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Statement – Public

Yvonne and Carl Kafka

Richmond, British Columbia

--- Upon commencing on Saturday, April 7, 2018 at 10:20 a.m.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: This is Kate Langham, statement gatherer for the National Inquiry.
It is April 7th at 10:20.
We’re here at the Sheraton Airport in Richmond, B.C. And if you’ll introduce yourself.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Yvonne Kafka.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: And?

MR. CARL KAFKA: And I’m Carl Kafka.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Okay. Well, thank you very much for being with us here today. And please just start off by telling us what you would like the commissioners to know.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Okay. This is the letter that I wrote.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Okay.


MS. KATE LANGHAM: Okay.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: First I give thanks to my Creator, the most high, for giving me and others the strength and courage to show up today and tell our stories. No matter how hard I think they are, we have to tell what was done in the dark and still hidden in the dark. I
believe the world is watching.

My name is Mrs. Yvonne Kafka, born into a big French Canadian family, Métis from Manitoba, and now privileged to be living on beautiful Vancouver Island, British Columbia, with my husband, Carl.

I’m much honoured to be here today in 2018 to attest at the MMIWG Inquiry on relevant matters of great concerns, rendering the attention and actions of the Public Safety Minister because of public safety and public security issues that are detrimental to the people, to the Province of British Columbia, and well known by all of them.

I was never interested in politics. Never interested in getting involved in matters of national security, but here I am doing just that. If you’re wondering if I’m off the subject, I’m not. I’m here to tell you that in 2008 my world was thrown into an ordeal that changed my life forever.

I was used as a research subject and medical experiments were processed on me without my knowledge and informed consent and harmed me in that process.

When I reported the assaults to the RCMP they sabotaged my access to the benefit of the law. I was literally denied due process.
In 2008 while going through the British Columbia public healthcare system for breast cancer treatment I was targeted by medical science researchers from Canada and the United States. They had formed a joint medical research project across our borders.

The United States Army, the Department of Defence, the DOD, and the B.C. Cancer Agency, and the University of British Columbia, UBC, used me and others as a lab rat for medical experiments without my informed consent and injured and assaulted me in that very process.

My papers are all in order. I have a copy of the actual written contract between the DOD and the B.C. Cancer Agency to confirm my story.

I also have documents confirming other medical experiments practiced on me without my informed consent. I have attached a copy of the DOD and the B.C. government contract with my narrative and the recommendations.

I believe the Canadian government is well aware that the B.C. government has acted legislations that violates the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms in Canada, and that action is a public safety and a public security issue, which has been detrimental to the Canadian population to the point of people dying.

Yes, medical experiments on Aboriginal
people and vulnerable populations were practiced historically, past and present. The perpetrators responsible for those heinous acts believe they are above the law. They knew what they were doing was wrong. Otherwise the medical experiments would have been public, not performed under the radar.

Like who would dare come up with such allegations? Who would dare believe such accusations are happening in Canada?

In 2012, seeking access to the law to hold the people responsible to account, I reported the assaults done to me to the RCMP.

The RCMP in B.C. did not follow the proper rules of procedure in the process of the investigations that pertained to my assaults. They manipulated their coding system. They refused to give me my own file number. The RCMP wanted to include and hide my assault statements into another case folder they were investigating.

The assaults were entitled to stand alone, one their own with their own file number. That is the protocol to follow on procedure. The other case being investigated and wanted to bury my assaults in was the destruction of thousands upon thousands of medical records in a flood, force majeure, at our local hospital, St. Joseph General Hospital, which they hid from the public,
which I believe included archives of Aboriginal medical records that were stored in Building 38, going back to 1937.

I believe the RCMP was ordered from the top to shut the investigation down. My statements of assaults bundled up and hidden in St. Joseph General Hospital flood investigation would have never seen the light of day with the RCMP coding system.

The RCMP coding system, I believe, filed St. Joseph’s General Hospital destructions of medical records as a transitory document. Transitory records are records of temporarily usefulness that are needed only for a limited period of time in order to complete a routine action or prepare a final record. They are more than likely destroyed after a year. No record of my assaults would exist.

Right from the get go I was talked down to, patronized and belittled from the start line from the very same people that are hired to protect me. The RCMP tried to downplay my allegations of assault and got very testy with me.

They made it clear they were not buying into my story and further tried to put the fear in me that there was going to be negative consequences for me if I continued with my story. They wanted their way or the
highway, like the saying goes.

The RCMP reminded me more than once I was wasting their time. They bragged they were true patriots trying to accommodate me, but they stressed they were running out of time and patience with me.

I believe these RCMP members involved in my case showed their true colours. They sabotaged my access to justice and wanted me to just vanish.

In 2008, I knew my body was sick, and my intuition warned me I was dying if I did not seek medical attention. I did what I had to do and get tested. No, I did what I had to do and got tested. I was told my two tests, the mammogram and the ultrasound for breast cancer, was negative. No cancer. I panicked. I knew I had breast cancer. I just knew it. I was not going to take a no for another two years.

I wanted to fight the enemy from the start line. I asked the two tests to be repeated, but the system denied me that. My family doctor told me you can’t argue with two different tests with the same results. They won’t allow that.

I told him, book me with a surgeon. I’ll beg for a biopsy. My family doctor warned me, don’t rock the boat, Yvonne. Be careful. Vancouver Island Health Authority, VIHA, is going to say you’re not cooperating and
just drop you.

I got the message loud and clear that I was on VIHA’s red list. I can honestly say being flagged was and still very stressful. That’s where my story began and continues up to where I am present today. I was never an active participant in politics, but when push comes to shove and your life depends on it, you better believe that you’re going to fight for real.

I did my due diligence to save my life and went fishing for answers, and what I found out blew my mind. So the story goes I did have breast cancer and it was late stage, high grade, and time was of the essence for my survival.

I had no choice in Canada but go through the process of going through treatment in the public healthcare system in British Columbia. I was unknowingly targeted and used as a lab rat for medical experiments without my informed consent and assaulted in that very process.

I was unaware at the time of the systemic process set up through the Canadian public healthcare system to target sick cancer patients and divert them through another door, which falls into medical experiments. Initially the story becomes more alarming as time goes by, and I found out the practice of using
patients for medical experiments without their informed consent is widespread across Canada.

I believe the USA saw the advantage in that practice and wanted in on it, and informed -- and formed a joint medical research project with B.C., Canada.

The U.S. DOD sent an Oxford fellow, [Researcher], to Vancouver, B.C. at UBC, at the B.C. Cancer Agency to do medical experiments on vulnerable sick Canadian women, and I was one of their targets. Scary stuff.

For the record, I want to say that I, Yvonne Kafka, never have -- no. I want to say that I, Yvonne Kafka, have never, ever consented to have my medical data shared or used as part of any studies nor consented or volunteer to consent for any medical experiments, research studies or any other form of research studies or clinical trials, either off or on my person, including my RNA, nor my DNA, ever, past, present, future.

I would like to pose a question to everyone present here -- to everyone present today: Where does a living human being in Canada go for help when the Canadian government breaches the rule of law?

Well, I went to the moon and back looking for just that, and sadly I have to report there is none. I still feel belittled, humiliated, that I was clueless at
the time of being groomed and used as a lab rat.

I always considered my process of reasoning to be alert, but I got fooled in the process when undisclosed medical experiments sneaked in the British Columbia public healthcare system. The secret medical experiments I was subject to began in 2008.

In 2012, I came forward to report the assault to the RCMP, and when I told [Corporal 1] the Canadian government broke international laws, he looked -- he double stared at me like I was crazy.

I believe the RCMP did not follow proper procedures when I came forward with my allegations of assault. The local RCMP was a conflict of interest. The RCMP have a special relationship with local hospitals and the health authorities confirmed on audio from [Corporal 1] and that many RCMP’s partners work at these institutions. The surgeon involved in my assault, [Corporal 1] referred him by his nickname. I believe the saying goes don’t bite the hand that feeds you.

To be fair, the RCMP should have referred my case to be investigated outside the Comox Valley Area Department.

The investigation should have gone into a Special Crimes Unit, including the forensic department to investigate if the flood was ordered from the top to
1 destroy evidence sitting in archives in Building 38.
2 I believe Building 38 at St. Joseph was storing archives of Aboriginal medical experiments which was done through their Catholic run institutions without informed consent, which is a human rights violation protected by international law.
3 I believe the process I had to follow for cancer treatments in Canada is systemic, well oiled machinery disguised to fool the masses. It was presented to me as the standard of care when actually it was, it is a systemic system.
4 It’s a system within a system with cancer medical research sneaked in -- I said sneaked in -- and presented to me the patient as standard of care.
5 I have to emphasize that the experimental radioactive procedures and surgeries conducted on me had no legitimate medical purpose and that they did not have my consent. I would never have gone to these institutions and put myself in harm’s way, never mind used as a lab rat if the imposed conditions would have been revealed to me.
6 They hid behind the cross to carry on their agenda in medical experiments on vulnerable populations. Some of these medical experiments I was subject to were conducted in religious run organizations in Comox, B.C., St. Joseph General Hospital, and that further
enraged me. It robbed me of my faith.

At the time in 2012 when I reported the assaults to the RCMP there were two separate incidences. There were two separate incidents of assaults. The third assault took place in 2014.

The first assault took place in 2008 at St. Joseph General Hospital in B.C., in Comox, B.C.

On July 9, 2008 on the spot within the hour of getting prepped for cancer surgery staff at St. Joseph’s threatened to kick me out of the OR scheduled surgery. I was bullied, threatened and forced against my will to sign a consent form with terms and conditions for their services for my cancer surgery. They wanted their pound of flesh and demanded still photos and moving pictures taken while I was knocked out, laying on the OR table naked as a jaybird.

I had crossed the words "moving" and "still photos" -- I have to make sure I read the right page here -- Yes.

I had crossed out the words "moving" and "still photos" and asked staff to witness my correction on the consent form. Staff came back with another consent form with nowhere in sight of the first one. She told my husband and me, quote:

"I’ve been told to tell you no
surgery is going to happen if you tamper with the consent form.”

My husband and I were both horrified and shocked with their demands. My husband doesn’t even have a naked photo of me and we’ve been married over 50 years.

MR. CARL KAFKA: Just the visual.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: The thought of being manhandled and being eyed up by who knows who and how many were in the room while I was touched and posed naked still haunts me today. My husband and I were dumb stunned.

My cancer was late stage, high grade and needed to be removed ASAP. My family doctor had told me time was not on my side. I requested through the Freedom of Information for copies of the photos and films that were taken of me and was refused.

What followed after were the steps of getting prepped for breast cancer surgery. My husband and I were escorted to what we were told was going to be my recovery room.

We had no idea at the time of the systemic system with medical experiments sneaked in and presented as the standard of care for breast cancer. Little did I know I was in for the fright and fight of my life.

My husband was hinted to leave, they were busy prepping me. Within minutes he left I was literally
pinned down on my back in the hospital room bed, restrained, internally injected with a toxic substance against my will by two female employees in the process of having isotope injected in my left nipple, in my chest.

    In the heat of the chaos, I begged both women to stop and let go of me. I was ignored and dared not move, fearing the radioactive needle would rip my chest.

This medical experiment was processed without my consent and no pain management whatsoever.

    The whole medical experiment with isotopes conduced on me had no legitimate medical purpose for my welfare. I still can’t find it on my MSP medical billing records. Why do a $2,800 test which served no purpose to me at the time?

    Who foot the bill? This particular research I believe was only to put the numbers up to pass the premarket approval (MPA) for TRIUMF’s new patents. At the time there was a breakdown with Canada’s nuclear reactors and there was a panic in the media that Canada was running out of isotopes.

    Canada is in the business of nuclear research to discovering new patents for big pharma and other drug companies for isotopes. TRIUMF in B.C. connects Canada to the global science and technology community as a bridge between the academic sector and the private sector.
I believe the procedure was only to research new isotopes through the 510(k) process.

I’m asking the MMIWG Inquiry to include my statement of assaults which I’ve attached to my narrative and recommendations. They are part of my whole package.

Second assault in 2009 was at the B.C. Cancer Agency Abbotsford Centre. The experiments were joint breast cancer research project with the B.C. Cancer Agency and the U.S. Department of Defence, the DOD.

This information was hidden from me and the medical experiments were processed on me without my informed consent. I believe the researchers were testing for doses and I know for a fact the Canadian women were getting a much higher dose than the Americans across the border.

The final straw was on the fifth session just within minutes of the chemo going in my veins. On the spot I went rigid, straight as a board in the chemo recliner. The drug had me in a submission hold; I could not even cry out for help.

The pain was inhumane, indescribable and I’m not a stranger to pain. My brain was aware of what was going on, but I was paralyzed. I felt the presence of my soul struggling to stay inside my body.

The first time the experimental dose
seized me the chemo nurse rushed to pinch on the line. That action stopped the flow of the liquid radioactive water going through my system. It released me. Right there on the spot I firmly told both nurses and everybody around me that I wanted the chemo stopped right there and then and I wanted to go home now.

I strongly demanded to both nurses in charge to get the needle out of my arm. The two chemo nurses tricked me. They had other plans for me and ended up giving me a second dose behind my back. They let go of the pinched line. They let go of the pinched chemo line. I went stiff as a board again, could not speak or move an inch if my life depended on it. I surely believed I would die.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: I could see my husband’s face and it was not good. He was in a panic, terrorized out of his wits. I would have run out of there if I would not have been hooked up to the machines. --

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Would you like to stop for a minute?

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Yeah.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Okay.

--- (Recess at 10:40 a.m.; resumed at 10:44 a.m.)

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Am I reading it all right? My voice is good?

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Yeah, you’re doing
great. So we just took a short break right now, break of about four minutes. We stopped the camera at 10:40. It is now 10:44, and we will continue. So you were just mentioning there about --

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: I could see my husband’s face.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Yes.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Okay. And let me know when to go.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Now. Any time.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: I could see my husband’s face and it was not good. He was in a panic, terrorized out of his wits. I would have run out of there if I would not have been hooked up to the machines. We were dealing with deadly chemicals, and my husband and I knew we were in at their mercy.

The full statement of assault will be attached to my narrative and recommendations also.

The third attack took place later in 2014 at St. Joseph’s General Hospital. I went into the B.C. public healthcare system to get cataracts removed. Unknown to me at the time I was again used for medical experiments without my informed consent. I ended up having emergency surgery to remove an implant in my right eye that had been
implanted nine days earlier at St. Joseph General Hospital. The device had post market approval, PMA, with restrictions and conditions with Health Canada and the FDA, which demanded informed consent, which I never gave. In other words, unknown to me it was an experimental device. St. Joseph fooled me. They presented me with the belief I was signing a standard consent form for cataract surgery when they had manipulated a substitute decision-maker, making their institution, St. Joseph General Hospital, as my guardian.

I believe that’s how they planned to get away with it. To this day my husband and I have not given such powers to anyone whatsoever. What was done in the dark at St. Joseph’s General Hospital has come -- has to come to the light. I’ve attached the full statement of my narrative and recommendations that are part of my whole package.

I’ve also included a copy of the DMS -- DS of the SDM, how they manipulate the consent form.

So this is the first part. I’ve got three parts to read.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Good.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: I’ve got a part of -- that the RCMP and the part about the flood. Okay?

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Proceed as you want.

Absolutely. We have as much time as you need.
MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Okay. Part two of my narrative. Yvonne Kafka’s story. This story -- this part of my story’s about the destruction of patients’ medical records in the flood at St. Joseph General Hospital in Comox, B.C.

I and others believe it was an archive of medical records going back to 1937, which included Aboriginal medical records, documental medical experiments, practice on patients without their informed consent.

In 2008 people were contacting me and telling me horror stories regarding misdiagnosed. I was asking in the media for the B.C. government to appoint Dr. Douglas Cochrane to spearhead an investigation with judicial powers.

In 2011 the British Columbia government contracted Dr. Cochrane to lead and investigate -- to lead an independent investigation into medical imaging credentialing and quality assurance in B.C., which was released in two parts. Phase one was March 9, 2011. Phase two was August 31st, 2011.

Unknown to the public, the terms of reference were changed. There was a timeframe added, which totally left me and other breast cancer patients out of the inquiry. And I’m the one who was calling for the inquiry in the newspaper.
At the time in 2008 St. Joseph’s General Hospital had lost their accreditation. People were misdiagnosed province-wide, and the public was asking for answers. If the public would have been aware of the destructions of thousands upon thousands of medical records at St. Joseph General Hospital in 2008, it would have put up a lot of red flags as to why St. Joseph General Hospital did not call in a restoration company.

Did the B.C. government fabricate force majeure to justify the destruction of archives of medical records to avoid litigations?

In 2011 during a province-wide investigation, the public was not told that St. Joseph’s General Hospital patients’ medical records going back to 1937 were destroyed. They were ahead of the game and had covered their tracks in 2008. So when I go back to the date 2011, I’m talking about the inquiry.

If told to the public in 2011 during a province-wide investigation that St. Joseph’s General Hospital patients’ medical records were destroyed in 2008 it would have further pointed to the cover-up of 2008 the force majeure.

It would have reset the statute of limitation going back to 2008 and would have opened up lawsuits against St. Joseph’s General Hospital, VIHA, B.C.
Yvonne and Carl Kafka

Cancer Agency, and various institutions, including the B.C. government.

[Friend 1] and I became aware in 2012 that archives of medical records were destroyed at St. Joseph General Hospital going back decades, and we believe were documented medical files with medical experiments being practiced on patients without informed consent, which is a human rights violation.

I believe in 2012 St. Joseph General Hospital was caught by surprise about the destruction of medical records and did not expect anyone to call them out on it like [Friend 1] and I did.

Carl and I asked the RCMP to investigate St. Joseph General Hospital as to why patients’ medical record were destroyed. All St. Joseph said to our local newspaper was, quote,

“There was a flood in the winter of 2008”.

They never gave the day of -- end of quote. They never gave the day or the month. They were very, very secretive about that.

I believe the big tobacco litigation patients’ history, medical files were also cherry picked at St. Joseph General -- picked --

I got to read this again.
I believe the big tobacco litigation patients’ history medical files were also cherry picked at St. Joseph General Hospital flood, and the B.C. government was in on the lie.

Wing 38 was at St. Joseph General Hospital archives of medical record storage and massive as it pertained to Aboriginal peoples and included patients’ history of their medical records presented -- and preserved for the B.C. provincial and federal government litigation against big tobacco industries, which are worth to this day billions upon billions of dollars.

The litigation against big tobacco industries is not settled yet and the Canadian and federal and provincial governments are not prepared to lose billions of dollars.

I believe St. Joe’s should be charged with rendering data useless, Section 430 (1.1), mischief in relation to data of the Criminal Code of Canada.

I believe the RCMP at the federal level and the Special Crimes Unit should be reopening the investigation because I and others believe the RCMP in British Columbia is a conflict of interest.

The B.C. government was wheeling and dealing with big contractors for the North Island Hospitals Project on Vancouver Island in British Columbia and did not
I want misconduct and wrongdoings leaked out. The disclosure would have affected the stakeholders, the investors, the potential stakeholders, investors coming down the pipeline with VIHA’s two new hospitals in Comox and Campbell River worth close to a billion dollar deal.

I believe -- I further believe that the timeframe that was added to the terms of reference was to further hide all the above because full disclosure going back to 2008 would have opened up the door to the Office of Human Rights Protection to investigate violations of Rule 45.

Also, full disclosure going back to 2008 would have resulted in more determination letters being issued to the B.C. universities regarding their federal-wide assurance, their FWA licenses given out by the Office of Human Rights Protection in the U.S., and also result in credible factual evidence concerning Obama’s Congressional Order. Are clinical trials harming people? And given the answers why the United States and Canada’s joint project research were left out of it. We were left out of that investigation.

This is what I said on 60 Minutes. 60 Minutes -- if you watched 60 Minutes, I’ll click it to them and they’re going to say, wow, wow, wow.

What I and others wanted out to the
The cover-up, the misconduct and falsification of medical data reporting by a whole team of
licensed radiologists in Nanaimo, B.C. And that’s more of
it and the full assault that the Inquiry is getting.

Privacy breach and conflicts of interest
and cover-up of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of
British Columbia, Canada. Because this went to the College,
and they did nothing but cover it up. The list of
infraction goes on and on. We have retained this
information to be given to the authorities when they decide
to reopen the file, which I believe is the right thing to
do.

So that’s the second part. I got a third
part.

My recommendations are somewheres after
that.

MR. CARL KAFKA: There’s a sheet down over
here.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Okay. You got to get
these over there, too. Okay. Okay, so this is the third

They’re here. Okay.

This part of my story --

MR. CARL KAFKA: (Inaudible.)

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: This part of my story --

MR. CARL KAFKA: That’s the cover.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: This part of my story’s
how the RCMP falsified their operational report. They refused to give me my own file number and wanted to bury my assault statement in the same file folder as an ongoing investigation of a flood that took place at St. Joseph’s General Hospital in B.C. in 2008.

I call St. Joseph’s General Hospital St. Joe in case I say St. Joe in here, okay? In 2012 the RCMP refused to take credible evidence and information that my friend [Friend 1] and I wanted processed and to further investigate regarding the assaults that took place while I was going through the public healthcare system in B.C.

We wanted an investigation into the destructions of tens upon thousands of medical records at our local hospital, St. Joe’s, which we believe also archived Aboriginal medical records, documenting medical experiments done on patients without their knowledge and informed consent.

RCMP [Corporal 1], RCMP [Sergeant 1] were to -- were to and fro to [Crown Counsel], in Comox, B.C., stated on audio many times. All the targets, the evidence we pointed out and gave the RCMP was literally refused from the start and never presented in a narrative to Crown counsel.

The evidence that was obtained in the
course of their investigation, as well as their notes and
their notebooks were not processed in the file folder. Only
one newspaper article confirmed by RCMP [Sergeant 2] went
in the file folder. And those actions led to the
falsification of the RCMP’s operational reports.

Further investigation led by us led us to
discovering the falsification of the coding system.

The coding system is used for vital
statistics, and that is why we told RCMP [Officer] your
numbers are out in regards to the missing Aboriginal women.
And that’s on audio.

I believe my case adoptive process by the
British Columbia RCMP violates the rule of law. I believe
this information proves that the RCMP B.C. do not follow
protocol in their process of investigation, and that I
believe is a public safety issue.

Transcribed from original [Sergeant 2] of
the Courtenay, B.C. RCMP detachment on audio. He’s telling
me on the phone, it’s a process we have here in this
province, and we do talk to Crown counsel. We have a
practice in B.C. to talk to Crown counsel, and you seem to
have a problem with that. It’s a process that we have here
in this province that we do talk to Crown counsel. We have
to talk to Crown counsel because we have to work
cooperatively. We put the information together. We go talk
to them. The RCMP takes --
Okay, I put there I can hear breathing.
It’s on the tape, right? He’s annoyed with me, you know, I can tell.
And if they don’t agree with it, and he says, and if they don’t agree with it they either send it back and ask for further information or they’ll tell me they don’t feel it’s substantial. They don’t feel it’s substantial likelihood of a conviction, or they feel that it’s a matter that needs to be dealt with that merits arrest and report.
They can’t even take the time to write it.
Is this maybe the reason there are so many unrecorded missing Aboriginal women? Is this because the RCMP in British Columbia, Canada never took the allegations seriously?
I told RCMP [Corporal 1] I wanted a female RCMP to work with me because I was still struggling with the effect of the trauma and it involved women’s breasts, breast cancer.
The RCMP [Corporal 1] also got very defensive and turned the assault issue around to him being the victim because I wanted a female officer on board. He took it very personally.
I told RCMP [Corporal 1] the assault had
to stand alone on its own with Saint Joe’s in Comox and
stand alone on its own with Abbotsford Centre, and with
each assault its own file number. He refused me that.

[Corporal 1] told me on the phone on audio
transcribed, quote:

“Yvonne, you can’t tell us how to do
our work. This is how we choose to do
it.”

I told him:

“No, you’re ambushing me, [Corporal
1]. You’re not going to bury the
assaults in another file.”

When [Corporal 1] wouldn’t stop badgering
me, I told him, quote:

“I’m going over your head, [Corporal
1],”

and hung up on him.

Later I reported RCMP [Corporal 1]
behaviour to his superior, RCMP [Sergeant 2]. I told him on
the phone I was not satisfied with their investigation and
pointed out all the reasons why including the badgering,
the bullying and the cover-up and that I believed the
Courtenay RCMP Detachment was a conflict of interest.

I believe the RCMP investigation regarding
my assaults and the destruction of tens upon thousands of
patients’ legal medical documents at SJGH the RCMP were
more interested in burying my assaults and our evidence and
discrediting [Friend 1] and me that we were creating a
conspiracy. RCMP [Corporal 1] was very clear on that
theory.

The building that housed the archives,
Building 38, was being renovated from 2006 to the spring of
2008 and 58 windows being replaced. That is documented in
their minutes of the Building Committee Report at St.
Joseph’s which we got online, and there is no mention of
the flood or force majeure ever, period.

And I’ve included that, I think I’ve
included it in my package. And if not, I have all that
information. It’s not online anymore. They’ve taken it
offline.

I asked RCMP [Corporal 1] :
“Did the hospital take pictures of
the flood and the destruction of the
films?”

The first thing the insurance company
tells you when there is such a claim is to take photos of
the destruction. When your freezer breaks down, for
instance, they want you -- you want to protect yourself.

We find it hard to believe that St.
Joseph’s et al wants to justify 5,000 jackets and tens of
thousands upon thousands of films were destroyed and not one photo of the flood was taken.

This is actual words from RCMP [Corporal 1] transcribed on audio. I have the audio. When [Friend 1] asked:

“Who gave the order, who signed?”

And he quotes:

“I don’t know who gave that order. It could be the wrong thing to do and I got a sense of what happened is they were just left. They said we don’t have any, we don’t have room to store them. They’re stored in one area because of that, they used to routinely get rid of them because of that, before that came up with the Ministry 1998, they kept them. There was over 5,000 that got destroyed, they actually have 31,000 and they showed me the other room where all this is stored.”

I think this speaks for itself.

The whole picture of the destruction of British Columbia’s patients’ medical records brings into question the data collected and used by the B.C. medical
researchers to write their journals and to get their
patents.

My husband and I did our own water testing
on St. Joe’s original films from 1998 and soaked them at
home in water for a week. They were still intact, still in
my possession. [Friend 1] had, from 1998, she had from
when she was pregnant, so they were originals, 1998, soaked
them for two weeks in a dishpan in the house, under normal
conditions, and they’re still intact.

So the films could have been saved. It’s
their actions of what they did and did not do that speaks
for itself.

I’ve attached three documents to my story
from recorded audio, transcribed from audio recordings from
conversations with the RCMP and me when they refused me my
own file number.

I guess that’s it for this. I know the
story so well that, you know.

Okay, this is my recommendations.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Okay.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Okay?

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Yeah.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Conscience of a nation,
Canada. Yvonne Kafka, senior Métis.

Canada is currently known for how it has
treated its women and children, both past and current. Its
Aboriginal peoples of many nations, known for their
capacity to endure untold sufferings, they have met this
nation, Canada’s capacity to inflict suffering by its
deceptive values which have gone against their very
principles of human dignity and man’s moral conscience. The
myth, time is neutral, it can be used constructively or
destructively. It judges the heart, the soul, and the
standards of man.

Let us not forget why we are here.

Number one: The United Nations
investigations and condemnations of Canada and Canada’s
failure to act on many issues that have been detrimental to
multitudes in this nation.

Number two: Canada and the responsibility
to protect doctrine, a principle for the world, a guideline
that seeks rules to protect the innocent against appalling
assaults of their life and dignity. For far too long Canada
has hid behind a banner of decency and committed both past
and current horrific human rights abuses while the norm has
always been the rule of law for justice.

Maybe I should just stop for a minute and
read this.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Sure.

--- (Recess at 11:09 a.m.; resumed at 11:14 a.m.)
MS. KATE LANGHAM: There’s that one.
Okay. We just took another short break, about five minutes. It is 11:14 a.m., and Yvonne is ready to continue.
MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Okay, these are my recommendations, okay? So this is a conscience of a nation, Canada. Canada is currently known for how it has treated its women and children, both past and currently. Its Aboriginal peoples of many nations, known for their capacity to endure untold suffering, they have met this nation, Canada’s capacity to inflict -- capacity to endure untold suffering. They have met this nation’s -- okay. You know what? Let’s start again.
MS. KATE LANGHAM: Yeah.
MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Let’s start again.
MS. KATE LANGHAM: Start again.
MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Okay. Okay.
MS. KATE LANGHAM: However many times it takes. We have lots of time.
MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Okay. Well, it’s written small and (inaudible).
MS. KATE LANGHAM: Yeah.
MS. YVONNE KAFKA: No, I’m good. I just have to really -- okay. Canada is current -- okay. Here I go.
MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Canada is currently known for how it has treated its women and children, both past and current. Its Aboriginal peoples of many nations, known for their capacity to endure untold suffering, they have met this nation, Canada’s, capacity to inflict suffering by its deceptive values which have gone astray. The very principles of human dignity and men’s moral conscience. The myth, time is neutral, it can be used constructively or destructively. It judges the heart, the soul, and the standard of men.

Let us not forget why we are here.

Number one: The United Nations investigations and condemnations of Canada and Canada’s failure to act on many issues that have been detrimental to multitudes in this nation.

Number two: Canada and the responsibility to protect doctrine, a principle for the world, a guideline that seeks rules to protect the innocent against appalling -- to protect the innocent against appalling assaults of their life and dignity. For far too long Canada has hid behind a banner of decency and committed both past and current horrific human rights abuses while the norm has not been the rule of law for justice but rather misuse of given governmental powers for the purpose of political and
societal repression.

Number three: The United Nations questions the United Nations questioning Canada in regards to the terms of reference of the Inquiry gives rise to doubt. Make no mistake about it, Canadians from all walks of life demand absolute truth. They are angry, and if need be, they will go back to the United Nations of which I, Yvonne Kafka, and others are prepared to do.

I, Yvonne Kafka, place great importance on this Inquiry. And why I’m here to tell my story and submit my recommendations.

Is that all right, my cry baby.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: You’re perfect.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: I’m not done.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: I know.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: I’m not done.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: No. You just take as much time as you need.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Yeah. Oh, thank you.

MS. ADELINE BROWN: Put your tears in there.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: My tears are in there.

Okay.

MR. CARL KAFKA: We’ll even let you burn this.
MS. YVONNE KAFKA: Okay. Here we go.

National Inquiry, Vancouver, B.C. hearing, recommendations by Yvonne Kafka.

Recommendation number one for the RCMP:
Establishment of a citizens’ narrative for court purposes to accompany the Crown narrative in order to facilitate all the evidence being produced.

Number two for the RCMP: Template to be done of citizens’ evidence, checklist, visual, audio, and written evidence in the course of police investigation from -- forms attached to investigation folders to sign off on.

A citizen’s right to have a means to stop evidence from being altered and destroyed.

Recommendation number three for the RCMP:
New investigation format called incident steps, incident encounters, diagrams of movements, as well as speech to be implemented.

These recommendations come about because of what the Courtenay RCMP did and didn’t do and what I, Yvonne Kafka, and my friend [Friend 1], presented to a past member in the Ottawa headquarters with the addition. We documented it all. We have the evidence and so much more.

Matters of importance for the Inquiry I, Yvonne Kafka, deem relevant.

Number one: Police destruction of evidence
obtained during the investigation.

Number two: Evidence not entered into file folders or submitted into records rooms when presented to the RCMP.

Number three: Operational reports being falsified rather than have operations report contain factual facts relevant to an investigation or given incident, reports being altered and inserted with opinions after the fact, which alters the coding system, and why Statistics Canada is off the mark.

Number four: Opinions passed on, used to promote hatred and threats of intimidation.

Number five: Evidence deliberately being withheld from Crown and downgraded as to their importance.

Number six: The E Division special relationship with Crown counsel is alarming and not in keeping up with their due process according to Crown policy and Crown procedure. They think they’re above the law in B.C.

Number seven: The use of email systems before and after operations report -- reports render the need to establish a new policy, one in which every email goes into -- goes in investigation file folders and the records room or thereafter.

Recommendation number four: Establish a
victims of crimes ombudsman as a provincial -- on a provincial level and expand its scope on a federal level into all areas of crime, even if a charge has not been laid or prosecuted. Victims have a right to have a place to go and complain without being threatened or beat up by the RCMP members or threatened by government over fear of exposure or political fallout. This is a neutral party, and [Friend 1] can further delineate on that. I said that, you know, you can phone her and we can go into more details than this.

Recommendation number five:
Implementation of both federal and provincial governments conducting reviews that would analyze all lawyers acting on behalf of government, both federally and provincially. There needs to be strict enforcement of policies and reprimands for misconduct. There’s no oversight for this.

Recommendation number six: Canada to receive its laws so they are compatible with the Charter of Rights. Canada to review its laws so they are compatible with the Charter of Rights and Freedoms, and any provincial acts that violates the Charter of Rights and Freedoms that is harming people, swift action will be taken to remedy it. Furthermore that Canada would review its bribery laws. That’s a big one.

Recommendation number seven: Canada to
appoint a secretariat and establish a citizens’ council. The secretariat to oversee this citizens’ council and will uphold the following:

Number one: Whereas Canada is founded upon principles I believe derived from the Magna Carta that recognizes the supremacy of God and the rule of law. The supremacy of God is the preamble. The context is not secular, its meaning is absolute truth, and that is the body of the rule of law.

Number two: He or she will uphold the Charter of Rights and Freedoms. Like a tree, the Charter has to evolve with changes of time. The old generation is clashing with the new generation and has weakened the Charter. It must be given its full power. That is the rock of this nation, Canada. Justin’s father legacy, now his alone in his responsibility to protect. It is part of the Canadian identity, which cannot be destroyed.

Number three: He or she will hold all the United Nations’ declarations Canada has signed onto and ratified.

Number four: He or she will uphold the responsibility doctrine. The responsibility to protect, the responsibility to build, the responsibility to act, the responsibility to deny.

Citizens’ council. What a nation chooses
to punish and how severely tells us what it values and how much. When a nation permits an unidentifiable group or person or persons to commit criminal acts without punishments, it sends a message of official complicity and solidarity with the offenders. Approval of wrongful conduct causes disassociation from the victim. As a result, wrongdoers go -- wrongdoers come to believe that they are entitled to act as they did. Misuse of government powers, exalted social status combined with a perceived elitism -- they think they’re elites on their own class -- does not immunize persons or persons from punishment. Rather it serves only the purpose of political and societal repression. Forms of punishment that maximizes the sense of shame and communicates a strong message do shape and even change their norms of preference within the nation. People learn in part what is morally blameworthy through what is punished. It changes beliefs, attitudes, personal values, and goals that effectuate policy considerations. That’s why this citizens’ council is needed. It would also be a safe place for whistle-blowers to go. Canada has harmed so many of them. The citizens’ council, a new forum gives the Charter of Rights and Freedoms its full powers and remedies wrongdoings without even entering a courtroom.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Would you like to take a break?
MS. YVONNE KAFKA: I’m glad I got here in my head. I’m glad.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: We just took a short break. It is now 11:27, and Yvonne will continue with her recommendations.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: They have it in writing.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Yes.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: You know, if they can’t understand me.

Citizens’ council under the Secretariat.

Number one: The council to consist of 12 members, three being retired judges or otherwise. I recommend Louise Arbour.

Number two: A special police force to be created and be assigned to the citizens’ council.

Number three: A victims unit within the citizens’ council that if any matter coming before it is going to harm people to the extent of losing their life, help will be given immediately.

Four: Deferred sanctions or deferred prosecution agreements, one of the areas of work of the citizens’ council.

Five: I recommend giving this to Mr. Ralph Goodale and Carolyn Bennett forthwith. I’d be happy to meet
with them.

In conclusion, I, Yvonne Kafka, and my friend, [Friend 1], wish to give the Inquiry a gift.

I, Yvonne Kafka, personally wish to be passed -- I wish it to be passed on to Justin Trudeau, the Prime Minister of Canada, for full endorsement, implementation, and ratified by all provinces.

I further recommend a healthcare bank to be established. There’s no excuse when in Canada we deny one’s right to live. No excuse in assaulting and torturing people. It is écoeurant.

Carolyn Bennett, again, I, Yvonne Kafka, extend my invitation to a meeting on a provincial level. I, Yvonne Kafka, and my friend [Friend 1] extend our invitation again to Adrian Dix and John Horgan, Premier of the Province of British Columbia.

The terms of reference clearly states you are to report on what government has done. Concerning my story and my recommendation I think it’s time we start.

They all know why.

So I want to read this form that [Friend 1] wrote after we did a lot of talking. And she wrote this a long time ago. It’s called Canada’s Jewel. It’s called universal healthcare.

Healthcare is the moral conscience of man.
Its spirit is the soul of man and it defies the ethics.

It is a gift that is treasured and to be freely given, not subject to abuse.

It is owned by no one person and no one nation.

It is made up of every man and woman’s gifts and talents in which it’s a free choice how we use them to deliver healthcare.

It’s every nation’s responsibility to protect it, respect it, build it, and deliver it. It’s the crown of all nations.

Universal healthcare. We need it.

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Thank you.

MS. YVONNE KAFKA: So what do you think?

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Wow! So I’m just wondering if there is anything else that you would like the commissioners to know?

(Off-the-record conversation)

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Yeah. We can stop.

--- (break)

MS. KATE LANGHAM: Okay, we’re back from our short break, and it is 11:38, and this concludes our formal interview for today.

--- Whereupon the statement concluded at 11:38 a.m.
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I have, to the best of my skill and ability, accurately transcribed from a pre-existing recording the foregoing proceeding.
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