experience on FILU?
20	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So we've got we
21	don't have firm numbers right now. We have agreements in
22	place that have reporting requirements, so we expect that
23	all the FILU teams across the country will be submitting

more robust data about their activities and about the work

that they've done to gather that information that families

24

25

1	are seeking. We would expect to have that this summer.
2	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: So can we expect a
3	public reporting?
4	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I don't know that
5	there's a a plan to publicly report that, but that
6	information is public information.
7	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: So I'm curious
8	about, prior to any political announcement for future
9	funding, at this point, with the the end of FILU coming
10	within one year, what is the exit plan for those current
11	families accessing FILU services, to ensure that they aren't
12	traumatized on the day it's over?
13	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Right. So that
14	is something that all the FILU teams across the country are
15	thinking about and talking about and exploring. Right now
16	the funding that we have is set until March 31st, 2019.
17	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: And whose
18	responsibility will it be if funding is not renewed? Who
19	does it who bears the responsibility to help coordinate
20	what FILU had coordinated in the past couple of years?
21	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I think that's
22	something that will have to be looked at on a jurisdiction
23	by jurisdiction basis, if there's no funding after March
24	31st, 2019.
25	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Can you comment

1	on you mentioned different structures for FILU
2	implementation across the country, can you comment on
3	what where some are housed with Indigenous organizations
4	versus the province?
5	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Sure. So I can
6	highlight a couple of the the examples where the FILU
7	teams are co-located with Indigenous community
8	organizations and victim services.
9	In Nova Scotia the Native Women's
10	Association of Nova Scotia is a partner in the delivery of
11	the FILU model, and there are three FILU team members
12	located across the province and one within victim services.
13	There is also in Ontario the the one of the FILU team
14	members is located in the Indigenous justice division
15	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: M'hm.
16	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: within the
17	Ministry of the Attorney General, and then there are three
18	FILU team members located in community organizations across
19	the province.
20	I'm just doing a visual across as I
21	go across the province. In Saskatchewan as well there's a
22	partnership with the Federation of Sovereign Indigenous
23	Nations, and a FILU team member within victim services.
24	In Manitoba there are partnerships in place
25	within victim services and Ka Ni Kanichihk and MKO as well.

- 1 In Alberta they have outreach offices across the province.
- 2 In British Columbia there's a satellite office in Prince
- 3 George, in the Friendship Centre there.
- In NWT there's a partnership with the Native
- 5 Women's Association of the Northwest Territories. In the
- 6 Yukon there is a partnership with the Yukon Aboriginal
- 7 Women's Council.
- 8 MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: So looking forward
- 9 to future plans to fill these gaps and facilitate
- 10 communication, I'm wondering if the reporting mechanisms
- 11 that you are -- that you have out and the information that
- 12 you're expecting to receive and ultimately by the time it
- reaches the public, will that reflect the different models
- and challenges associated, and successes associated with
- the different models?
- 16 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I think those
- 17 would -- I would expect that those would be elements that
- 18 would be included in how the FILU team reports on their
- results and what they've achieved. There would be an
- 20 expectation that they would reflect on the challenges in
- 21 moving forward, they would reflect on ways that they have
- overcome or attempted to overcome those challenges, they
- would reflect on the strengths and how they've achieved
- their objectives, as well as quantitative data that would
- 25 illustrate the reach of the services that they're

1	providing.
2	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Okay. And finally
3	I just wanted to confirm, how do FILUs access victims and
4	families?
5	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: How do how do
6	they access? So they have a variety of outreach
7	initiatives underway, which certainly does vary across the
8	country. There are, of course, websites. They have in
9	collaboration with community organizations, they are
10	attending community events and they have a presence at
11	community events to reach out to community members and
12	families. They have been also on site wherever there's
13	been a community hearing of the National Inquiry to also be
14	available and do that outreach with families.
15	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: But they're not
16	allowed to directly contact families?
17	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: They I
18	don't as I understand it, I think there might be a
19	reluctance to directly contact a family member. I as I
20	understand it, the approach that the FILUs would take would
21	be that they would make their service known to as wide an
22	audience as they can in all the different ways they can,
23	but it would be important for families to choose to contact
24	them.
25	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: So given that

1	arrangement, it would be quite important, would you agree,
2	for FILUs to be well networked with, accepted by and
3	trusted by, for lack of a better word, grassroots or
4	women's organizations in the jurisdiction?
5	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
6	MS. NATALIE D. CLIFFORD: Thank you. That's
7	all my questions.
8	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. The next
9	party that I would like to invite to pose questions to the
10	witnesses is from the Nishnawbe Aski Nation, Grand Council
11	Treaty 3 Treaty Alliance of Northern Ontario. And that
12	party has a remaining 17 minutes and 30 seconds. We will
13	round up to 18 as we did last time, I suppose.
14	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Thank you. For the
15	record again it's Christen Ordyniec for Northern Treaty
16	Alliance Nishnawbe Aski Nation and Grand Council Treaty 3.
17	And I would just like to start by apologizing, I was out of
18	the room for some of the questions, so if I repeat them, I
19	do apologize. If Commission counsel or counsel for the
20	witnesses can tell me that they've already asked and
21	answered, I would really appreciate that.
22	NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Previously Affirmed:
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ORDYNIEC:
24	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: My questions are
25	going to be mostly directed at Ms. Giff-MacKinnon in

1	respect of your work mostly with the FILUs, but also I'd
2	like to ask about something that's found in your biography.
3	In the third paragraph down it says that you organized and
4	collaborated with territorial partners to develop northern
5	specific training on essential skills in northern
6	environments and working with child victims, and I wonder
7	if you could just talk a little bit about that?
8	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Sure. So in the
9	context to the reference to the north, in this description
10	of work, it's mostly within the territories. So the work
11	that I described here was something actually that
12	Ms. Gardiner mentioned earlier in terms of the essential
13	skills curriculum for northern service providers. So
14	working with the Northern Institute of Social Justice and
15	all three territories, there was a training curriculum
16	developed that focused on the commonalities between the
17	three territories to create a pan-territorial training
18	curriculum. So that has been one way to provide a
19	pan-territorial opportunity to develop tools that can be
20	used across each territory. Of course there were lots of
21	adaptations, but the core essential skills of working in a
22	northern environment and northern communities was the focus
23	of that that work.
24	In terms of the child victims, that was
25	about working as a team to support child victims in

1	northern communities, and that was building on some of
2	the the work that was done in the in the provinces
3	and in the south and adapted to the north.
4	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Thank you. So you
5	mentioned that it's in the territories, are there any
6	initiatives that you know of that has that have that
7	same approach across other provinces that have communities
8	and northern remoteness issues?
9	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: In terms of my
10	biography or in terms of
11	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: No, any other
12	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: just
13	generally?
14	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: work that you've
15	done, specifically?
16	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Well, the the
17	work to create to organize a national conference was
18	focussed not just on the territories, but to bring together
19	service providers from the northern parts of the provinces
20	as well to come together in a northern community to discuss
21	and explore different ways to build on strengths of
22	northern victims and survivors and moving forward together.
23	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: And do you think that
24	work should continue?
25	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, I think it

1	will. I think that the focus of my from my perspective
2	and what I do, the focus of my work has been focused on the
3	last few years in terms of advancing specialized supports
4	for families of missing and murdered Indigenous women and
5	girls.
6	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Thank you. Next I'll
7	move to the FILU program specifically. Would you agree
8	that most of the information provided through this
9	initiative is reactive to a tragic situation, so namely the
10	death of a loved one. So coroner's reports. You mentioned
11	burial sites. Would you agree that it's reactive?
12	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That the service
13	provided is reacting to a situation that brings the the
14	family members to that that unit? Yes, I do.
15	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: And the information
16	provided is reactive information is what I'm asking.
17	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I'm not sure that
18	I understand. Is there another way that you can
19	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Sure. So had had
20	the situation not happened, that family would not have
21	needed that information?
22	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
23	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Thank you. And
24	within dealing with families in this in this context,
25	are they asking are you aware if they're asking for any

1	other information that may be outside the scope of that
2	mandate?
3	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, they are.
4	They're working families are bringing to FILUs questions
5	about how to bring remains back to their home community
6	when they're in another jurisdiction. They're asking
7	about they're asking about deaths of children in
8	residential schools, as well, and records there. They're
9	asking for assistance in organizing family gatherings and
10	opportunities for families to come together. The and a
11	lot there are lots of different questions that they're
12	bringing to the FILUs that are outside of what we might
13	consider the agencies holding the agencies that we might
14	think that would hold the information families would be
15	seeking.
16	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Right. And and
17	the FILU are able to assist them or or how do they deal
18	with the situation when they cannot provide the information
19	that the family is asking?
20	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That's a really
21	good question. And when the information is not available,
22	that is something that FILUs talk very openly and honestly

with family members. That is something that FILUs talk

early on in their work with family members, to identify

that not all the information that they are -- they are

23

24

25

1	asking to have, it might not be available. So they have
2	that conversation early on. And then the agencies who hold
3	the information would be responsible for making that
4	assessment. And if it's possible, the FILU teams seek to
5	bring those decision-makers or people or those who are
6	interpreting the the directives in the legislation in
7	terms of what information they can or can't release, to
8	bring them together to talk to families to try and have an
9	opportunity for families to understand the underpinnings of
10	why that information might not be available.
11	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Thank you. And
12	I I used the word "reactive" before to preface my next
13	question. Do you think it would be valuable for an
14	initiative to provide proactive information to Indigenous
15	women and girls so they could understand entitlements and
16	rights under other government documents and legislation
17	that they might not be aware of?
18	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So if do you
19	mean to make it very clear about what information is
20	available and make sure that that people know what
21	information they can begin asking for?
22	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: That's right. Or

even to help understand certain things, like an amendment

to an Act, whereas some people might not be able to access

that information or understand what it means.

23

24

25

1	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yean, I think
2	that's very important. Yeah.
3	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Thank you. And in
4	remote communities, and I understand and I'll speak from
5	what you just said about Ontario specifically. There are
6	three workers for the for the province. In remote
7	communities, how does the information get to the
8	individuals? Is it personally delivered? Is it done by
9	email? Is it done by phone?
10	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: It's done in
11	person to the extent possible. Many of the FILUs have
12	built into their work travel in terms of costing it out and
13	creating a work plan that includes travel to families to
14	make sure that wherever they wherever they possibly can,
15	they're meeting with with families in person to have the
16	information shared in person.
17	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: And if it can't be
18	shared in person, then then how is it delivered?
19	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That would be how
20	families would like to proceed. I can't imagine that it
21	would be through email as one of the examples that you
22	provided. If there are logistical issues that prevent the
23	FILU team from being able to be with the family member or
24	that prevent other partners to be with the family member,
25	then they would work out the best way with families.

1	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: I think that you
2	confirmed in a previous question that the funding for the
3	FILUs runs out at the end of March 2019. As far as
4	experience goes, in terms of accessing the kind of
5	information that you're asking for, sometimes that's a
6	lengthy process. And I'm wondering what kind of mechanism
7	is in place for the ongoing work that would have to be done
8	if the program was not funded again.
9	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: M-hm. So
10	this this was something that we we spoke about, as
11	well. And it is it's a very good question. So the
12	funding is the funding authority that we have right now
13	is until March 31st, 2019. What that might look like
14	afterward would have to be if there is no funding, that
15	would have to be looked at by each jurisdiction.
16	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Okay. I'm I'm
17	just more concerned from from a family that has already
18	started in the process, and they're expecting or waiting
19	for information, what would happen to them in terms of the
20	trusting relationship that they're building.
21	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yeah. That's a
22	good question. I see what you mean about the
23	clarification. I would I can't speak on of each of the
24	FILU teams, but I would expect that if they have a working
25	relationship right now with families and they're working

1	with families to gather that information, that they would
2	see that through.
3	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: And would it be
4	recommendation that you would would you recommend that
5	the work that is being done by the FILUs continue at the
6	organization level that that the partnership level that
7	you're working with various organizations at?
8	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Would I recommend
9	that the funding continue?
10	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Would you recommend
11	that the work continue in the event that this program is
12	not funded?
13	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I I think it's
14	a good model. I think it's doing great work. Those aren't
15	decisions that that I make.
16	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: If there is
17	information that is upsetting to families through this
18	process, I wonder if you could speak about specific
19	mechanisms and supports in place in the event that there is
20	no organization in a community that can provide that
21	directly to to an individual.
22	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I think that the
23	FILUs would if there was information that families were
24	requesting and that had been available to be shared, and
25	that it was upsetting, and if the FILU team felt that the

1	right supports were not in place, as I understand it in
2	terms of they're operating, the principles that
3	they that they ground their work in, that in such a
4	situation, they would not create an environment where
5	families didn't have the right supports.
6	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: And perhaps you've
7	already answered this question again, and I do apologize.
8	Given that you are you said you are in the process of
9	collecting data for the purposes of analyzing how this
10	program is working. Will there be a report at the end
11	of at the end of 2019?
12	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So, yes, we are
13	collecting on an annual basis. That data is due this
14	summer. And we are expecting qualitative and quantitative
15	data through the the regular reporting requirements that
16	we would have for all of our funded partners. I had
17	mentioned earlier that we don't at this point in time,
18	there's not an idea to publicly share the data, but that
19	data is publicly available. But we can definitely look at
20	communicating the overall results of the initiative.
21	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: So
22	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: And we will
23	actually sorry. If I'll just add. We do all this
24	work is operating within a federal victims strategy, which
25	is the the larger initiative that I'm a part of. And we

do -- do a summative report every five years, and 1 the -- the -- the results of the program and policy levers 2 that we have and how we've used them to support specialized 3 services for families will be part of that evaluation, as 4 well. 5 6 MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: And you're obviously -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- using the 7 information that you're receiving through the community 8 9 organizations, as well, which will be included in that 10 report? MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, absolutely. 11 And there is also -- we did work with all the FILU teams to 12 create consistent reporting tools. So each jurisdiction, 13 each FILU team, can report as they wish with -- with a few, 14 15 you know, form instructions as we have them with our -- with our funded project agreements. But we did work 16 17 to create some tools that would permit us, hopefully, to report on a national level in terms of the impact of the 18 FILU initiative, because it really is national in scope. 19 And it's operating in each jurisdiction, they -- the FILU 20 21 teams are really working together because there is so much interjurisdictional collaboration and 22 information-gathering. So we -- and part of that -- part 23 24 of that suite of tools that we worked on, reporting tools, was also to seek input from families in terms of how they 25

1	ielt with with the FILU service.
2	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: And are you seeking
3	input from the families on an ongoing basis during the
4	implementation and through this program?
5	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That's part of
6	the the tools the tools that were developed. So
7	we've asked each FILU team to reflect and identify how they
8	can best get that information from families about the
9	success of their initiative or or where
10	they they they stumbled or where they fell, and get
11	that input from families directly. And that would be
12	through the the community organizations, as well as
13	within the victims services divisions.
14	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: So then that
15	information would be directly found in the report as well?
16	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Sorry? I
17	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: That information will
18	be in the report, as well? The
19	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I hope so.
20	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: the family input?
21	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I hope so.
22	MS. KRYSTYN ORDYNIEC: Okay. Thank you.
23	Thank you very much. Thank you to the commissioners.
24	Those are my questions.
25	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you.

1	Okay. Commissioners, we do have a few more
2	parties that are on the schedule to cross-examine the
3	witnesses. However, commission counsel is mindful that our
4	witness is scheduled to leave early. So at this point in
5	time, I'm going to ask to call counsel for that witness to
6	proceed with her re-examination of the witness. We have a
7	23-minute period of time allocated for re-examination,
8	which will be shared amongst the counsel for all of the
9	witnesses. So dividing that into four, of course, that
10	would allocate roughly roughly five-point something
11	minutes. And I am certainly I am sure that we can round
12	that up to six. Thank you.
13	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER:
14	Certainly.
15	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you.
16	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Not entirely sure that
17	we'll be using all of that time.
18	BETTY ANN POTTRUFF, Q.C., Previously Affirmed:
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MYSKO:
20	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: I only have a couple of
21	questions for Betty Ann on re-exam. The first is there was
22	a question posed earlier today
23	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Just a second. We
24	just want to get the time on the clock. They don't have
25	the time on the clock yet properly.

1	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Six.
2	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. Proceed.
3	Thank you.
4	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: There was a question
5	earlier today about client satisfaction surveys or victim
6	services evaluations, and I just wanted to ask you about
7	that, Betty Ann. Has anything similar been done with
8	respect to the victim services programming in Saskatchewan?
9	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Yes, to my
10	knowledge, we do annual surveys of victim satisfaction with
11	the program and have done this for again, I'm not sure
12	of the exact time period, but I'd say ten years. There's
13	one available for 2015-2016 and one in the process of being
14	completed for 2016-17. We use a standard survey stool.
15	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. And do you
16	have any information readily available as to the results of
17	those surveys?
18	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Just from my
19	recollection of past surveys. And and generally, we've
20	seen 90 percent satisfaction from victims for these
21	services provided.
22	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. And just one
23	more question for you on re-exam. You had briefly
24	responded to a question in relation to terminology about a
25	recent program that has been developed around survivors of

sexual violence, and I wanted to give you an opportunity to speak in more detail about that program.

MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: Okay. This is a program to provide legal advice, free legal advice, to survivors of sexual violence throughout the province of Saskatchewan with the cooperation of the private bar. We've got a roster of approximately 26 lawyers who've agreed to provide this free legal advice to the client. The lawyers get paid \$88 an hour, which to them seems free. So -- but I'm very grateful for their support.

We're providing the program through Public
Legal Education Saskatchewan, who's actually hosting it, so
it's arm's-length from government. And when we say
survivors of -- of sexual violence, this is broader than
the programs currently in place in Nova Scotia and Ontario
which are focussed on -- on just sexual assault.
And -- and we determined that we needed to have a broad
program so that, in fact, it -- it could allow all sorts of
victims or survivors of violence to come forward, whether
it was residential school violence, whether it's violence
within the workplace, whether it's sexual violence in -- in
an organization or experienced otherwise or whether these
were simply victims who -- who needed to have some advice
about what their justice options were in terms of civil
action or criminal action.

1	We also have no age limits on who can apply,
2	and they can contact the program through email, by text, by
3	phone, and then once initial intake information is
4	provided, then they are provided with there's a conflict
5	check with the lawyers, and then they're provided with the
6	name of a lawyer to contact.
7	And so we've we've put the responsibility
8	and the control back in the hands of the survivors to
9	decide how they want to move forward. And and we think
10	that it will be helpful to some who are ready to take that
11	step, to get that advice. Obviously not all survivors are.
12	In the first month of the program, we've had 25 referrals
13	so far, and they get up to two hours initially of free
14	legal advice, and if more is needed, then they can apply
15	for more.
16	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. And just as
17	a follow-up question to that, are there any gender
18	limitations on that program?
19	MS. BETTY ANN POTTRUFF: No, none.
20	MS. BARBARA MYSKO: Thank you. That
21	concludes my questions on re-exam.
22	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. At this
23	point, we have a break scheduled to begin in about five
24	minutes.

CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Yes.

We'll take the break now. 1 MS. MEREDITH PORTER: We'll take the break. 2 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay. 3 MS. MEREDITH PORTER: So it is scheduled 4 for -- well, again, there seems to be an error. A half an 5 6 hour. When -- when would you like to -- to re-convene after the break? 7 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: We will 8 9 reconvene at 2:45. MS. MEREDITH PORTER: At 2:45. Thank you. 10 So I will ask the parties to reconvene in the hearing room 11 12 at 2:45. At this point we'll adjourn for the break. --- Upon recessing at 2:26 p.m. 13 --- Upon resuming at 2:49 p.m. 14 15 MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Okay. We'll continue on with the cross-examination of the witnesses. I'll just 16 17 give them an opportunity to take their seats. 18 The next party that I'd like to invite us to pose questions to the witnesses are from the Inuit Tapiriit 19 Kanatami, ITK. So I'd like to invite the representative 20 21 from that organization to come to the podium. And the ITK will have 23 minutes to pose questions to the witnesses. 22 NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Previously Affirmed: 23 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ZARPA:

MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Hi. My name is

1	Elizabeth Zarpa. I'm counsel with Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami,
2	which is a national organization that represents all Inuit
3	in Canada. I want to say thank you to everybody for your
4	expert for your for your testimony, and I also thank
5	the Blackfoot people for allowing me to be on their
6	territory.
7	So to start off, I have some questions with
8	regards to Ms. Giff-MacKinnon. Under Exhibit 15, victims
9	services in Canada. So on page 4, it says, "Victims
10	Services of Canada and the Provinces and the Territories."
11	And in this list here, is there any recognition of
12	Nunatsiavut?
13	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: In the
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Page 4. Page 4,
15	sorry.
16	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: No, it's not
17	included.
18	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Is there any mention of
19	Nunavik?
20	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: It's not included.
21	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Is there any mention of
22	Inuvialuit?
23	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: It's not included.
24	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: And FILU is a national
25	organization that represents all Indigenous groups within

1	Canada, correct?
2	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I'm sorry, I
3	didn't hear you?
4	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: So FILU is a national
5	organization that seeks the to represent the interests of
6	families of all Indigenous people within Canada, correct?
7	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So, yes. The
8	they're the FILU service is intended to serve all
9	families, yes, across Canada.
10	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: All right. Are you
11	familiar with Inuit Nunangat?
12	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I I'm not
13	familiar to talk about, no. Sorry.
14	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: So Inuit Nunangat is
15	predominantly the area the Inuit homelands of in the
16	northern region, above the 60th parallel. And I would
17	recommend that the exclusion of those regions within this
18	list, also excludes the families of those regions who could
19	also be represented within FILU programming.
20	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: If if I may
21	say, the the this exhibit is an overview of the victim
22	services models. And it's it's not just the FILUs. So
23	the the FILU service structure is organized by provincial
24	and territorial government.
25	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: All right. And

1	throughout Inuit Nunangat, the Nunatsiavut, Nunavik, and
2	Inuvialuit have their own regional governments. And I I
3	put to you that these aren't on page 4 listed, but they are
4	governments that represent Inuit.
5	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
6	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: And the exclusion is a
7	very it's it will it's reflective that there's a
8	huge portion of the Indigenous population that's not
9	represented in this.
10	JOHN PHELPS, Previously Affirmed:
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ZARPA:
12	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: So my my second set
13	of questions will go to Mr. Phelps. Hi. So throughout
14	Nunavut, Yukon, and the Northwest Territories, this is sort
15	of the CWC's, sort of, area that you've focused on
16	throughout your testimony, correct?
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. That's that's
18	our the territories proper at the areas where we have
19	responsibility for other criminal code prosecutions.
20	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: And is it implicit that
21	Inuvialuit is within those areas?
22	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Sorry, I'm regionally,
23	if if they're within the territory. I'm not as familiar
24	with the east coast as I am with the west coast. But
25	the the regional boundaries would be the the regional

1	boundaries set by set in Canada for that territory.
2	Well, if there are overlapping groups, such as in in the
3	Yukon territory, the Kaska First Nation would overlap with
4	British Columbia. We would only provide service to the
5	Kaska members that reside within the territory of the Yukon.
6	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: So is it fair to say
7	that Inuvialuit is not represented in your testimony?
8	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Again, to the extent, and
9	I'm not familiar, I'm having a hard time with the geography,
10	but if they're not within Nunavut, if there aren't
11	settlements within the boundaries of Nunavut, then they
12	would not be covered. If there are then they would.
13	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Are there Inuit that
14	reside in the Yukon?
15	MR. JOHN PHELPS: No, there aren't.
16	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Are there Inuit that
17	reside in the Northwest Territories?
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: As far as I'm aware, yes.
19	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay. So you mentioned
20	that within the training of CWC, many of the individuals
21	that are it's mandatory that they take First Nations 101
22	when they become a staff member, correct?
23	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. That's for the Yukon
24	region, yes.
25	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay. And is there a

1	Inuit specific 101?
2	MR. JOHN PHELPS: There is in Nunavut, yes.
3	There's a program, it's a modular based program that was
4	developed for training purposes for for cultural
5	sensitivity. It's mandatory, I believe, for all employees
6	including the CWCs.
7	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: And is this training
8	also Inuit specific 101, also offered within the Northwest
9	Territories?
10	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's it would be
11	available to all employees within our department. So it
12	would be available within the Northwest Territories as well
13	because it's it's relevant in the Northwest Territories.
14	It it hasn't been used in my territory.
15	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay, thank you.
16	I so would you would you so the CWC is essential to
17	the work of Crown throughout all these territories, right?
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's correct. Yes.
19	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: And you mentioned that
20	communication with the survivor is essential for the CWC to
21	fulfill its responsibilities?
22	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
23	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay. So is there
24	is there access to cell phone service all throughout the
25	Yukon?

1	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, there is. In in
2	all of the communities there is cell phone coverage, yes.
3	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Is there access to cell
4	phone coverage throughout all the communities in the
5	Northwest Territories?
6	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I'm not certain that there
7	is in the in the east. I would I would be guessing if
8	I if I was to give that answer. I I don't believe
9	it's available, but I don't know the the answer to that.
10	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Is there access to
11	full-coverage cell phone service throughout Nunavut?
12	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Pardon me?
13	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Is there access to full
14	cell phone coverage throughout Nunavut?
15	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I'm I'm not certain that
16	there is, no.
17	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Would you agree that
18	not having access to full coverage cell phone service
19	affects CWC CWC's work?
20	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, certainly. Access to
21	cell phones and and access to programs that provide cell
22	phones to to victims such as we have in the Yukon, and
23	and we heard about in the NWT greatly impact our ability
24	to communicate with victims. It's very important, yes.
25	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: So it's an essential

1	government service?
2	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Pardon me?
3	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: It's an essential
4	government service that's lacking?
5	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's certainly a very
6	important aspect of the work we do, yes.
7	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: So is there is there
8	full, efficient, effective internet all throughout Northwest
9	Territories?
10	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I I'm not too certain
11	with respect to the most remote locations as to how
12	effective it is, and I know in Nunavut, it's an ongoing
13	problem. Even it where it is provided, the connectivity is
14	a problem.
15	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: And would you would
16	you agree with me that this affects the ongoing work of the
17	essential service of CWC?
18	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I would, yes.
19	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: And would you agree
20	with me that it's a government service that should be made
21	fully available to all the three territories?
22	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It would certainly benefit
23	the work that we do with victims across all three
24	territories, yes.
25	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Great, thank you. So

I'm going to read to you a -- a hypothetical situation. I 1 want to just quickly go over it. So -- so I'm going say 2 that I'm a -- a 60 year-old Elder Inuit woman who 3 predominantly only speaks Inuvialuktun, which is like a 4 dialect from Inuvialuit in the Inuktitut language. Recently, 5 6 in Whitehorse, who has left Inuvialuit, my home, because I've experienced extensive struggles due to the -- due to 7 the issue of substance misuse in my 500-population 8 9 community. My community of 500 is now receiving lots of money from a diamond mine in our territory, which has 10 increased the level of drugs and alcohol in my community. 11 am fleeing my partner who is struggling with substance 12 misuse until he gets help. He was charged last week for 13 break and enter into our son's home, and damage to personal 14 15 property. I was staying there when he smashed out the window, and he was angry I didn't open the door. He was 16 17 under the influence, and this is his first charge. He has never been aggressive before. 18 He is Inuit too, from Inuvialuit, and has 19

He is Inuit too, from Inuvialuit, and has been receiving payments from the residential school survivor payout, and the Impact Benefit Agreement from the mine.

He's struggling too with this -- with his own traumas from residential school and the slaughter of his dog team in the '50s. I'm a survivor of several changes and challenges over the last century, who is seeking to -- a better life in the

20

21

22

23

24

1	capital of Whitehorse. I'm homeless because I just arrived
2	today. Will my case be handled by an Inuit RCMP officer?
3	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I'm I'm unable to answer
4	that question, I I don't know.
5	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Are there Inuit RCMP
6	officers in the Yukon?
7	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I I don't know one way
8	or another. I'm not familiar, no.
9	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Are many of the RCMP
10	officers that come into the Yukon predominately non-
11	Yukoners?
12	MS. ANNE TURLEY: I'm sorry, Chief
13	Commissioner, I'm going to object at this.
14	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Can we pause the time,
15	please?
16	MS. ANNE TURLEY: I'm going to object at this
17	point because Mr. Phelps is not here on behalf of the RCMP
18	and he would not be aware of the RCMP's recruitment policies
19	and the complement of their officers, so I think this goes
20	well beyond the bounds of his testimony.
21	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Ms. Zarpa,
22	any submissions?
23	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: No submissions.
24	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Yeah, I
25	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: As Commission counsel,

1	I it would be my position that the witness oversees a
2	program developing delivering services to victims, and he
3	is acutely aware, I'm imagining, from his expertise and his
4	knowledge and his experience, as to whether or not he can
5	answer the question as to whether or not he himself has ever
6	dealt with RCMP officers, for example, of Inuit descent. So
7	I think it would be appropriate for the witness to answer
8	the question to the best of his knowledge with respect to
9	the program that he oversees in the three territories.
10	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: The
11	witness can answer the question, but of course, he can
12	always say he doesn't know. Go ahead.
13	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Thank you. It it
14	could you repeat the questions?
15	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: So the will my case
16	be handled by an Inuit RCMP officer?
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I I'm not I'm not
18	certain whether it would or not. My expectation would be
19	that they would make their best efforts and arrangements to
20	be able to understand the complaint, so I I don't know
21	how they would go about it, though, if if they have
22	anybody on staff. I don't know the answer to that.
23	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Are there any Inuit
24	lawyers throughout the Northwest Territories are that are
25	Crown prosecutors or defence?

1	MD TOWN DUELDC. I don't holiowe gummontly
1	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I don't believe currently,
2	within the complement of the Public Prosecution Service of
3	Canada, that there are. There have been in the past, and
4	certainly the previous iteration of the law school in
5	Nunavut was supported by the PPSC and we were fortunate to
6	have some on staff as a result of that process. I'm not
7	certain that there are any within the PPSC currently.
8	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Are there any Inuit
9	judges throughout any of the territories, or Justice of the
10	Peace?
11	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I couldn't answer the
12	question with respect to Justices of the Peace because those
13	tend to be resident within particular communities and
14	hamlets. I'm not aware of any judges.
15	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Would you make the
16	recommendation that there could be Inuit judges within the
17	territories that would hear the cases from Inuit victims of
18	violence?
19	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I I could see that as
20	being appropriate, yes.
21	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay, thank you. So
22	Exhibit 3 was entered, which is the CVBR common checklist.
23	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
24	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: So I I I note on
25	the second page of the the opposite side of the CBR

CVBR common checklist. It -- it outlines that there's 1 restorative justice options. 2 3 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Sorry, I'm just looking for 4 the --MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: So it's under "Initial 5 6 Contact," so it's -- it's not the front page, but it's the second page. 7 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Under "Information and 8 Rights?" Is that what you're referring to? 9 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Yes. 10 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Okay. Yes. 11 12 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: So in the hypothetical situation with my -- my husband, what restorative justice 13 options would he have in the Yukon, Northwest Territories, 14 15 and Nunavut? MR. JOHN PHELPS: With respect to domestic 16 17 violence, in Nunavut, there is a domestic violence court in Rankin Inlet, if that was available to the individual. It's 18 only the one court, though, in the entire territory. Given 19 that the accused is residing, I think, in your scenario, in 20 21 Nunavut, then the services available in Nunavut would be offered. In the Yukon territory, there's domestic violence 22 treatment option court as well as community wellness court, 23 24 and depending on the needs of the offender in your scenario, either one of those courts would be an option for them 25

1	within the Yukon Territory. Sorry, there there's a
2	domestic violence and wellness court also available in the
3	Northwest Territories.
4	Within the Yukon Territory specifically,
5	there are several First Nations that offer restorative
6	justice options within their community, and the opportunity
7	to participate in those programs on domestic violence cases
8	is dealt with on a case-by-case basis. In in your
9	scenario, it may very well be an appropriate case for an
10	individual to go through one of the restorative justice
11	programs as long as they're addressing the risk factors of -
12	- of your I believe you said 50-something-year-old
13	accused.
14	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: And would he have to
15	plead guilty before pursuing restorative justice or wellness
16	court?
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: In those specialty courts,
18	there is a requirement for there to be a guilty plea.
19	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Which means he'll get a
20	criminal record?
21	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It means that a criminal
22	record is is possible. Again, first-time offender with,
23	you know, without a criminal record in the age range that
24	you're referring to, it may very well be the case that they
25	would end up without a criminal record. It's just on a

1 case-by-case basis and it depends. MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: So the option of 2 restorative justice and wellness court is available with the 3 4 possibility of pleading quilty and perhaps getting a criminal record, but it's case-by-case-dependent. Are these 5 6 options -- are these options pursued throughout the territories, these -- these different wellness courts and 7 restorative justice? Are they used frequently? 8 9 MR. JOHN PHELPS: I don't know the statistics in the other two territories, but the ones in the Yukon 10 territory are, yes. They're busy courts for us. 11 12 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: And are they driven by sort of specific -- for example in -- in Nunavut, is -- is 13 that driven by Inuit legal orders or Inuit-specific 14 15 protocols and processes of dealing with restorative justice? MR. JOHN PHELPS: I -- I'm sorry, I don't 16 17 know the particulars of the specialty courts in -- in 18 Nunavut. I only know really intimately the ones in the Yukon territory. I can say, in the Yukon territory, that the 19 Council of Yukon First Nations is involved in the steering 20 committees of both courts. 21 22 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay. Thank you. So I'm going to read a very fast hypothetical situation. 23 24 you for your question -- or your answers. LEANNE GARDINER, Previously Affirmed: 25

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ZARPA:

MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: To Ms. Gardiner, from
the Northwest Territories, would you agree that the services
which are provided through the victim services in the
Northwest Territories are essential to ensuring Indigenous
women and girls receive the care they need in some of their
most vulnerable moments?

8 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes.

9 MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay. I'm going to 10 read you a quick hypothetical scenario.

11 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Sure.

MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: So I'm -- I'm an Inuit woman who speaks -- only speaks Inuktitut, who lives in Tuktoyaktuk. Just last week, my daughter committed suicide, and after that, her father became violent towards me. He has been charged with assault and I'm emotionally, physically, and psychologically impacted by both the sudden and unexpected death of my daughter and the experience of being assaulted by her father just days after and being blamed for her passing. This is his first charge ever. I live in Tuktoyaktuk and I have no means to leave the community, nor do I wish to leave my community, but I want counselling and I want it in my own language. So I contact your office and seek help. What options are available to me in my community of Tuktoyaktuk?

1	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: What I can tell you is
2	that the victim services providers in Inuvik serve
3	Tuktoyaktuk and they're well-connected to all the local
4	stakeholders, including mental health supports, Indigenous
5	governments, the Gwich'in and the Inuvialuit, and often make
6	those connections with and for clients. They will respond
7	to clients in person whenever possible. There's a position
8	specifically dedicated to outreach that would include
9	Tuktoyaktuk.
10	As far as exactly where community
11	counsellors are, for example, and what language that
12	counselling is provided in, I apologize, I can't provide
13	that, I don't know it to provide it. It's in another
14	department of the of the government that I'm not aware
15	of all of the details of where those resources are and what
16	languages they're provided in. I can tell you that as far
17	as victim services provided, those individuals hired at the
18	community level make use of resources available in the
19	community as far as interpreters or translators are
20	concerned and
21	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Is it fair to say that
22	there's no counselling in Inuktitut?
23	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I don't know if that's
24	fair to say or not.
25	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Would you agree that

1	its important to have counselling services in Inuktitut
2	throughout the different Inuvialuit communities?
3	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I agree that
4	counselling in someone's first language is is very
5	important, and in those cases, yes.
6	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay. Just bear with
7	me for one moment. Okay, so on page 26 of Exhibit 11,
8	"Staying Safe".
9	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes, I have it here in
10	front of me.
11	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: So the third
12	paragraph, it outlines that: (As Read)
13	The Northwest Territories has
14	specialized counselling programs to help
15	people stop using violence. These
16	programs are available inside jails and
17	in the community. Specialized domestic
18	violence treatment option court helps
19	people who are charged with family
20	violence offences to take responsibility
21	for their actions and stop hurting
22	family members. Wellness court helps
23	people who are willing to set and follow
24	a wellness plan to work on the things
25	that help them to stay out of jail.

1	Would this be accessible to the father of my
2	daughter?
3	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Sorry, can you clarify
4	what page you were reading from?
5	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: 26.
6	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: 26?
7	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Yes. Sorry, I'm just
8	trying to go fast because the time is staring at me in the
9	face.
10	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I'm cognitive of the
11	time as well. So your question is if the domestic violence
12	treatment option court is available to
13	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: The father of my
14	daughter in the hypothetical situation.
15	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Although I'm not
16	regularly involved in exactly the eligibility requirements
17	of that court, it's my understanding that domestic violence
18	cases are considered for that court pending certain
19	agreements, similar to what we heard from Mr. Phelps
20	before.
21	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: And is this court
22	available throughout all the different communities
23	throughout the Northwest Territories?
24	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: No, it is not.
25	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: And is that because

1	there's no access to cell phone service and Internet
2	service?
3	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I'm not sure that
4	that I'm not sure what the barrier is or the plan for
5	where that court will or should or is operating. And
6	you're right, and I should clarify that given the location
7	I'm not sure if that court is an option or not.
8	MS. ELIZABETH ZARPA: Okay, thank you.
9	That's all my questions.
10	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Thank you.
11	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: The next party I'd
12	like to invite to put questions to the witnesses in
13	cross-examination is the Interdependent First Nations, so
14	if the representative from Independent First Nations would
15	like to come to the podium. There are 16 minutes and 43
16	seconds, 17 minutes I suppose, remaining in their time for
17	cross-examination.
18	NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Previously Affirmed:
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BEAMISH:
20	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. Hi again, Sarah
21	Beamish for Independent First Nations.
22	So my first set of questions is for
23	Ms. Giff-MacKinnon. Your Exhibit 14 states that the FILU
24	project was intended to complement this inquiry. Do you
25	know if the funding for the FILU project was set to end in

1	March 2019 because of the timeline of this inquiry?
2	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That was the
3	thinking, yes.
4	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. So if the mandate
5	for the inquiry is extended, do you believe the funding for
6	the FILU should also be extended?
7	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That that
8	would be a good alignment, yes.
9	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. You indicated
10	earlier today that if the FILUs had ongoing working
11	relationships with any of the families at the point when
12	the funding ends, that they would "See it through", so I'm
13	wondering how this would be achieved if there's no funding
14	available?
15	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So I hope what I
16	said was that it was my understanding that because of the
17	principles of how FILUs operate that they would, and the
18	work of the victim service divisions, hopefully they would
19	be able to carry on the work that they had started with
20	families and see it through. If the funding is not that
21	would be something that would have to be looked at within
22	each jurisdiction because at this point in time the Federal
23	funding authority does sunset March 31st, 2019.
24	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. So would you
25	agree that the decision to potentially end the FILU support

1	in the middle of a family's time of need is not consistent
2	with the program's purported trauma-informed approach?
3	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I do, yeah.
4	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. And although this
5	decision is not in your control, I know that, would you
6	recommend that the FILU program be funded for as long as it
7	is needed?
8	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I think that so
9	far it's proven to be a new way of doing some important
10	work, and I think that to date there have been some really
11	important achievements, and through that lens I think that
12	I would always support work that's successful in meeting
13	its objectives.
14	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. Do FILUs have
15	access to any funding for interpretation and translation in
16	accordance with the language needs of the families?
17	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, yeah.
18	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. And is there a
19	formal agreed definition or understanding of what family
20	means among FILUs across the country, particularly one that
21	would include people without blood or spousal or adoptive
22	ties to the person?
23	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That is a shared
24	definition.
25	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay, thank you. And I

1	guess the last question about FILUs, in Exhibit 14 it
2	states that FILUs strive to provide culturally responsive
3	and grounded services. I just want to understand a little
4	bit more about what that means, so can you explain what
5	this would mean in practice and whether the working
6	understandings of culture in a given situation are informed
7	by discussions with families on a case by case basis?
8	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So how the
9	culturally grounded aspect of FILUs is realized through the
10	operations? So I think it comes it is advanced in many
11	different ways. I think one of the ways that the FILUs are
12	culturally grounded is in their relationships with
13	Indigenous community organizations to provide advice to how
14	FILUs operate, to provide advice on protocol and ceremony,
15	to provide links to culturally grounded grief and trauma
16	counselling for families as well.
17	Many FILU teams have embedded in their
18	operations input direct input from an Elder's advisory
19	committee or Elders from the community that provide
20	guidance and also provide assistance to families.
21	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay.
22	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Those are some of
23	the ways. I think FILUs also, with families' direction and
24	community organizations together, provide opportunities for

families to have ceremonies, different types of ceremonies

1	depending on whether they are receiving the information or
2	releasing ceremonies, various ceremonies as well for
3	families.
4	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay, thank you. That's
5	all my questions for you, thank you.
6	JOHN PHELPS, Previously Affirmed:
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BEAMISH:
8	So next I have a few questions for
9	Mr. Phelps. So looking at Exhibit 3 which is the Canadian
10	Victims Bill of Rights common checklist, is this checklist
11	a common document that's used nationwide, or was it
12	designed specifically for use in your region?
13	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It was designed
14	specifically for use in the north.
15	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: In the north, okay. So
16	when I reviewed the common checklist I did not see any
17	obvious place where the Indigenous identity of a victim
18	would be ascertained, or where the needs of Indigenous
19	people in particular would be drawn out or given special
20	attention, beyond perhaps there was a part about
21	interpretation needs. Am I missing anything, or would you
22	say that that's an accurate impression of this document?
23	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I would agree with your
24	comment, yes.

MS. SARAH BEAMISH: So given that, would you

recommend the revision of this document or perhaps the
creation of a new tool specifically for use with Indigenous
victims?

that some consideration, as to how we might go about it and whether or not that go into a form. We don't currently ask individuals to self-identify, however their -- their Indigenous heritage may come about obviously in other ways, and it might be appropriate to put it in place in some fashion. Most of these conversations take place over the telephone, so I don't think that we would take the position that we should request that information, but I would certainly -- I'll leave here giving that some thought for sure.

MS. SARAH BEAMISH: So earlier you had said that normally at the initial point of contact or intake everyone is treated basically the same, and then if -- if the workers become aware of particular needs of an Indigenous victim then they might try to accommodate that somehow going forward. So I guess I'm wondering how -- is there some sort of standardized way or point in the process at which they would become aware of those needs, or is the expectation that the person they're speaking with will -- will proactively sort of start that, will bring that up?

1	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's generally through,
2	you know, multiple conversations, dialogue. It's something
3	that would evolve throughout the development of the
4	relationship as opposed to, you know, pointed and abrupt
5	questions. It's something that they're always aware of
6	that they that they need to make note of if if
7	it you know, if it gives rise in that relationship.
8	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay.
9	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I think it's something
10	that comes about during that period of time, rather
11	than rather than directed.
12	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. Do you think that
13	that the current approach creates the risk of missed
14	opportunities to make the services as effective as possible
15	for Indigenous victims?
16	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I think it's it's
17	certainly important to ensure that we're meeting those
18	needs, whether it's in this document in that initial
19	contact or whether or not we, as was suggested earlier, we
20	consider a chapter on dealing with victims or a combination
21	of the two, I'm not certain. It's a very good point
22	though, and it's something that, again, I will leave here
23	and give some consideration to how we can move forward and
24	learn from the opportunity being here today.
25	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. Now, I guess on

1	the note of the directive that we discussed earlier, I know
2	that the directive and the common checklist are both, you
3	know, sort of flowing from the legislation itself, and so
4	looking to that legislation it doesn't have any content
5	that's specific to Indigenous victims. Do you think that
6	the legislation itself should be reviewed and possibly
7	amended to better reflect the needs of Indigenous victims?
8	MS. ANNE TURLEY: I just want to make sure
9	that we're all clear that
10	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Can we stop the clock if
11	there's an objection?
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Please stop the
13	clock.
14	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Thank you.
15	MS. ANNE TURLEY: that Mr. Phelps is not
16	here as an expert to opine on the Canadian Victim Bill of
17	Rights, so if you're asking him a fact-based question,
18	let's just be clear that he's not here to give opinion
19	evidence on the interpretation or application of the Bill
20	of Rights.
21	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: I understand that, but
22	he is here to talk about programs that implement things
23	flowing from that legislation, so I think it's useful to
24	hear his view on whether you know, the best way or one
25	useful way of responding to potential gaps in the services

1	is to look at the legislation itself.
2	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Crown's
3	position? Sorry, Commission counsel's position?
4	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: It I take the
5	party's question and also the objection I'm assuming
6	this is a formal objection by counsel for the witness, I
7	don't know, she hadn't mentioned this on the record that
8	this was actually an objection, but maybe some redirection
9	on the question. Are you asking to rephrase the question
10	or to
11	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Well, I'm suggesting that
12	it be
13	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: move to a different
14	line?
15	MS. ANNE TURLEY: clear whether you
16	know, it's clear he is not being called as a witness, so I
17	think it has to be clear in your question because I don't
18	think your question is clear. To me it can be interpreted
19	as he's you're asking for an expert opinion.
20	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: I'm asking for his
21	opinion as someone who deals with the implementation of
22	this bill as a part of his professional role.
23	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: You can
24	ask the question based on his experience what is his
25	practice, but he's not qualified to give expert opinion

1	evidence.
2	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay, I'll move on.
3	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: So his
4	answer is limited only to his experience.
5	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. Then I think
6	that's all my questions for you, Mr. Phelps, thank you. So
7	I'll move on to Ms. Gardiner.
8	LEANNE GARDINER, Previously Affirmed:
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BEAMISH:
10	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: So first I want to ask
11	you something about victim fine surcharges. You told us in
12	your earlier testimony that some of the programs in your
13	region are funded through the victim fine surcharges, and I
14	believe you said it was about \$100,000 last year?
15	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: The programs thank
16	you for your question. The core programs, the service
17	delivery, are not funded by that fund, so those frontline
18	victim service providers, but yes, about \$100,000 a year,
19	as recommended by the victim assistance committee.
20	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. Now, there are
21	some serious concerns about the impacts of these surcharges
22	on impoverished offenders, and particularly Indigenous
23	offenders, and the constitutionality of these charges is
24	currently being challenged before the Supreme Court. So
25	looking at that context, I guess, I'm wondering if victim

1	fine surcharges were decreased or perhaps eliminated, what
2	would what do you think might happen to the services
3	that they currently fund in your region? Would they be
4	eliminated, or might they be replaced by the funds that
5	fund the other services?
6	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I could what I'll
7	say is that the as director responsible for the program,
8	though I see those projects as fundamental to really
9	support the work that's happening frontline and the other
10	outreach activities, so I would I would do my best to
11	find a way to continue that.
12	I'll say also that currently I don't have ar
13	appropriation within the budget I'm responsible for to
14	replace it if all if all of those hypotheticals were to
15	occur. But I can say that I would I would work with my
16	partners in whatever way I could to to address it, but I
17	don't I wouldn't have it as it stands right now.
18	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. And what can
19	you give a couple examples of what kinds of services are
20	being funded by the victim fine surcharge money?
21	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes, if you will just
22	give me a quick sec, I'm cognizant of your time, but I have
23	got a list or I'll I can tell you right offhand that
24	there's a program that has been funded for at least three
25	years in a row now through the Native Women's Association

1	of the Northwest Territories, who is also our victim
2	services provider in Yellowknife, that provides financial
3	assistance for families of homicide victims to attend
4	court.

Other programs have been in the -- they have previously funded training opportunities or training sessions for volunteers. Just this last year I believe it was -- there was an elder and youth program in the Beaufort Delta Region that was funded by that -- that fund. It's based on proposals received from community organizations.

MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. So would you -- I guess based on your proximity to these programs, you're seeing the importance of them in the communities, would you recommend that governments ensure that if victim fine surcharges, you know, were reduced or eliminated that equitable and adequate funding for these things is ensured by the government?

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I would recommend that governments address how -- what that impact would be. I think there's always a balancing act that happens when those changes -- in my experience, when those changes happen. Whether they're, you know, jurisdictional changes that we actually have control over because those fines are made up of territorial and federal fines as determined by -- by the Court. So in the best of our ability, yes, we

should be offsetting or trying to find ways.

And within my own mandate, in my job, that would be part of my job, is to respond to those changing conditions, whatever those are.

MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. I want to ask you a couple questions about Exhibit 5, which is the MOU between the RCMP and the -- and the GNWT about the victim services program. So you've already been asked a question about this, but I want to dig a little bit deeper. So I'm looking at Section 8.1 of the MOU, and that's the section that states that there will be an annual review by the agencies to determine if changes are needed.

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: M'hm.

MS. SARAH BEAMISH: I know you told us today that one such review is underway now, but I note that the MOU provided to the inquiry was signed in 2008 and does not appear to have been amended since then. Am I right about that or have the agencies done any of these annual reviews?

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I'm not aware of an annual -- I haven't undertaken, since I came to this position in September 2016, a formal review. My understanding of what would have happened in the past is that as issues would arise we would look at the MOU to see if it's -- if it's sufficient to cover the challenges that might be coming up, and if it wasn't -- I hate to

re. Prior dled. review ation with appening as
review ation with
ation with
appening as
appen is a
rs because
and the
o go to see
ng stock of
rse, the
cause
cause
cause But as far
cause But as far
cause But as far
cause But as far those
cause But as far those

services?

1	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I don't have a formal
2	plan. I wouldn't exclude that as a absolutely.
3	They they do often serve as our experts in that regard,
4	but I wouldn't exclude talking to other partners that we
5	aren't in formal victim service-delivery relationships
6	with.
7	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. So just a couple
8	more questions. Looking at Section 4.4 of the MLU, there's
9	a part in there that states that those in communities
10	without victim services without a victim services
11	program may use the resources of the program located in the
12	town nearest to them where resources allow. So those three
13	words there, "where resources allow", I'm just curious sort
14	of how that works in practice. Do resource constraints
15	sometimes result in in people being turned away?
16	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: It's not my
17	understanding. I'm not aware of a situation where we have
18	or aware of service providers we are connected with, who we
19	are providing funding for, have turned away a victim
20	looking for services. What it might be is that they may be
21	unable to give in-person services. That happens on
22	occasion for all manner of reasons in the North. But I'm
23	not aware of any situation where the support itself would
24	not denied for those in yeah.
25	MS. SARAH BEAMISH: Okay. Well, that's all

- 1 my questions. Thank you.
- 2 MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. The next
- 3 party that I'd like to invite up for posing questions to
- 4 the witnesses is from the Missing and Murdered Indigenous
- 5 Women and Girls Manitoba Coalition. And the representative
- 6 will have 23 minutes for questioning.
- 7 MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Yes, thank you. My
- 8 name is Catherine Dunn, and on behalf of my client, the
- 9 Manitoba Coalition, I would thank the Blackfoot for
- 10 allowing us to proceed today on Treaty 7 territory, and as
- 11 well, Region 3 for the Métis.
- 12 MS. CATHERINE DUNN: The Manitoba Coalition
- has asked for standing for the National Inquiry as of May
- 14 2017. However, they did not receive funding to appear
- 15 before the National Inquiry until May the 18th of this
- 16 year, 2018. And I would like to begin today by entering a
- formal protest on behalf of my clients for the late
- 18 contribution for their ability to participate.
- My question for the panel is whether any of
- the panel members are experts for the purposes of the
- 21 National Inquiry with respect to their individual programs
- that they are testifying about today.
- 23 MS. MEREDITH PORTER: I think that that
- question has already been clarified a couple of times on
- 25 the record, that the witnesses that are appearing here on

```
this panel are not qualified as experts, nor is this
1
2
         intended to be an expert panel or expert hearing.
                        MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Thank you. Are any of
3
4
         the panel members able to say that they have the ability to
         fund their individual programs after the contract of
5
         funding expires? (Unreportable sound).
6
7
                        UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, my goodness.
                        UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sorry.
8
9
                        MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Okay. If we could
10
         aet --
                        CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: We'll
11
         stop the clock. And -- and we'll take a short break.
12
                        MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Take a short break.
13
         --- Upon recessing at 3:37 p.m.
14
         --- Upon reconvening at 3:48 p.m.
15
16
                        MS. MEREDITH PORTER:
                                              Start back with --
17
                        MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Yeah, I am.
                        MS. MEREDITH PORTER: -- the questioning, and
18
19
         -- and so I will -- you to proceed with the next question
20
         that you had for -- for the witnesses. Will -- are we --
         are we back? Sorry. I'm sorry. Just a second. We --
21
22
         we're back, are we? Yeah, okay, thank you. Proceed with
23
         your next question, then.
24
                        MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Thank you. I guess my
         next question is, how do you like me so far?
25
```

1	(LAUGHTER)
2	JOHN PHELPS, Previously Affirmed:
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DUNN:
4	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: This is a question for
5	Mr. Phelps, and it involves his program. Mr. Phelps, prior
6	to my recess, I was asking you whether you were responsible
7	for the funding of your particular program.
8	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Sorry, responsible for or
9	what I is the question whether or not it's permanently
10	funded?
11	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Yes.
12	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, it is.
13	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Okay, and so whether or
14	not and this program has been running since 1991, I
15	believe.
16	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It has, yes, and it's grown
17	since 1991.
18	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Right. And that program
19	is steps into a position of starting as a result of a
20	charge being laid; is that correct?
21	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, we we get engaged
22	with the matter once a charge is laid, yes.
23	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Okay, and if no charge
24	is laid, your program, which has been running since 1991,
25	does not come into play.

1	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Our program does not,
2	that's correct.
3	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Okay, so if you have a
4	victim who is reluctant to lay a charge; your program
5	doesn't help that individual?
6	MR. JOHN PHELPS: In fact, we would not know
7	about that, that's correct.
8	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: If that individual comes
9	to your program as a witness and is too afraid to testify,
10	your program is not able to assist that witness?
11	MR. JOHN PHELPS: If a charge has been laid
12	and the individual is a necessary witness or a victim, as
13	the case may be, then we would support them through the
14	process. Then we would assess their needs and we would make
15	application in court in order to utilize testimonial aids.
16	However, if, for one reason or another, the matter ends at
17	that point with with the reluctance to proceed, then our
18	services would end at that point in time as well and we
19	would refer them outside of our organization. I hope that
20	answers your questions.
21	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Yes, so the witness who
22	refuses to testify in in the circumstances which we've
23	discussed not only does not have access to your program, but
24	that witness is theoretically, at least, subject to
25	prosecution by your department, not your program, but by

- 1 your department for avoiding giving testimony.
- MR. JOHN PHELPS: Theoretically, yes. In
- 3 practice, no.
- 4 MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Well, theoretically or
- 5 not, the Criminal Code is designed in such a way as to
- 6 forcefully encourage witnesses to give their testimony, and
- 7 if they don't give that testimony, then there may be
- 8 sanctions, and in particular, there may be criminal
- 9 sanctions; is that fair?
- 10 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yeah, I don't disagree
- 11 with that statement.
- 12 MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Okay. And if you take
- it one step further and talk about remote communities, if
- you are a victim or a witness and you have pressures from
- 15 your own family about whether or not to charge your abuser
- or from your extended family or from your spouse's extended
- family, that makes it all the more difficult to access your
- 18 program, because you need a charge to start it.
- 19 MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's -- that's correct,
- yes. We don't engage unless there's a charge. It's a
- 21 prosecution service. There is a territorial victim service
- in the Yukon that would provide that service, but it's not
- through our office.
- 24 LEANNE GARDINER, Previously Affirmed:
- 25 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DUNN:

1	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Okay. My next question
2	is for Ms. Gardiner. And, Ms. Gardiner, with respect to
3	the MOU I believe it was Exhibit 5 that's the
4	memorandum of understanding that your department has with
5	various community-based organizations; is that right? The
6	RCMP is responsible for connecting with community
7	organizations; is that right?
8	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: To clarify, the MOU
9	that we entered as an exhibit is between my department and
10	the RCMP.
11	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Okay.
12	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: It is it is not the
13	agreement that we have with other organizations.
14	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Okay. Who actually
15	reaches out to the community at the community level? Is it
16	the RCMP?
17	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: For relating to
18	what?
19	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: To providing services.
20	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: When a victim needs
21	services?
22	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: That's right.
23	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Our program
24	is there are several ways that victims can access the
25	community-based program. They can self-refer. They can

1	also be referred by the RCMP, as per in this MOU in
2	practice.
3	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Okay. So I am correct,
4	then, at least in part, that the RCMP is responsible for
5	engaging your program with the community; is that fair?
6	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes. Yes.
7	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Okay. So in essence,
8	the RCMP is responsible for who gets the services and who
9	doesn't, rather than the victim?
10	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I would I would not
11	characterize our our program and the accessibility to
12	victims the way that you've stated it, that that they're
13	in in control of who gets access to that program. I
14	mentioned previously in testimony all the ways that these
15	community-based organizations reach out to their community
16	members to make sure that everyone is aware of who they
17	are, where their office is, what services they provide, a
18	whole range of of services. One of the ways and
19	they're absolutely, RCMP are a key partner, there's no
20	doubt, in in that critical point where the RCMP are
21	involved to refer to victim services.
22	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: I'm not talking about
23	so much about key partners, but key funders.
24	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Funders?
25	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Yeah.

MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Yeah.

1	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: The RCMP does not fund
2	this program.
3	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: It's the does the
4	RCMP funnel money through MOEs? Who gets the money for
5	your particular program? Like, how does that money get
6	disbursed into the community and who makes those decisions?
7	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: The Government of the
8	Northwest Territories. My organization, I work for the
9	Department of Justice.
10	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Right.
11	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I have a budget that
12	includes almost a million dollars in this case that's to be
13	transferred through or contribution agreements to
14	community-based organizations: Indigenous governments,
15	hamlet councils, for the delivery of victim services.
16	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: And is it is it your
17	government that decides who gets the funding or how much
18	you get or how it's disbursed?
19	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: It's partly my
20	government. We some of our funding comes from the
21	Department of Justice Canada to contribute certain aspects
22	of the program, including some that goes directly to
23	communities, but it is an appropriation within my
24	department and my division's budget.
25	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: So the community itself

1	does not have any ability to decide how much money they're
2	going to get for victim services or how they're going to
3	deliver those victim services because they don't have
4	control of how much money goes into their community or for
5	what purpose.
6	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I would agree that
7	they don't have control over the the process that the
8	government uses to appropriate the money the funding,
9	sorry. However, the way our program works is it's based on
10	a proposal received from the community. So I agree, they
11	don't have control over the amount that's allocated for
12	that community. They do have control over how that service
13	is delivered in our program. Absolutely, there are some
14	fundamental things we ask to see in the proposals,
15	including direct victim services, but as far as how that is
16	delivered, that's entirely up to the sponsoring
17	organization.
18	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: And do you think it is
19	important that the community participate not only through
20	partnership, but by having the authority to have funding
21	made directly through their community?
22	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I think that agency

and autonomy is key for communities to be able to address

MS. CATHERINE DUNN: And that can be

their own -- whether it be justice issues or others.

23

24

1	improved on in the future?
2	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Absolutely.
3	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Because right now,
4	there are no communities who have that ability, to get
5	funding on their own, to create their own programming, to
6	sit as a partner, a true partner, at the funding table?
7	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: My only hesitation is
8	that we have various First Nations and Indigenous
9	governments in the Northwest Territories who have I'm
10	not an expert in, but varying self-control agreements and
11	at different stages of implementation. So but just with
12	that caveat, then then I would agree.
13	NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Previously Affirmed:
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DUNN:
15	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Thank you. These
16	questions are for Ms. MacKinnon, Giff-MacKinnon. And I'm
17	going to refer you to what's been entered as Exhibit 15.
18	I'm going to direct you as if I understand your
19	evidence correctly, you are familiar with the program FILUs
20	nationally; is that fair to say?
21	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Correct, yes.
22	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Okay. And at Exhibit
23	15, at page I believe it's 29 or 30, there is a
24	reference to Manitoba Indigenous organizations that receive
25	funding; is that fair to say?

1	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Page 30, yes.
2	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Yes.
3	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I see that, yeah.
4	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: And on behalf of my
5	client, they are grateful to have so many Indigenous
6	organizations who get funding through your program.
7	However, similarly, they don't sit as equal funding
8	partners at the funding table, do they? They don't get to
9	decide how much they get. For example, Ka Ni Kanichihk
10	does not decide how much money they will get in any
11	particular period, how they will use that money, whether it
12	will be forever and ever or whether it will be a term; is
13	that fair?
14	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Just to make sure
15	that we're we're looking we're thinking about the
16	content in the same way, the materials on the the
17	references on page 30 are in relation to Manitoba victim
18	services.
19	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: That's right.
20	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
21	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: That that's the
22	questions I'm directing is what's happening in Manitoba.
23	And in Manitoba, what I am I am asking you to comment on
24	is these individual Indigenous organizations do not get to
25	say at any particular funding moment how much they will get

or how long it will go on.

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So I -- I can't speak to Manitoba victim services contribution agreements or their -- their policies or practices with funding. it's probably closer to how you described it, but I can't --I can't confirm that. I -- I would mention that there are some programs that we in the Department of Justice are funding through the community-based trauma and grief counselling funding that we have for families of missing or murdered Indigenous women and girls that, as you say, the --the ongoing nature of the funding is not secured.

But we work with community organizations to identify which activities they'd like to advance and what those costs would be. So we don't prescribe -- there are -- just -- just to point out that there are some examples where the community organization does, in fact, identify what the budget would be. There are parameters, yes.

MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Do you think it is important as a recommendation going forward from this inquiry that community-based service organizations have more autonomy and control over their funding?

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I think that that is a -- an important way to move forward to build community strength and capacity in response to the justice issues that they're facing, yes.

1	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Would you say it's
2	critical?
3	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I I think it is
4	a critical component to moving forward, yes.
5	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Thank you. With respect
6	to the the FILUs, are is that program funded for
7	survivors?
8	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: The FILU program
9	is intended primarily for families of missing or murdered
10	Indigenous women and girls, so the it's not part of the
11	regular suite of victim services programs that might be in
12	place for the the broader experiences of of crime and
13	harm that survivors might experience. That doesn't mean
14	that survivors of crime, where they are family members who
15	have questions, couldn't work with the FILU as well.
16	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: But they can't they
17	can't access services for themselves directly through your
18	program?
19	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: For the FILU?
20	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Yeah.
21	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: The the FILU is
22	intended to primarily work directly with families of missing
23	or murdered Indigenous women and girls.
24	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: So I am correct?
25	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: You're correct.

1	yeah.
2	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Now, we've heard a
3	number of times, and I won't overemphasize this, but your
4	funding for FILU is is up in March of 2019, correct?
5	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Correct, yes.
6	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: You have no control over
7	whether that funding will go forward from from that point
8	on?
9	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Correct.
10	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: You don't have any
11	instructions from your Minister to give direction to the
12	National Inquiry as to the way they would like the funding
13	to go after March of 2019?
14	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I don't have any
15	instructions of that nature, no.
16	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Do you feel it would
17	have been important to have a member, a high-level Minister
18	or Assistant Deputy Minister, available to the National
19	Inquiry to give that sort of undertaking or direction for
20	their consideration?
21	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Do you mean, do I
22	think that it would be important to have someone of that
23	position
24	MS. CATHERINE DUNN: Yes.
25	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: provide that

1 recommendation to the Inquiry?
2 MS. CATHERINE D

who could come physically to the Inquiry and say to them, I guarantee as a Minister of the Crown that this program will continue, or, I say as a Minister of the Crown that this program will not continue for A, B, and C. I think that evidence is critical for the National Inquiry to hear, and you can't give that information because you're -- you're not at that level.

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I think what I've been able to contribute was -- were some of the -- the ways that FILUs, to date, are being -- are -- are achieving some key results for families, and through sharing that with the -- with the Commissioners and with all the other witnesses, that is one expression, perhaps, of -- of ways to consider when the Commission makes their recommendations.

MS. CATHERINE DUNN: So it would be nice to have a high-level Minister here to give a position.

MS. ANNE MCCONVILLE: I'm going to interject
at this point.

will move on. I -- so those are my questions. Before I stop, I would like to thank each panel member individually for their careful evidence that they gave over the last couple of days, and I know it's been very onerous at times

1	to I	be	the	subject	of	cross-examination,	and	Ι	thank	you	very
2	muc	h									

MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. So at this

point, that concludes the cross-examination by the parties

withstanding. We still do, however, have one last

questioning by Commission counsel, and so at this point, I

will invite up my colleague, Christa Big Canoe, as

Commission counsel to cross-examine the witnesses, and

Commission counsel will have 23 minutes.

MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: (Speaking in Native language) Blackfoot Nation, Métis Region 3, (Speaking in Native language). Hello, I'm Christa Big Canoe, Commission counsel. Like my colleagues before me, I just want to thank the Blackfoot Nation and Métis Region 3, as well as the Elders for their prayers, the drum, and for welcoming us into their territory. I would also like to acknowledge their Creator, grandparents, and the spirits of our sisters. Thank you, Mr. Phelps, Ms. Gardiner, Ms. Giff-MacKinnon, and Ms. Pottruff, who's now left, for the evidence you have given in your testimony yesterday and as well as with the testimony today in cross-examination.

I will first be setting up a few premises to base my questions to each of you on. The Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples is one of the reports listed in the terms of reference, the terms that gives authority to this

l	National Inquiry. During the address for the launch of the
2	report of RCAP in 1996, Georges Erasmus stated, (as read)
3	Aboriginal reality in Canada has become
4	a vicious circle of cause and effect.
5	If that vicious circle is to become a
5	healing circle, the roots of injustice
7	must be addressed. Instead of problem
3	feeding problem, solution must feed
Э	solution.

The RCAP was released in 1996, 22 years ago. In some ways, we can see, and based on evidence you've provided over the last two days, that there has been some cultural shifts in thinking, some relationship-building gains, and acknowledge some progress that has occurred. But I want to start on the premise on what the Commissioners have heard in our Part 1 Community Hearings.

First of -- first, one of those premises would be, not all, but many of the witnesses that testified in the community hearings felt that the government-delivered -- sorry, that government-delivered or government-supported victim services were not serving their interests in a number of ways that we've heard. A cultural perspective, not serving their interests in a manner that truly recognizes intergenerational harms that colonial legacy has had on Indigenous people and communities, and that although there

1	has been some inclusion of Indigenous participation in
2	various programs, that programs generally, we heard at the
3	National Inquiry, were created or designed for Indigenous
4	people, not by Indigenous people or in full partnership.
5	While listening to family members and
6	survivors of violence, including sexual violence, that the
7	that that we heard the vicious circle has not yet
8	become a full healing circle. We've heard each of you today
9	and yesterday acknowledge barriers and needs for
10	improvement. And on that basis, I would like to ask each of
11	you three, again, I don't care which preference you have,
12	whether or not you would agree that all of the services or
13	funding for services, because I do acknowledge,
14	Ms. Gardiner, you're talking more about funding services as
15	opposed to delivering it, you have referenced from the
16	position how you deliver or fund these programs. If and
17	we just heard my last colleague talk about partnerships. If
18	there are at all real or true partnerships, that the
19	communities are able to come to the table with the same
20	level and capacity of decision making? And I'm not sure who
21	wants to go first.
22	And I understand that there are reasons why
23	that's not possible, but I would appreciate your answer on
24	it.

LEANNE GARDINER, NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, JOHN PHELPS,

1	Previously Affirmed:
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BIG CANOE:
3	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Can you ask the
4	question again, please?
5	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Certainly. In terms
6	of partnerships, so, Ms. Gardiner, for example, you have
7	referred to it, and we just heard this, so I'm not going to
8	belabour the point, but what are mechanisms where you could
9	actually see full partnership of Indigenous families
10	actually help create programs as opposed to have them
11	created for them?
12	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: The mechanisms that I
13	can envision how you're asking me, I'll just restate so
14	that I'm clear.
15	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: M'hm.
16	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: If I'm aware of
17	mechanisms that would include Indigenous families in the
18	development of programs?
19	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Yeah, and in
20	decision making capacities.
21	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: And the decision
22	specifically around victim services or
23	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Yes, and funding of
24	the programs.
25	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I find it a

1 challenging question because I do greatly rely on 2 communities to decide how that -- how the current program 3 is delivered. But I acknowledge that the -- even just the basic framework for the program is something that someone 4 else has come up with. 5 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Right. So it is 6 7 fair, would you particularly agree with me, that in the current structure or system there isn't that opportunity 8 9 for there to be full partnership, and that's because it is a process driven by government services? 10 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes. 11 12 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Okay, thank you. And to the other two the same question, in terms of how you 13 envision the partnership would enable Indigenous 14 communities, families and individuals, to actually have a 15 seat at the table that would allow them decision making 16 capacity? 17 18 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I think that's a very -- it is a difficult question, it's a good question. 19 It's difficult because there are structures in place that 20 21 we work within and as we move forward. So we identify -- I know I do, and I imagine that some others on the panel do 22 as well, is to look for opportunities to build those 23 partnerships, to look at all opportunities to build 24 partnerships to be -- to listen and to have opportunities 25

1	to have conversations, to find out what in this
2	situation in the situation we're talking about right
3	now, what families are asking for, what the gaps are, what
4	the needs are, and to look for those opportunities to
5	identify funding sources, and then continue that dialogue.
6	So as as Ms. Gardiner mentioned, I do recognize that
7	there are times in those that process, there are steps
8	in those opportunities that are defined by outside
9	community or family input. But finding opportunities to
10	listen and be ready to grab on to those opportunities when
11	they arise, to move them forward and to continue hearing
12	from families or whomever is using the victim services as
13	it moves forward and to and to be flexible, to make sure
14	that it can he evolve and stay current and meaningful.
15	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you.
16	Mr. Phelps?
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Thank you for the
18	question. You know, because our service is within the
19	prosecution service and that specialized role, I can't
20	envision, at least on the spot, an avenue for full
21	partnership with us in decision making and funding with
22	respect to the program.
	and the first benefit
23	I can say that as self governing First

negotiating their way forward, we have been at the table,

and most recently with the Kwanlin Dun First Nation who looking to hold court on their traditional territory, even though they're within White Horse, a meaningful approach to -- a Gladue approach to court, and we have had dialogue and are in agreement with an ongoing relationship whereby they have meaningful input into how we would staff that court so that, you know, we develop a strong and important relationship with them as they move forward with their initiative, and we have a meaningful participation in their program.

MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: So it's fair, just based on the answers that you've provided me, that in the current structure there's no opportunity for devolution or to have the full partnership at the table in a manner that would give Indigenous communities or individuals the power to make those decisions; am I correct?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: I would just say within our program, no. But as they draw down on their various powers, that's contemplated that they would drawn down the ability to have their own programs completely separate and apart from ours, which I would encourage. I think it would be a vast improvement.

MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: But despite progress, we're just not there yet. So, Mr. Phelps, I do have some additional questions for you. Thanks to a number

1	of my colleagues representing parties with standing, a
2	number of the questions I did have, have been answered
3	already. So I have one for you in particular. If I could
4	draw your attention to, I believe it is Exhibit 4, which is
5	the desk the office of the director's public
6	prosecution?
7	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
8	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Specifically at page
9	6, at point 4.3, the alternative measures, in the third
10	paragraph there's reference to Rule 6 and 7 of the Canadian
11	Victim Bill of Rights. Specifically, just in that
12	paragraph, and I'm not going to read the whole paragraph
13	in, they're talking about alternative measures. So, for
14	instance: (As Read)
15	Not all offences require criminal
16	proceeding, in some cases the interests
17	of the victims, offenders and society
18	may not be properly addressed through
19	the use of alternate measures.
20	As a caveat, I'm not trying to get under
21	prosecutorial discretion here. My question, though, is
22	when we look at the Victim Bill of Rights, specifically
23	Rules 6 and 7, and I believe that is Exhibit 3 Exhibit
24	3, we see on Rule 7, that one of the rights of every victim
25	is the right on request to information about the status and

outcome of the investigation into an offence, is one of them, and also the location of proceedings in relation to the offence.

For the sake of my question, assume that it's true that the commissioners have heard repeatedly from families that testified in Part 1 that when alternative measures occur they're often not consulted by what would be like your CWCs or similar services or the prosecutors, about the decision-making process to stream something into an alternative process. And we -- the commission has actually heard on a number of occasions from families that they've actually showed up at proceeding to only learn then that the process has been streamed somewhere else, either through an alternative method or by withdrawn or being stayed.

In some instances that means the family hasn't been advised even when they've requested the information, and so theoretically, I'm not asking whether or not it has happened in your jurisdiction that you oversee, but based on the desk guide that guides your work and the law, would you agree that victims have rights under Rule 7, and the example I just shared with you would be a breach of provision 7?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: I don't -- I don't disagree with that. Our practice is to inform, and

1 certainly if it comes to -- sorry, when it comes to 2 alternative measures, to seriously consider the views of 3 the victim, if we're going down that road. MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Right. So the 4 phrase you just used is "seriously consider the concerns of 5 the victim" opposed to the language in Rule 7, which, you 6 7 know -- I'm sorry, provision 7, which was that they have a right, if requested, to the status, not the outcome. 8 9 know that you've explained to us earlier what happens when an outcome occurs, that the CWC will then advised the 10 victim, but the status. Would the status not include 11 12 whether or not an alternative measure or something like a withdrawal or stay of the proceeding, would that not invoke 13 the right under 7 to be advised of that before it happened 14 or --15 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. And, again, that's 16 our practice. 17 18 MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: You described recruitment and sustainability issues with retaining CWCs, 19 and employees in general, on your service. Other -- I just 20 21 had a couple quick clarification questions. So you explained how you post for those positions in terms of 22 23 recruitment, I was wondering about a couple of the other types of criteria that might be in place. For example, if 24

someone was applying for the CEWC position, would one of

1	the requirements be that they they have to have a
2	criminal records check demonstrating they don't have a
3	criminal record?
4	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's not part of the
5	application process, but if they're the chosen candidate in
6	the process, then there would be the requirement for
7	security screening in order to work in our office, yes.
8	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Yes. And what would
9	the the interview process entail if they were a
10	successful candidate? Would it is it a rigorous or, you
11	know, a robust process?
12	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It varies from process to
13	process. It can be rigorous and robust. That would be fair
14	to say. It can, at times, not be quite so rigorous, but
15	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: M'hm.
16	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I I would suggest
17	that the standard is perhaps a written test or something
18	like that, or an interview that's thorough. Yes.
19	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Do you think that
20	potentially part of that process may end up discouraging
21	some Indigenous individuals from actually entering into the
22	process?
23	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I do. Yes.
24	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: I've only got one
25	more question for you. In terms of you did discuss cultural

1	competency training, a two-day online modular form, I
2	understand?
3	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Cultural competency either
4	two days in person training; a program that's put on for
5	various government entities through the Yukon College. And
6	either at various organizations, or the modular, which is a
7	self-study.
8	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Right. And although
9	those are a benefit, and you know, the First Nations 101 or
10	Inuit 101 may be helpful in expanding some knowledge. Would
11	you agree with me that you can't make any person on any
12	subject competent in two days?
13	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.
14	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Okay. Those are my
15	questions for you. Thank you. Ms. Giff-MacKinnon, in
16	Exhibit 14, particularly on page 6, there's a discussion, so
17	I'll give you a moment to grab the Exhibit 14. There's an
18	explanation in your overview, and I understood that you
19	write the overview?
20	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Right. Yes.
21	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: So there's an
22	explanation on page 6, that not all required information is
23	available. And you did have the opportunity to explain, and
24	I'm sorry, I say Filucas (sic) that's what I FILU, FILU.
25	FILU, the FILU aren't able to get it because there's privacy

1	law and legislation in place that will sometimes prevent
2	people from getting information. Is that fair?
3	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That's correct,
4	yes.
5	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: One of the things,
6	and I just want to make sure it's clear, that in many of
7	these jurisdictions, and please answer based on your
8	knowledge, in many of these jurisdictions whether or not
9	there were FILU's, people would have an entitlement to
10	request this information through a Freedom of Information
11	request.
12	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Absolutely, yes.
13	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Would you agree that
14	process is a bit onerous?
15	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
16	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: It's hard to fill out
17	forms with a lot of legalistic language. Is that fair?
18	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I think I think
19	that the forms are they they can be onerous, yeah, I
20	do. I agree.
21	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: So what we're seeing
22	with the the FILU's or what your position is that this
23	program has enabled people a better access into making
24	Freedom of Information requests?
25	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, it's been a

1	supportive team to help families to to get through those
2	requests, and to to make many requests at once from
3	multiple agencies.
4	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: That's right.
5	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: And particularly
6	between jurisdictions as well.
7	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: And there has been a
8	lot of discussions, and I'm not going to ask you the same
9	recommendation that you've been asked a couple times, 'cause
10	you've clearly made the position that you're not in a
11	position to make the recommendation, but are there other
12	ways and means that that the access process could be
13	easier to access information? That it should be more
14	broadly and publicly available, so that families understand
15	that they're entitled and have rights to that information?
16	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yeah, and I I
17	agree. And I think that goes back to a question that
18	another counsel had about being more proactive, and
19	opportunities to ensure families know what information they
20	can seek.
21	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. On page
22	4, you talk about the FILU's mandate limits. Before I ask
23	you questions of this, I'm going to ask, do you have any
24	familiarity with the terms of reference for FILU? So what
25	terms were put into place to even make FILU initiate?

1	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I'm not sure I
2	know what you mean. Sorry.
3	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: So in order for the
4	program to commence, were there terms of reference issued by
5	the Federal Government to set up the program? The FILU
6	program?
7	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So in terms of
8	funding criteria and eligibility criteria that we would have
9	shared with provincial/territorial victim services? Is that
10	what you mean?
11	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Yes, but was when
12	the program was actually announced and launched
13	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
L4	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: at the same time
15	this National Inquiry
16	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: M'hm.
17	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: there were terms
18	of reference. Are you familiar with those terms?
19	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Oh, of the the
20	Inquiry's terms of reference?
21	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Not the not the
22	Inquiry's.
23	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Okay. Sorry.
24	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Of setting up the
25	FILU. The Family Information Liaison Unit?

244 LEANNE GARDINER, NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, JOHN PHELPS CROSS-EXAM BY MS. BIG CANOE

1	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I'm not sure which
2	terms of reference you're thinking about.
3	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Okay. So when you
4	describe the FILU mandate limits
5	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: M'hm.
6	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: what what
7	what is informed what those limits are?
8	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Why are the why
9	are the the limits in place?
10	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: M'hm.
11	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: The limits are in
12	place so that the the the FILU team members, their
13	focus is about advocating and working with families. Their
14	the skill set of the FILU teams, the objectives they were
15	trying to seek were very much focused on working with
16	families to gather the information. These were decisions
17	made to ensure that the FILU teams were not becoming legal
18	advocates, because that would not be the skill set that they
19	would bring to their work with families.
20	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: M'hm.
21	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yeah.
22	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: But in terms of what
23	they cannot do, so on page 5, undertake to participate in
24	investigations, provide legal advice, it says with legal
25	action What does that derive from? That's not the FILUS

1	themselves just saying, hey, we can't do this. Is there a
2	mandate or a term of reference that was provided by the
3	Federal Government for you?
4	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, those were
5	decisions made early on in the federal development of the
6	initiative. Yes.
7	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: I may have one more
8	question for you, but I'm actually going to turn my
9	attention to Ms. Gardiner, please. So
10	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: May I may I say
11	that, just to add to that, if the about the the FILU
12	mandate limits, that where the FILU team member is not able
13	to help the family member directly with those situations and
14	those legal proceedings
15	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Yes, ma'am.
16	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: they are very
17	committed to
18	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Referring them.
19	Yeah.
20	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: making sure
21	that they know where they can do that.
22	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. Yeah, you
23	did touch on that previously. Thanks. Ms. Gardiner, at
24	Exhibit 5, and one of my colleagues just asked a question
25	about this too. In relation to the memorandum of

1	understanding between the Royal Canadian Mounted Police
2	and she did talk quite a bit, so I'm going to limit my
3	question 'cause it's already been asked in terms of the
4	monitoring. The monitoring body and/or the signatories, and
5	she asked you about the current process. And you had
6	indicated that the communities, particularly Indigenous
7	communities or service providers, don't have a seat at that
8	table. Was did I understand that correctly?
9	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: They're not technically
10	a signatory to the agreement. That's correct.
11	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: And even though
12	they're not a signatory to the agreement, do you think that
13	it would be beneficial to add, as part of the review
14	process, particular chairs at a table to at least advise?
15	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: It absolutely. And
16	it's been a conversation of late of how we do that, given
17	given the relationship, and how many different
18	organizations we are involved with across the territory. So
19	it would mean adding eight more seats at the table, and a
20	conversation about how what that looks like. And yes,
21	valuable to answer your question.
22	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. I don't
23	need you turn up Exhibit 10, but Exhibit 10 is the Victim
24	Assistance Community Victim's Assistance Fund. There's a
25	high, and we've heard without getting into specific details

1	of statistics, but you have agreed over the course of the
2	last couple of days that there is a high without getting
3	into a specific rate; high rate of victimization amongst
4	Indigenous women and girls. Do you agree with that?
5	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes.
6	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Would you agree that
7	there should be a proportional amount of funding dedicated
8	to the representative group of victims? So for example, out
9	of the Victim Assistance Fund, do you think that there
10	should be a mechanism in place that recognizes the level of
11	victimization, that at least pays the same amount, dedicates
12	part of that fund specifically to the victims that are
13	accessing the fund?
14	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I would agree. I my
15	only hesitation is that in our territory, those projects
16	that are that are approved from the fund, are serving
17	Indigenous people. So that would be my only
18	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: M'hm.
19	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: kind of additional
20	piece of information is that they're being delivered by
21	local organizations serving Indigenous people, primarily
22	Indigenous people.
23	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. So my
24	last question; I was a little surprised that in in your
25	examination in-Chief there wasn't an offer of more

recommendations. I understand and respect that you're not experts, but based on the knowledge you share and the process that we've had that has entitled witnesses, even in the "Part I" to make recommendations that you didn't. So my last question would offer each and any one of you if you choose to make a recommendation to the Commissioners, or make recommendations to the Commissioners based on your experience on anything you haven't already addressed in the past two days.

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I'll start with -- as I mentioned, when it comes to really -- and I mentioned some of the stakeholders that we're dealing with, they're different levels of government, they're different agencies, Indigenous government. Although there's not always a need to rely on a formal establishment of relationships because some communities in some situations work better than others, I would suggest that those approaches -- we've heard a bunch of that, especially from Betty Ann, over the last two days, but I suggest in my experience that a formalization of that is helpful because of the nature of the impact when those things don't happen.

The impact is so significant when those connections don't happen, when -- when our own government kind of mandates might hamper efforts, there's all sorts of things, and having those formal documents to lean on I

think is helpful.

20

21

22

23

24

for sure.

MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: I don't know if the 2 3 other two want to expand on that one? I know I'm over my time, but the question came in before the end of my time, 4 so --5 MR. JOHN PHELPS: If you're -- sorry, I 6 didn't come prepared to make recommendations, I didn't see 7 that -- and I apologize if it was expected, I didn't see 8 that as my purpose. I would suggest one thing though, that 9 10 I certainly do notice, particularly in the Yukon territory, is that there is funding for Indigenous court workers in 11 12 every community, so every First Nation is receiving funding for assistance, culturally appropriate assistance for 13 accused people in the justice system, and we do not see an 14 equivalent in place for victims within the communities. 15 And some of our challenges that I've talked about, in 16 particular our ability to communicate with and provide our 17 version of the support, is certainly hindered with the 18 ability to access people within the community. I think 19

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: As I think about recommendations I think about the call to action number 40

services to Indigenous victims within the Yukon territory,

that that would go a long ways to improve the overall

1	which really covers a lot of really key elements to moving
2	forward. Recommendation 40 speaks to the importance of
3	ensuring accessible, properly evaluated, Indigenous led
4	victim adequately funding victim services programs for
5	Indigenous victims and survivors of crime, regardless of
6	the crime that they've experienced and the harm that
7	they've experienced, so I would I would draw attention
8	to that. I'm sure the Commission is very much aware of
9	that call to action, but I think there's a lot of really
10	key elements in that CTA that I think we can move forward
11	and build on.
12	MS. CHRISTA BIG CANOE: Thank you. Thank
13	you, Commissioners. Thank you, witnesses and counsel.
14	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. So at this
15	time that concludes the cross-examination by the parties
16	with standing. I would like to invite the Commissioners
17	with questions for the witnesses. And just
18	LEANNE GARDINER, Previously Affirmed:
19	QUESTIONS BY THE COMMISSIONERS
20	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: In case I
21	forget at the end of my questions, I want to thank all of
22	the panelists who have been here and helped us. I
23	appreciate all that you've done, and also to your counsel
24	for helping us through the process too.
25	Ms. Gardiner, I'll start with you. Looking

1	at the broad picture of victim services in the NWT, there
2	are opportunities for emergency protection orders and
3	protection orders, depending on the circumstances; that's
4	correct?
5	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes.
6	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: And in
7	terms of those protection orders, emergency or not, can
8	include granting the applicant, assuming that's the victim,
9	exclusive occupation of a residence and a provision
10	directing a peace officer immediately, or within a
11	specified period of time, to remove the respondent,
12	assuming the abuser, from the residence. So there is the
13	option of removing the alleged offender or abuser, because
14	there's no charge yet, from the residence?
15	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I believe if that's
16	from the Protection Against Family Violence Act that you
17	just read the section from
18	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Correct.
19	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes.
20	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: So if
21	under law there is the opportunity to remove the alleged
22	offender, then why are the services aimed at removing the
23	alleged victim and/or her children from the community?
24	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I I'm not sure how
25	to how to answer that. Honestly, the services that I'm

aware of are available, should -- should victims want to go 1 2 to a shelter. Again, not directly within my responsibility, but I'm aware of those services. As 3 to -- as to why they developed that way historically and 4 why there isn't a mechanism right now to -- or not just a 5 mechanism because there is a mechanism obviously through 6 the Act, but why that isn't happening, or if that isn't 7 happening and the other is, I don't know how to answer -- I 8 9 don't have the answer. 10 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay. Without belabouring the point, in Exhibit 11, your document 11 called "Staying Safe", it does set out a variety of 12 services available to victims and their children to keep 13 them safe. There isn't anything in that document, other 14 than at the very end, that speaks to any services available 15 or any plan available for getting the alleged offender out 16 of the community; is that correct? 17 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I have it in front of 18 19 I haven't read every word. I an assuming it does 20 reference EPOs as a tool. I believe it does reference it 21 as a tool. In my staff's preparation of the document and my review, my -- my understanding of our approach was to 22 balance the safety planning piece, which would include 23 sometimes as part of the plan to leave a community, with 24 the tools available. 25

I know what we tend to -- if we're speaking 1 2 about the use of emergency protection orders as part -- as a tool that's part of someone's plan, I know what 3 I've -- what I've heard from our community based 4 organizations, either the ones responsible for victim 5 services or other organizations, is that it is important 6 that we consider it as a tool because it is still a piece 7 of paper, and we know dynamics of family violence and we 8 9 have to -- have to consider that. If we're talking about 10 the practical needs of a victim, that we have to make sure we -- we consider that as one tool available. 11 Do I think that the way our system has 12 developed with this reliance on removing -- and we've heard 13 testimony and questions earlier in the day about is it fair 14 that the way to keep someone safe is to uproot someone and 15 their -- a woman and their children from their own 16 community and take them somewhere else? I would agree that 17 that's not fair. I can't speak to why it's developed that 18 19 way. 20 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay, 21 thank you. Going to Exhibit number 8, which is the community impact statement, just to clarify a few points on 22 23 that document. Who is allowed, if that's the correct terminology, who is allowed to prepare this type of 24 25 document?

1	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Who is allowed?
2	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Yeah.
3	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: It's my understanding
4	that community members can can do that. That's the
5	extent of my knowledge when it comes to it's not my
6	understanding that it's a tool or a document that's used
7	very often, definitely not as often as a victim impact
8	statement.
9	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: M'hm.
10	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: We certainly see
11	situations where we can imagine that it would be a tool,
12	but how it's being used and who's applying for it, I'm not
13	I'm not sure.
14	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Earlier
15	today, you referred to a a program, and I didn't get the
16	name down correctly. It was a child court accompaniment
17	program or
18	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I'm just going to grab
19	from my bag the accurate name of it.
20	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Sure.
21	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Maybe I don't it was
22	it was a three-day course provided by the Canadian Child
23	Abuse Association out of Calgary, actually, that we brought
24	instructors up to Yellowknife and provided that that
25	three-day course to victim services providers as well as

1	Crown Witness Coordinators in the Northwest Territories
2	together. And it really was about steps to take and
3	considerations to understand in the preparation or work that
4	these service providers might do with children who are being
5	required or required or asked to provide testimony in
6	court, either as a witness or a victim themselves.
7	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Is this
8	going to develop into a separate program regarding children,
9	or is this going to be blended into the existing program?
10	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I would I wouldn't
11	call it a program. What it was was a training opportunity
12	for the existing service deliverers. So to answer your
13	question, it's it's not part of what we're doing right
14	now to commence a whole separate program. They're victim
15	services providers are supporting those families now. What
16	this was about was adding an additional skill set as part of
17	our responsibility to do so and at the request of providers
18	to give them that training.
19	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: If we can
20	go to Exhibit number 6, which, I've sort of got my notes all
21	over here the victim services NWT victim services
22	program.
23	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Sorry, ma'am, is that
24	the pamphlet?
25	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Yes, it

1	is. I was under the impression that victims or people who
2	have experienced violence can self-refer to victim services;
3	is that correct?
4	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes.
5	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: However,
6	the document says, "You can use victim services at any time
7	after a crime happens, throughout the court process, and
8	after the court process ends." Can you tell me how I
9	rationalize your testimony with that?
10	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Sorry, can you refer to
11	which part of the pamphlet?
12	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: This I
13	suppose it's the the back page, but on very far right-
14	hand side. It says, "When can I use these services?"
15	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: So the the intention
16	is, as I see, well, I know what our intention was in in
17	putting it out was that "after a crime happens" doesn't
18	necessarily mean after the RCMP has determined it's a crime
19	or after charges have been laid. I guess, if I were to have
20	another look at that, I would have added the words "or
21	tragedy" because that is a service that they're providing
22	and it's right in our our agreements with communities
23	with with sponsoring organizations on the service that
24	they're providing includes "victims of crime and tragedy".
25	So I would agree it's it's misleading, it could be

1	misleading, and I can only assure that our outreach, as
2	as far as I'm aware of it, includes victims of crime and
3	tragedy.
4	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Looking at
5	the same document, the same brochure, and documents similar
6	to that that we have in evidence; what languages are these
7	published in?
8	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Right now, they're
9	published in English. And we have a project underway with
10	our Indigenous language secretariat and the GNWT to
11	determine which of the other nine official Indigenous
12	languages to to do or to have translated or interpreted
13	which documents.
14	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Thank you
15	Let's go to I believe it's Exhibit 6, the "NWT Victim
16	Services Program". And I'd like you to turn to page 9,
17	please. There's a the beginning of a table of
18	statistics.
19	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes, I have it here.
20	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Can you
21	tell me how you define "continuing cases?"
22	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Continuing cases would
23	be what so this is the aggregate of all of the program's
24	data together, and that would be a a client that victim
25	service victim services providers were assisting from at

least the prior fiscal year. So at the beginning of the year, they were still assisting and -- this many across the Northwest Territories. That wouldn't necessarily mean that matter started the year before. It could have been even before that, like, it really just depends on -- on each situation.

chief commissioner marion buller: Okay, and going over the page, I would appreciate an example of what you're referring to on the fourth line down of referrals for ongoing services. Could you give an example of that, please?

MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I -- sorry. I would, as an ongoing service, that would be other services that are offered out of that -- well, I would say outside of that organization, but some of our sponsoring organizations actually offer other services that a victim might need. So it's not necessarily outside of their organization, but a non-victim-services service. That could be mental health supports, that could be -- I think, quite often, it's mental health supports because the service providers are not technically or across-the-board trained as ongoing mental health service providers. But it could -- it could be other services as well, and -- such as housing, if they've -- if they've connected them to whatever those needs are that present and that a victim indicates they need assistance

1	with.
2	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay. And
3	going further down that column, just above the first bold
4	total, is O-D-A-R-A; what does that mean, please?
5	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: That is the Ontario
6	Domestic Abuse Risk Assessment. It's a standardized tool.
7	It was developed in Ontario and it's, to my understanding,
8	I'm not an expert, but I it's been relatively rigorously
9	evaluated as being a risk assessment tool for the likelihood
10	that you might use domestic violence in the future.
11	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Thank you.
12	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: It's a point in time.
13	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay.
14	Then going three lines below that, there's the a note or
15	a a line referring to "other office in person." What
16	does that mean?
17	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: What that means is that
18	the victim services provider has provided a service in
19	person to a victim who was referred or or referred
20	themselves, but not in their office, not at the victim's
21	home. So that could be a variety of places. That could be
22	the RCMP detachment, that could be if they were referred
23	from another service provider I'm trying to think of an
24	example
25	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay.

1	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: other than what I've
2	given you, but
3	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Further
4	down the page, there's "referrals to other agency," and I
5	just want to be clear on how this is calculated. If a
6	person is referred to more than one agency, for example,
7	RCMP and a shelter, are they counted?
8	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yeah. Yes. That line
9	is correct.
10	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay, then
11	going to Exhibit number 5, that's the "Memorandum of
12	Understanding with the RCMP".
13	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes, ma'am.
14	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Looking at
15	Section 3.0 under definitions, I understand that there's a
16	special or or there is an incorporation of the
17	definition of victim from the Victims of Crime Act, and D
18	says "substantial impairment of their fundamental rights."
19	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: M'hm.
20	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: In your
21	work, how do you interpret that?
22	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I would interpret that
23	as someone who, through some set of circumstances is not
24	able to exercise their own I don't know how to interpret
25	it without using the same language. I'm not sure how to

1	answer your question, to be nonest.
2	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay.
3	Thank you. I'm going over the page, or at least in the
4	hard copy, to 6.3. In terms of RCMP roles and
5	responsibilities, it says that, "In situations where the
6	victim requires immediate assistance, the RCMP will contact
7	the on-call victims services worker to attend if it is safe
8	to do so."
9	So I'm taking from that that there's 24/7
10	victims services available?
11	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: There are, in most
12	communities. That the way that plays out depends on
13	the on the community and how the program is delivered.
14	If I picture Yellowknife, for example, it's the largest
15	centre. They use volunteer victim services to do that, so
16	that's, like, the volunteer workers to do the on-call part.
17	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay.
18	When you develop a document or a relationship like that's
19	set out in the memorandum of understanding
20	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: M-hm.
21	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: as
22	well as your service delivery and how you fund and I'll
23	stay away from the Victim Assistance Fund for now.
24	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Okay.
25	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: So your

1	correspond funding, do you ask yourself or do you ask your
2	staff or does anyone ask anyone how by delivering this
3	service or entering into this relationship, we are ensuring
4	that we are meeting the established human rights of
5	Indigenous women and girls and are we meeting the Charter
6	rights guaranteed by Indigenous women and girls? Do you
7	look through those lenses?
8	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I would say
9	that that it is not a common deliberate practice to
10	apply that particular lens. Like, if you're asking me if I
11	asked myself, I do I ask myself other questions, but
12	I that's not really what you're asking me about. So I
13	often ask myself, is this allowing that community to
14	address these issues in the way that they see as most
15	appropriate and all manner of other things, but that
16	specific question, no, I would say.
17	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: To your
18	knowledge, does anyone else in your ministry that these
19	documents, relationships, funding agreements, from that
20	perspective?
21	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: To my knowledge, no,
22	put I don't know that it would extend to
23	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay.
24	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: everybody's role.
25	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay.

2 NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Previously Affirmed: QUESTIONS BY THE COMMISSIONERS: 3 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: 4 Ms. Giff-MacKinnon. I have some questions about FILUs or 5 FILUs. I note that documents that can be requested can 6 include records of incarceration, parole records, and 7 things of that nature. But what about documents arising or 8 9 flowing from mental health review board matters or health 10 matters? Are they see included? 11 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: For example, in a non-criminally responsible situation at those review boards 12 in those situations, yes, they would be included. 13 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay. 14 Again, similar question. In examining your service 15 delivery, examining your goals and objectives for FILUs 16 across Canada, do you examine your work and the 17 relationships you build, documents that you work from, is 18 19 there an analysis from the perspective of how are we, if at 20 all, guaranteeing the basic human rights of Indigenous 21 women and girls? And are we not breaching and actually upholding the Charter rights of Indigenous women and girls? 22 Is that analysis done by you or anyone else? 23 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Just as 24 Ms. Gardiner had mentioned, I wouldn't -- I wouldn't want 25

Thank you very much.

1

to indicate that we take that specific lens to the 1 2 development -- that we did take that specific lens to the development of the FILU initiative. Our minister makes it 3 very clear how important Indigenous rights are, and we 4 bring that to our work, for certain, as we move forward. 5 That is how we ground our policies and our programs, so 6 that's one lens we take. And I think that we do look at 7 Section 35 rights, for sure. 8 9 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: M-hm. 10 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: But could I identify a particular human rights lens? Like, perhaps 11 you've heard about through the expert hearings? I don't 12 think I can identify a definitive lens. However, we do 13 see -- we do move forward our work in -- in -- with a view 14 to providing space for claiming and reclaiming power. 15 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay. 16 I'll move on. I want to talk or ask you some questions 17 about training for FILU staff. I've heard your description 18 19 of different types of training programs and options for training for FILU staff. I haven't heard anything that may 20 21 include some type of holistic approach to their training or an Indigenous approach to their training. Can you give me 22 any insight on that? 23 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: 24 Through the

collaboration and the partnership building with Indigenous

25

community organizations who have knowledge about the experiences of Indigenous victims and survivors of crime and the experiences of families of missing or murdered Indigenous women and girls, through that network there is some substantial training that's provided and some -- where the FILU team requires cultural competencies, the Indigenous organizations that the FILU team works with provides that. That's one of the main ways that that training is -- is provided.

CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay. In your evidence, you've referred to test -- to ceremonies and working with Elders. Is that for staff training or is it for other people who may be referred to services outside of FILUs? Where do those two elements fit?

MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So the FILUs, it fits primarily with FILUs making -- hearing from families about whether they would like to have ceremonies included in their work with FILUs, and working with Elders, working with community partners to provide those ceremonies as they go through with the different points, at the very beginning, and throughout and when the information is shared. So the FILU -- some of the FILU team members are able to help families directly with the ceremony. They work very closely with families. They are from the -- the community. They have lived experience, and so they can

1	help make those connections. A lot of the FillU teams are
2	helping families with releasing ceremonies. They're
3	helping identify some of the the places where their
4	loved one was last was last known to be. And those are
5	some of the ceremonies that they're having.
6	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Who bears
7	the costs of those ceremonies and attendance of Elders?
8	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That's part of
9	the FILU funding model.
10	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: It is?
11	Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much.
12	JOHN PHELPS, Previously Affirmed:
13	QUESTIONS BY THE COMMISSIONERS:
14	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER:
15	Mr. Phelps, I have some questions. Let's go to Exhibit 3,
16	which is the checklist, please. Did I hear you correctly
17	that this was a checklist prepared specifically for the
18	North?
19	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's correct, yes.
20	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Is the
21	same form used for both adults and children who are victims
22	or witnesses?
23	MR. JOHN PHELPS: We only have one version
24	of the form, yes.

CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay.

25

1	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I'm not to be honest
2	with you, that's an excellent question because I'm not
3	certain this form would necessarily be filled out when
4	we're dealing with children, particularly in the Yukon
5	because we have a collaborative approach to dealing with
6	children, child victims of crime, in the Yukon territory,
7	and it's a it's a different approach to providing all of
8	these services, but this is the only form we have, yes.
9	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay.
10	Let's just move away from the form for a moment. Can you
11	tell us more about this collaborative approach that you say
12	you have with respect to child victim witnesses?
13	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's it's entitled the
14	Lynx program in the Yukon territory. It's coordinated
15	through the territorial government and the victim services
16	office with personnel from victim services, dedicated
17	personnel, dedicated representatives from our office, from
18	the RCMP, from health and social services. I'm sorry, I'm
19	
	not too sure if there are other representatives at the
20	not too sure if there are other representatives at the table or not. Off the top of my head, there may be
20 21	
	table or not. Off the top of my head, there may be
21	table or not. Off the top of my head, there may be education as well. And it's designed to ensure that there

25

And, sorry, I should add to that that the

1	intention being then that there I hesitate to use the
2	word specialized, but there are dedicated individuals
3	within each organization to deal with those files. So in
4	our office we have a primary and a secondary prosecutor,
5	for example, to deal with files that involve child victims,
6	rather than broadly fanning them out throughout the office.
7	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Thank
8	you. Going back to the form now. Under the initial
9	contact, and it has needs assessment and travel, there's a
10	check box about the victim is unavailable due to holidays,
11	medical appointments, exams. I note that there's no
12	"other" category, which might include things like seasonal
13	hunting or fishing activities, or seasonal ceremonial
14	activities. Do you think it might be wise to amend the
15	form sometime?
16	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I would see it's not
17	meant to be an inclusive list, but I would certainly see no
18	harm in making sure that that is on there so that that
19	information is in the mind of the individual having the
20	dialogue. That would make sense.
21	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Turning
22	now to information rights, which is on the back page.
23	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Thank you.
24	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Just by
25	way of background, can you tell us whether the policy

and/or practice in your office is to subpoena victim 1 2 witnesses? MR. JOHN PHELPS: That is the practice, yes. 3 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay. So 4 under information rights I don't see any place to check off 5 that the victim was offered information about the impact or 6 effects of being subpoenaed, and Section 704 and Section 7 705 of the Criminal Code, which would allow a warrant to be 8 9 issued for the arrest of the victim witness should they not 10 appear? 11 MR. JOHN PHELPS: You're correct, yes. CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Do you 12 think that's something you may want to change on the form? 13 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Again, it -- not being an 14 exhaustive list, but absolutely it makes sense to add that 15 to the list and make sure that victims are aware 16 that -- not only that they will be subpoenaed, the -- the 17 relationship does evolve, and this is the initial contact 18 19 that we have and covering off that initial stage where we're hopefully having contact pre-plea and the -- the 20 21 concept of the trial and what's going to happen at trial is covered at a later stage, and it's not necessarily a living 22 document throughout the process. By the time we get to 23 that stage there's an ongoing relationship and more 24 information being covered than is in the checklist. 25

being said, absolutely. 1 2 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Yeah. Ιt certainly would go to, if nothing else, the individual's 3 Section 7 Charter rights, don't you think? 4 MR. JOHN PHELPS: I do agree. That's a good 5 idea. 6 7 CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay. Ιn filling out this form and arranging for travel costs, 8 9 witness fees, that sort of thing, how far does the funding 10 go in terms of the victim witness and perhaps a support person to come with them or other family members? Is there 11 funding available for that type of person? 12 MR. JOHN PHELPS: It -- it depends on the 13 needs of the victim. It's -- so the funding does not come 14 with specific limitations on -- on amounts, for example, 15 the funding is primarily for the victim to travel. But 16 being the funding authorization within my jurisdiction, 17 it's quite frequent, particularly in certain types of 18 19 offences, that we do provide the opportunity for a support 20 person. Certainly if a child is involved, we provide that 21 support, and it's frequent, if not always the case, that in the case of a sexual offence that we would provide that 22 23 service as well. CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: M'hm. 24 25 MR. JOHN PHELPS: And it's not limited to

that, there's an ability to request in other offences as
well.

were in White Horse and other locations we heard from family members who were not necessarily called as witnesses, but wanted to attend court to watch the proceedings because it had direct effect on them because of their lost loved one. They said very clearly that of course some of these trials can go for very long periods of time, weeks and months, and that there was very little funding available for them to attend court, other than perhaps through their Bands. So because they're not necessarily witnesses, they wouldn't be caught in your program; is that correct?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: They wouldn't be caught in our program, no. There are a variety of funding sources through the victim services branch, if they're connected with victim services. The scenario that you refer to, I'm not certain if they would fund it or not, but it's not available through our organization, no.

now to the domestic violence courts and the wellness courts and the role of the CWCs. Can you tell me at what point the decision is made, and by whom, for a matter to go into one of these courts from the main stream court?

right I'll speak to the practice in the Yukon, which is the one I'm most familiar with. In the -- in the Yukon the domestic violence treatment option court is available in White Horse, it's not an option that's available in the communities. For all domestic violence offences that occur within Whitehorse the first appearance takes place within the domestic violence treatment option court and the accused has to opt out of that option and into the main stream.

With respect to the community wellness court, which deals with an array of issues such as alcohol, drug abuse, mental health and cognitive disorders, that is something that an accused opts into because it's not something that's obviously readily identifiable at the time of the offence. And that decision is made at the first instance by -- typically by the accused or accused's counsel or somebody supporting the accused. It might be recommended by our office as an option, a viable option for an accused. And if they decide to go through or if they decide they're interested in that option, then there's a period of time where there's a screening process in order to determine whether or not they're suitable for that court. It's a two-week turnaround.

CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: What roles

1	then do the CWC workers, if that's not redundant, have in
2	the specialized courts? Do they stay with the victim
3	throughout?
4	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, they do, yeah.
5	We with respect to the domestic violence treatment option
6	court, due to the nature of when the first appearance
7	occurs, we have an assigned Crown Witness Coordinator for
8	that court. With respect to the Community Wellness Court,
9	the file remains with the the Crown Witness Coordinator
10	that it was assigned to, but we do have an assigned
11	individual to make sure that we have an attendance in every
12	court. It's a shared responsibility for updating.
13	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: So when
14	the offender's time is finished in the court, that is when
15	the CWC worker finishes with the victim; is that correct?
16	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, once the once the
17	sentencing occurs. That's correct, yes.
18	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Okay,
19	okay, that's it. Thank you all very much. Commissioner
20	Audette?
21	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. Chief
22	Commissioner and Commissioners, one of the witnesses has
23	requested a five-minute bathroom break.
24	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Certainly.
25	(LAUGHTER)

1	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Five minutes? Oh, so
2	we will take a five-minute break, returning at 3:20, no
3	5:20.
4	Upon recessing at 5:13 p.m.
5	Upon reconvening at 5:24 p.m.
6	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Commissioner Audette?
7	COMMISSAIRE MICHELE AUDETTE: Oui.
8	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: I'm inviting you; do
9	you have any questions for any of the witnesses?
10	COMMISSAIRE MICHELE AUDETTE: Merci beaucoup.
11	J'ai oui, je vais parler en français. J'avais demandé à
12	la Commissaire en chef, à ce moment-ci, j'aurais aimé ça
13	avoir le bâton que les juges ont en cour. C'est sûr qu'on
14	n'a pas la traduction pour la plupart des gens.
15	Écoutez, tout d'abord, encore une fois, un
16	gros merci à tous les gens qui se sont déplacés ici pour
17	venir nous expliquer quels sont vos programmes, nous
18	expliquer quelles sont vos réalités et surtout de répondre
19	aux questions qui émanent de la salle ici venant des parties
20	intéressées.
21	De mon côté, quelques questions, évidemment.
22	Je commencerais avec M. John Phelps. Je suis consciente que
23	vous représentez ou vous nous avez présenté, pardon, un très
24	grand territoire. Moi, je viens de Schefferville. Le nord
25	c'est petit, mais c'est quand même ce qu'on appelle, nous,

1	une communauté isolée. Seuls le train ou l'avion peuvent se
2	rendre, sinon le portage et le Ski-doo, mais c'est très loin
3	dans le nord.
4	Je peux facilement dire que le grand
5	territoire, le Yukon, Territoires du Nord-Ouest et Nunavut,
6	c'est immense, et pour avoir entendu des familles lors des
7	audiences que nous avons tenues en janvier à Yellowknife, on
8	comprenait comment le territoire est immense, la même chose
9	à Whitehorse ou à Rankin Inlet.
10	On nous a parlé de plusieurs choses, mais je
11	vais vous poser des questions de ce que vous nous avez
12	présenté, Monsieur John, et ensuite essayer de faire des
13	liens avec la vérité qui a été partagée par les femmes, les
14	hommes, les survivants et les gens qui ont eu le courage de
15	venir nous voir.
16	Quand vous parlez des coordonnateurs de
17	témoins de la Couronne, les CTC en français, l'acronyme,
18	est-ce que c'est pour tout le monde ou c'est un programme
19	spécifique pour les Autochtones? Première question.
20	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I apologize for the delay,
21	and and I'll have to respond
22	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: That's my
23	life.
24	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I'll have to respond in
25	English, if that's all right.

1	COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE: C'est correct.
2	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's it's available to
3	everyone.
4	COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE: O.k.
5	Merci.
6	Alors, dans ce cas, dans la vingtaine
7	d'employés CTC, combine de gens sont issus des Premières
8	nations et du monde inuit? nguage
9	MR. JOHN PHELPS: The breakdown is at 100
10	percent in Nunavut, so all eight employees. One in the
11	Northwest Territories currently and one in the Yukon
12	currently, and that's those ratios have varied. They're
13	not designated positions one way or another. It's been
14	based on our competitions and what's available to us to
15	to who's who's available to us to make offers to.
16	COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE: O.k. Justement
17	vous nous avez parlé de la difficulté de garder les gens en
18	poste. Et quand on regarde la description ou le mandat des
19	CTC, c'est beaucoup. C'est beaucoup demander à ces gens-là,
20	beaucoup d'information et de connaissances du milieu
21	juridique, pénal, carcéral, et aussi de ce qui existe en
22	terme de soutien à travers le grand territoire.
23	Qu'est-ce qu'on demande comme diplôme à ces
24	gens-là ou bagage ou expérience?
25	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That that's varied over

the years. Of the last, you know, poster, the job 1 advertisement that was put out trying to attract individuals 2 to our regional office, the requirement was a grade 12 3 education, so graduation from high school. Previous to 4 that, we have had secondary -- post-secondary education 5 6 requirements, but the -- the number of applicants that we would see was significantly reduced as a result, so we do 7 work, for example, in the Yukon, we do work with the Yukon 8 9 College and we do take placements within their program to facilitate educational purposes but also to expose them to 10 what we do and hope that perhaps they take an interest, and 11 we have -- we have been able to hire a couple of employees 12 through that program. 13 So it -- it's a balance between attracting 14 15 individuals with the knowledge or -- or with the recognition that there's a significant amount of knowledge and by, you 16 17 know, erring on attracting more individuals and doing in-18 house training and taking the time and energy required to do that, or at least attracting more interest in the program. 19 COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE: 20 Donc, si je 21 comprends bien... I'll rewind. Je comprends que vous avez la flexibilité pour vous adapter à la réalité du territoire, 22 la géographie des gens qui habitent là? 23 24 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Correct. COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE: O.k. 25

En même temps, les CTC, je comprends qu'ils 1 doivent expliquer aux familles, aux victimes et à leurs 2 proches quels sont leurs droits, et c'est là où je veux 3 4 faire un parallèle avec le mandat des CTC, de votre présentation, et ce que nous avons entendu comme 5 6 commissaires. Sur les 1 200 témoignages, je vais essayer de me restreindre au Territoires du nord-ouest et du Yukon, 7 évidemment de Rankin Inlet aussi, les gens qu'on a 8 9 rencontrés dans le nord.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Il y a quelque chose... on va prendre Yukon. Ça c'est votre territoire. C'est votre chez-vous. Il y a un fil conducteur dans chaque famille que j'ai entendu... je vais parler pour moi... ou de survivantes. Elles ont toutes et tous partagé ces enjeux-là de façon publique ou en privé, l'isolement, les défis face à l'isolement dont défis d'accéder à une ville ou quelque chose de proche où elles habitent, le manque de ressources, maisons d'hébergements, maisons pour la santé mentale, un centre, pardon, de quérison pour la santé mentale ou thérapeutique ou de désintoxe. Elles nous ont paré du manque de counselling, du manque d'information sur le processus judiciaire et pénal, notamment quels sont leurs droits, quelles sont les accusations dont le tribunal est saisi, par exemple, et aussi que les avocats de la Couronne ne représentent pas les victimes. Ca, c'a été pour plusieurs surprenant. Ensuite,

1	que ce sont les procédures judiciaires auxquelles les
2	victimes devraient s'attendre. Donc, elles ne sont pas au
3	courant de ça ou elles ne comprennent pas et elles ne savent
4	pas quel sera le processus de la comparution jusqu'à la
5	sentence ou l'acquittement.
6	Donc, vous nous présentez un service, un
7	programme, mais sur le terrain, il n'est pas articulé de la
8	même manière ou il n'est pas reçu de la même manière.

Est-ce que vous avez entendu ce que moi j'ai entendu au fil des années?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: I -- I'm going to answer the question, yes. That -- that I have -- I have heard that. And, you know, our program is something that has evolved even over the last few years, it has evolved significantly in the way we're trying to do our -- our work across the north. The criminal justice system is no doubt an extremely complex system. And points that you've made, such as the fact that the Crown doesn't represent the victim, are -- are very difficult and surprising concepts to hear, and difficult for -- for victims to understand because we're working with them to try and get the best evidence before the court.

So it's -- it's not uncommon in my interactions with leadership, or justice committees, or otherwise throughout the territory, that there's a concern

about the information that's received. You know, we -- we do our best to relay it as clearly as possible with the limitations, some which you've already pointed to. But that's certainly something that could be improved, and -- and we're always striving to improve. The -- the expectation is that it's not just the responsibility of a Crown Witness Coordinator. That's a part of their job.

Particularly, you know, right -- right at the first instance of there's been a charge, this is who we are, this is what we do. When we get to the stage of trial, the expectation and the standard would be for a prosecutor also to meet with the victim and provide supplemental information with respect to the system because of the complexities. The -- the other reasons for it as well is to develop a relation and -- and make sure that there's a -- a comfort level with proceeding with the -- with the trial. So it is a concern. I -- I recognize that. I've -- I've met with families myself, who are -- are suffering from loss and just desperately, desperately want something to occur within the criminal justice system that isn't available to them. And it can be a very lengthy and -- and very difficult conversation to have.

And my practice, and I hope the practice of -- of my prosecutors and Crown Witness Coordinators, is to take as much time as I can to try and relay that. I'm

1	certain though, regardless of the time and effort put in,
2	that people will leave both dissatisfied and perhaps in
3	in disbelief, and and perhaps still with a a lack of
4	understanding as to the intricacies of of why those
5	decisions are. And that that's a reality we face. And
6	I'm not too sure what the answer is to prove that, other
7	than, to continue to move forward and try the best we can to
8	make sure that we're we're making contact and we're
9	having meaningful dialogue with victims as we go.
10	COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Merci pour
11	votre généreuse réponse. I'll rewind. Merci pour votre
12	généreuse votre réponse généreuse.
13	Dans ce cas-là, vous avez mentionné dans
14	votre presentation que, si j'ai bien compris, aucune femme,
15	aucune victime a porté plainte par rapport à votre
16	programme.
17	Pouvez-vous m'expliquer, dans ce cas-ci,
18	pourquoi on ne porte pas plainte sachant qu'il y a des
19	lacunes ou des choses qui devraient être améliorées?
20	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I I don't have an
21	explanation for that. You know, I I believe I mentioned,
22	or I intended to mention in my testimony, that I'm certainly
23	available and I ensure that my lawyer my prosecutor who's
24	the prosecutor supervisor is available, should there be
25	concerns with the conduct of the individuals in our office.

1	Our complaint process, if you're to look it up, is not
2	designed to receive complaints about the criminal justice
3	system.
4	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Okay.
5	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Or the decisions of the
6	judge, for example. It's about our conduct and, I think, a
7	lot of the discontent that I^{\prime} ve heard is more in line with
8	the system itself. I I don't recall having left a a
9	meeting where there was anger or or expressed towards
10	myself. But people have been upset because they don't
11	they it's not the answer that they want. They they
12	want answers through the criminal justice system. And at
13	times they they're seeking closure through the criminal
14	justice system that's not available. So I I don't
15	know I don't know the answer to that.
16	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Okay.
17	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I don't.
18	COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Merci beaucoup
19	Donc, je comprends que le processus de
20	plaintes ou la possibilité de porter plainte c'est plus sur
21	un comportement fait par un employé? C'est ce que je
22	comprends.
23	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It would be no, it
24	it's in place, sorry, to to also raise concerns with
25	respect to whether or not we've met

1 COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Okay.

MR. JOHN PHELPS: -- their [sic] -- our, sorry, obligations under the CVBR. That would be an appropriate purpose of it and we encourage our Crown Witness Coordinators to make sure that they're aware of that. And again, this is the front-end conversation, not the tail-end conversation. So they're meant to be, at -- at least, made aware. And -- and whether or not that resonates, I -- I can't say.

COMMISSAIRE MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Alors, dans ce cas-ci, croyez-vous qu'il serait possible d'avoir comme recommandation de mettre en place justement un processus pour que les victimes, si on se fie à la Charte... je veux bien dire son nom... la Charte des droits des victimes, laquelle vos employés doivent suivre et respecter et informer les victimes, de créer un espace pour ces victimes-là, pour ces femmes, pour les hommes et les femmes, mais on va parler pour les femmes ici, puissent avoir un endroit et dire « On m'a pas appelée; on m'a pas informée de mes droits; on m'a pas dit que la personne qui a commis le crime est finalement libérée » et ainsi de suite?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: I -- I do think it's important that they're afforded that opportunity. I would say within -- within the Yukon, as I've -- I've repeated throughout the last two days, there -- there are other

entities. And in particular, there's the victim services 1 program within the territorial government that's fully aware 2 of the opportunity to lodge a complaint as well. And, you 3 know, we have a collaborative relationship, and I would 4 expect them to relay that information as well because we've 5 6 shared it with them. But I -- I don't disagree. It -- it may be, you know, it may be too onerous. It may be 7 considered to be too onerous a process. It's a requirement 8 9 that there be a complaint in writing, essentially, to myself, or my equivalent in the region. Perhaps, that's too 10 intimidating. And as you -- as you indicated, a -- a better 11 environment to do so. I -- I would welcome that because the 12 feedback's important. And if we're -- if we're missing 13 individuals and we're not providing them with the 14 15 information that we're mandated to do, then I would like to know about it. And absent the complaints process, or open 16 17 dialogue with the Crown Witness Coordinator, I wouldn't get that information. 18 COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: A tough 19 20 question now. 21 MS. MEREDITH PORTER: So she's switching to 22 English. COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: No, no, no, 23 24 Okay. The question could be in English because it's a no. tough one. Do you follow the news and media? 25

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. 1 2 COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Parfait. I'm glad. Where were you? No, just kidding. In -- in March 3 5th, an article from CBC was saying that putting Yukon, 4 N.W.T., and Nunavut very low in the rank of -- how do we 5 say in English? The -- at the bottom of the justice system 6 report card, very low. So I don't want to criticize that, 7 but did you see that article or --8 9 MR. JOHN PHELPS: I -- I did, yes. COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: You did? 10 MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. 11 COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: So did 12 you -- and I'm sure you did. I know I'm not allowed to say 13 that, but it's me. When you saw that reportage, did you 14 said to yourself or whatever that what can we do to 15 improve? Because we're the last one on 13 provinces and 16 territories. What can we do to improve? And that would 17 become, I guess, the recommendation that we could also on 18 19 our end propose to -- to this important task. 20 MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's always disconcerting 21 when you're at the bottom end of any measurement. And there's a -- you know, in the study, there's a broad array 22 of things that are looked at to rank organizations. And, 23 you know, we share responsibility for -- for some of that, 24

certainly, but it looks at issues like Legal Aid and access

to justice and -- and those kinds of issues, as well, which 1 2 I would say are -- are outside of my purview with respect to the -- the hat I wear within the public prosecution, but 3 certainly not with my involvement with the Law Society, so 4 it is -- it is disconcerting. But, you know, we -- we 5 continue to strive to change and improve our program, and 6 in the ideal world, my view -- in the ideal world, we would 7 serve to provide a supportive element to the support for 8 9 victims in the system and not be the entire support for 10 victims. We -- we have a system in place because 11 when -- when it -- when this program commenced and continuing today, there are victims that go without support 12 within the justice system, and it's important to us to 13 provide some support to them in -- in the context of what 14 we do. It would be ideal if there was complete support 15 being provided from harm, whatever -- whenever that occurs, 16 through to completion of, you know, the lack of the need 17 for the support. And if we could come in and complement 18 19 that service -- and that's the type of that relationship 20 that we have with the victims services branch in the 21 Territory where they're engaged. And -- and their mandate is different than ours, so we have to be engaged with all 22 victims due to their -- ensuring their rights. And if 23 24 they're not engaged with another program, then it falls on us to do so. 25

1	So sorry. I rambled on there. But
2	I I hope I answered your question. If not, I would ask
3	you to rephrase it.
4	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Well, my last
5	question will be - je vais le switcher en français si vous
6	me permettez.
7	MR. JOHN PHELPS: If the clock was running,
8	it would be better, but
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pardon me?
10	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I said if the clock was
11	running, I would appreciate this more.
12	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Okay, mais ça
13	va être très court. Écoutez, l'objectif moi
14	personnellement, l'objectif de cette enquête c'est pour le
15	bien être des familles et des survivants qui va faire en
16	sorte que tout le monde va en bénéficier. Alors l'enquête
17	doit proposer, vous le savez, des recommandations et je
18	vous dirais que si on est capable de collaborer ensemble
19	jusqu'au dépôt du rapport, seriez-vous d'accord avec moi
20	pour dire comme votre collègue Betty Ann, qui est partie,
21	c'est pour avoir une réussite sur le terrain, s'il faut la
22	faire avec les autochtones et non pour les autochtones.
23	Êtes-vous d'accord avec le message de Betty Ann? La
24	collaboration?
25	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Absolutely, yes. As I

1	thought of the recommendation that I put forward earlier,
2	the opportunity to work with a form of service that's First
3	Nation-based, addressing the specific needs of the
4	individual First Nation within the community would be an
5	ideal opportunity for from our perspective, yes.
6	NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Previously Affirmed:
7	QUESTIONS BY THE COMMISSIONERS:
8	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Merci
9	beaucoup. Madam Madam Naomi, en français, quelques
10	questions. Vous avez parlé en 2016 des ULIFs, en français,
11	les unités de liaison ont collaboré avec les familles.
12	Êtes-vous en mesure de nous dire si ça été systématique à
13	travers le Canada ou c'est certains ULIFs qui ont collaboré
14	avec les familles, pour la mise sur pied?
15	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So in as I
16	understand it, all the FILU teams took some form of input
17	from family members in their province or territory. Some
18	of those forms of engagement were very were very, very
19	robust. And
20	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Very what?
21	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: They were very
22	full. And they would have they would have included, as
23	I mentioned earlier, an advisory committee, or it would
24	have been formal or informal I'm wondering why it sounds
25	funny, and I just realized I've got that on.

Would -- for -- as I mentioned, formal or informal direct
consultations. So it did vary. That's something that
definitely does vary in terms of the fullness of it,
because some were very full, and some weren't as full when
you reflect on some of the others. But they all took input
from families as they developed.

And I think I would mention, too, that in their work, because it is a few initiative and they are really learning from families as they go, so that is one of the -- the really interesting aspects of the FILU networks is that there isn't rigidity. It's -- it's meant to be flexible. It's meant to respond to where it's not doing well and -- and shift and change how it does its work and learn from, directly from the families that they're assisting.

COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Okay. Puis, vous avez un début et une fin comme l'enquête nationale et vous recevez là beaucoup, beaucoup d'information pour informer les familles qui en ont fait la demande. Une question pour -- sachant que vous avez un début et une fin, mais c'est important pareil comment on évalue là dans ce contexte ici alors pour vous, comment vous évaluer l'atteinte de vos objectifs? Ça c'est ma première question, pis la sous question, et est-ce que les familles des survivants vont participer dans cette évaluation? -- It

1 went to Québec. Now it's got to Calgary. 2 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So if I understand your question, you're wondering about what kind 3 of evaluation mechanisms are in place to make sure that the 4 FILUs are meeting their objectives, given this time frame, 5 and then how are families involved in -- in telling that 6 story within the FILU operations. 7 So there -- there -- there are some 8 9 very -- very detailed tools that each of the FILUs have to 10 help with their evaluation process. So they will be reporting annually and providing that input about the three 11 objectives that we've identified for the FILU teams. 12 they'll -- they'll be expected to report on how they 13 provided that dedicated, coordinated support and assisted 14 families to gather all of the information that was 15 available, the nature of their inter agency collaboration 16 with agencies who -- that hold the information. They'll 17 also be expected to speak to how their -- their model and 18 19 their approach was culturally grounded and -- and 20 the -- and how they -- how they delivered a trauma-informed 21 approach. So those three principles will quide how they 22 report. 23 And there is also -- we have -- in discussion, we have requested that all the FILUs build 24

in -- and we've provided some tools to assist them -- they

build in in their reporting, and they -- they ensure that they connect with families to find out how they felt about the service and to get that feedback from family members.

COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Thank you.

The reason why -- because of the time that -- the reason why I'm asking this question, and it's going to be my last question for you, right away you mentioned trauma informed, we were blessed to travel across Canada, and I did most of the hearing with the -- with the families and survivors, so I was able to hear outside of the hearing process what went very well and what went very -- what was very difficult or hard on families and survivors.

There's some places where they do more than they're supposed to do, very -- I'll speak for a place I'm very aware, you can tell with my accent, Quebec. He's alone, but he's always there making sure that they get the aftercare also or we will work with the inquiry. So we hope that it's happening across Canada.

But other places that broke my heart when families come to us in the informal way to say I was just brought in a room with no explanation, with a pile of documents. I know how to read, I'm intelligent, but this is a world that -- it's not my cup of tea. So the person, not FILU, but this process wasn't there or the system or the structure wasn't there to explain what happened to the

loved one, to explain what went wrong or what went the way 1 it was supposed to. So we've heard that many times. 2 Other places we've heard also that the 3 trauma inform wasn't -- wasn't even there, that it was very 4 cold and we were just making harder for the families. 5 Did you hear about those events or issues? 6 If yes, what did you do to make sure that it doesn't happen 7 again? 8 9 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: There were a 10 couple of occasions where FILUs, as part of our network that we have our weekly conversations, FILU teams, I've 11 heard of a couple of occasions where the FILU teams have 12 shared some of -- maybe they're the same situations that 13 you're describing, and they -- they identified how they did 14 not create the right situation at the time. 15 And again, I wasn't there, but I'm -- as I 16 understand it from what they've shared with us, and they 17 tried to learn from that experience -- they didn't try, 18 19 they did learn from that experience. And I hope that those situations would not happen again. I hope that the FILU 20 21 network across the country has an opportunity to learn from each other when those experiences happen and to identify 22 them and talk about them openly, about where they 23

have -- where they have stumbled or where they have failed,

and to -- and to look at how that he can do better.

24

1	COMMISSIONER MICHELE AUDETTE: I was
2	supposed to have a last, last, but you opened a door.
3	Quickly, we sadly said we have to put a a stop, hoping
4	that it's not forever, for the community hearings, or this
5	phase, Part 1, because of we're waiting to see if there's
6	an extension or not. And is family can come to you,
7	even though the Part 1 is done with this with this
8	inquiry and ask until the end what happened to my loved
9	one?
10	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, I think
11	that's where there's one of the ways that the FILUs and
12	the inquiry are separate illustrates that, because the
13	FILUs would be available to families who did or didn't
14	participate in the inquiry and regardless of how or when
15	they participated in the inquiry.
16	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Merci
17	beaucoup, I have so much question, but
18	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: We can talk any
19	time.
20	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: I'll see you,
21	I'm sure. Merci, I'll leave my other colleagues the space
22	and the time, merci beaucoup, beaucoup.
23	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: I'll begin
24	just expressing my gratitude for you being here and for
25	being patient. I know the clock is it's getting late

and I've tried to narrow them down, my questions, as much 1 as possible. I thank the parties with standing as well as 2 my colleagues with helping me with narrowing my questions. 3 Naomi, if I can -- can I call you Naomi? 4 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Of course, yes. 5 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Please call my 6 Qajaq, not Commissioner Robinson. I'm going to start with 7 you just to sort of follow up. As Michele was just asking 8 9 you some questions, and this speaks to more so the 10 locations of FILU offices. Are you aware of any FILU offices or staff that are located in Inuit Nunangat? And I 11 will define what that geographical space is. 12 Inuit Nunangat is the territory that is the 13 land of the Inuit. It encompasses or includes the Nunavut 14 territory in entirety. Nunavik, Northern Quebec, the 15 territory of -- that covers the James Bay Northern Quebec 16 agreement, Nunatsiavut which is Northern Labrador and is 17 defined by that agreement and self-government agreement, 18 19 and the Inuvialuit region in the Northwest Territories 20 which geographically is defined by their land claim 21 agreement. They work together, the four regions, and 22 that forms ITK, and they work within this space, their 23 land. And it is the land of the majority of Inuit women 24 and families and girls. 25

295 NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON QUESTIONS BY THE COMMISSIONERS

1	So within that geographical space that I
2	just outlined, are there any FILU offices and staff?
3	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: As far as I
4	understand it, I don't think there are. I think I'm
5	just trying to reflect on the geography, and I don't think
6	that there are FILU team members located in those
7	territories.
8	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Including
9	Nunavut?
10	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: There is I
11	apologize, I apologize, yes, there are. There's a FILU
12	team located in victim services, but not the not a
13	community organization.
14	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay, yeah, I
15	mean geographically
16	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: That was what I
17	was thinking.
18	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: not within
19	an organization.
20	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Oh, I see, okay.
21	Sorry, I thought you meant within a community organization.
22	So, yes, there is a FILU team located Iqaluit. The
23	organization, the FILU teams, are using provincial and
24	territorial victim services divisions geographical spaces,
25	so Nunavik, for example, would work with the Quebec FILU,

1	Nunatsiavut would work with the Newfoundland and Labrador
2	FILU, and then an NWT would work with the NWT office in
3	Yellowknife and Inuvik.
4	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: And in terms
5	of the determination of where those offices and those staff
6	are located, that is a provincial and territorial decision;
7	that is correct?
8	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, in dialogue
9	and consultation through their engagements, yes.
10	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Was there any
11	thought in your mandate, and with the funding formula or
12	agreements with those jurisdictions, to ensure that those
13	service providers were located in Indigenous territory?
14	Was that contemplated?
15	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I
16	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: For example,
17	on reserves or, like I said, within Nunatsiavut, for
18	example?
19	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: We didn't add
20	that as an eligibility criteria for the funding. We did
21	ask that each each victim services division work with
22	community organizations to to consider their FILU
23	operations.
24	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it was a
25	consultative obligation

1	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: as opposed
3	to
4	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Correct.
5	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay, thank
6	you. Now, the way the FILU program is described in your
7	material, is really strikes me as a stopgap program to
8	comply with what I would it's a stopgap program to
9	address the issues in what what counsel for the inquiry
10	described as the barriers for accessing information.
11	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: When you say
12	stopgap, do you mean a
13	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Well
14	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: a quick fix
15	or
16	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Well, an
17	interim fix for the let me go back. Families, the
18	individuals who FILO's FILU's serve are entitled to that
19	information. They have legal rights to it. There are
20	existing mechanisms, Access to Information, et cetera, et
21	cetera, et cetera, that currently exist with or without the
22	FILU's to access that information.
23	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Right.
24	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: But it's a
25	labyrinth to go through, and you've heard in the pre-Inquiry

that it is so onerous that families aren't getting to the 1 information that they need. 2 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Right. 3 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So I quess if 4 you have a program that is insuring that these rights are 5 6 met, yet it sets --7 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: M'hm. COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: -- within a 8 9 certain period of time, it is a stop gap. It is a temporary fix. It is not something long term. That's what I mean by 10 stopped. 11 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: It's not -- it's 12 not sustaining. And it's -- right. I see what you're 13 saying, that this is a right of all individuals to have 14 15 access to this information, and families are -- have right to this information. And while this -- the -- the FILU 16 17 operations provide a navigation function and provide a 18 coordination function to help families access the information that they have a right to access, given that we 19 only have Federal funding 'til March 31st, 2019, it does 20 21 restrict and -- and -- and create some challenges for the sustainability of that -- that -- that support network to 22 help families navigate to -- to access their rights. I 23 24 agree.

25

COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Now, would you

1 agree with me that state and state agencies, as the holders of this information, have an obligation; like, I recognize 2 that families have these rights, but with rights come 3 obligations. Would you see this as being a continued issues 4 with the protection and fulfillment of these Access to 5 6 Information rights if these issues and barriers aren't lifted in a long-term basis? 7 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So that's about 8 9 the -- the processes in place that each of the agencies have, in terms of how they share -- how they -- how they 10 respond -- or this -- the policies and the -- the process 11 that they set up to access the information. Is that what 12 you mean? 13 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: 14 Yes. 15 essence, that there is -- families have these rights, and it's up to the state agency, whether it's FILU or any other 16 17 who holds this information to ensure that those rights are protected and fulfilled. So there's somewhat of a proactive 18 obligation. Would you agree with me? 19 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes, I would. I 20 21 think that goes -- that's similar to some of the other -it's -- it's -- it's thematically related to some of the 22 other questions about having the agencies be more proactive 23 24 and clear about how families can access -- access that

information to achieve their rights to that information.

1	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: And whether
2	it's to FILU or not, those barriers need to be addressed.
3	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Those are
4	significant barriers, yes.
5	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: M'hm. Thank
6	you. And that would be something that this Inquiry might
7	want to recommend? You can answer that if you want.
8	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Do you think
9	(LAUGHTER)
10	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: I appreciate
11	you might not want to answer that, but I'd leave it out
12	there in the air if you want.
13	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I think any time
L4	we do public legal education and information, any time we
15	make sure people know what their rights are, any time we
16	make sure people know all the services that are available
17	and entitled to, I think that's great.
18	LEANNE GARDINER, Previously Affirmed:
19	QUESTIONS BY THE COMMISSIONERS:
20	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Thank you. I
21	agree. Ms. Gardiner, if I can turn to you. Thank you,
22	Naomi. We spoke a little bit yesterday about the funding
23	that goes to the service victim services. And I think it
24	was 1.6 million that you quoted for me. Is that per program
25	out of the 11, or is that your overall budget for

1	distribution for service providers?
2	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: That's an approximate
3	overall budget. Just the way that it's divvied up with
4	responsibilities, I say approximate. It's very close to
5	that. Between the Federal funding, the program, our
6	division accesses and what the GWT puts towards that as
7	well.
8	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: And the you
9	can correct me if my numbers are wrong, but there's 11
10	active programs currently, or service providers, but eight?
11	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yeah. So
12	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So that's
13	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Oh, sorry.
14	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So eight
15	programs with 11 staff in total?
16	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay.
18	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: That's correct.
19	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: And how long
20	have each of these eight locations, service providing hubs
21	been operational?
22	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: That varies. The first
23	two programs were in Fort Smith and Yellowknife, and they
24	were funded at a lower level than they are today. As of
25	1999, so when the territories split when Nunavut was

1	created, there were four programs running. Two were in
2	Nunavut and went programs and funding to the Nunavut
3	government, and then Yellowknife and Fort Smith. Since that
4	time, it's varied. The program has grown over time and
5	communities have indicated interest and developed their
6	programs. I don't have the details with me and which year
7	exactly all of those programs came to be, but basically
8	those were the first two, and then they developed over time.
9	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So it's grown?
10	Okay.
11	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes. Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Are there any
13	issues with sustainability? And and I'll frame my
14	question. You provided information that the contribution
15	agreements are annual. Moving forward it's every two years.
16	I can imagine that that might create difficulty for
17	stability of service. Stability for staffing. Is this
18	something that you've experienced or heard from your service
19	providers as being challenges in the NWT?
20	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Yes. Yes. Absolutely.
21	One of the challenges, and it's one of the reasons why we
22	took this small, but for me and and what I've heard, an
23	important step to even just go to two two years. I
24	believe there was a hesitance before as we talked about
25	public budgets and committing longer than that, et cetera.

1	But we found a way to at least go to two for now. With the
2	goal to being to offset some of those challenges. To let
3	let them let those organizations have the assurance that
4	that commitment is there for a bit of a longer period of
5	time.
6	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So I did a bit
7	of the math. I'm not they say I'm a lawyer, so you can
8	hire accountants, right? That joke. But from sort of how I
9	break down that 1.6, it looks like the bulk of what that
10	money would cover would be salaries. Is that fair?
11	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: Salaries for the front
12	line
13	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Yeah.
14	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: victim services
15	providers? Yes. It's for the most part, it's salaries.
16	So just less than a million dollars of the 1.6 is the direct
17	to communities funding, and the majority of each of those
18	agreements is about the salary of a full-time and in one
19	case a part-time or a provider.
20	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: So in terms of
21	providing the office space and all that sort of
22	infrastructure for the delivery of this service, that falls
23	to the community-based organization that you've contracted
24	with. Is that
25	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: It falls to that

1	organization to address that need in their in their
2	submission. What we find so when I look at a proposal,
3	there's a budget included. One of those lines is typically
4	an administration fee, perhaps, which is standard. And with
5	most funding agreements as well as typically a rent or that
6	kind of a smaller line item included in the overall
7	proposal. And often, the organizations, what they do is
8	it's a service added to existing services that they have
9	wherever possible
10	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Yeah.
11	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: 'cause they are
12	very
13	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: And that's
14	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: good at making the
15	best of what's available to them, of course.
16	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: I I think
17	they've been what we have heard in the communities in
18	in Yellowknife, in particular from from grassroots
19	organization is that challenge of there being a lack of
20	infrastructure, lack of space.
21	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: M'hm.
22	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: How, as a
23	grassroots organization it to provide this service, you
24	kind of have to be you kind of have to become a jack of
25	all trades so that you can access a number of different pots

of money --1 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: M'hm. 2 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: -- to ensure 3 your continued existence period. And is this something that 4 you've observed, and do you see this as being a barrier for 5 6 the delivery of victim services directly to the clients? MS. LEANNE GARDINER: I have observed it. 7 I've heard it from our partners. Either a victim services 8 9 or other NGO's that we deal with as one of the challenges and the barriers to delivering the service. Absolutely, 10 I've heard it. 11 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Thank you. 12 Now --13 MS. LEANNE GARDINER: 14 I agree. 15 COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: -- I've also heard from some families, particularly in smaller 16 17 communities, issues with nepotism, issues with power dynamics. We've also heard that there's particularly the 18 -- the legacy of the *Indian Act*, there is huge power 19 imbalances. And if you're contracting or -- and I -- I 20 21 truly believe in community-based programming, but there are these -- these issues that fundamentally need to be 22 addressed as well. When you're engaging in these agreements 23 with either municipalities or -- or specific groups that 24 have a specific interest, how does the department ensure 25

1	equitable and fulsome access to all victims is $$ of all the
2	victims and survivors at the community level? Is there a
3	mechanism to ensure equity and fulsome access?
4	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: So just so I
5	understand, when you're referring to equity and fulsome
6	access in this, it's in particular to do with potential
7	conflicts or where the the provider, for whatever reason,
8	might not be a good fit for a victim and they require
9	service from someone else? Is that what you're referring
10	to?
11	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Yeah, and
12	and how do you ensure that a program has mechanisms to
13	protect against that?
14	MS. LEANNE GARDINER: What our practice is is
15	that those services are provided by other community
16	programs. There's a network. The we ensure that our
17	partners are all aware of that that network, those
18	providers are a part of that and a part of the reality.
19	There is a potential gap there, I would suggest when it
20	comes to because what that would require, in some cases
21	is for victim services, the local provider to say themselves
22	that there is a conflict there, and if if they're part of
23	that then that can be a challenge. Our what our practice
24	is is, our partners know, so the RCMP knows that that's an
25	option.

It's -- it actually is a regular occurrence, and that's at the perception of a conflict. Not someone having to prove that a conflict exists, for example, if that -- that distinction, in my experience, matters. That if someone perceives a conflict that impacts the kind of support and how supported they feel, so whenever that happens another provider is connected with them by phone. Whenever we're aware of it or the providers are made aware of it.

JOHN PHELPS, Previously Affirmed:

QUESTIONS BY THE COMMISSIONERS:

Those -- those are all my questions, so thank you very much.

And John, Mr. Phelps? In terms of the origins or the genesis of the CWC, and I look back to 1991, I'm -- I'm born and raised in Nunavut, so I have some appreciation for the history of the establishment of the current judicial system in the territories. And in terms of the genesis being a means to close a cultural gap, I think it's fair to say that part of the objective of the CWC program was -- I can't say this in a -- in a -- to grease the wheels of the machine.

That -- that this -- it was to facilitate the administration of the Euro-Canadian criminal justice system in -- in the North. You can agree with me or disagree with me, but I think that that has been known. So you can disagree with me

COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Thank you.

1	if you want to debate it, but I'll go on to my next question
2	if you don't want to.
3	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I I don't intend to
4	disagree with you if you if you wish to go on, yes.
5	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay. But I
6	think it's also fair to say that as the introduction of the
7	Canadian Victims Bill of Rights, as well as other human
8	rights legislation, and I look at this internationally and
9	domestically, that the role of the CWCs has evolved beyond
10	being an an asset to facilitate prosecutions and it's now
11	a means of ensuring compliance and fulfillment of legal
12	obligations of the Crown as an agent of the state. Do you
13	is that a fair
14	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I believe that's a fair
15	statement, yes.
16	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: And now, I look
17	at your policies, the Deskbook and and the program, the
18	CWC program. Am I correct, did I hear you right, that at a
19	territorial, sort of pan-territorial level, Yukon, Nunavut,
20	and Northwest Territories, that you do play a bit of a
21	leadership role when it comes to policies and the the
22	program development?
23	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yeah. We have a of the
24	21 positions there's one position that reports to Ottawa
25	directly, and that position is responsible for developing

the pan-northern policies, procedures, and practices, and
and training, so there's there's regular training for
CWCs that occurs face-to-face on practice once every two
years, but certainly pan-northern because of the unique
nature of what we do in the north in the organization. We
do meet annually, as well as the senior management for the
territories, separate and apart from from the rest of the
Public Prosecution Service of Canada to work on initiatives
that are unique to our our circumstances, although
different because of the geography.

And the Crown Witness Coordinator positions are -- are one of those, so we have dialogues regularly. Dialogues? Dialogue regularly with respect to the program; what's working well, what's not working well, how we need to change it, and -- and working with that Coordinator position to -- to assist in facilitating that -- that movement or -- or that -- those policy changes.

COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay.

MR. JOHN PHELPS: So yes, I think that's the answer, yes.

COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Now, as certain evolutions occur in Canadian jurisprudence or legislation, or even as Canada signs onto international instruments, what mechanisms do you have at the PPSC to ensure that the -- the policies are fulfilling those obligations that might be

1	created? How do you ensure that the CWC program and the
2	services that you provide to victims, whether it be the
3	actual service or their development, continue to conform
1	with the evolution of law?
5	MR. JOHN PHELPS: The the position, the

Coordinator position, reports, as I mentioned, to our -- our headquarters, which is located in Ottawa. The position reports to an individual in Ottawa, a -- a former prosecutor, former Chief Federal Prosecutor, or -- or did until just a couple weeks ago and now he's a judge, but it reports to that position, and -- and that position is responsible for effectively supporting the north from a -- from a headquarters perspective.

So they have a responsibility to coordinate - or keep informed of initiatives that their eight
headquarters' counterparts are involved in, be it FPT groups
or otherwise, and to be aware of changes in the law and to
work with their counterparts in order to inform the
territories with respect to changes that are coming so that
we're not caught by surprise. And -- and to assist us in
-- in the necessary work that we would need to do to conform
to whatever those changes are.

And the -- the Coordinator position reporting to that position, then, that's where the -- the connect comes with respect to the Crown Witness Coordinators and --

1	at the point in time it was a he, he had the responsibility
2	to direct the work that would be done by the Coordinator as
3	a result of those changes and then to work with us to both
4	finalize and implement. So I hope I answered your question,
5	but the it comes through our headquarters.
6	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Is it a regular
7	exercise or it's as laws change?
8	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It's in it's a permanent
9	position for the position at headquarters to provide the
10	support to the territories, specifically because we do the
11	criminal law. So it's it's to provide us with
12	information as it evolves and then, of course, the
13	Coordinator position for the CWCs reporting to him, and
14	that's a permanent effective change in evolution.
15	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Are you aware
16	of any plans to look at the program, whether it's it's
17	delivery or policies around it, in light of Canada's
18	unqualified signing on to the UN declaration on the rights
19	of Indigenous peoples?
20	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Specifically, with respect
21	to that, then I'm not certain as to what the steps are
22	being taken.
23	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay. Thank
24	you. You raised an interesting recommendation that with
25	respect to initial providing a court worker program-type

1	equivalent for for victims. Is it accurate to say that
2	if a a victim of crime or a witness right now had issues
3	and concerns about her rights, either under the Canadian
4	Victim Bill of Rights or even implications as Marion
5	described on what happens if she doesn't comply with
6	a with a subpoena, is there mechanisms in the Yukon to
7	ensure she has access to independent legal advice?
8	MR. JOHN PHELPS: It I think my my
9	answer might be a qualified "yes." There was a study done
10	to address various issues, including that issue that you've
11	just raised, that resulted in the creation of a women's
12	advocate within the Yukon territory, which is a
13	territory-wide responsibility. The decision made and
14	this certainly wasn't mine. It was a territorial
15	government initiative. The decision made was not to have
16	that position filled by a lawyer, but to have it filled by
17	somebody that could facilitate getting that assistance to
18	individuals that that required it.
19	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay. But
20	your recommendation for the court worker equivalent would
21	be something to make that service more robust and more
22	available in terms of the rationale for your
23	recommendation?
24	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes. That service is
25	available based out of Whitehorse, as is the case with most

services in the Yukon. We have a lot of services available to people, as long as they come to Whitehorse and access them. And, you know, just as the court worker program would assist the Legal Aid program, I envision that representation, First Nation-based programming and representation in the community, would assist the victims in whatever system. Accessing the women's advocate is a great example of it. Accessing us for information is another great example of it when -- when we either haven't provided sufficient information or we're having difficulty connecting or we're going through a -- a period of a staffing shortage or something that's -- they're not receiving the -- the information to the level that they deserve, then being able to access us is important.

question, and this again is sort of building on that issue of responses when witnesses refuse to testify. And Marion, the Chief Commissioner, identified the different provisions of the Criminal Code which may be invoked, including her arrest and — and detention and being compelled to appear in court. You indicated that, yes, this is a risk, but it's not enforced and it's not in practice. Where does that directive come to not utilize those provisions of the Criminal Code? Because we have heard in other jurisdictions that it has been invoked in certain

1	situations where witnesses who refuse to attend are
2	actually arrested and detained. And this is not in the
3	Yukon, but these provisions apply across the country.
4	Where and how in the Yukon has it been decided that
5	that those those provisions are not invoked?
6	MR. JOHN PHELPS: We do have a a chapter
7	on domestic violence which would extend to a lot of the
8	scenarios that that would give rise to the desire, I
9	would suggest, to issue a or request a witness warrant,
10	a material witness warrant, for a victim. And in that
11	Desk-book policy, there are strict conditions to be very
12	wary of re-victimizing somebody by going through that
13	process. And one of the safeguards in place is the
14	requirement for somebody in my position to provide
15	approval.
16	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay.
17	Those those are all my questions. Thank you all very
18	much.
19	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Thank you very
21	much for your patience. I realize it's getting late. I
22	have a couple of questions. I have a couple of questions
23	about FILUs.
24	NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Previously Affirmed:
25	QUESTIONS BY THE COMMISSIONERS:

1	COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: So,
2	Ms. Giff-MacKinnon, you you spoke about you outlined
3	some of the partnerships that the provinces and territories
4	had made with some Indigenous organizations in terms of
5	FILU arrangements. Could you just clarify like,
6	who's who's responsible for the hiring? Is that done by
7	the provinces and territories or is it also are also
8	other organizations involved in filling these positions
9	across the country?
10	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: As I understand
11	it, it's the community organization that undertakes the
12	hiring of the FILU team member that's part of the the
13	larger team.
14	COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Okay. And is
15	there, like, a standard job description across the country?
16	Or is that, again, left up to regions for to address
17	regional needs and specificity?
18	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: There's
19	variation. There is as as I understand it I have
20	not seen a standard job description for the FILU team
21	members that that's worked out within each province and
22	territory, and and in dialogue with the when there
23	are team members from community organizations,
24	that's that's worked out so that they have a shared
25	understanding of who will be doing what.

COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Okay. And are 1 2 you able to comment on anything like given the -- the type of information that FILU workers are -- are helping obtain, 3 what type of background checks might be done, what type of 4 training is done across the country? 5 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: For the FILU team 6 members? 7 COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Yes. 8 9 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So -- and you're 10 wondering in terms of the privacy of information, the safety of the information that they're -- they may see? 11 COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Yes. 12 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So each FILU team 13 has a very -- a very concrete process in place. They've 14 got access to information forms that they fill out with the 15 family member that the family member provides them. If the 16 family member would like to be part of receiving that 17 information from the agency so they could be that conduit 18 19 in some jurisdictions where that's the place -- or where that's the situation, there -- there's a whole 20 21 infrastructure in place to ensure all the privacy requirements and everything that they do respects the 22 privacy of how the information gets from the agency to the 23 family member. I think each FILU would be able to very 24 clearly describe the process they have in place to ensure 25

1	the safety and confidentiality of the information, that
2	they're not none of the work that they do interferes
3	with that.
4	COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: But in terms
5	of actually hiring FILU workers, like, what sort of
6	training? Is there any standardized training? Are they
7	subjected to, you know, background checks that other
8	government employees would be subjected to, that sort of
9	thing? Are you able to comment on that?
10	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I I
11	would I'm not a hundred percent certain. As I would
12	understand it, I would I would suggest that
13	they there are those those background checks, because
14	of the nature of the work that they're doing and the and
15	in some cases, the information that they are privy to.
16	COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Okay. Thank
17	you. And you had commented at one point about the numbers.
18	I think you had said that there were 400 clients, families,
19	working with FILU teams across the country? Was that
20	correct?
21	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes. So I I
22	did I mentioned that there were 400 family members who
23	were currently working with FILUs across the country.
24	Yeah. I would suggest that's a low number, but I feel that
25	that's a safe number to say.

1	COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Okay. Do you
2	have any other information about the numbers? Do you know
3	if that number is increasing over time or or when
4	that that number number was taken, from what date?
5	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: So I got that
6	number from about two weeks ago. And FILU teams have
7	reported that there are that the number of families
8	that are contacting them and seeking their assistance to
9	gather the information is increasing all the time.
10	COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Okay. Thank
11	you. And I think one last question. I believe you said
12	that FILU teams had funding available for the ceremony?
13	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: What about for
15	any counselling service needs that may arise out of
16	families obtaining and seeing information that might be
17	quite upsetting?
18	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Yes. So yes,
19	they do have funding for culturally grounded grief and
20	trauma counselling or any other type of counselling that
21	they would like to access as a result of their the
22	trauma of the loss that they've experienced, as well as the
23	potential further trauma that might cause by going through
24	the journey of receiving the information. I think I
25	mentioned also that we do, in addition to that, that

1	mechanism of that type of support, we do have funding as
2	well for community based organizations outside of FILUs as
3	well to fill some of the gaps across the country to provide
4	culturally grounded grief and trauma counselling for
5	families, so they're organizations that have expertise and
6	they're organizing not only the counselling, but also
7	family gatherings, or they're hosting weekly workshops with
8	families, with beading and drum making, and they're
9	creating peer support networks for family.
10	So that is another investment which I
11	haven't been talking about much over the last two days
12	because I've been speaking primarily about the FILUs, but
13	that is another investment that's complementary as well.
14	COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Okay, thank
15	you.
16	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: I would say it's
17	not enough though, definitely.
18	COMMISSIONER BRIAN EYOLFSON: Thanks. I
19	think those are all my questions, so I just want to thank
20	all the panelists very much and their counsel as well for
21	spending a couple of days with us and sharing all your
22	evidence. I very much appreciate it. Thanks.
23	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you, we are now
24	moving into witness counsel for the witnesses,
25	opportunity to redirect their witnesses, but there was a

- request that prior to moving into the re-exam, five minutes 1 break. Okay. 2 So a five-minute break. It is -- that gets 3 us back into at the hearing room at 20 minutes to 7. 4 --- Upon recessing at 6:34 p.m. 5 --- Upon resuming at 6:44 p.m. 6 MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Okay, thank you, we're 7 going to reconvene, and I -- I -- we are going to proceed 8 9 with counsel for the witness, redirect of their witness, 10 and I understand that the counsel for Mr. Phelps and counsel for Ms. Giff-MacKinnon are both from Canada, and 11 they have agreed between the two of them to pool -- each 12 get six minutes. They've agreed to pool their time as 13 opposed to each getting their six minutes. So if I could 14 request that 12 minutes be put on the clock, and I 15 was -- it was indicated to me that Ms. McCorville would 16 like to proceed first with her redirect. 17 NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON, Previously Affirmed: 18 19 RE-EXAMINATION BY MS. MCCORVILLE: 20 MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: Ms. Giff-MacKinnon, 21 one question for you. In answer to some questions you referred to the counselling supports that are available 22
- 25 MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Those supports

themselves in all instances?

23

24

through the FILUs, are those supports provided by the FILUs

1	are sometimes provided within the FILU team if they have
2	qualified a qualified team member to help with that, or
3	they are provided by an outside agency that the FILU team
4	is working with. Lots of different organizations,
5	depending on what the family member would like.
6	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: Okay. So in some
7	jurisdictions FILUs will rely on outside resources for the
8	counselling services?
9	MS. NAOMI GIFF-MACKINNON: Correct, and the
10	funding is available for that.
11	MS. ANNE MCCORVILLE: Those are my
12	questions.
13	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Okay, thank you. And,
14	Ms. Turley?
15	JOHN PHELPS, Previously Affirmed:
16	RE-EXAMINATION BY MS. TURLEY:
17	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Thank you. People will be
18	happy to know that I only have two questions because the
19	Commissioners asked some in redirect, so I have two very
20	brief questions.
21	First of all, Mr. Phelps, in answer to a
22	question by counsel for the Regina Treaty of Status Indian
23	Services you referred to a 2010 study undertaken about the
24	review of the CWC program?

MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes.

25

1	MS. ANNE TURLEY: I'm showing you a copy of
2	a final report entitled Crown Witness Coordinator Program
3	Sub-Study dated March 29th, 2010. I have provided a copy
4	to the Registrar, and if it is entered as an exhibit I will
5	provide an electronic copy to parties with standing.
6	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Yes, this was the document
7	I was referring to.
8	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And are you familiar with
9	this document?
10	MR. JOHN PHELPS: Somewhat, yes.
11	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And who was the document
12	prepared by? Who undertook this study?
13	MR. JOHN PHELPS: The Federal Government
14	Department of Justice. I've forgotten the acronym. At the
15	time the funding was through the Federal Government
16	Department of Justice Victim Services Organization. Sorry,
17	it's been a long day and I'm stumped as to the name of the
18	branch.
19	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And that's who provided
20	the funding?
21	MR. JOHN PHELPS: That's correct.
22	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And who actually undertook
23	the evaluation of it? Was it an independent agency?
24	MR. JOHN PHELPS: I apologize, there was a
25	consultant that provided the report, did the work and

1	provided the report.
2	MS. ANNE TURLEY: I'd ask, Chief
3	Commissioner, that this report be or study be entered as
4	the next exhibit to this panel.
5	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Given
6	your undertaking to provide electronic copies, the Final
7	Report Crown Witness Coordinators Program Sub-Study 2010
8	will be Exhibit 23, please.
9	MS. ANNE TURLEY: Thank you.
10	EXHIBIT NO. 23:
11	"Crown Witness Coordinator Program Sub-
12	Study: Final Report," (March 29, 2010)
13	Justice Canada Public Prosecution
14	Service (73 pages)
15	MS. ANNE TURLEY: And my final question,
16	Mr. Phelps, you were asked a question in cross-examination
17	about relationship building in the communities, does this
18	factor into FILU assignments of CWCs in the program?
19	MR. JOHN PHELPS: The importance of the
20	building of the relationship within the communities refers
21	to communities outside of Whitehorse, which we would
22	call even though they're accessible by road, we would
23	call them remote communities in the Yukon, and we assign
24	Crown Witness Coordinators to those communities for a
25	duration. We try to do so for a two-year period to ensure

1	continuity on on files, but also so they can become
2	familiar with the community and develop relationships
3	within the community.

4 MS. ANNE TURLEY: Thank you, those are my questions.

MS. MEREDITH PORTER: Thank you. So

Commissioners, that concludes the questioning, the

cross-examination and the redirect of the witnesses for the

victim services panel. Unless there are any other issues

that we need to address from your perspective. I did want

to make one announcement before we move to the closing of

today's hearing.

of the closing, the Commissioners and I have one thing that we want to do. It won't take long, bear with us, please.

As has been our tradition with all of the witnesses because we're so grateful for the gifts you've given us, being your knowledge and your experience, and especially your time, we have a gift to give you in return. They're eagle feathers, and we were told from a long time ago now to give all of the witnesses eagle feathers. There are cultural significances of course, but I think the common theme is that eagle feathers will hold you up and lift you up when you need that, and they will take you -- help you to go to heights you thought you never

1	could reach. So by being here you've gone further than we
2	thought all of us could go. Go ahead.
3	COMMISSIONER MICHÈLE AUDETTE: Thank you so
4	much, Chief Commissioner. And my grandmother, Penelope,
5	spiritual grandmother for the National Inquiry, told me
6	that when we're giving an eagle feather it's also making
7	you accountable. You came here, and what she says, if you
8	give an eagle feather to a person who represents the
9	government, sometimes we fight, sometimes we disagree,
10	sometimes we try to work together, sometimes we work
11	together, so she says make sure that they highly respect
12	the eagle feather and they make sure that they will be part
13	of the change. Merci.
14	(SHORT PAUSE)
15	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: I think, from
16	our perspective, that sort of concludes, but I'll, I
17	suppose, formally adjourn until tomorrow morning at oh,
18	announcements?
19	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: We will, yeah. Just, I
20	had one announcement
21	COMMISSIONER QAJAQ ROBINSON: Okay.
22	MS. MEREDITH PORTER: that I that I did
23	want to make for the parties before we move to the the
24	actual closing, and I do understand that we do have some
25	formal closing that's scheduled for the end of the day, but

1	I did want to mention to the parties that some of the
2	parties have been asking about drawing numbers for the order
3	of cross-examination on the next panel on health services.
4	And there are two members of the legal team that you can go
5	see. They're will be located in the part the room for
6	the parties down the hall. Francine Merasty and Mary
7	Mary Ojay (ph) will also be there, and they will assist you
8	with the process of drawing numbers for the cross-
9	examination for the next panel. Again, they'll be there for
10	30 minutes following the adjournment of today's hearing.
11	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: We're
12	adjourned for today. We will reconvene tomorrow morning
13	with our opening at 8 a.m., and so that we close today in a
14	good way, would our Elders please close us with a prayer
15	this afternoon? This evening, I guess. Thank you.
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's you, young
17	fellow.
18	(LAUGHTER)
19	CHIEF COMMISSIONER MARION BULLER: Louise?
20	And Louise? You have some work to do, too. You have some
21	work to do, too.
22	MS. TERRELLYN FEARN: Just before we close, 1
23	just want to acknowledge all our witnesses today and thank
24	you for your time, your patience, your knowledge, your
25	wisdom, your endurance. We're very grateful for your

1	sharing today. To the Commissioners, to the NFAC, to the
2	grandmothers, to all the supporters, and to all the parties,
3	and to the family members and survivors that are witnessing
4	and sharing, I want to acknowledge you and raise my hands to
5	you for participating and and guiding us in this process.
6	Just a little reminder tomorrow, get good
7	sleep tonight. I know this is a room full of lawyers that
8	stay up late, but get well-rested, get hydrated, and we'll
9	see you in the morning. I'm going to hand it over to our
10	Elders, Alvine, Spike, and Gerald, and then we'll close at
11	the very end with Louise extinguishing the Qulliq.
12	MR. SPIKE EAGLE SPEAKER AND MS. ALVINE EAGLE
13	SPEAKER: (Speaking in Native language)
14	MR. SPIKE EAGLE SPEAKER: Good night.
15	(LAUGHTER)
16	MS. TERRELLYN FEARN: And we'll have Louise
17	extinguish the Qulliq for us.
18	MS. LOUISE HAULLI: I I noticed the Qulliq
19	from this morning, when we started this, and about half an
20	hour later, when we started, the Qulliq's flame was going up
21	and down. Feeling the energy. But now, we're calm. We
22	calm it as well. So I'm going to turn it off.
23	(LAUGHTER)
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I love you, Louise.
25	MS. LOUISE HAULLI: So thank you.

1 (APPLAUSE)

2 --- Upon adjourning at 6:59 p.m.

LEGAL DICTA-TYPIST'S CERTIFICATE

I, Krystle Palynchuk, Court Transcriber, hereby certify that I have transcribed the foregoing and it is a true and accurate transcript of the digital audio provided in this matter.

Krystle Palynchuk

May 29, 2018